

Department of

1892
REVENUE

OFFICE _____

STATION _____

G.O. No. 636 & 636A

Dated 21.6.1892.

the file

SUBJECT

Back Papers.

Dis.	No.	Department. Series.	Date.	Dis.	No.	Department. Series.

Further Papers.

Dis.	No.	Department. Series.	Date.	Dis.	No.	Department. Series.
MS	187	F&F	6.3.76			

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(LAND REVENUE).

THE HON. MR. J. GROSE.

READ—again the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 21st May 1890, Forest No. 545, Mis. :—

MR. W. S. WHITESIDE.

and—the following letter from F. A. NICHOLSON, Esq., Collector of Tinnevely, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue (through the Conservator of Forests, Southern Circle), dated Nannagaram, 3rd September 1888, No. 1029 :—

I have the honour to submit herewith draft notifications under section 16 relating to two blocks in this district, together with the Forest Settlement-officer's reports and registers concerning the same, and to recommend that the 1st December be fixed as the date when these areas shall become reserved forests.

2. The District Forest-officer's statement of area and condition with information required by Government Order, No. 640, dated 4th July 1887, is also forwarded.

3. Alterations in the boundaries of the above two blocks made during settlement shown in the accompanying plans.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Mr. A. PINTO, Esq., Special Deputy Collector on Forest Settlement Duty, Tinnevely, &c., Districts, to the Collector of Tinnevely, dated Uttamapolliem, 21st July 1888, Ref. on No. 278-G.

I have the honour to report the conclusion of the settlement of the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest, block No. 26, in the Tenkarai taluk of your district.

2. This block is a large, sandy waste of acres 13,392.59 or about 20.92 square miles in extent. whole of this area had been under forest conservancy before and subsequently constituted reserved land by Revenue Board's Proceedings, No. ⁵⁴² Forest 103, dated 2nd March 1886. The Government notification under section 4 of the Forest Act appeared in the Fort St. George Gazette, dated 28th June 1887; the usual proclamation under section 6 was published in the special supplement to the District Gazette, dated 15th September 1887, allowing time for preference of claims to 15th December 1887. The latest decision passed in respect of this block was on the 5th May 1888.

3. The total number of claims received in respect of this block was 110: 33 of them were in substance mere applications for patta for lands which either form the sites of patta palmyras, or had been under patta before the Revenue Settlement of fasli 1284. As none of them was based on right, the claims were dismissed. In another case, the village munsif of Kanam claimed acres 5-90, of which half an acre was patta and the remainder village nattam. The District Forest-officer, while electing for their exclusion, desired that fields Nos. 385, 386A, 387A, 387B, aggregating acres 10-59, might also be excluded in view to making the boundary more regular. This was accordingly done. Another claim was also by a ryot of Kanam village who claimed land, trees and water. As the petition was presented out of date, and as no sufficient reason was given, the claim was dismissed under section 17 of the Act. Seventy-two claims purely related to trees; two of them were dismissed for default and four for want of sufficient reason for coming late; the patta usufruct claimed in the other cases over 25,406 palmyras and 19 mango trees was admitted and, at the instance of the District Forest-officer, continued to the respective claimants. In two of the remaining three cases the petitioners applied for permission for manuring their palmyras. This was granted, provided that the manure is brought from outside. The last claim was by the District Forest-officer who brought to notice 26 public rights of way through the forest; they were admitted and recorded.

4. As acres 15-99 have been excluded from the tract originally notified within the limits of Kanam village, an altered key-map will have to accompany this report to the higher authorities, which I beg may be obtained from the District Forest-officer.

5. Three months' time will be required for publication of the notice under section 16 of the Act, as my circle is very wide and it takes time to correspond with the officers in charge of the District Press and the Tahsildars.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—B. Register of claims.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.—Draft notification.

ENCLOSURE No. 4.—Map.

ENCLOSURE No. 5.—Area statement.

Endorsement by the Acting Conservator of Forests, Southern Circle, dated Madras, 17th September 1888, No. 1708.

Forwarded.

Resolution—dated 21st May 1890, Forest No. 545, Mis.

The numbers given in the draft notification of some of the survey fields comprising the block differ from those in the notification under section 4. Thus what was 25 P is now returned as 29 B in the Kachanavilai village, while numbers 160, 325 and 333 of the same village now figure with the sub-letter B instead of the letter P as before. A similar difference is also noticed in regard to fields Nos. 278 of Nalumavadi and 348 of Kayamoli. In claim 17 B, tree-patta rights are admitted in field No. 398 of Nalumavadi; but this field is not one of those given as comprising the block. The discrepancies should be explained or corrected.

2. It is observed that some claims, though numbered the same, bear different sub-letters, Nos. 11, 12 and 22 for instance. The reason for this is probably that joint petitions were presented, but as the interest claimed was not joint, the claims were separated and lettered. If this presumption is correct, as the Board believes it is (for if separate petitions had been put in they would have borne separate numbers), then there would appear to be no justification for the procedure adopted in regard to certain

claimants (Nos. 5, 6, 9 and 10 of claim 24 for instance) who claimed jointly without joint interest. The procedure in question should be explained.

3. The numbering of claims is not in chronological order. Thus, for instance, while claim 74 is dated 10th March, No. 78 is dated the 9th idem, and No. 77 the 17th February preceding.

Claim 1 A is said to have been preferred on the 30th September and disposed of on the 8th idem 1888, while the 17th February 1882 is given as the date of presentation of claim 28 B.

These mistakes should be corrected.

4. As the conditions under which tree-pattas are held in Tinnevely may not always continue to be those specified in Mr. Puckle's catechism, it will be as well to omit the 3rd of the conditions entered against the tree rights in the draft notification. The prohibition to fold sheep, &c., under the patta trees should be entered in that document after the manuring right.

The season during which the patta tree produce may be removed should be specified as required by section 12.

The right to bring from outside the block manure for the patta trees is entered in the draft notification as having been admitted in favour of all the claimants, but the right has not been so admitted in the decisions—*vide* claims 6 B and 15 B for instance.

These defects in the record should be corrected.

5. Field No. 390 A having been excluded from the reserve (*vide* claim 71) and as No. 390 B is alone included in the block as finally settled, the entry against claims 43, 44, &c., should be corrected in the draft notification.

6. The boundary statement has not been drawn up. This should be done. It is not sufficient to give the fieldwar contents. The "limits" should be specified.

7. The sketch sent up is useless. It merely shows the contour of the block. A more detailed one illustrating the settlement should be forwarded.

A temple is shown in this document as lying within the block, but it is not stated how it has been dealt with. If as an enclosure, the fact should be noted in the draft notification.

8. The Collector and Conservator should consider whether, in view of the heavy rights—about 25,400 patta palmyras—with which the block is saddled and the Forest Settlement-officer's report that the tract is a mere sandy waste, the proposed reservation of it may not be abandoned. It is, of course, out of the question to acquire so many trees.

9. Very early reply is requested.

10. The spelling of the names of some villages is not uniform, and should be corrected—

Kudiraimoliteri (initial notification) and Kudiramoliteri (draft notification), Nalumavadi and Nalumavady are instances.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

the Collector of Tinnevely, with papers in Forest
Register No. 1392 of 1888.
Conservator of Forests, Southern Circle.

READ—also the following letter from F. A. NICHOLSON, Esq., Collector of Tinnevely, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Tinnevely, 30th April 1891, No. 299:—

With reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 545-F., dated 21st May 1890, I have the honour to submit herewith the enclosed letter, No. 21-G, dated 8th March 1891, being replies from the Forest Settlement-officer to the remarks made by the Board in the above Proceedings relating to Kudiraimoliteri proposed reserved forest, Tenkarai taluk. The sketch-map of the reserve is also returned herewith.

2. With reference to paragraph 8 of those remarks, I beg to subjoin extracts from the letters of the Conservator of Forests, Southern Circle, and the District Forest-officer on the subject, and I concur with them as to the necessity for reservation.

(a) Extract of Conservator's letter, No. 564, dated 2nd June 1890.

"With reference to paragraph 8 of Board's Proceedings, Forest No. 545, Mis., of 21st ultimo, regarding Kudiraimoliteri, &c., I have the honour to remark that the Board has probably overlooked the special circumstances, viz., reclamation of sandy wastes which render the reservation of the above expedient, and it will suffice to invite their attention to them, referring to my report, &c. I certainly could not recommend that the reservation be abandoned."

(b) Extract of paragraph 2 of the District Forest-officer's office-note, No. 331, dated 23rd April 1891:—

"As regards the proposal to abandon the reservation of this large area, I beg to point out that its reservation was shown to be absolutely necessary when notification under section 4 was sent up—vide Board's Proceedings, No. ⁵⁴² Forest 103, dated 2nd March 1886. . . . The abandonment of the reserve now, I would point out, would not only be a great waste of all the money expended on the reclamation of this area up to date, but would in all probability be strenuously opposed by the surrounding villagers who appreciate and acknowledge the absolute necessity of Government retaining this area in their own hands in order to prevent the sand drift from spreading over the surrounding patta lands."

ENCLOSURE No. I.

From M R R. C. RAJU SHASTRIAR, Avergal, Forest Settlement-officer, Tinnevely, &c. Districts, to the Collector of Tinnevely, dated Kachirayapalayam, 8th March 1891, No. 21-G.

With reference to your letter, No. 742, dated 31st May 1890, communicating Board's Proceedings, Forest No. 545, Mis., dated 21st May 1890, I have the honour to submit herewith my replies to all the remarks of the Board of Revenue except paragraph 8, in which your opinion as to advisability of abandoning the block is asked for. For ready reference the Board's remarks and my replies are arranged side by side:—

Board's remarks.

1. The numbers given in the draft notification of some of the survey fields comprising the block differ from those in the notification under section 4, thus, what was 25 P is now returned as 29 B in the Kachanavilai village; while Nos. 160, 325 and 333 of the same village now figure with the sub-letter B, instead of the letter P as before. A similar difference is also noticed in regard to field Nos. 278 of Nalumavadi and 348 of Kayamoli. In claim 17 B, tree patta rights are admitted in field No. 398 of Nalumavadi; but this field is not one of those given as comprising the block. The discrepancies should be corrected or explained.

2. It is observed that some claims, though numbered the same, bear different sub letters Nos. 11, 12 and 22 for instance. The reason for this is probably that joint petitions were

My replies.

The numbers of fields given in the draft final notification are correct, and the initial notification was wrong. The mistake was probably due to the numbers having been taken from the karnam's account written in Tamil, in which B cannot be shown differently from P.

As field No. 398 does not occur in the register, the Board probably refers to field No. 298. It seems to have been wrongly adjudicated upon and has now been struck out in the register.

The portions of claim falling under section 10 were first separated from those under section 11 of the Forest Act, and these were again separated and lettered owing to the interest

Board's remarks.

presented, but as the interest claimed was not joint, the claims were separated and lettered. If this presumption is correct, as the Board believes it is (for if separate petitions had been put in they would have borne separate numbers), then there would appear to be no justification for the procedure adopted in regard to certain claimants (Nos. 5, 6, 9 and 10 of claim 24 for instance) who claimed jointly without joint interest. The procedure in question should be explained.

3. The numbering of claims is not in chronological order; thus, for instance, while claim 74 is dated 10th March, No. 78 is dated 9th idem, and No. 77 the 17th February preceding.

Claim No. 1 A is said to have been preferred on the 30th September and disposed of on the 8th idem 1888, while the 17th February 1882 is given as the date of presentation of claim No. 28 B.

These mistakes should be corrected.

4. As the conditions under which tree pattas are held in Tinnevely may not always continue to be those specified in Mr. Puckle's catechism, it will be as well to omit the 3rd of the conditions entered against the tree pattas in the draft notification.

The prohibition to fold sheep, &c., under the patta trees should be entered in that document after the manuring right.

The season during which the patta tree produce may be removed should be specified as required by section 12.

The right to bring from outside the block manure for the patta trees is entered in the draft notification as having been admitted in favour of all the claimants, but the right has not been so admitted in the decision—*vide* claims 6 B and 15 B for instance. These defects in the record should be corrected.

5. Field No. 390-A having been excluded from the reserve (*vide* claim 71) and as No. 390 B is alone included in the block as finally settled, the entry against 43 and 44, &c., should be corrected in the draft notification.

6. The boundary statement has not been drawn up. This should be done. It is not sufficient to give the fieldwar contents. The limits should be specified.

My replies.

claimed being divided. In case No 24 it was thought unnecessary to separate the claim as regards claimants Nos 5, 6, 9 and 10; for they were all absent and their claim was dismissed for default and was not dealt with on its merit. Had they appeared and pressed their claim, they would probably have been dealt with like other claimants.

Claim No. 74 was presented on the 14th February 1888 and not 10th March 1888, which is the date of disposal. The register has now been corrected.

Claim No. 1 A was disposed of on the 8th October 1887. The necessary correction has now been made in the register. The date of presentation of claim No. 28 B is given in the register as 17th December 1882 and not as 17th February 1882, as stated by the Board. 1882 has now been altered into 1887.

Omitted as directed.

Attended to.

No record is to be found regarding the season during which the produce is to be removed, and no order has been passed regarding it by the late Forest Settlement officer. Some of the parties have already obtained copies of the decision, and any addition now made to the orders might give them cause of complaint. More over as the order stands at present, the claimants can approach the trees without the permission of the Forest-officer only when they have any produce to remove therefrom and not at other times.

The only claim in which the right to manuring trees by bringing it from outside the forest is not admitted is 15 B. The order in claim No. 6 B is modified by that in claim No. 18. These have now been correctly noted in the draft notification.

Attended to.

A revised draft notification is herewith enclosed. In detailing admitted rights of patta usufruct over trees, the claim number, the names of claimant, patta number, &c., are given for facilitating the identification of the trees that stand in the same field in the names of different persons.

Board's remarks.

My replies.

7. The sketch sent up is useless. It merely shows the contour of the block; a more detailed one, illustrating the settlement, should be forwarded.

Attended to.

A temple is shown in this document as lying within the block; but it is not stated how it has been dealt with. If as an encosure, the facts should be noted in the draft notification.

It is outside the forest.

8.

9.

10. The spelling of the names of some of the villages is not uniform and should be corrected—

Attended to.

Kudiraimoliteri (initial notification) and Kudiramoliteri (draft notification).

Nelumavadi and Nalumavady are instances.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.
REGISTER OF CLAIMS TO LANDS, RIGHTS TO FOREST PRODUCE OR EASEMENTS IN THE PROPOSED KUDIRAIMOLITERI RESERVED FOREST.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1-A	Sivunathi Adicha Nadan and 9 others of Kayamoli village.	30th Sept. 1887.	Apply for patta for the sites of patta palayras in field No. 348-O in the village of Kayamoli and comprised in the proposed Kudiraimoliteri reserved forest.			Petitioners state that they possess patta usufruct over certain palayras in the proposed reserved forest and apply for patta for their sites. This petition cannot be entertained under the Forest Act. If petitioners claim right to the palayras themselves, they are at liberty to do so, producing their patta and other evidence. In doing so, each patta holder in set of patta holders should put in a separate claim. Accordingly this petition is dismissed.
..		1st Dec. 1887.	Apply for patta for the sites of patta palayras in field No. 348-O in the village of Kayamoli and comprised in the proposed reserved forest.			SADAPET, (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 8th October 1887.
2-A	Aiyankunna Nadan and 3 others of Kudiraimoliteri village.	8th Oct. 1887.	Pray that about 1,500 acres forming the sites of patta palayras trees in fields No. 32-A and 49-C of Kudiraimoliteri village may be granted to them on patta.			Not being sufficiently stamped, returned. The petition ought to bear an eight-anna Court-fee stamp. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 7th December 1887.
3	Saravane Mudali and 4 others of Kanam village.	17th Oct. 1887.	State that they possess patta usufruct over the palayras standing on the undermentioned fields of Kanam village in the proposed reserved forest and request that the fields may be granted to them on patta:—			Petitioners apply for patta for the sites of palayras situated in the proposed reserved forest. Such application is not within my competence to dispose of. If petitioners claim right to the palayras themselves, they are at liberty to do so, producing their patta and other evidence. In doing so each patta holder or set of patta holders should put in a separate claim. This petition is dismissed.
			No. 385 5 95 386 1 10 387 3 18 390 246 58 ACS. C.			SADAPET, (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 8th October 1887.
						Insufficiently stamped. It is therefore returned with the one-anna stamp unopened. Petitioners should themselves produce all the documents in support of their claims—vide paragraph 1 of the proclamation. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 17th October 1887.

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved Forest—cont.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
4	Sudangamuthu Mukundan of Narakinaz.	17th Oct. 1887.	States that he holds a patta for palmyras, mango trees, &c., standing on about 100 acres of dry land included in the proposed reserved forest and prays that a patta may be granted to him for the sites of the trees.			Insufficiently stamped. Returned with the stamp unpunched. Petitioner should produce all the documents in his favour as required in the proclamation. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. TIRUVALLUR, 17th October 1887.
5		Again 10th Jan. 1888.	States that he holds a patta for palmyras, mango trees, &c., standing on about 100 acres of dry land included in the proposed reserved forest and prays that a patta may be granted to him for the sites of the trees.			Petition is dated 17th December and was received on the 10th January 1888. It was not posted till 9th January at Tiruchendur. The time for preference of claim expired on 15th December 1887. Unless petitioner gives sufficient reason for coming late within the 25th instant, this petition will be dismissed, as time-barred. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. TIRUVALLUR, 10th January 1888.
6	K. Ramaswami Nandan and three others of Lakshipuram alias Shengudi hamlet of Pallipattu, Tenkasi taluk.	18th Oct. 1887.	State that they have patta usufruct over certain palmyras, mangoes, &c., standing on about 250 acres of land included in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest and request that their sites may be granted to them on patta.			Insufficiently stamped. The petition is returned with the one-anna stamp unpunched. Petitioners should produce all the documents in support of their claims. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. TIRUVALLUR, 18th October 1887.
7	Aiyankannu Nadan and another patta-holder, No. 49 of Kudiramoliteri.	19th Oct. 1887.	State that they have patta usufruct over 4,100 palmyras standing on about 1,600 acres of land included in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest and request that their sites may be granted to them on patta.			Returned, being insufficiently stamped. Petitioners should submit all the documents in support of their claim with their first petition—side proclamation. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. TIRUVALLUR, 19th October 1887.
8	Samikannu Nadan and others of Kayamoli village.	Do.	State that they have patta usufruct over 1,000 palmyras standing on the fields specified below in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest and request that their sites may be granted to them on patta No. 32, acres 40. No. 32, acres 30. Paramboke, in Kudiramoliteri village.			Returned, being insufficiently stamped. Petitioners should submit all the documents in support of their claim with their first petition—side proclamation. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. TIRUVALLUR, 19th October 1887.

8	K. Sudangamuthu Mukundan of Narakinaz.	2nd Nov. 1887.	Prays that about 100 acres of land forming the sites of patta palmyras in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest may be granted to him on patta.			The subject of the petition is not such as can be disposed of under the Forest Act. The undersigned has no power to grant patta. A claim under the Act ought to be an eight-anna stamp which this does not. The petition is accordingly returned to the petitioner as inadmissible. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. SAIDAPET, 2nd November 1887.
9	Abel Arulmantham and others of Semmarikulam village.	18th Nov. 1887.	State that they have patta usufruct over certain palmyras standing on acres 801.79 of land in survey field No. 388 in Semmarikulam village in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest and request a patta for the land.			The petition should bear a Court-fee stamp of eight annas. The one-anna stamp is returned unpunched. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. SAIDAPET, 18th November 1887.
10		14th Dec. 1887.	State that they have patta usufruct over certain palmyras standing on acres 801.79 of land in survey field No. 388 in Semmarikulam village in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest and request a patta for the land.			The claim seems to be to a field for which the petitioners hold no patta or have held none since the late Revenue settlement. Land without patta is either Government waste or paramboke to which no one can have any right. Petitioners admit that the land has not been in their possession since the Revenue settlement which was over 12 years ago. This shows that the petitioners have neither a title nor possession on their side. They say that they have documents, but have not produced them. I see no reason to entertain this claim. It is accordingly dismissed as inadmissible. If petitioners mean to claim the patta usufruct over palmyra trees, they should specify their claim accordingly, stating the number of trees, their situation, their assessment and producing the patta under which they claim. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. ATHICHENAILUR, 14th December 1887.
11		20th Dec. 1887.	State that they have patta usufruct over certain palmyras standing on acres 801.79 of land in survey field No. 388 in Semmarikulam village in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest and request a patta for the land.			Petition is first of all insufficiently stamped and cannot for that reason alone be entertained. Petitioners ask for patta for land which is not in their possession and which has already been made reserved forest and has been proposed to be constituted reserved forest. The Forest Settlement-officer has no power to grant patta. Petition is accordingly dismissed. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. KRAMUR, 20th December 1887.

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudramolli reserved Forest—cont.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
13	Chockalingam Pillai, agent of Veddari Des Nadai, guardian of Nagappa Mudaliar minor son of Vengu Mudaliar of Kachinavillai village.	2nd Dec. 1887.	States that the fields marked A below of Kachinavillai are the sites of patta palmyras and those marked B lie in the middle of patta lands and pray that they may be granted on patta. A.—29-B .. 0.68 325-B .. 877.73 333-B .. 10.20 334 .. 6.0 335 .. 4.23 336 .. 9.42 Total ... 998.27 Prays for patta for acres 5 being the site of his patta palmyras in field No. 343 (O) of Kayamoli village included in the proposed Kudramolli reserved forest.	 A.C.S. 2.43 149 .. 4.94 150-B .. 1.63 322 .. 18.84 Total .. 24.54		Being insufficiently stamped and no vakalatnamah having been produced, the petition cannot be entertained. Granting patta is not within my competence. The claim to palmyras, if any, should state the number and the place where they are situated. The petition ought to bear an eight-anna Court-fee stamp. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer. Tinnevely, 8th December 1887.
10	A. Magadu Mohideen Maricar, pattadar No. 71 of Kayamoli village.	26th Nov. 1887.				Being insufficiently stamped, the petition cannot be entertained. Granting patta is not within my competence. The claim to palmyras, if any, should state the number and the place where they are situated. The petition ought to bear an eight-anna Court-fee stamp. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer. Tinnevely, 9th December 1887.
14	Nagalinga Tambiran, agent of Thiruvadhurai mutam, pattadar No. 42 of Kachinavillai village.	4th Dec. 1887.	Prays on behalf of Thiruvadhurai mutam for a patta for the following fields of Kachinavillai village forming the sites of patta palmyras in the proposed Kudramolli reserved forest:— No. .. A.C.S. 333-A .. 10.20 334 .. 6.0 335 .. 4.29 336 .. 9.42 Total .. 29.91 * Claim acres 250 in field No. 32-A and acres 19 in field No. 49-C of Kudramolli village as well as the palmyras thereon on the proposed Kudramolli reserved forest.			As the claimants have separate patta and enjoy their trees separately, and as they have no patta for land and nothing in common between them, this joint claim is inadmissible. This claim to land is accordingly dismissed. Petitioners are at liberty to prefer separate claim to patta trees, if any. They are informed accordingly. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer. Tinnevely, 15th December 1887.
11-A.	Palavesamuthu Mukundan, pattadar No. 70, Perumud Kori, pattadar No. 37, Sivarumugam Nandan, pattadar No. 60 of Kudramolli village.	2nd Dec. 1887.				

12-A.	Yova Nadan, pattadar Nos. 69 and 139, Bagia Nadan and Sivamarugam Nadan, pattadars No. 84 of Kudramolli village.	2nd Dec. 1887.	Claim acres 10 in field No. 32-A and acres 12 in field No. 49-C of Kudramolli village in the proposed Kudramolli reserved forest.			The claim to the three patta is inconveniently joined together so as to create confusion. Claimants have no patta for land out of the fields comprised in the proposed reserved forests. Bagia Nadan and Sivamarugam have no right to patta Nos. 69 and 139 and they are improperly joined in this. This claim to land herein preferred is therefore dismissed, and claimants advised to prefer separate claims to trees, if any. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer. Kudramolli, 15th December 1887.
6-B.	Aiyankannu Nadan and others of Muthukrishnapuram, hamlet of Kudramolli.	1st Dec. 1887.	Claim 4,000 and odd palmyra trees and 5,000 and odd palmyra seedlings in fields No. 32-A, and 150 palmyras in field No. 49-C of Kudramolli village in the proposed Kudramolli reserved forest.	One of the claimants, Aiyankannu Nadan, admits that the trees in his patta are given correctly in the entry of the village karnam and they are generally frequented from Tye to Ani. many more seedlings but has brought no sort of document to prove his right to the seedlings. 2. The claim to the patta usufruct over the 1,294 palmyras is admitted in favour of the claimants under section 11 of the Forest Act subject to any rules that may hereafter be framed by the Governor in Council under section 12 (b) of the Forest Act and provided— (i) That no grant, sale, lease, mortgage or other alienation be made without the sanction of Government under section 19 of the said Act. (ii) That no acres be had to the trees without the permission of the Forest officer except for the removal of the produce from the trees. 3. The claimants are free to sell or barter the produce. When the trees die claimants are allowed out of the sale proceeds their usual kudivaram. The terms under which the trees are to be held are for the present the same as laid down in Mr. Fockle's catechism. 4. Claimants will be at liberty to review their claim to the vadadies with proper evidence within 15 days from this date. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer. Kudramolli, 15th December 1887.	From the village accounts it appears that the claimants have in their patta— 170 Parvum palmyras. 493 Kattukal. 563 Olavettu in field No. 32-A. 10 Parvum. 26 Kattukal. 28 Olavettu in field No. 49-C. Total .. 1,294 Palmyra trees. Claimant admits this, but states that he has reared	From the village accounts it appears that the claimants have in their patta— 170 Parvum palmyras. 493 Kattukal. 563 Olavettu in field No. 32-A. 10 Parvum. 26 Kattukal. 28 Olavettu in field No. 49-C. Total .. 1,294 Palmyra trees. Claimant admits this, but states that he has reared
6-A.	Aiyankannu Nadan and others of Muthukrishnapuram, hamlet of Kudramolli.	Do.	Pray that acres 1,500 in field Nos. 32-A and 49-C of Kudramolli village may be granted to them on patta.			The claim to the land is frivolous. Claimants admit that they have had no patta nor enjoyment, since 4 or 5 years before the Revenue settlement which was in April 1284 (1873-74). The claim is accordingly rejected under section 10 of the Forest Act. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer. Kudramolli, 16th December 1887.

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or enforcements in the proposed Kudiramoliteni reserved Forest—cont.

12 BOARD OF REVENUE (LAND REVENUE), 15TH MARCH 1892, FOREST No. 112.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
16-A.	Narayana Nadan, patta-dar No. 83 of Nalunavadi village.	8th Dec. 1887.	Prays that acres 2-40 of wet land and palmvrahs in field No. 278 of Nalunavadi may be excluded from the proposed Kudiramoliteni reserved forest.	Claimant himself admits that he purchased only the trees patta in field No. 278-B included in the proposed reserved forest.		The claim is worthless. Claimant admits that he purchased the wet portion of the field No. 278-A, acres 2-40 and the trees in field No. 278-B some 7 or 8 years ago. He has therefore not a shadow of claim to the land in No. 278-B which alone is included in the proposed reserved forest. The claim is accordingly rejected under section 10 of the Forest Act.
16-B.	Narayana Nadan, patta-dar No. 83 of Nalunavadi village.	Do.	Claims patta usufruct over palmvrahs standing on acres 6-62 in field No. 278 of Nalunavadi as also over 1,000 vadales not brought to assessment.	The claimant produces his patta in support of his claim to palmvrahs and states that the details are as given in the curram's account. He also admits that the vadales of seedlings are not in his patta and he had no permission to raise them.		KURUMBU, (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 16th December 1887.
17-A.	Kathana Nadan, patta-dar No. 61 and others of Nalunavadi.	15th Dec. 1887.	Pray that the fields specified below of Nalunavadi village and forming the site of their patta palmvrahs may be granted to them on patta:— No. 278-B 5-62 288 1-34 289 87-42 293 5-86 294 2-59 295 4-69 296-B 1-81 297-A 6-20 301 47-43 303 230-36 304 117-25 Total .. 510-67			The giving of patta for land is not within my competence. The petition is therefore dismissed. Petitioners are informed of this order. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 16th December 1887.

BOARD OF REVENUE (LAND REVENUE), 15TH MARCH 1892, FOREST No. 112. 13

18-A.	Kathana Nadan, patta-dar No. 61 and others of Nalunavadi.	15th Dec. 1887.	Pray that the fields specified below of Nalunavadi village and forming the site of their patta palmvrahs may be granted to them on patta:— No. 278-B 5-62 288 1-34 289 87-42 293 5-86 294 2-59 295 4-69 296-B 1-81 297-A 6-20 301 47-43 303 230-36 304 117-25 Total .. 510-67			The giving of patta for land is not within my competence. The petition is therefore dismissed. Petitioners are informed of this order. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 16th December 1887.
19.	Pothi perianaisa Nadan and others of Semmarikulam village.	Do.	Pray that 50 acres of land in Semmarikulam village may be excluded from the proposed Kudiramoliteni reserved forest for use as their future village-site.			Insufficiently stamped. This matter is not within the competence of the Forest Settlement-officer to deal with. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 16th December 1887.
20-A.	Sivanthi Athiwa Nadan and six others of Kayamoli village.	Do.	Pray that acres 240-70 of land in field No. 348 (O) of Kayamoli village comprising their patta palmvrahs, vadales, &c., may be excluded from the proposed Kudiramoliteni reserved forest.	Kumaraswami Adithya Nadan, one of the claimants, admits that they had no patta for the land in question since the revenue settlement and that they durkhasted for the same several times but without success.		The claim is a vain one. The land has not been in claimants' patta or in their enjoyment for over 12 years. They themselves admit having durkhasted for it without success, which shows that they have not a shadow of right to it. The claim is rejected under section 10 of the Forest Act. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 16th December 1887.
21.	Maguda Mohideen Marikaver, patta-dar No. 71 of Kayamoli village.	17th Dec. 1887.	Pray that acres 240-70 of land in field No. 348 (O) of Kayamoli village comprising their patta palmvrahs, vadales, &c., may be excluded from the proposed Kudiramoliteni reserved forest.			In this case an order has already been passed. Petitioners may obtain a copy of the order, dated 16th December 1887. The matter cannot now be re-opened. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 17th December 1887.

An appeal was preferred to the Collector, but dismissed on the ground that it lay to the District Court in Collector's Proceedings, No. 664, dated 19th June 1888. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer.

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudaimolli reserved Forest—cont.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
		3	4	5	6	7
26-A	Sivanaraja Perumal Nandan and another of Paramankurichi.	17th Dec. 1887.	Pray that about 40 acres of land comprising their patta palmyrahs in field No. 348 of Kayamoli village may be granted to them on patta.			As the claimant applies for patta for land for which he has none, his case cannot be dealt with under the Forest Act. This petition is therefore dismissed. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. KURUMBU, 17th December 1887.
27-A	Sivanaraja Perumal Nandan of Kayamoli village.	Do. ..	Prays that about 50 acres of land forming the site of his patta palmyrahs in field No. 32 of Kudaimoli village may be granted to him on patta.			The Forest Settlement-officer has nothing to do with granting pattas. Petition is therefore dismissed as inadmissible. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. KURUMBU, 17th December 1887.
21	Muthu Nadan and eight others of Vaguthankuppam, hamlet of Nazareth.	16th Dec. 1887.	Pray that acres 905.45 in field No. 167-101 of Nazareth in the proposed Kudaimolli forest may be granted to them on patta.			Before I could read and pass an order on this petition, the petitioners disappeared. As the petitioners have not given sufficient reason for coming late with their claim, the petition is dismissed. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. KURUMBU, 19th December 1887.
29	Gnanamathu Nadan of Semmarikulam for himself and other joint holders.	17th Dec. 1887.	Claims patta usufruct over the palmyrahs standing on field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed Kudaimolli reserved forest and entered in the village accounts against pattas Nos. 5, 69, 232, 234 and 246 of the said village.			Claims Nos. 29 to 36, 88 and 39 were grouped together for the purpose of inquiry. 2. According to the village accounts the palmyrahs entered in the respective pattas of the claimants as standing on field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam are as follow:—
30	Swaminatha Pillai, patta No. 105 for himself and other joint holders.	Do. ..	Claims patta usufruct over palmyrahs standing in field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed Kudaimolli reserved forest and entered in the village accounts against pattas Nos. 105, 9, 212, 231, 232, 237, 240, 242, 246, 248 and 313 of the said village.			

31	V. Pichaimuthu Nadan of Semmarikulam for himself and other joint holders.	Do. ..	Claims patta usufruct over the palmyrahs standing in field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed Kudaimolli reserved forest and entered in the village accounts against pattas Nos. 19, 212, 236 and 246 of the said village.	Village accounts and evidence of curram and Mr. Puckle's catechism.	The District Forest-officer does not oppose the claim.	3. The claim is attended with the usual incidents, viz., that two-thirds of the value of the dead trees belong to the claimants as their kudivaram; that the trees in the lower classes, i.e., kattukel and olivettu, when they pass to the higher classes, are chargeable with higher or full tax as the case may be at each triennial counting; that the trees found missing at such counting are struck off the patta, and unless they are properly accounted for, their value at 30 times the maximum assessment is recovered from the claimants— <i>vide</i> Mr. Puckle's catechism. 4. The claim is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act, subject to any rules that may hereafter be made by the Governor in Council under section 12 (d) of the Forest Act and to the provisions laid down in claim No. 6-B <i>supra</i> . 5. The claimants are free to sell or barter the produce; also to manure the trees by bringing in the manure from outside the forest. The practice of folding sheep and goats under the trees is incompatible with forest conservancy and cannot be allowed under section 24 of the Easements Act V of 1882. Claimants are entitled to have the branches of old trees encumbering the palmyrahs lopped off, so as to allow of them being climbed.
32	C. Narayana Nadan of Semmarikulam for himself and other joint holders.	Do. ..	Claims patta usufruct over the palmyrahs standing on field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed Kudaimolli reserved forest and entered in the village accounts against pattas Nos. 231 and 241 of the said village.			(Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. KURUMBU, 19th December 1887.
33	Kumaraswami Nadan of Semmarikulam for himself and other joint holders.	Do. ..	Claims patta usufruct over the palmyrahs standing in field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed Kudaimolli reserved forest and entered in the village accounts against pattas Nos. 236, 310 and 313 of the said village.			
34	Perumal Tevan of Semmarikulam for himself and other joint holders.	Do. ..	Claims patta usufruct over the palmyrahs standing on field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam taken up for the proposed reserved forest and entered in the village accounts against pattas Nos. 90, 121 and 243 of the said village.			

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved Forest--cont.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
35	Tongatha Perumal Thevan and three others in patta No. 120 of Semmarikulam for themselves and other joint holders.	17th Dec. 1887.	Claims patta usufruct over the palm-trees standing in field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest and entered in the village accounts against patta Nos. 120 and 90 of the said village.			
36	Kumarandi Thevan of Semmarikulam on behalf of himself and his brother Velliah.	Do.	Claims patta usufruct over the palm-trees standing in field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest and entered in the village accounts against patta No. 90 of the said village.			
38	Elye Nadan of Semmarikulam for himself and other joint holders.	Do.	Claims patta usufruct over the palm-trees standing in field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest and entered in the village accounts against patta Nos. 240 and 249 of the said village.	Village accounts and evidence of curiam and Mr. Puckle's catechism.	The District Forest-officer does not oppose the claim.	(For decision please see pages 14 and 15).
39	Aiyankannu Nadan, patta No. 233 of Semmarikulam.	Do.	Claims patta usufruct over the palm-trees standing in field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest and entered in his patta No. 233 of the said village.			
24	1. Swaminatha Pillai. 2. Kumaraswami Nadan, 3. Pichumuthu Nadan, 4. Perumal Thevan, 5. Narayana Nadan, 6. Swamikannu Mukundan, 7. Pongatha Perumal Thevan, 8. Kumarandi Thevan, 9. Darnaputhra Thevan, 10. Ponnandi Thevan, 11. T. Ramaswami Thevan, 12. Marimuthu do. 13. Vellickon do. 14. Ramaswami do. 15. Elya Nadan, 16. S. Guanamuthu Nadan, 17. K. Narayana Nadan, patta No. 42 of Kayamoli village.	Do.	Claim patta usufruct over 668 paruvam, 1,663 kattukal, 1,875 olivettu, total 3,606, assessed at Rs. 98-0-3 in field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest; and also two-thirds of the value of dead trees as their kudiram.			Nos. 6, 9, and 10 as well as the others filed a joint petition. As they were separate patta-holders and ought to have put in separate claims, I told them to appear at 4 p.m. on the 17th in order that their separate claims might be taken down in writing. Nos. 6, 9, and 10 did not appear at the appointed time or even to this date. The petition as regards them is dismissed. The others appeared and their case has been disposed of in claims Nos. 29 to 36, 38 and 39 <i>supra</i> . (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. Kudiramoliteri, 19th December 1887.

42	Kumarasami Nadan, one of the joint holders in patta No. 69 of Kanam.	26th Dec. 1887.	Applies for patta for fields No. 208, acres 218-13, and No. 209, acres 31-56, total acres 252-69, of Kanam village, included in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest.			Insufficiently stamped. The undersigned has nothing to do with granting patta. Petition dismissed. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. Kudiramoliteri, 20th December 1887. Petitioners were served with notices on the 2nd and 3rd November 1887, but they have failed to prefer claims within the time allowed, viz., 15th December 1887. Unless petitioners show sufficient cause for coming late, their petition cannot be entertained. Moreover, petitioners only apply for a patta for land, which the undersigned has no power to grant. For these reasons, this petition is dismissed. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. Tinnevely, 18th January 1888.																																																								
63	Sivandi Athihya Nadan and 6 others of Kayamoli.	6th Jan. 1888.	Pray that about 160 acres of land, forming the sites of their patta palm-trees in fields Nos. 32-A and 133-A of Kudiramoliteri village, may be excluded from the proposed reserved forest.																																																											
22-A	Ponazara Nadan, patta No. 40 of Kayamoli village.	18th Dec. 1887.	Claims 68 palm-trees, viz., 7 paruvam, 15 kattukal, and 36 olivettu, assessed at Rs. 1-7-6, and 200 vadaias or seedlings not brought to assessment, in field No. 348 (O) of Kayamoli in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest.	Village accounts.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the claim. He desires that the right may be continued to the claimants.	Claims Nos. 22-A and 22-B were grouped together for the purpose of inquiry. The claim is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act, subject to the same rules, provisos and incidents detailed in claims Nos. 6-B, 16-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39, <i>supra</i> . (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. Tinnevely, 20th January 1888.																																																								
22-B	Swamikannu Nadan, patta No. 42 of Kayamoli village.	Do.	Claims 30 palm-trees, viz., 5 paruvam, 8 kattukal, and 17 olivettu, assessed at Rs. 13-3, and 170 vadaias not brought to assessment, in field No. 348 (O) of Kayamoli in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest.			The patta-trees of the three first classes in patta Nos. 249, 248, and 120 have already been admitted in claims Nos. 29 to 36, 38 and 39, and are accordingly excluded from this order. 2. The claim to 125 seedlings, i.e., 49 in patta No. 249, 15 in No. 304, 20 in No. 120, and 50 in No. 143, is not proved, and the District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the rest of the claim. 3. The claim to patta usufruct is admitted subject to the same rules, conditions, incidents and provisos recorded in claims Nos. 6-B, 16-B, and 29 to 36, 38 and 39, <i>supra</i> .																																																								
62	Ahal Arulanantham Upathi and 12 other ryots of Semmarikulam.	23rd Jan. 1888.	Claim patta usufruct over the palm-trees and vadaias, as specified below, in field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed reserved forest:— Palm-trees. <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Patta number.</th><th>Paruvam.</th><th>Kattukal.</th><th>Olivettu.</th><th>Vadaias.</th><th>Total.</th><th>Assessment.</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>249</td><td>✓ 75</td><td>126</td><td>26</td><td>100</td><td>327</td><td>Rs. 9 0 6</td></tr> <tr> <td>248</td><td>✓ 14</td><td>12</td><td>..</td><td>26</td><td>52</td><td>1 4 0</td></tr> <tr> <td>247</td><td>✓ 13</td><td>50</td><td>92</td><td>60</td><td>214</td><td>3 12 0</td></tr> <tr> <td>304</td><td>✓ 20</td><td>23</td><td>45</td><td>25</td><td>123</td><td>3 2 3</td></tr> <tr> <td>120</td><td>✓ 3</td><td>15</td><td>61</td><td>24</td><td>93</td><td>1 9 0</td></tr> <tr> <td>143</td><td>✓ 13</td><td>16</td><td>..</td><td>50</td><td>79</td><td>1 5 0</td></tr> <tr> <td>Total ..</td><td>187</td><td>257</td><td>224</td><td>255</td><td>873</td><td>20 0 9</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Patta number.	Paruvam.	Kattukal.	Olivettu.	Vadaias.	Total.	Assessment.	249	✓ 75	126	26	100	327	Rs. 9 0 6	248	✓ 14	12	..	26	52	1 4 0	247	✓ 13	50	92	60	214	3 12 0	304	✓ 20	23	45	25	123	3 2 3	120	✓ 3	15	61	24	93	1 9 0	143	✓ 13	16	..	50	79	1 5 0	Total ..	187	257	224	255	873	20 0 9	The claimants defaulted appearance. But from the village account and evidence of the village karnam the claim is proved except to the extent shown below:— 40 vadaias in patta No. 249, 15 in patta No. 304, 20 in patta No. 120, and 50 in patta No. 143.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the claim. He desires that the right may be continued to the claimants.	Claims Nos. 22-A and 22-B were grouped together for the purpose of inquiry. The claim is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act, subject to the same rules, provisos and incidents detailed in claims Nos. 6-B, 16-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39, <i>supra</i> . (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. Tinnevely, 27th January 1888.
Patta number.	Paruvam.	Kattukal.	Olivettu.	Vadaias.	Total.	Assessment.																																																								
249	✓ 75	126	26	100	327	Rs. 9 0 6																																																								
248	✓ 14	12	..	26	52	1 4 0																																																								
247	✓ 13	50	92	60	214	3 12 0																																																								
304	✓ 20	23	45	25	123	3 2 3																																																								
120	✓ 3	15	61	24	93	1 9 0																																																								
143	✓ 13	16	..	50	79	1 5 0																																																								
Total ..	187	257	224	255	873	20 0 9																																																								

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudraimolli reserved Forest—cont.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1		3	4	5	6	7
23-A	Ponnaiya Nadan, pattadar No. 98 of Kudraimoli village.	18th Dec. 1887.	Claims patta usufruct over 60 palmyras, viz., 6 paruvum, 25 kattakal, and 19 oleivettu, assessed at Rs. 1-7-3, in field No. 32-A of Kudraimoli village in the proposed Kudraimolli reserved forest.	Village accounts and the karnam's evidence.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim and to the continuance of the right to the claimants.	The claim to the patta usufruct over the trees claimed is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act subject to the same rules, conditions, incidents and provisos laid down in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B, and 29 to 38, 38 and 39, <i>supra</i> . (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer. 27th January 1888.
23-B	Swamikannu Nadan, pattadar No. 96 of Kudraimoli village.	Do.	Claims patta usufruct over 38 palmyras, viz., 6 paruvum, 18 kattakal, and 14 oleivettu, assessed at Rs. 1-2-6, in field No. 32-A of Kudraimoli village in the proposed Kudraimolli reserved forest.	Claimant defaulted appearance and his gumarah, who appeared, is not duly empowered.		The application for patta is not a matter that can be disposed of under the Forest Act. This part of the claim is therefore dismissed both for default and is inadmissible. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer. 28th January 1888.
23-A	Nagalinga Tambian, Agent of Thiruvadhurai Matam, Tinnevely district, pattadar No. 2 of Kachinavillai.	17th Dec. 1887.	Prays for patta for the fields specified below of Kachinavillai village in the proposed Kudraimolli reserved forest on the ground that they comprise the patta palmyras and vadialas of the matam Nos. 333-B, 334, 335, and 336.	Claimant defaulted appearance and his gumarah, who appeared, is not duly empowered.		The patta trees and palmyra seedlings are admitted to be as follows:— (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer. 28th January 1888.
23-B	Nagalinga Tambian, Agent of Thiruvadhurai Matam, Tinnevely district, pattadar No. 2 of Kachinavillai.	Do.	Claims certain patta palmyras and vadialas (not specified) in the following fields of Kachinavillai village in the proposed Kudraimolli reserved forest:— ACRES. No. 333-B. .. 10-20 334 .. 6-00 335 .. 4-29 336 .. 9-42 Total .. 29-91	Claimant defaulted appearance and his gumarah, who appeared, is not duly empowered.		The patta trees and palmyra seedlings are admitted to be as follows:— (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer. 28th January 1888.
27-A	Chockalingam Pillai, authorized agent of Veda-dri Dasa Mudaliar, guardian of minor Nagappa Mudaliar of Kachinavillai, patta No. 2.	Do.	Prays for patta for about 300 acres of land forming the sites of patta palmyras and vadialas out of the lands of Kachinavillai village included in the proposed Kudraimolli reserved forest.	The claimant's agent himself admits that the land is poramboke and was never in the patta of his client.		This is a claim to land which never was in the patta of the claimant, not certainly since the Revenue Settlement in field 1284 (1874-75). The claim therefore is a worthless one, and <i>prima facie</i> inadmissible, and is accordingly dismissed. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer. 28th January 1888.

27-B	Chockalingam Pillai, authorized agent of Veda-dri Dasa Mudaliar, guardian of minor Nagappa Mudaliar of Kachinavillai, patta No. 2.	Do.	Brings to notice the fact of his having a patta for the palmyras and right to vadialas (not specified) in the lands of Kachinavillai village included in the proposed Kudraimolli reserved forest.	As regards trees the claimant's agent (27-A) prays that mango trees and palmyras, as disclosed by the village accounts, may be continued to his client. As regards vadialas, he lays claim to about 7,000 and odd on the ground that upwards of 2,000 are recorded in the accounts for fasli 1295 and he has planted 5,000 since the settlement. He admits at the same time that he obtained no permission for planting the seedlings after the Revenue Settlement, and that he relies solely on his own accounts for the number he claims, village accounts, and karnam's evidence.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim as proved and to the continuance of the right to the claimant.	From the village accounts and the karnam's evidence it appears that the claimant's patta trees and palmyra seedlings are as follows:—
40	David Nadan and 5 other ryots of Kachinavillai.	19th Dec. 1887.	Pray that they may be permitted to manure the 5,000 palmyras in their enjoyment in field No. 32-B of Kachinavillai in the proposed Kudraimolli reserved forest.	Accounts for fasli 1295 and he has planted 5,000 since the settlement. He admits at the same time that he obtained no permission for planting the seedlings after the Revenue Settlement, and that he relies solely on his own accounts for the number he claims, village accounts, and karnam's evidence.		
17-B	Kathura Nadan and 10 other joint holders in patta No. 51 of Nalunavadi on behalf of themselves and 22 other joint holders.	15th Dec. 1887.	Claim patta usufruct over certain trees (not specified) included in the proposed Kudraimolli reserved forest.	Periasamy Nadan, one of the claimants, appears and states that the number of trees and seedlings as disclosed by the karnam's accounts may be confirmed to them.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the right to trees and seedlings accounted for by the village accounts and to the right being continued to the claimants.	The trees and seedlings disclosed by the karnam's accounts in favour of the claimants are as follow:— (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer. 28th January 1888.

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudramolli reserved Forest—cont.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1		3	4	5	6	7
41-A	Naryanavadiyudu Nadathi and 6 other ryots of Kudramolli.	19th Dec. 1887.	Pray that about 250 acres of land, as detailed below, forming the site of their patta palmyras, vadakas, and other trees in the proposed Kudramolli reserved forest, may be granted to them on patta—south of Pudukudithi of Kanan, west of Patta lands of Pudukudithi village, north of Pottakulam, and east of the path to Aral, across 200; east of Semmarikulam, south of Eluvilaidi, west of Karvili; patta lands, and north of Veerabagu Pillai's patta lands, across 50.	Claimants, though duly summoned, defaulted appearance.		This claim is not one that could be dealt with under the Forest Act. It is therefore dismissed as inadmissible and for default of the claimants to appear. TINNEVELLY. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 30th January 1888.
41-B	Naryanavadiyudu Nadathi, pattadar No. 103 of Kudramolli.	Do.				
41-C	Bathiravathu Nadan, pattadar No. 118 of Kudramolli.	Do.				
41-D	D. M. Perumayya Nadan, pattadar No. 110 of Kudramolli.	Do.	Bring to notice the fact of their having certain patta palmyras and other trees (not specified) on about 250 acres of land included in the proposed Kudramolli reserved forest within the limits of Kudramolli village.	Claimants defaulted appearance.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of these claims as far as they are proved by the accounts and to the continuance of the right to the claimants.	According to the karnam's accounts, the palmyras and other trees entered in the pattas of the claimants are as follow:— TINNEVELLY. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 30th January 1888.
41-E	Mathammai Nadathi, pattadar No. 112 of Kudramolli.	Do.				
41-F	Theruvandi Nadan, pattadar No. 108 of Kudramolli.	Do.				

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
11-B	Palavannuthu Mukundan, pattadar No. 70 of Kudramolli.	2nd Dec. 1887.	Bring to notice the fact of their having certain patta palmyras (not specified) in fields Nos. 32-A and 49-C of Kudramolli village in the proposed Kudramolli reserved forest.	The claimants have defaulted appearance.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim as far as proved by the accounts and to the continuance of the right to the claimants.	According to the karnam's accounts, the palmyras entered in the respective pattas of the claimants are as follow:— TINNEVELLY. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 30th January 1888.
11-C	Esavadiyan Mukundan, pattadar No. 63 of Kudramolli.	Do.				
11-D	Perumal Kon, pattadar No. 37 of Kudramolli.	Do.				
11-E	Sivaraman Nadan, pattadar No. 56 of Kudramolli.	Do.				
11-B	Yova Nadan, pattadar No. 69 of Kudramolli village.	Do.	Bring to notice the fact of their having certain patta palmyras in fields Nos. 32-A and 49-C of Kudramolli village included in the proposed Kudramolli reserved forest.	The claimants have defaulted appearance.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim as far as proved by the accounts and to the continuance of the right to the claimants.	According to the karnam's accounts, the palmyras entered in the respective pattas of the claimants are as follow:— TINNEVELLY. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 30th January 1888.
11-C	Pekia Nadan, one of the joint holders in patta No. 34 of Kudramolli village.	Do.				
11-D	Sivaraman Nadan, one of the joint holders in patta No. 84 of Kudramolli village.	Do.				

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudrimoliteri reserved Forest—cont.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
16-A	Iatchumana Mukundan alias 'Samel' Mukundan and two others of Kudrimoli village.	13th Dec. 1887.	Pray that 20 acres in field No. 32-A and 20 acres in field No. 49-C of Kudrimoli comprising their patia palmyra in the proposed Kudrimoliteri reserved forest may be granted to them on patia.	Claimants, though duly summoned, defaulted appearance on the date fixed, i.e., 27th January 1888. One of the claimants by name Tirutimuthu Mukundan, however, appeared after Court time, and was told to appear the following day which he did not do.		The claim is one not within the scope of the Forest Act to deal with. It is accordingly dismissed as inadmissible. TINNEVELLY, 30th January 1888. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer.
65-A	Alwar Nadan and 8 other joint holders in patia No. 69 of Kanam.	13th Jan. 1888.	Pray that survey field No. 208 of Kanam, included in the proposed Kudrimoliteri reserved forest, may be granted to them on patia.			The claim is one not within the scope of the Forest Act to deal with, since the Act does not empower the Forest Settlement-officer to grant patia. The claim is therefore dismissed as inadmissible. TINNEVELLY, 30th January 1888. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer.
43	Valayutha Nadan, pattadar No. 95 of Kanam.	23rd Dec. 1887.				
44	Muthusawmi Nadan, pattadar No. 105 of Kanam.	Do. ..				
45	Peria Nadan, pattadar No. 103 of Kanam.	Do. ..				
46	Perumal Athithya Nadan, pattadar No. 106 of Kanam.	Do. ..				
47	Perumaya Nadan, son of Muthu Nadan, pattadar No. 102 of Kanam.	Do. ..				
48	P. Chinna Nadan, pattadar No. 100 of Kanam.	Do. ..				
49	Arunaga Nadan, pattadar No. 92 of Kanam.	Do. ..				
50	Parvati Nadan, pattadar No. 99 of Kanam.	Do. ..				
51	Narayana Nadan, pattadar No. 98 of Kanam.	Do. ..				

Patia number	Field number	Palmyras.			Total.	Assessment.
		Paravum.	Kattakal.	Oliveoil.		
95	385	..	1	..	..	Rs. A. P.
	390	..	3	..	..	1 0 0 6
	390	..	16	22	30	3 0 1 6
		..	..	..	..	68 0 13 6
		..	20	22	30	72 0 15 6
105	390	1	5	..	..	6 0 3 6
	390	..	29	38	64	121 1 8 0
		1	34	38	54	127 1 11 6
108	390	..	28	39	53	120 1 7 9

The following are the patia trees and seedlings disclosed by the village accounts, on which the claimants rely:—

106	380	1	5	..	..	5 0 2 6
	390	..	28	39	63	120 1 7 9
		1	23	29	52	126 1 11 3
102	390	..	28	39	63	120 1 7 9
100	380	..	21	29	40	90 1 1 9
	390	2	3	..	..	5 0 3 6
		2	24	29	40	95 1 5 3
92	390	..	33	42	60	135 1 11 0
90	390	..	22	28	40	90 1 2 0
98	390	..	64	86	120	270 3 5 6
101	390	1	4	..	..	5 0 3 0
		..	42	58	80	180 2 3 6
		1	45	68	80	185 2 6 6
107	390	..	28	38	63	119 1 7 6
97	385	1	2	..	..	3 0 2 0
	390	..	16	22	30	68 0 13 6
		1	18	22	30	71 0 15 6
104	390	..	28	38	64	120 1 7 6
96	385	1	2	..	..	3 0 2 0
	390	..	16	21	30	67 0 13 3
		1	18	21	30	70 0 15 3
94	385	..	1	..	..	1 0 0 6
	390	..	16	21	30	67 0 13 3
		..	17	21	30	68 0 13 9
99	390	1	3	..	..	4 0 2 6
		..	21	29	40	90 1 1 9
		1	24	29	40	94 1 4 3
93	390	..	33	42	60	135 1 11 0
188	385	..	11	..	..	11 0 5 6
	390	32	319	360	500	1202 17 5 0
		32	321	360	500	1213 17 10 6

Claimants' spokesmen, Saravana Madalar, prays that palmyras as per village accounts and seedlings as per settlement register of field 1284 exclusive of such as have passed into assessed classes be confirmed to them; and states that they have no other proofs to offer.

Claim unfruct over the palmyras entered in their respective pattas in the proposed Kudrimoliteri reserved forest within the limits of Kanam village. Claimants Nos. 60 and 61 further claim palmyra seedlings as per kanam's accounts. Claimant No. 65-B claims 5,000 and odd palmyra seedlings.

The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of these claims and to the continuance of the right to the claimants.

* NOTE.—These items, as also 2 paravum and 18 kattakal out of the trees in field No. 390 in patia No. 188, are on the land excluded from the forest by order in claim No. 71 below.
(Signed) A. PINTO,
Forest Sett.-officer.

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or emements in the proposed Kudirimoliteri reserved Forest—cont.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.																																																																
1	2	3	4	5	6	7																																																																
61	Saravana Mudaliar, pattadar No. 86 and purchaser of patta No. 87 of Kanam.	23rd Dec. 1887.																																																																				
64	Valmali Nadan, pattadar No. 89 of Kanam.	10th Jan. 1888.																																																																				
65-B	Alwar Nadan and 8 other joint holders in patta No. 59 of Kanam.	12th Jan. 1888.	Claim usufruct over the palmyras entered in their respective pattas in the proposed Kudirimoliteri reserved forest within the limits of Kanam village. Claimants Nos. 60 and 61 further claim palmyra seedlings as per kuram's accounts. Claimant No. 65-B claims 5,000 and odd palmyra seedlings.	Claimants' spokesman, Sarathiya Mudaliar, says that palmyras as per village accounts and seedlings as per settlement register of field 1284 entered into assessed classes be confirmed to them; and states that they have no other proofs to offer.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of these claims and to the continuance of the right to the claimants.	<table><thead><tr><th>Patta number.</th><th>Field number.</th><th>Paravum.</th><th>Kattukal.</th><th>Olavettu.</th><th>Valathia.</th><th>Total.</th><th>Assessment.</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>86</td><td>390</td><td>7</td><td>138</td><td>180</td><td>250</td><td>575</td><td>Rs. A. P. 7 9 0</td></tr><tr><td>87</td><td>390</td><td>7</td><td>138</td><td>180</td><td>250</td><td>575</td><td>7 9 0</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>14</td><td>276</td><td>360</td><td>500</td><td>1,150</td><td>15 2 0</td></tr><tr><td>89</td><td></td><td></td><td>23</td><td>28</td><td>40</td><td>90</td><td>1 2 0</td></tr><tr><td>59</td><td>208-A-1</td><td></td><td>504</td><td>950</td><td>1,200</td><td>2,714</td><td>30 9 6</td></tr><tr><td>224</td><td>208-A-1</td><td>15</td><td>..</td><td>..</td><td>..</td><td>15</td><td>0 15 0</td></tr><tr><td>91</td><td>390</td><td>..</td><td>22</td><td>28</td><td>40</td><td>90</td><td>1 2 0</td></tr></tbody></table> <p>The claim to patta usufruct over these trees and seedlings is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act, subject to the same rules, incidents and provisions as in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39 <i>supra</i>.</p> <p>TINNEVELLY, (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 30th January 1888.</p> <p>These fields, as described by the District Forest-officer, will accordingly be excluded from the proposed reserved forest.</p> <p>(Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. TINNEVELLY, 30th January 1888.</p>	Patta number.	Field number.	Paravum.	Kattukal.	Olavettu.	Valathia.	Total.	Assessment.	86	390	7	138	180	250	575	Rs. A. P. 7 9 0	87	390	7	138	180	250	575	7 9 0			14	276	360	500	1,150	15 2 0	89			23	28	40	90	1 2 0	59	208-A-1		504	950	1,200	2,714	30 9 6	224	208-A-1	15	..	..	..	15	0 15 0	91	390	..	22	28	40	90	1 2 0
Patta number.	Field number.	Paravum.	Kattukal.	Olavettu.	Valathia.	Total.	Assessment.																																																															
86	390	7	138	180	250	575	Rs. A. P. 7 9 0																																																															
87	390	7	138	180	250	575	7 9 0																																																															
		14	276	360	500	1,150	15 2 0																																																															
89			23	28	40	90	1 2 0																																																															
59	208-A-1		504	950	1,200	2,714	30 9 6																																																															
224	208-A-1	15	..	..	..	15	0 15 0																																																															
91	390	..	22	28	40	90	1 2 0																																																															
68	Isachugana Nadan, pattadar No. 91 of Kanam.	24th Jan. 1888.																																																																				
71	Saravana Mudaliar, village munshi of Kanam.	30th Jan. 1888.	Applies for exclusion from the proposed reserved forest of 540 acres out of field No. 380 of Kanam, as their village mutton and 650 acres in field No. 387 as being their samudayam patta.	The District Forest-officer desires that, in order to make the boundaries more regular, fields— ACS. No. 385 5-56 " 386 1-16 " 387 3-48 " 390-A 6-40 Total .. 16-99 may be excluded from the proposed reserved forest.																																																																		

67	Abel Arulanantham and 23 other ryots of Semmanakulam.	23rd Jan. 1888.	Pray that field No. 388-A, 801-79 acres of Semmanakulam, included in the proposed reserved forest, may be granted to them on patta.			This petition was first of all received out of time, for which no sufficient reason is given. Petitioners admit that they have not got a patta for the land since the settlement, i.e., field 1284, or for upwards of 12 years. They further admit that they have ever since been applying for patta, but without success. Without patta and without enjoyment, petitioners acquire no right whatever over Government land. Their claim is therefore inadmissible. It is accordingly dismissed as such. TINNEVELLY, (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 31st January 1888.
72	A. Alwar Nadan, pattadar No. 75 of Kanam on behalf of himself and his village.	31st Jan. 1888.	Prays (1) that about 30 acres of land adjoining patta field No. 175-A in field No. 208-A-1 of Kanam may be excluded from the proposed reserved forest for building houses; (2) that their right to patta usufruct over mango, coconut and lumpy trees in fields Nos. 208 and 209 of Kanam may be continued to them; (3) that they may be permitted to repair as usual the two fountains called Kanabuthan utha in field No. 208 and Sarayuthu in field No. 209 during summer; and (4) that Sarayuthu, 34-56 acres, may not be included in the proposed reserved forest.			This petitioner already submitted a petition under date the 7th January, in which the claims herein mentioned were not included. Petitioner does not now give sufficient reason for coming late. His present petition is therefore inadmissible. His petition of 7th January was already disposed of yesterday in claims Nos. 60 A and B. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. TINNEVELLY, 31st January 1888.
25-B	A. Magudu Mohideen Marikayar, pattadar No. 71 of Kayamoli.	17th Dec. 1887.	Bring to notice the fact of their having certain patta palmyras (not specified) in field No. 343-G of Kayamoli in the proposed Kudirimoliteri reserved forest.	Claimants have defaulted appearance.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim as proved and to the right being continued to the claimants.	According to the village accounts, there are in favour of claimant No. 25-B, 10 <i>eleven</i> palmyras assessed at Rs. 2-4, and 15 <i>valathia</i> and in favour of claimants No. 26-B patta No. 60, one <i>Kattukal</i> assessed at 6 pias, and 36 <i>valathia</i> . The claim therefore is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act subject to the same rules, incidents and provisions laid down in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39 <i>supra</i> . (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. TINNEVELLY, 3rd February 1888.
26-B	Sivanthi Athithya Nadan and 9 other ryots of Kayamoli.	30th Sept. 1887.	Bring to notice the fact of their having certain patta palmyras (not specified) in the proposed Kudirimoliteri reserved forest in field No. 343-G of Kayamoli village.	Do. do.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim as proved and to the continuance of the right to the claimants.	According to the village accounts, there are in favour of the claimants (patta No. 60) 1,692 palmyras, viz. 540 paravum, 987 <i>Kattukal</i> and 165 <i>eleven</i> , assessed at Rs. 67-2-9, and 2,681 <i>valathia</i> not brought to assessment. The claim is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act subject to the same rules, incidents and provisions as in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39 <i>supra</i> . (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. TINNEVELLY, 3rd February 1888.
20-B	Sivanthi Athithya Nadan and 6 others of Kayamoli.	16th Dec. 1887.				

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved Forest—cont.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
23-C.	Ramaswami Nandan, a pattadar of Kudrimolli village.	16th Dec. 1887.	Brings to notice the fact of his having certain patta palmyras and vadalis (not specified) in field No. 32-A of Kudrimolli village included in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest. He does not even mention the number of his patta.	Claimant has defaulted appearance.		Claimant was summoned to appear on the 27th ultimo. The summons was duly served, but claimant has not yet turned up. Not having mentioned his patta number nor specified his trees, his claim cannot be identified, and the District Forest-officer is not in a position to admit it. In these circumstances the claim is dismissed for default. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 3rd February 1888.
2-B.	Alvarikannu Nandan and 2 other joint holders in patta No. 49 of Kudrimolli village.	8th Oct. 1887.	Bring to notice the fact of their having certain palmyra seedlings in fields Nos. 32-A and 49-C of Kudrimolli village included in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest.	Village accounts and karam's evidence.	The District Forest-officer does not oppose the claim.	According to the karam's accounts there are in favour of the claimants 263 seedlings of the time prior to settlement in field No. 32-A and 27 in field No. 49-C of Kudrimolli village. The claim is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act subject to the same rules, incidents and provisions as in claims Nos. 15-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39 <i>supra</i> . (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 3rd February 1888.
37-B.	Sivaganesa Perumal Nandan, residing at Thirukudiyuruppu of Kayamoli.	17th Dec. 1887.	Brings to notice the fact of his having certain patta palmyras (not specified) in field No. 23 of Kudrimolli village included in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest.	Do.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim and to the continuance of the right to the claimants.	According to the village accounts, there are in claimant's patta No. 135, 6 paravum, 14 kuttikai and 22 oleivettu, total 41, assessed at Rs. 1-1-6. The claim to the patta usufruct over these trees is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act subject to the same rules, incidents and provisions as in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39 <i>supra</i> . (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 3rd February 1888.
45-B.	Lutchurama Mukundan alias Samuel Mukundan and 2 others of Kudrimolli village.	13th Dec. 1887.	Bring to notice the fact of their having certain patta palmyras (not specified) in fields Nos. 32-A and 49-C of Kudrimolli village included in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest.	Claimants defaulted appearance; but the claim is supported by the village accounts and evidence of karam.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim and to the continuance of the right to the claimants.	According to the karam's accounts, there are in claimant's patta No. 131, 31 paravum, 56 kuttikai and 9 oleivettu, total 96, assessed at Rs. 3-15-3 in field No. 32-A, and 11 paravum, 14 kuttikai and 24 oleivettu, total 49, assessed at Rs. 1-8-0 and 38 vadalis in field No. 49-C of Kudrimolli village. The claim to the patta usufruct over the trees and seedlings is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act subject to the same incidents, rules and provisions as in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39 <i>supra</i> . (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 3rd February 1888.

69-A.	Vairavathia Nandan and Sivamanyaperumal Nandan, residing at Thirukudiyuruppu, hamlet of Kayamoli.	27th Jan. 1888.	Pray that about 300 acres of land, comprising their patta palmyras in field No. 32 of Kudrimolli village in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest, may be granted to them on patta.	Claimants have defaulted appearance.		The granting of patta for Government land is not within the scope of the Forest Settlement-officer's duties. The claim is inadmissible. It is dismissed, as such, and also for default. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 3rd February 1888.
69-B.	Vairavathia Nandan and Sivamanyaperumal Nandan, residing at Thirukudiyuruppu, hamlet of Kayamoli.	Do.	Bring to notice the fact of their having certain patta palmyras (not specified) in field No. 32 of Kudrimolli village included in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest.	Village accounts and karam's evidence, but claimants defaulted appearance.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim and to the continuance of the right to the claimants.	According to the village accounts there are in claimant's patta No. 135, 8 paravum, 24 kuttikai and 66 oleivettu, total 92, assessed at Rs. 2-3-0, and 173 vadalis unassessed. The claim to the patta usufruct over these trees and seedlings is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act, subject to the same rules, incidents and provisions as in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39 <i>supra</i> . (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 3rd February 1888.
70-A.	Sudalaimathu Mukundan, of Kudrimolli, residing at Narakinat, hamlet of Pallipattu.	21st Jan. 1888.	Prays for patta for about 100 acres comprising his patta palmyras in field No. 32-A of Kudrimolli village included in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest.			The granting of patta for Government waste is not within the scope of the Forest Settlement-officer's duties. The claim is dismissed as inadmissible. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 3rd February 1888.
70-B.	Sudalaimathu Mukundan, of Kudrimolli, residing at Narakinat, hamlet of Pallipattu.	Do.	Brings to notice the fact of his having certain patta palmyras in field No. 32-A of Kudrimolli village included in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest.	Village accounts and karam's evidence.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim being admitted and the right being continued to the claimants.	According to the village accounts there are in claimant's patta No. 131, 1 paravum, 6 kuttikai and 30 oleivettu, total 37, assessed at Rs. 11-6 in field No. 32-A of Kudrimolli village. The claim to the patta usufruct over these trees is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act subject to the same rules, incidents and provisions as in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39 <i>supra</i> . (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 3rd February 1888.
75.	S. Ramaswami Nandan and six other joint holders in patta No. 59 of Karam village.	17th Feb. 1888.	Pray that their right over the patta palmyras, mango trees, &c., in field No. 208-A-1 of Karam village included in the proposed reserved forest may be confirmed to them.			The usufruct over the palmyras and seedlings now claimed by the petitioners in patta No. 69 was claimed by one Alwar Nandan and others, and has been admitted in favour of all the petitioners— <i>vide</i> order in claims Nos. 43 to 61, 64, 65-B, 65 and 68, dated 30th January 1888. The petitioners may, if so minded, obtain a copy of the <i>same</i> . The claim to the patta usufruct over mango trees is dismissed, sufficient reason not being given for coming late. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 20th February 1888.

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudirimolli reserved Forest—cont.

28

BOARD OF REVENUE (LAND REVENUE), 15TH MARCH 1892, FOREST No. 112.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
76	V. Sivabaramania Nadan, pattadar No. 102 of Kanam village.	17th Feb. 1888.	Claims patta usufruct over 107 palm trees and vadalees in field No. 208-A1, of Kanam in the proposed Kudirimolli reserved forest.	The petitioner states that he was not served with proclamation notice and hence the delay in preferring his claim.		The petitioner's statement that he was not served with proclamation notice is not correct. He being absent from home, his son, Arunachal Nadan, was served with the proclamation notice on the 9th November 1887. The time for preference of claims expired by 15th December 1887; sufficient reason not having been assigned for coming so late as 17th February, the petition is dismissed.
77	Sivanadia Nadan and Mathu Nadan, joint holders in patta No. 50 of Kanam.	8th Feb. 1888.	Claim patta usufruct over certain palm trees and vadalees (not specified) included in the proposed Kudirimolli reserved forest within the limits of Kanam village.	The petitioners state, as the cause of delay in preferring claim, that they were under the mistaken impression that their trees were on the tank water spread.		(Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer. 26th February 1888. Of the claimants Sivanadia Nadan was served with a proclamation notice on 11th November 1887 and Mathu Nadan on 24th December 1887. The time originally fixed for preference of claims expired on the 15th December 1887, and the extension of time specially granted to the latter expired on 7th January 1888. Claimants did not put in their claims till the 9th February 1888 and have not given sufficient or intelligible reason for coming late. They say that they were under the impression that their patta trees were within the tank water spread, but why this is a reason is not clear. Claimants were summoned to appear in person with a view to clearing up this matter and, if necessary, disposing of the claim. They have not appeared to this day, though the time fixed was 22nd February 1888. Under these circumstances, the claim is dismissed as time barred.
77	Arachunara Nadan, pattadar No. 161 of Kanam village.	17th Feb. 1888.	Claims in field No. 208-A1, of Kanam, included in the proposed reserved forest patta usufruct over the palm trees and vadalees recorded in his own patta Nos. 160 and 161 of Patta Nadan and also over 19 palm trees and 50 vadalees purchased by him out of joint patta No. 59.			The time fixed for preference of claims expired in 15th December 1887. The petitioner has not given sufficient reason for coming late. The petition is therefore dismissed. Moreover, the palm trees and vadalees included in patta No. 59 were claimed by one Alwar Nadan and others of Kanam and the usufruct has been admitted and recorded in favour of all the joint-holders. The petitioner, if he wants, may obtain a copy of the same. The trees in patta Nos. 160 and 161 do not appear from the village accounts to have been included in the proposed reserved forest.

BOARD OF REVENUE (LAND REVENUE), 15TH MARCH 1892, FOREST No. 112. 29

74.	Kumaraswami Nadan of Pudukudi, hamlet of Kanam village.	14th Feb. 1888.	Appears to claim the trees in patta No. 59 of Kanam included in the proposed Kudirimolli reserved forest.			If petitioner refers to the trees in patta No. 59, the right has already been admitted on the claim of Alwar Nadan and others. The other matters in the petition are unintelligible and no notice can be taken of the same. The petition is therefore dismissed.
78.	Thomas Nadan of Semmarikulam.	9th Mar. 1888.	Claims patta usufruct on behalf of himself and his brother and other joint holders over the palm trees entered in pattas Nos. 238 and 239 of Semmarikulam and included in the proposed Kudirimolli reserved forest.			(Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer. 10th March 1888. Petitioner admits that he has himself a right to the patta trees and is in enjoyment thereof. 2. Proclamation notice was served on him on 14th November 1887 and the time for preference of claims expired on 15th December 1887. 3. Petitioner gives no sufficient reason for coming late and the petition is insufficiently stamped. For these reasons the claim is inadmissible and is accordingly dismissed.
79.	District Forest-officer.	5th May 1888.	Brings to notice 26 public rights of way through the proposed Kudirimolli reserved forest.			(Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer. 23rd March 1888. The following public rights of way are admitted and recorded under section 11 of the Forest Act:— (1) Cart-track from Kudirimolli village to Eluvamathi village starting from field No. 91 of the former, passing through forest field No. 389 of Semmarikulam to field No. 133 of Eluvamathi; distance through forest 2 miles, 4 furlongs and 177 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts. (2) Foot-path from Semmarikulam to Urushanpattu alias Nazareth starting from field No. 376 of the former and passing through forest field No. 388 of Semmarikulam and No. 32 of Kudirimolli village to No. 127 of Urushanpattu; distance through forest 3 miles 4 furlongs and 31 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for men only. (3) Cart-track from Semmarikulam to Urushanpattu starting from field No. 410 of the former and passing through forest field No. 388 of Semmarikulam, No. 32 of Kudirimolli and field No. 127 of Urushanpattu; distance through forest 2 miles 6 furlongs and 211 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts. (4) Foot-path from Tennavadi to Paliyattu starting from field No. 79 of the former and passing through forest field No. 32 of Kudirimolli to field No. 328 of Paliyattu; distance through forest 4 miles and 211 yards and breadth 6 feet. Open for men and cattle. (5) Cart-track from Tennavadi to Paliyattu starting from field No. 79 of the former and passing through forest field No. 32 of Kudirimolli to field No. 328 of Paliyattu; distance through forest 4 miles and 211 yards and breadth 6 feet. Open for men and cattle. (6) Foot-path from Tennavadi to Paliyattu starting from field No. 79 of the former and passing through forest field No. 32 of Kudirimolli to field No. 328 of Paliyattu; distance through forest 4 miles and 211 yards and breadth 6 feet. Open for men and cattle. (7) Foot-path from Tennavadi to Paliyattu starting from field No. 79 of the former and passing through forest field No. 32 of Kudirimolli to field No. 328 of Paliyattu; distance through forest 4 miles and 211 yards and breadth 6 feet. Open for men and cattle. (8) Cart-track from Tennavadi to Paliyattu starting from field No. 79 of the former and passing through forest field No. 32 of Kudirimolli to field No. 328 of Paliyattu; distance through forest 4 miles and 211 yards and breadth 6 feet. Open for men and cattle. (9) Foot-path from Tennavadi to Paliyattu starting from field No. 79 of the former and passing through forest field No. 32 of Kudirimolli to field No. 328 of Paliyattu; distance through forest 4 miles and 211 yards and breadth 6 feet. Open for men and cattle.

* Joins with road No. 111 at the entrance of Nazareth (No. 167).
† Joins with foot-path No. 6 in the Kudirimolli.
‡ This road comes from Moodalur.
§ These foot-paths Nos. 9, 11 and 12 meet at the entrance of the Kudirimolli survey No. 31 and forms as one path and joins foot-path No. 6 to go to Kanam, &c.
|| 231-B.

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudiraimoliteri reserved Forest—cont.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
						10. Cart-track * from Nazareth to Semmarikulam starting from field No. 127 of the former and passing through forest field No. 167 of Nazareth, 32 of Kudiraimoli and 333 of Semmarikulam to field No. 287 of Semmarikulam village; distance through forest 4 miles 2 furlongs and 126 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts.
						11. * Foot-path from Nalumavadi to Kanam starting from field No. 294 of the former and passing through forest field No. 295, 301, 303 of Nalumavadi and 32 of Kudiraimoli to field No. 384 of Kanam; distance through forest 1 mile 6 furlongs and 71 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for men only.
						12. * Foot-path from Nalumavadi to Kanam starting from field No. 290 of the former and passing through forest fields Nos. 289, 303, 304 of Nalumavadi and 32 of Kudiraimoli village to field No. 384 of Kanam; distance through forest 1 mile 6 furlongs and 17 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for men only.
						13. Foot-path from Nalumavadi to Kanam starting from field No. 287 of the former and passing through forest fields Nos. 288 and 289 of Nalumavadi and 333 of Kanam village to field No. 392 of Kanam; distance through forest 1 mile 7 furlongs and 55 yards and breadth 6 feet. Open for men and cattle.
						14. Foot-path † in the village of Kanam starting from field No. 138 and passing through forest field No. 209; distance through forest 176 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for men only.
						15. Foot-path † from Kayampalli to Thenmavadi passing through forest field No. 410 of the former and passing through forest field No. 32 of Kudiraimoli to field No. 75 of Thenmavadi; distance through forest 1 mile 6 furlongs and 144 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for men only.
						16. Cart-track from Kayampalli to Kudiraimoli starting from field No. 412 of the former and passing through forest field No. 32 of Kudiraimoli to field No. 31 of Kudiraimoli (Karakopalan); distance through forest 5 furlongs and 110 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts.
						17. Cart-track from Kayampalli to Kudiraimoli starting from field No. 422 of the former and passing through forest field No. 33 of Kudiraimoli to field No. 31 of Kudiraimoli; distance through forest 5 furlongs and 22 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts.
						18. Foot-path from Kayampalli starting from field No. 548 and passing through forest field No. 32 of Kudiraimoli and 343 of Kayampalli to field No. 3 of Kayampalli; distance through forest 1 mile and 1 furlong and breadth 5 feet. Open for men only.
						19. Foot-path † from Kayampalli to Uthshampattu (Nazareth) starting from field No. 619 of the former and passing through forest field No. 32 of Kudiraimoli and 167 of Nazareth to field No. 127 of Uthshampattu; distance through forest 4 miles 2 furlongs and 101 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for men only.
						20. Foot-path from Kudiraimoli to Kayampalli starting from field No. 8 of the former and passing through forest field No. 32 of Kudiraimoli to field No. 422 of Kayampalli; distance through forest 5 furlongs and 130 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for men only.
						21. Cart-track from Kudiraimoli starting from field No. 47 and passing through forest field No. 49 to field No. 90 of Kudiraimoli; distance through forest 7 furlongs and 101 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts.
						22. Cart-track from Kudiraimoli starting from field No. 48 and passing through forest field No. 40 of Kudiraimoli to field No. 90 of Kudiraimoli; distance through forest 1 furlong and 160 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts.
						23. Cart-track from Kayampalli starting from field No. 3 and passing through forest field No. 348 to field No. 409 of Kayampalli village; distance through forest 5 furlongs and 110 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts.
						24. Foot-path from Kayampalli starting from field No. 8 and passing through forest field No. 348 to field No. 350 of Kayampalli; distance through forest 5 furlongs and 66 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for men only.
						25. Cart-track from Kayampalli starting from field No. 43 and passing through forest field No. 348 to field No. 350 of Kayampalli; distance through forest 5 furlongs and 6 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts.
						26. Cart-track from Kayampalli starting from field No. 342 and passing through forest field No. 348 to field No. 349 of Kayampalli; distance through forest 3 furlongs and 89 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts.

SAIDPET.
5th May 1888.(Signed) A. PINTO.
Forest Settlement Officer.

* This is the road passing by the well in survey No. 32, Kudiraimoliteri.

† This way leads to Shunai.

‡ Joins the foot-path No. 4 in the Kudiraimoliteri survey No. 32.

§ Touches south-west corner of survey No. 31, Kudiraimoli, and joins with road No. 3 in the Nazareth survey No. 167.

|| Joins with road No. 21.

¶ 290.

** Does not pass through this number.

†† These foot-paths Nos. 9, 11 and 12 meet at the entrance of the Kudiraimoliteri survey No. 31 and form as one path and joins foot-path No. 6 to the Kanam, &c.

Remarks column of the B register.

1. Path No. 1 enters the forest on the west side of survey No. 91 of Kudiraimoliteri and leaves it on the east side of survey No. 103 of Eluvamuki.
2. Path No. 2 enters the forest on the north side of survey No. 376 of Semmarikulam and joins path No. 3 30 chains south of cairn No. 4. Its correct distance through the forest is 3 miles 6 furlongs and 176 yards.
3. Path No. 3 enters the forest on the north side of survey No. 440 of Semmarikulam and leaves it at cairn No. 4. Its correct distance through the forest is 2 miles 6 furlongs and 4 yards.
4. Path No. 4 enters the forest at the south side of survey No. 79 of Thenmavadipannai and leaves it at the north side of survey No. 328 of Pallipattu.
5. Path No. 5 enters the forest on the east side of survey No. 79 of Thenmavadipannai and leaves it on the south side of survey No. 261 of Kachinavillai.
6. Path No. 6 enters the forest on the east side of survey No. 55 of Thenmavadipannai and leaves it on the west side of survey No. 380-A of Kanam about 2 chains south of cairn No. 55.
7. Path No. 7 enters the forest on the east side of survey No. 53 of Thenmavadipannai and joins path No. 6 about 26 chains south-east of the point of its entrance. Its correct distance through it is 3 furlongs and 207 yards.
8. Path No. 8 enters the forest on the east side of survey No. 62 of Thenmavadipannai and leaves it at south side of survey No. 132 of the same village.
9. Path No. 9 enters the forest on the south side of survey No. 143 of Nalumavadi and joins path No. 6 at 2 furlongs and 110 yards from the point of its exit. Its correct distance through the forest is 2 miles and 1 furlong.
10. Path No. 11 enters the forest on the south side of survey No. 146 of Nalumavadi and joins path No. 9 at a point 1 mile 2 furlongs and 88 yards south-east of the point of its entrance. Its correct distance through the forest is 1 mile.
11. Path No. 12 enters the forest on the south side of survey No. 290 of Nalumavadi and joins path No. 9 at 1 mile 2 furlongs 198 yards from the point of its entrance. Its correct distance is 1 mile 46 yards.
12. Path No. 13 enters the forest on the south side of survey No. 287 of Nalumavadi and leaves on the north side of survey No. 392 of Kanam.
13. Path No. 14 enters the forest on the east side of survey No. 158 of Kanam and passing through forest No. 200 of that village to Shonai (springs).
14. Path No. 15 enters the forest at a point about 12 chains west of cairn No. 62 and joins path No. 4 at 1 mile 1 furlong and 88 yards from the point of its exit. Its correct distance through the forest is 1 mile 2 furlongs and 176 yards.
15. Path No. 16 enters the forest 1½ chains north of cairn No. 67 and leaves it at the east side of survey No. 31 of Kudiraimoli-Karukopalan village.
16. Path No. 17 enters the forest on the west side of survey No. 422 of Kayampalli and leaves it at the east side of field No. 31 of Kudiraimoli.
17. Path No. 18 enters the forest on the north side of survey No. 548 of Kayampalli and leaves it at a point 3 chains north of cairn No. 67. Its correct distance through the forest is 4 furlongs.
18. Path No. 19 enters the forest on the north side of survey No. 539 of Kayampalli and leaves it at 20 chains south-west of cairn No. 77; again entering the forest at cairn No. 92 and joins path No. 3 at the point of its junction with path No. 2. Its correct distance through the forest is 3 miles and 4 furlongs.
19. Path No. 20 enters the forest on the north side of field No. 8 of Kudiraimoli and leaves at the east side of survey No. 422 of Kayampalli.
20. Path No. 21 enters the forest at the south side of field No. 47 and leaves it at the north side of No. 90, all of Kudiraimoli. Its correct distance through the forest is 1 furlong and 150 yards.
21. Path No. 22 enters the forest on the south side of field No. 48 of Kudiraimoli and joins path No. 21 at a point 3 furlongs from its starting point. Its correct distance through the forest is 1 furlong and 88 yards.
22. Path No. 23 enters the forest 6 chains north of cairn No. 75 to the south side of survey No. 3 of Kayampalli. Its correct distance through the forest is 66 yards.
23. Path No. 24 enters the forest on the south side of survey No. 8 and leaves it on the north side of survey No. 376 of Kayampalli.
24. Path No. 25 enters the forest on the south side of survey No. 43 and leaves it on north side of survey No. 350 of Kayampalli.
25. Path No. 26 enters the forest on the west side of survey No. 342 and leaves it on the north side of survey No. 349 of Kayampalli.

KACHINAYAPALAYAM.

(Signed) C. RAJU SASTRI,
Forest Settlement Officer.

* 20.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.
STATEMENT of area, &c., to accompany notification under section 16 of Act V of 1882.

Taluk.	Area.	Extent of occupied and unoccupied Government lands in the taluk.				Area of reserves already notified under section 16 (in the taluk).	Area of reserves under settlement (in the taluk).	Area of reserves submitted for notification under section 16 including those now submitted.	Character of land proposed for reservation.			Remarks.
		1	2	3	4				5	6	7	
Tenkani ..	ACS. 346,880	Occupied area exclusive of Imam, &c.	ACS. 227,110	ACS. 6,161-01	ACS. 13,332-69	26. Kudiraimoliteri	ACS. 938-98	ACS. 8,539-94	ACS. 801-79	ACS. 834-83	* The area here shown (column 9) as grass land is really for the most part a sandy waste.	
		Unoccupied ..	99,315			Kudiraimoli	801-79					
		Total ..	326,425			Semmarikulam	70-62					
						Nazarath					+ The difference in area as shown in notification under section 4 and as now shown is 10 acres, this is owing to a clerical error, 915 having been written instead of 905 in the notification under section 4.	
						Kachinavillai	932-54	932-54				
						Nalunavadi	34-17	516-15				
						Kanam ..	492-87	492-87				
						Kayamoli	240-70	240-70				
							13,332-59	12,348-82				

ENCLOSURE No. 4.—Revised draft notification.

ENCLOSURE No. 5.—Map.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 6 AND 7.—Papers returned with Board's Proceedings, dated 21st May 1889, Forest No. 545, Mis.

RESOLUTION.—dated 15th March 1892, Forest No. 112.

Submitted to Government.

2. The draft notification, as drawn up by the Forest Settlement-officer, was incorrect in places and did not agree with the sketch-map. The boundary statement, too, was needlessly lengthy. The Board has, therefore, amended the document and resolves to submit it, as amended, for approval and issue.

3. The reservation may take effect from 1st September 1892.

4. *B. Register—Claim No. 27-A.*—The individual referred to in this claim is said to be pattadar No. 2 of Kachinavillai village, but a patta of the same number is also owned by one Nagalinga Thambiran, claimant in claim No. 28B, of the same village. As the draft notification, however, gives the correct number of the pattas held by the two individuals, the Board has made the necessary alteration in the register.

12 *B, C, D.* According to the Forest Settlement-officer's decision, Yova Nadan owns trees in patta Nos. 69, 84 and 139 of the Kudiraimoli village, and Pakia Nadan and Sivanarmuga Nadan in patta No. 84 only. But the draft notification makes Yova Nadan pattadar No. 69, Pakia Nadan, No. 139, and Sivanarmuga Nadan and Yova Nadan, No. 84. This discrepancy requires explanation.

Claim No. 62.—The date of presentation of this claim is 23rd January 1888, while that of 63 is 6th January 1888.

Claims Nos. 70 A and B.—These claims were presented on 21st January 1888, while 69 A and B were presented on 27th January 1888. These discrepancies should be explained.

5. *Draft notification.*—Field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam referred to in the description of the right of way No. 1 is shown as 538-A in this document. The mistake has been corrected by the Board. There were slight discrepancies in the description of the rights of way admitted between the entry in the register and that in the draft notification; they have all been rectified.

6. The area statement has been corrected by including in it the extent of the blocks finally notified up to date.

(True Copies and Extract.)

L. S. M.
for Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with a draft notification (in two parts) and a map (to be returned).
" Conservator of Forests, Southern Circle.
" Collector of Tinnevely.
Copy to the Examiner of Forest Accounts.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

636-56a
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1892.

Board of Revenue


(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

Current Number 4910.

Printed May 31st 92.

Recd 31st 92.



Enc 3.

Proceedings, dated 15th March 1892,
Forest No. 112.

Submitting to Government, with remarks, for approval and publication, draft notification for constituting the proposed Kudiraimoliteri block, in the Tinnevely district, a reserved forest under section 16.

C No 4950

Order

The draft notification as amended by the Board of Revenue, is approved and will be published in the Fort St. George and Tinnevely District Gazettes, the 1st November 1892 being entered as the date from which the reservation shall take effect.

Recd
To be

J.

The Commr of L. R.
with map.

Suppl. foot. pers
with notice

Copy to the Govt. of Ind.
Rev. and Agri. Dept.

Note A number of rights of way have been admitted and some of them would seem to be unnecessary. No. 11 may be so diverted as to coincide with No. 10. and path No. 2 may be closed as Nos 1 & 3 would meet the necessary requirements. May the D. F. B. be directed by an additional para to report on the feasibility of closing some of the paths under S. 20.

The Commr
submitted that the suggestion may be approved. Path No. 2 seems quite superfluous as it branches from No. 3 & joins No. 1 & leads to a culvert in its course. Nos 10 & 11 do not appear to be required as they run from the same village of the same Dept.

C No 4940

A forest notification under
3/16
Tinnevely Dt.

In G.O. 636-A
21-6-92

Secy

Forest No. 136

C No 4940

Order of 21st June 1892, No 636

The draft notification,
as amended by the Board
of Revenue, is approved and
will be published in the
Fort St. George and Tinnevely
District Gazettes,
the 1st November 1892 being
entered as the date from
which the reservation shall
take effect.

2. As The number of admitted
rights of way is very large
~~and superfluous~~ ^{and} the Col-
lector should, ^{be instructed to report} in Consult
with the District Forest Officer
be instructed to report on
the feasibility of closing
some of the paths under S. 20
of the Madras Forest Act.

To
The Commr of L. R.
with map.
in Supdt. Govt. P.W.
with notes.

40/3

Recd
18-6-92
20/6

Enrolled No 636A

Copy to the Govt. of India,
Rev. and Agri. Dept. 2/

Ans 11576

*Printed
in the Gazette
21/6*

C No 4950

*A forest notification under s. 16
Tinnevely Dt.*

G.O. D. 21st June 1892 No 636-A

Scay

20

21ST JUNE 1892, Nos. 636, 636A, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 15th March 1892, Forest No. 112 :—

THE HON. MR. J. GROSE.

again the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 21st May 1890, Forest No. 545, Mis. :—

MR. W. S. WHITESIDE.

Read—the following letter from F. A. NICHOLSON, Esq., Collector of Tinnevely, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue (through the Conservator of Forests, Southern Circle), dated Nannagaram, 3rd September 1888, No. 1029 :—

I have the honour to submit herewith draft notifications under section 16 relating to two blocks in this district, together with the Forest Settlement-officer's reports and registers concerning the same, and to recommend that the 1st December next be fixed as the date when these areas shall become reserved forests.

2. The District Forest-officer's statement of area and condition with information required by Government Order, No. 640, dated 4th July 1887, is also forwarded.

3. Alterations in the boundaries of the above two blocks made during settlement are shown in the accompanying plans.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From A. PINTO, Esq., Special Deputy Collector on Forest Settlement Duty, Tinnevely, &c., Districts, to the Collector of Tinnevely, dated Uttamapoliem, 21st July 1888, Ref. on No. 278-G.

I have the honour to report the conclusion of the settlement of the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest, block No. 26, in the Tenkarai taluk of your district.

2. This block is a large, sandy waste of acres 13,392.59 or about 20.92 square miles in extent. The whole of this area had been under forest conservancy before and subsequently constituted reserved land by Revenue Board's Proceedings, No. ⁵⁴² Forest 103, dated 2nd March 1886. The Government notification under section 4 of the Forest Act appeared in the Fort St. George Gazette, dated 28th June 1887; the usual proclamation under section 6 was published in the

special supplement to the District Gazette, dated 15th September 1887, allowing time for preference of claims to 15th December 1887. The latest decision passed in respect of this block was on the 5th May 1888.

3. The total number of claims received in respect of this block was 110: 33 of them were in substance more applications for patta for lands which either form the sites of patta palmyras or had been under patta before the Revenue Settlement of fasli 1284. As none of them was based on right, the claims were dismissed. In another case, the village munsif of Kanam claimed acres 5.90, of which half an acre was patta and the remainder village nattam. The District Forest-officer, while electing for their exclusion, desired that fields Nos. 333, 336A, 337A, 337B, aggregating acres 10.59, might also be excluded in view of making the boundary more regular. This was accordingly done. Another claim was also by a ryot of Kanam village who claimed land, trees and water. As the petition was presented out of date, and as no sufficient reason was given, the claim was dismissed under section 17 of the Act. Seventy-two claims purely related to trees; two of them were dismissed for default and four for want of sufficient reason for coming late; the patta usufruct claimed in the other cases over 25,406 palmyras and 19 mango trees was admitted and, at the instance of the District Forest-officer, continued to the respective claimants. In two of the remaining three cases the petitioners applied for permission for manuring their palmyras. This was granted, provided that the manure is brought from outside. The last claim was by the District Forest-officer who brought to notice 26 public rights of way through the forest; they were admitted and recorded.

4. As acres 15.99 have been excluded from the tract originally notified within the limits of Kanam village, an altered key-map will have to accompany this report to the higher authorities, which I beg may be obtained from the District Forest-officer.

5. Three months' time will be required for publication of the notice under section 16 of the Act, as my circle is very wide and it takes time to correspond with the officers in charge of the District Press and the Tahsildars.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—B. Register of claims.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.—Draft notification.

ENCLOSURE No. 4.—Map.

ENCLOSURE No. 5.—Area statement.

Endorsement by the Acting Conservator of Forests, Southern Circle, dated Madras, 17th September 1888, No. 1708.

Forwarded.

Resolution—dated 21st May 1890, Forest No. 545, Mis.

The numbers given in the draft notification of some of the survey fields comprising the block differ from those in the notification under section 4. Thus what was 25 P is now returned as 29 B in the Kachanavilai village, while numbers 160, 325 and 333 of the same village now figure with the sub-letter B instead of the letter P as before. A similar difference is also noticed in regard to fields Nos. 278 of Nalumavadi and 348 of Kayamoli. In claim 17 B, tree-patta rights are admitted in field No. 398 of Nalumavadi; but this field is not one of those given as comprising the block. The discrepancies should be explained or corrected.

2. It is observed that some claims, though numbered the same, bear different sub-letters, Nos. 11, 12 and 22 for instance. The reason for this is probably that joint petitions were presented, but as the interest claimed was not joint, the claims were separated and lettered. If this presumption is correct, as the Board believes it is (for if separate petitions had been put in they would have borne separate numbers), then there would appear to be no justification for the procedure adopted in regard to certain

claimants (Nos. 5, 6, 9 and 10 of claim 21 for instance) who claimed jointly without joint interest. The procedure in question should be explained.

3. The numbering of claims is not in chronological order. Thus, for instance, while claim 71 is dated 10th March, No. 78 is dated the 9th idem, and No. 77 the 17th February preceding.

Claim 1 A is said to have been preferred on the 30th September and disposed of on the 8th idem 1888, while the 17th February 1882 is given as the date of presentation of claim 28 B.

These mistakes should be corrected.

4. As the conditions under which tree-pattas are held in Tinnevely may not always continue to be those specified in Mr. Puckle's catechism, it will be as well to omit the 3rd of the conditions entered against the tree rights in the draft notification. The prohibition to fold sheep, &c., under the patta trees should be entered in that document after the manuring right.

The season during which the patta tree produce may be removed should be specified as required by section 12.

The right to bring from outside the block manure for the patta trees is entered in the draft notification as having been admitted in favour of all the claimants, but the right has not been so admitted in the decisions—*vide* claims 6 B and 15 B for instance.

These defects in the record should be corrected.

5. Field No. 390 A having been excluded from the reserve (*vide* claim 71) and as No. 390 B is alone included in the block as finally settled, the entry against claims 43, 44, &c., should be corrected in the draft notification.

6. The boundary statement has not been drawn up. This should be done. It is not sufficient to give the fieldwar contents. The "limits" should be specified.

7. The sketch sent up is useless. It merely shows the contour of the block. A more detailed one illustrating the settlement should be forwarded.

A temple is shown in this document as lying within the block, but it is not stated how it has been dealt with. If as an enclosure, the fact should be noted in the draft notification.

8. The Collector and Conservator should consider whether, in view of the heavy rights—about 25,400 patta palmyras—with which the block is saddled and the Forest Settlement-officer's report that the tract is a mere sandy waste, the proposed reservation of it may not be abandoned. It is, of course, out of the question to acquire so many trees.

9. Very early reply is requested.

10. The spelling of the names of some villages is not uniform, and should be corrected—

Kudiraimoliteri (initial notification) and Kudiramoliteri (draft notification), Nalumavadi and Nalumavady are instances.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

To the Collector of Tinnevely, with papers in Forest
Register No. 1392 of 1888.
Conservator of Forests, Southern Circle.

Read—also the following letter from F. A. NICHOLSON, Esq., Collector of Tinnevely, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Tinnevely, 30th April 1891, No. 299:—

With reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 545-F., dated 21st May 1890, I have the honour to submit herewith the enclosed letter, No. 21-G., dated 8th March 1891, being replies from the Forest Settlement-officer to the remarks made by the Board in the above Proceedings relating to Kudiraimoliteri proposed reserved forest, Tenkarai taluk. The sketch-map of the reserve is also returned herewith.

2. With reference to paragraph 8 of those remarks, I beg to subjoin extracts from the letters of the Conservator of Forests, Southern Circle, and the District Forest-officer on the subject, and I concur with them as to the necessity for reservation.

(a) Extract of Conservator's letter, No. 564, dated 2nd June 1890.

"With reference to paragraph 8 of Board's Proceedings, Forest No. 545, Mis., of 21st ultimo, regarding Kudiraimoliteri, &c., I have the honour to remark that the Board has probably overlooked the special circumstances, viz., reclamation of sandy wastes which render the reservation of the above expedient, and it will suffice to invite their attention to them, referring to my report, &c. I certainly could not recommend that the reservation be abandoned."

(b) Extract of paragraph 2 of the District Forest-officer's office-note, No. 331, dated 23rd April 1891—

"As regards the proposal to abandon the reservation of this large area, I beg to point out that its reservation was shown to be absolutely necessary when notification under section 4 was sent up—*vide* Board's Proceedings, No. ⁵⁴² Forest 103, dated 2nd March 1886. . . . The abandonment of the reserve now, I would point out, would not only be a great waste of all the money expended on the reclamation of this area up to date, but would in all probability be strenuously opposed by the surrounding villagers who appreciate and acknowledge the absolute necessity of Government retaining this area in their own hands in order to prevent the sand drift from spreading over the surrounding patta lands."

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From M.R.Ry. C. RAJU SHASTRIAR, Avergal, Forest Settlement-officer, Tinnevely, &c., Districts, to the Collector, of Tinnevely, dated Kachirayapalayam, 8th March 1891, No. 21-G.

With reference to your letter, No. 742, dated 31st May 1890, communicating Board's Proceedings, Forest No. 545, Mis., dated 21st May 1890, I have the honour to submit herewith my replies to all the remarks of the Board of Revenue except paragraph 8, in which your opinion as to advisability of abandoning the block is asked for. For ready reference the Board's remarks and my replies are arranged side by side:—

Board's remarks.

1. The numbers given in the draft notification of some of the survey fields comprising the block differ from those in the notification under section 4, thus, what was 25 P is now returned as 29 B in the Kachanavilai village; while Nos. 160, 325 and 333 of the same village now figure with the sub-letter B, instead of the letter P as before. A similar difference is also noticed in regard to field Nos. 278 of Nalumavadi and 348 of Kayamoli. In claim 17 B, tree patta rights are admitted in field No. 398 of Nalumavadi; but this field is not one of those given as comprising the block. The discrepancies should be corrected or explained.

2. It is observed that some claims, though numbered the same, bear different sub-letters Nos. 11, 12 and 22 for instance. The reason for this is probably that joint petitions were

My replies.

The numbers of fields given in the draft final notification are correct, and the initial notification was wrong. The mistake was probably due to the numbers having been taken from the karnam's account written in Tamil, in which B cannot be shown differently from P.

As field No. 398 does not occur in the register, the Board probably refers to field No. 298. It seems to have been wrongly adjudicated upon and has now been struck out in the register.

The portions of claim falling under section 10 were first separated from those under section 11 of the Forest Act, and these were again separated and lettered owing to the interest

Board's remarks.

presented, but as the interest claimed was not joint, the claims were separated and lettered. If this presumption is correct, as the Board believes it is (for if separate petitions had been put in they would have borne separate numbers), then there would appear to be no justification for the procedure adopted in regard to certain claimants (Nos. 5, 6, 9 and 10 of claim 24 for instance) who claimed jointly without joint interest. The procedure in question should be explained.

3. The numbering of claims is not in chronological order; thus, for instance, while claim 74 is dated 10th March, No. 78 is dated 9th idem, and No. 77 the 17th February preceding.

Claim No. 1 A is said to have been preferred on the 30th September and disposed of on the 8th idem 1888, while the 17th February 1882 is given as the date of presentation of claim No. 25 B.

These mistakes should be corrected.

4. As the conditions under which tree patta are held in Tinnevely may not always continue to be those specified in Mr. Puckle's catechism, it will be as well to omit the 3rd of the conditions entered against the tree patta in the draft notification.

The prohibition to fold sheep, &c., under the patta trees should be entered in that document after the manuring right.

The season during which the patta tree produce may be removed should be specified as required by section 12.

The right to bring from outside the block manure for the patta trees is entered in the draft notification as having been admitted in favour of all the claimants, but the right has not been so admitted in the decision—*vide* claims 6 B and 15 B for instance. These defects in the record should be corrected.

5. Field No. 390-A having been excluded from the reserve (*vide* claim 71) and as No. 390 B is alone included in the block as finally settled, the entry against 43 and 44, &c., should be corrected in the draft notification.

6. The boundary statement has not been drawn up. This should be done. It is not sufficient to give the fieldwar contents. The limits should be specified.

My replies.

claimed being divided. In case No. 24 it was thought unnecessary to separate the claim as regards claimants Nos. 5, 6, 9 and 10; for they were all absent and their claim was dismissed for default and was not dealt with on its merit. Had they appeared and pressed their claim, they would probably have been dealt with like other claimants.

Claim No. 74 was presented on the 14th February 1888 and not 10th March 1888, which is the date of disposal. The register has now been corrected.

Claim No. 1 A was disposed of on the 8th October 1887. The necessary correction has now been made in the register. The date of presentation of claim No. 25 B is given in the register as 17th December 1882 and not as 17th February 1882, as stated by the Board. 1882 has now been altered into 1887.

Omitted as directed.

Attended to.

No record is to be found regarding the season during which the produce is to be removed, and no order has been passed regarding it by the late Forest Settlement officer. Some of the parties have already obtained copies of the decision, and any addition now made to the orders might give them cause of complaint. Moreover as the order stands at present, the claimants can approach the trees without the permission of the Forest-officer only when they have any produce to remove therefrom and not at other times.

The only claim in which the right to manuring trees by bringing it from outside the forest is not admitted is 15 B. The order in claim No. 6 B is modified by that in claim No. 18. These have now been correctly noted in the draft notification.

Attended to.

A revised draft notification is herein enclosed. In detailing admitted rights of patta usufruct over trees, the claim number, the names of claimant, patta number, &c., are given for facilitating the identification of the trees that stand in the same field in the names of different persons.

Board's remarks.

7. The sketch sent up is useless. It merely shows the contour of the block; a more detailed one, illustrating the settlement, should be forwarded.

A temple is shown in this document as lying within the block; but it is not stated how it has been dealt with. If as an enclosure, the facts should be noted in the draft notification.

8. * * *
9. * * *

10. The spelling of the names of some of the villages is not uniform and should be corrected—

Kudiraimoliteri (initial notification) and Kudiramoliteri (draft notification).

Nalumavadi and Nalumavady are instances.

My replies.

Attended to.

It is outside the forest.

Attended to.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.
REGISTER OF CLAIMS TO LANDS, RIGHTS TO FOREST PRODUCE OR EASEMENTS IN THE PROPOSED KUDIRAIMOLITERI RESERVED FOREST.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1-A	Sivamathi Adikha Nandan and 9 others of Kayamoli village.	30th Sept. 1887.	Apply for patta for the sites of patta palmyras in field No. 348-O in the village of Kayamoli and comprised in the proposed Kudiraimoliteri reserved forest.			Petitioners state that they possess patta usufruct over certain palmyras in the proposed reserved forest and apply for patta for their sites. This petition cannot be entertained under the Forest Act. If petitioners claim right to the palmyras themselves, they are at liberty to do so, producing their patta and other evidence. In doing so, each pattadar or set of pattadars should put in a separate claim. Accordingly this petition is dismissed.
..		1st Dec. 1887.	Apply for patta for the sites of patta palmyras in field No. 348-O in the village of Kayamoli and comprised in the proposed Kudiraimoliteri reserved forest.			SAIDAPET. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 8th October 1887.
2-A	Aiyankanna Nandan and 3 others of Kudiraimoliteri village.	8th Oct. 1887.	Pray that about 1,500 acres forming the sites of patta palmyra trees in fields No. 32-A and 43-O of Kudiraimoliteri village may be granted to them on patta.			Not being sufficiently stamped, returned. The petition ought to bear an eight-anna Court-fee stamp. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 7th December 1887.
3	Saravans Mudali and 4 others of Kanam village.	17th Oct. 1887.	State that they possess patta usufruct over the palmyras standing on the undermentioned fields of Kanam village in the proposed reserved forest and request that the fields may be granted to them on patta :— No. 385 5 95 386 1 10 387 3 18 390 245 58			Petitioners apply for patta for the sites of palmyras situated in the proposed reserved forest. Such application is not within my competence to dispose of. If petitioners claim right to the palmyras themselves, they are at liberty to do so, producing their patta and other evidence. In doing so each pattadar or set of pattadars should put in a separate claim. This petition is dismissed.
						SAIDAPET. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 8th October 1887.
						Insufficiently stamped. It is therefore returned with the one-anna stamp unopened. Petitioners should themselves produce all the documents in support of their claims—vide paragraph 1 of the proclamation.
						TINNAVUR. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 17th October 1887.

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved Forest—cont.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
4	Sudangamuthu Mukundan of Narakinar.	17th Oct. 1887.	States that he holds a patta for palmyras, mango trees, &c., standing on about 100 acres of dry land included in the proposed reserved forest and prays that a patta may be granted to him for the sites of the trees.			Insufficiently stamped. Returned with the stamp unpunched. Petitioner should produce all the documents in his favour as required in the proclamation. TRUVALLUR, (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 17th October 1887.
5		Again 10th Jan. 1888.	States that he holds a patta for palmyras, mango trees, &c., standing on about 100 acres of dry land included in the proposed reserved forest and prays that a patta may be granted to him for the sites of the trees.			Petition is dated 17th December and was received on the 10th January 1888. It was not posted till 9th January at Tiruchendur. The time for preference of claim expired on 15th December 1887. Unless petitioner gives sufficient reason for coming late within the 24th instant, this petition will be dismissed as time-barred. TIRUVELLY, (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 10th January 1888.
6	K. Ramaswami Naden and three others of Lakshimpuram alias Shenguli, hamlet of Pallipattu, Tenkani taluk.	18th Oct. 1887.	State that they have patta usufruct over certain palmyras, mangos, &c., standing on about 250 acres of land included in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest and request that their sites may be granted to them on patta.			Insufficiently stamped. The petition is returned with the one-anna stamp unpunched. Petitioners should produce all the documents in support of their claims. TRUVALLUR, (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 18th October 1887.
7	Aiyankannu Naden and another pattadar, No. 49 of Kudiramoliteri.	19th Oct. 1887.	State that they have patta usufruct over 4,150 palmyras standing on about 1,500 acres of land included in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest and request that their sites may be granted to them on patta.			Returned, being insufficiently stamped. Petitioners should submit all the documents in support of their claim with their first petition—vide proclamation. TRUVALLUR, (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 19th October 1887.
8	Sanikannu Naden and others of Kayamoli village.	Do.	State that they have patta usufruct over 1,000 palmyras standing on the fields specified below in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest and request that their sites may be granted to them on patta No. 32, acres 40, No. 32, acres 30, Poramboke, in Kudiramoliteri village.			Returned, being insufficiently stamped. Petitioners should submit all the documents in support of their claim with their first petition—vide proclamation. TRUVALLUR, (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 19th October 1887.

8	K. Sudangamuthu, Mukundar of Narakinar.	2nd Nov. 1887.	Prays that about 100 acres of land forming the sites of patta palmyras in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest may be granted to him on patta.			The subject of the petition is not such as can be disposed of under the Forest Act. The undersigned has no power to grant patta. A claim under the Act ought to bear an eight-anna stamp which this does not. The petition is accordingly returned to the petitioner as inadmissible. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. SAIDAPET, 2nd November 1887.
9	Abel Arulanathan and others of Semmarikulam village.	18th Nov. 1887.	State that they have patta usufruct over certain palmyras standing on acres 801.79 of land in survey field No. 388 in Semmarikulam village in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest and request a patta for the land.			The petition should bear a Court-fee stamp of eight annas. The one-anna stamp is returned unpunched. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. SAIDAPET, 18th November 1887.
..		14th Dec. 1887.	State that they have patta usufruct over certain palmyras standing on acres 801.79 of land in survey field No. 388 in Semmarikulam village in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest and request a patta for the land.			The claim seems to be to a field for which the petitioners hold no patta or have held none since the late Revenue settlement. Land without patta is either Government waste or poramboke to which no one can have any right. Petitioners admit that the land has not been in their possession since the Revenue settlement which was over 12 years ago. This shows that the petitioners have neither a title nor possession on their side. They say that they have documents, but have not produced them. I see no reason to entertain this claim. It is accordingly dismissed as inadmissible. If petitioners mean to claim the patta usufruct over palmyra trees, they should specify their claim accordingly, stating the number of trees, their situation, their assessment and producing the patta under which they claim. ARICHENALLUR, (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 14th December 1887.
..		20th Dec. 1887.	State that they have patta usufruct over certain palmyras standing on acres 801.79 of land in survey field No. 388 in Semmarikulam village in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest and request a patta for the land.			Petition is first of all insufficiently stamped and cannot for that reason alone be entertained. Petitioners ask for patta for land which is not in their possession and which has already been made reserved land and has been proposed to be constituted reserved forest. The Forest Settlement-officer has no power to grant patta. Petition is accordingly dismissed. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. KTRUMUR, 20th December 1887.

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved Forest—cont.

10

21st JUNE 1892, Nos. 636, 636A, REVENUE.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
13	Chockalingam Pillai, agent of Vaidi Doss Mudaliar, guardian of Nagappa Mudaliar minor son of Vengu Mudaliar of Kachinavillai village.	2nd Dec. 1887.	States that the fields marked A below of Kachinavillai are the sites of patta palmyras and those marked B lie in the midst of patta lands and pray that they may be granted on patta :— A—29-B .. 0.58 325-B .. 877.78 333-B .. 10.20 334 .. 160-B 335 .. 322 336 .. 4.29 Total .. 942 Prays for patta for acres 5 being the site of his patta palmyras in field No. 348 (O) of Kayamoli village included in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest.	 Acs. B—140 .. 2.43 .. 1.19 .. 1.64 .. 1.63 .. 18.84 Total .. 24.54		Being insufficiently stamped and no vakalatnamah having been produced, the petition cannot be entertained. Granting patta is not within my competence. The claim to palmyras, if any, should state the number and the place where they are situated. The petition ought to bear an eight-anna Court-fee stamp.
10	A. Nagudu Mohideen Markayar, pattadar No. 71 of Kayamoli village.	26th Nov. 1887.	Prays for patta for acres 5 being the site of his patta palmyras in field No. 348 (O) of Kayamoli village included in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest.			(Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. TINNEYVELLY, 6th December 1887.
14	Nagalinga Tambiran, agent of Thiruvadhuthurai mattam, pattadar No. 42 of Kachinavillai village.	5th Dec. 1887.	Prays on behalf of Thiruvadhuthurai mattam for a patta for the following fields of Kachinavillai village forming the sites of patta palmyras in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest :— No. 333-A .. 10.20 334 .. 6.9 335 .. 4.29 336 .. 9.42 Total .. 29.91 Claim acres 24.0 in field No. 32-A and acres 10 in field No. 49-C of Kudrimolli village as well as the palmyras thereon on the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest.			Being insufficiently stamped, the petition cannot be entertained. Granting patta is not within my competence. The claim to palmyras, if any, should state the number and the place where they are situated. The petition ought to bear an eight-anna Court-fee stamp. This claim to land is accordingly dismissed. Petitioners are at liberty to prefer a separate claim to patta trees, if any. They are informed on a petition bearing an eight-anna Court-fee stamp.
11-A.	Palavesamuthu Makundan, pattadar No. 70, Perumal Kon, pattadar No. 37, Sivamarungam Nadin pattadar No. 60 of Kudrimolli village.	2nd Dec. 1887.				(Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. TINNEYVELLY, 9th December 1887.

21st JUNE 1892, Nos. 636, 636A, REVENUE.

11

12-A.	Yona Nadin, pattadar Nos. 69 and 130, Bagai Nadin and Sivamarungam Nadin, pattadars No. 84 of Kudrimolli village.	2nd Dec. 1887.	Claim acres 10 in field No. 32-A and acres 12 in field No. 49-C of Kudrimolli village in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest.		Does not oppose the claim as proved.	The claim to the three patta is inconveniently joined together so as to create confusion. Claimants have no patta for land out of the fields comprised in the proposed reserved forests. Bagai Nadin and Sivamarungam have no right to patta Nos. 69 and 130 and they are improperly joined in this. This claim to land herein preferred is therefore dismissed, and claimants advised to prefer separate claims to trees, if any.
6-B.	Aiyankannu Nadin and others of Mathukrishnapuram, hamlet of Kudrimolli.	1st Dec. 1887.	Claim 4,000 and odd palmyra trees and 6,000 and odd palmyra seedlings in fields No. 32-A, and 150 palmyras in field No. 49-C of Kudrimolli village in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest.	One of the claimants, Aiyankannu Nadin, admits that the trees in his patta are given, correctly in the childa of the village karanam and they are generally frequented from Tye to Ani.		From the village accounts it appears that the claimants have in their patta— 170 Paruvun palmyras. 493 Katukai. 662 Olavettu in field No. 32-A. 10 Paruvun. 25 Katukai. 23 Olavettu in field No. 49-C. Total .. 1,294 Palmyra trees. Claimant admits this, but states that he has raised many more seedlings but has brought no sort of document to prove his right to the seedlings. 2. The claim to the patta usufruct over the 1,294 palmyras is admitted in favour of the claimants under section 11 of the Forest Act subject to any rules that may hereafter be framed by the Governor in Council under section 12 (b) of the Forest Act and provided— (i) That no grant, sale, lease, mortgage or other alienation be made without the sanction of Government under section 19 of the said Act. (ii) That no access be had to the trees without the permission of the Forest officer except for the removal of the produce from the trees. 3. The claimants are free to sell or barter the produce. When the trees die claimants are allowed out of the sale proceeds their usual kudivaram. The terms under which the trees are to be held are for the present the same as laid down in Mr. Puckle's catechism. 4. Claimants will be at liberty to review their claim to the valuations with proper evidence within 15 days from this date.
6-A.	Aiyankannu Nadin and others of Mathukrishnapuram, hamlet of Kudrimolli.	Do.	Prays that acres 1,600 in field Nos. 32-A and 49-C of Kudrimolli village may be granted to them on patta.	Aiyankannu Nadin, one of the claimants, admits that he has no patta for the land in question, but had one for about 50 or 60 acres prior to 4 or 5 years before the Revenue settlement.		The claim to the land is frivolous. Claimants admit that they have had no patta nor enjoyment since 4 or 5 years before the Revenue settlement which was in field 1284 (1873-74). The claim is accordingly rejected under section 10 of the Forest Act.

(Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer.
Kudumbur,
16th December 1887.

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudramolli reserved Forest—cont.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.																										
1	2	3	4	5	6	7																										
15-A.	Narayana Nadan, pattadar No. 83 of Nalunavadi village.	8th Dec. 1887.	Prays that acres 240 of wet land and acres 5.62 forming the site of his patta palmyrahs in field No. 278 of Nalunavadi may be excluded from the proposed Kudramolli reserved forest.	Claimant himself admits that he purchased only the trees patta in field No. 278-B included in the proposed reserved forest.		The claim is worthless. Claimant admits that he purchased the wet portion of the field No. 278-A, acres 2.40 and the trees in field No. 278-B some 7 or 8 years ago. He has therefore not a shadow of claim to the land in No. 278-B which alone is included in the proposed reserved forest. The claim is accordingly rejected under section 10 of the Forest Act. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. KURUMBA, 16th December 1887.																										
15-B.	Narayana Nadan, pattadar No. 83 of Nalunavadi village.	Do.	Claims patta usufruct over palmyrahs standing on acres 5.62 in field No. 278 of Nalunavadi as also over 1,000 vaduals not brought to assessment.	The claimant produces his patta in support of his claim to palmyrahs and states that the details are as given in the current's account. He also admits that the vaduals or seedlings are not in his patta and he had no permission to raise them.		According to the village accounts, there are in claimant's patta 20 paravams, 80 katukai and 23 olivetti, total 107, assessed at Rs. 3-8-6. The Revenue Inspector deposes that claimant is entitled to two-thirds of the value of the dead trees as kadivaram. 2. The claim to the patta usufruct over 107 palmyrahs is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act, subject to any rules that may hereafter be made by the Government in Council under section 12 (b) of the said Act and to the provisions laid down in claim No. 6-B <i>supra</i> . 3. Claimant is free to sell or barter the produce. 4. When the trees die, claimant is allowed a kadivaram of two-thirds of the value. 5. When the trees die, claimant is allowed a kadivaram of two-thirds of the value. 6. The claim to the vaduals (seedlings) said to be raised by the claimant without permission on Government land after the revenue settlement is not valid and is rejected under section 11 of the Forest Act. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. KURUMBA, 16th December 1887.																										
17-A.	Kathara Nadan, pattadar No. 51 and others of Nalunavadi.	15th Dec. 1887.	Pray that the fields specified below of Nalunavadi village and forming the site of their patta palmyrahs may be granted to them on patta:— <table><tr><td>No.</td><td>278-B</td><td>288</td><td>289</td><td>293</td><td>294</td><td>295</td><td>296-B</td><td>297-A</td><td>301</td><td>303</td><td>304</td><td>Total</td></tr><tr><td>ACS.</td><td>5.62</td><td>1.34</td><td>87.42</td><td>5.86</td><td>2.69</td><td>4.69</td><td>1.81</td><td>6.20</td><td>47.43</td><td>230.36</td><td>117.25</td><td>510.67</td></tr></table>	No.	278-B	288	289	293	294	295	296-B	297-A	301	303	304	Total	ACS.	5.62	1.34	87.42	5.86	2.69	4.69	1.81	6.20	47.43	230.36	117.25	510.67			The giving of patta for land is not within my competence. The petition is therefore dismissed. Petitioners are informed of this order. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. KURUMBA, 16th December 1887.
No.	278-B	288	289	293	294	295	296-B	297-A	301	303	304	Total																				
ACS.	5.62	1.34	87.42	5.86	2.69	4.69	1.81	6.20	47.43	230.36	117.25	510.67																				

18	Ramanada Nadan and another of Muthukrishnapuram.	15th Dec. 1887.	Pray that the extents forming the sites of 1,300 and old patta palmyrahs and 500 and old vaduals in fields Nos. 32 and 49 of Kudramolli village in the proposed Kudramolli reserved forest may be granted to them on patta or that they may be permitted to manure the trees.			Petitioners are referred to the decision already passed on 16th December 1887 on their case claim No. 6-B, Kudramolli. 2. They are at liberty to manure their trees by bringing the manure to the trees, provided that they obtain permission of the Forest-officer before having access to the trees for this purpose. They cannot be allowed to fold sheep under the trees, as it would operate detrimentally to the forest. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. KURUMBA, 16th December 1887.
19	Pothi perianaiga Nadan and others of Summarikulam village.	Do.	Pray that 50 acres of land in Summarikulam village may be excluded from the proposed Kudramolli reserved forest for use as their future village-site.			Insufficiently stamped. This matter is not within the competence of the Forest Settlement-officer to deal with. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. KURUMBA, 16th December 1887.
20-A.	Sivanthi Athicha Nadan and six others of Kayamoli village.	Do.	Pray that acres 240.70 of land in field No. 348 (O) of Kayamoli village comprising their patta palmyrahs, vaduals, &c., may be excluded from the proposed Kudramolli reserved forest.	Kumaraswami Adithya Nadan, one of the claimants, admits that they had no patta for the land in question since the revenue settlement and that they durkhabated for the same several times but without success.		The claim is a vain one. The land has not been in claimants' patta or in their enjoyment for over 12 years. They themselves admit having durkhabated for it without success, which shows that they have not a shadow of right to it. The claim is rejected under section 10 of the Forest Act. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. KURUMBA, 16th December 1887.
25-A.	Marudu Mohideen Markayer, pattadar No. 71 of Kayamoli village.	Again 6th Jan. 1888. 17th Dec. 1887.	Pray that acres 210.70 of land in field No. 348 (O) of Kayamoli village comprising their patta palmyrahs, vaduals, &c., may be excluded from the proposed Kudramolli reserved forest. Prays that about 5 acres of land comprising his patta palmyrahs in survey field No. 348 (O) of Kayamoli village may be granted to him on patta.			In this case an order has already been passed. Petitioners may obtain a copy of the order, dated 14th December 1887. The matter cannot now be re-opened. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. TINNEVELLY, 12th January 1888. As the claim is to land for which claimant has no patta, or any other right, it cannot be entertained. It is accordingly dismissed. If petitioner has right to trees he is at liberty to claim them setting their number, assessment, &c., and supported by his patta. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. KURUMBA, 17th December 1887.

An appeal was preferred to the Collector, but dismissed on the ground that it lay to the District Court in Collector's Proceedings, No. 664, dated 19th June 1888.
(Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer.

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudramoliteri reserved Forest—cont.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
26-A	Sivaranja Perumal Nadan and another of Purnamankuruthi.	17th Dec. 1887.	Pray that about 40 acres of land comprising their patta palmvrahs in field No. 348 of Kayamoli village may be granted to them on patta.			As the claimant applies for patta for land for which he has none, his case cannot be dealt with under the Forest Act. This petition is therefore dismissed. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. KURUMBA, 17th December 1887.
27-A	Sivaranja Perumal Nadan of Kayamoli village.	Do.	Praya that about 60 acres of land forming the site of his patta palmvrahs in field No. 32 of Kudramoliteri village may be granted to him on patta.			The Forest Settlement-officer has nothing to do with granting patta. Petition is therefore dismissed as inadmissible. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. KURUMBA, 17th December 1887.
21	Muthu Nadan and eight others of Vayuthankuppam, hamlet of Nazareth.	16th Dec. 1887.	Pray that acres 905-45 in field No. 167-101 of Nazareth in the proposed Kudramoliteri forest may be granted to them on patta.			Before I could read and pass an order on this petition, the petitioners disappeared. As the petitioners have not given sufficient reason for coming late with their claim, the petition is dismissed. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. KURUMBA, 18th December 1887.
29	Gnanamuthu Nadan of Semmarikulam for himself and other joint holders.	17th Dec. 1887.	Claims patta usufruct over the palmvrahs standing on field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed Kudramoliteri reserved forest and entered in the village accounts against patta Nos. 6, 69, 232, 234 and 246 of the said village.			Claims Nos. 29 to 36, 38 and 39 were grouped together for the purpose of inquiry. 2. According to the village accounts the palmvrahs entered in the respective pattas of the claimants as standing on field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam are as follow:—
30	Swtinatha Pillai, pottadar No. 165 for himself and other joint holders.	Do.	Claims patta usufruct over palmvrahs standing in field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed Kudramoliteri reserved forest and entered in the village accounts against patta Nos. 129, 9, 212, 231, 232, 237, 240, 242, 246, 248 and 313 of the said village.			

Patta No.	Pervanam.	Kattukal.	Olvetthu.	Total.	Assessment.
6	43	46	..	89	Rs. A. P.
19	24	47	..	71	4 2 0
	17	12	5	34	1 8 3

31	V. Pitchayuthu Nadan of Semmarikulam for himself and other joint holders.	Do.	Claims patta usufruct over the palmvrahs standing in field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed Kudramoliteri reserved forest and entered in the village accounts against patta Nos. 19, 212, 236 and 246 of the said village.	Village accounts and evidence of curum and Mr. Fuckle's catechism.	The District Forest-officer does not oppose the claim.
32	C. Narayana Nadan of Semmarikulam for himself and other joint holders.	Do.	Claims patta usufruct over the palmvrahs standing on field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed Kudramoliteri reserved forest and entered in the village accounts against patta Nos. 231 and 241 of the said village.		
33	Kumaraswami Nadan of Semmarikulam for himself and other joint holders.	Do.	Claims patta usufruct over the palmvrahs standing in field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed Kudramoliteri reserved forest and entered in the village accounts against patta Nos. 236, 310 and 313 of the said village.		
34	Perumal Teyan of Semmarikulam for himself and other joint holders.	Do.	Claims patta usufruct over the palmvrahs standing on field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam taken up for the proposed reserved forest and entered in the village accounts against patta Nos. 90, 121 and 243 of the said village.		

69	10	9	19	0 14 6
90	10	29	122	2 13 3
105	16	26	108	2 13 6
120	15	24	63	102 2 10 9
124	6	65	14	85 2 9 6
212	72	82	35	189 7 9 9
231	..	2	163	155 2 0 9
232	..	43	235	278 5 0 8
233	6	6	1	13 0 8 3
234	14	16	20	60 1 11 0
236	10	30	269	299 6 9 9
237	9	7	5	21 0 13 9
240	6	10	60	75 1 9 0
241	16	40	55	81 2 10 3
242	19	22	21	72 2 8 3
243	28	26	..	53 2 8 6
245	6	10	8	23 0 12 0
248	14	12	..	26 1 4 0
249	75	126	26	227 9 0 6
310	15	23	..	38 1 10 8
313	39	65	56	160 5 5 6

3. The claim is attended with the usual incidents, viz., that two-thirds of the value of the dead trees belong to the claimants as their kudravaram; that the trees in the lower classes, i.e., kattukal and olvetthu, when they pass to the higher classes, are chargeable with higher or full tax as the case may be at each triennial counting; that the trees found missing at such counting are struck off the patta, and unless they are properly accounted for, their value at 30 times the maximum assessment is recovered from the claimants — vide Mr. Fuckle's catechism.

4. The claim is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act, subject to any rules that may hereafter be made by the Governor in Council under section 12 (b) of the Forest Act and to the provisions laid down in claim No. 6-B *supra*.

5. The claimants are free to sell or barter the produce; also to manure the trees by bringing in the manure from outside the forest. The practice of folding sheep and goats under the trees is incompatible with forest conservancy and cannot be allowed under section 24 of the Enclosures Act V of 1882. Claimants are entitled to have the branches of coconut tree encumbering the palmvrahs lopped off, so as to allow of them being climbed.

(Signed) A. PINTO,
Forest Sett.-officer.

KURUMBA,
19th December 1887.

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudramoliteri reserved Forest—cont.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
35	Pongatha Perumal Thevan and three others in patta No. 120 of Semmarikulam for themselves and other joint holders.	17th Dec. 1887.	Claims patta usufruct over the palm-trees standing in field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed Kudramoliteri reserved forest and entered in the village accounts against patta Nos. 120 and 90 of the said village.			(For decision please see pages 14 and 15).
36	Kumarandi Thevan of Semmarikulam on behalf of himself and his brother Vellath.	Do.	Claims patta usufruct over the palm-trees standing on field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam and entered in the village accounts against patta No. 90 of the said village.	Village accounts, and evidence of curam and Mr. Puckie's estateham.	The District Forest-officer does not oppose the claim.	
38	Elya Nandan of Semmarikulam for himself and other joint holders.	Do.	Claims patta usufruct over the palm-trees standing on field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed Kudramoliteri reserved forest and entered in his patta No. 233 of the said village.			Nos. 6, 9 and 10 as well as the others filed a joint petition. As they were separate pattadars and ought to have put in separate claims, I told them to appear at 4 p.m. on the 17th in order that their separate claims might be taken down in writing. Nos. 6, 9 and 10 did not appear at the appointed time or even to this date. The petition as regards them is dismissed. The others appeared and their case has been disposed of in claims Nos. 29 to 36, 38 and 39 <i>supra</i> .
39	Aiyarannu Nandan, pattadar No. 233 of Semmarikulam.	Do.	Claims patta usufruct over the palm-trees standing on field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed Kudramoliteri reserved forest and entered in his patta No. 233 of the said village.			(Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer.
24	1. Swaminatha Pillai, 2. Kumaraswami Nandan, 3. Pichamuthu Nandan, 4. Perumal Thevan, 5. Narayana Nandan, 6. Swaminatha Nandan, 7. Pongatha Perumal Thevan, 8. Kumarandi Thevan, 9. Darnaputhra Thevan, 10. Ponnandi Thevan, 11. T. Kanaswami Thevan, 12. Marimuthu do. 13. Vellakannu do. 14. Ramaswami do. 15. Elya Nandan, 16. S. Gnanamuthu Nandan, 17. K. Narayana Nandan, Pattadars of Semmarikulam.	Do.	Claim patta usufruct over 568 paruvam, 1,053 kattakal, 1,875 olivettin, total 3,506, assessed at Rs. 98-0-3 in field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed Kudramoliteri reserved forest; and also two-thirds of the value of dead trees as their kudivaram.			KURUMBU, 19th December 1887.

42	Kumarasami Nandan, one of the joint holders in patta No. 63 of Kanam.	20th Dec. 1887.	Applies for patta for fields No. 208, acres 218-13 and No. 209, acres 34-66, total acres 252-69, of Kanam village, included in the proposed Kudramoliteri reserved forest.			Insufficiently stamped. The undersigned has nothing to do with granting patta. Petition dismissed. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 20th December 1887.																																																								
63	Sivandi Aththiya Nandan and 6 others of Kayamoli.	6th Jan. 1888.	Tray that about 160 acres of land, forming the site of their patta palm-trees in fields Nos. 32-A and 133-A of Kudramoliteri village, may be excluded from the proposed reserved forest.			Pettioners were served with notices on the 2nd and 3rd November 1887, but they have failed to prefer claims within the time allowed, viz., 16th December 1887. Unless petitioners show sufficient cause for coming late, their petition cannot be entertained. Moreover, petitioners only apply for a patta for land, which the undersigned has no power to grant. For these reasons, this petition is dismissed. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 18th January 1888.																																																								
22-A	Ponnaya Nandan, pattadar No. 40 of Kayamoli village.	16th Dec. 1887.	Claims 58 palm-trees, viz., 7 paruvam, 15 kattakal, and 36 olivettin, assessed at Rs. 1-7-6, and 200 vadaias or seedlings not brought to assessment, in field No. 348 (O) of Kayamoli in the proposed Kudramoliteri reserved forest.			Claims Nos. 22-A and 22-B were grouped together for the purpose of inquiry. The claim is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act, subject to the same rules, provisions and incidents detailed in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39, <i>supra</i> . (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 26th January 1888.																																																								
22-B	Swaminannu Nandan, pattadar No. 42 of Kayamoli village.	Do.	Claims 20 palm-trees, viz., 5 paruvam, 8 kattakal, and 17 olivettin, assessed at Rs. 13-3, and 170 vadaias not brought to assessment, in field No. 348 (O) of Kayamoli in the proposed Kudramoliteri reserved forest.	Village accounts.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the claim. He desires that the right may be continued to the claimants.	The palm-trees of the three first classes in patta claims Nos. 29 to 36, 38 and 39, and are accordingly excluded from this order. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 26th January 1888.																																																								
62	Abel Arulanatham Upathi and 12 other ryots of Semmarikulam.	23rd Jan. 1888.	Claim patta usufruct over the palm-trees and vadaias, as specified below, in field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam included in the proposed reserved forest:— <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Patta number.</th><th>Paruvam.</th><th>Kattakal.</th><th>Olivettin.</th><th>Vadaias.</th><th>Total.</th><th>Assessment.</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>249</td><td>75</td><td>126</td><td>26</td><td>100</td><td>327</td><td>Rs. A. P.</td></tr> <tr> <td>248</td><td>14</td><td>12</td><td>26</td><td>1</td><td>53</td><td>9 0 6</td></tr> <tr> <td>247</td><td>12</td><td>50</td><td>92</td><td>69</td><td>214</td><td>1 4 0</td></tr> <tr> <td>304</td><td>20</td><td>33</td><td>45</td><td>25</td><td>123</td><td>3 12 0</td></tr> <tr> <td>120</td><td>8</td><td>15</td><td>61</td><td>24</td><td>98</td><td>3 2 3</td></tr> <tr> <td>143</td><td>13</td><td>16</td><td>..</td><td>50*</td><td>79</td><td>1 5 0</td></tr> <tr> <td>Total ..</td><td>137</td><td>267</td><td>224</td><td>255</td><td>873</td><td>20 0 9</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Patta number.	Paruvam.	Kattakal.	Olivettin.	Vadaias.	Total.	Assessment.	249	75	126	26	100	327	Rs. A. P.	248	14	12	26	1	53	9 0 6	247	12	50	92	69	214	1 4 0	304	20	33	45	25	123	3 12 0	120	8	15	61	24	98	3 2 3	143	13	16	..	50*	79	1 5 0	Total ..	137	267	224	255	873	20 0 9	The claimants defaulted appearance. But from the village account and evidence of the village karnam the claim is proved except to the extent shown below:— 40 vadaias in patta No. 249, 15 in patta No. 304, 20 in patta No. 120, and 30 in patta No. 143.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim as proved by the accounts and the karnam's evidence and to the right being continued to the claimants.	Claims Nos. 22-A and 22-B were grouped together for the purpose of inquiry. The claim is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act, subject to the same rules, provisions and incidents detailed in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39, <i>supra</i> . (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th January 1888.
Patta number.	Paruvam.	Kattakal.	Olivettin.	Vadaias.	Total.	Assessment.																																																								
249	75	126	26	100	327	Rs. A. P.																																																								
248	14	12	26	1	53	9 0 6																																																								
247	12	50	92	69	214	1 4 0																																																								
304	20	33	45	25	123	3 12 0																																																								
120	8	15	61	24	98	3 2 3																																																								
143	13	16	..	50*	79	1 5 0																																																								
Total ..	137	267	224	255	873	20 0 9																																																								

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved Forest—cont.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
23-A	Ponnaiya Nadan, pattadar No. 98 of Kudrimolli village.	16th Dec. 1887.	Claims patta usufruct over 50 palm-trees, viz. 6 paruvum, 25 kattukai, and 19 oleivettu, assessed at Rs. 1-7-3, in field No. 32-A of Kudrimolli village in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest.	Village accounts and the karnam's evidence.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim to the continuance of the right to the claimants.	The claim to the patta usufruct over the trees claimed is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act, subject to the same rules, conditions, incidents and provisions laid down in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B, and 29 to 33, 38 and 39, <i>supra</i> . (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer. 27th January 1888.
23-B	Seramikannu Nadan, pattadar No. 98 of Kudrimolli village.	Do.	Claims patta usufruct over 38 palm-trees, viz. 6 paruvum, 16 kattukai, and 14 oleivettu, assessed at Rs. 1-2-6, in field No. 32-A of Kudrimolli village in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest.	Claimant defaulted appearance and his gumastah, who appeared, is not duly empowered.		The application for patta is not a matter that can be disposed of under the Forest Act. This part of the claim is therefore dismissed both for default and is inadmissible. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer. 29th January 1888.
23-A	Nagalinga Tambiran, Agent of Thiruvaduthurai district, pattadar No. 2 of Kachinavillai.	17th Dec. 1887.	Prays for patta for the fields specified below of Kachinavillai village in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest on the ground that they comprise the patta palm-trees and vadialas of the manum Nos. 333-B, 334, 335, and 336.	Claimant defaulted appearance and his gumastah, who appeared, is not duly empowered.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim as proved by the accounts, and to the continuance of the right to the claimant.	The patta trees and palm-trees seedlings are admitted to be as follows:— (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer. 29th January 1888.
23-B	Nagalinga Tambiran, Agent of Thiruvaduthurai district, pattadar No. 2 of Kachinavillai.	Do.	Claims certain patta palm-trees and vadialas (not specified) in the following fields of Kachinavillai village in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest:— No. 333-B. 10-20 334. 6-00 335. 4-29 336. 9-42 Total. 29-91			
27-A	Chockalingam Pillai, authorized agent of Veda-dai Dava Mudalar, guardian of minor Nagappa Mudalar of Kachinavillai, patta No. 2.	Do.	Prays for patta for about 300 acres of land forming the sites of patta palm-trees and vadialas out of the lands of Kachinavillai village included in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest.	The claimant's agent himself admits that the land is poramboke and was never in the patta of his client.		This is a claim to land which never was in the patta of the claimant, not certainly since the Revenue Settlement in field 1284 (1877-78). The claim therefore is a worthless one, and <i>praisé facie</i> inadmissible, and is accordingly dismissed. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer. 28th January 1888.

Field number.	Paruvum.	Kattukai.	Oleivettu.	Vadialas.	Mango trees.	Total.	Assessment.
140	3	6	110	83	2	212	10 0 13 6
149	9	9	30	33	1	82	4 1 13 0
160-B	9	9	30	33	1	82	4 1 13 0
322	77	85	32	50	..	244	7 15 6
323-B	471	561	1,690	1,700	..	4,422	73 6 0
Total	569	649	1,862	1,866	3	4,969	86 5 0

Claimants in No. 40 merely ask for permission to manage the palm-trees in field No. 323-B, which they allege are in their enjoyment under the pattadar. They state that their trees are 5,500, but have produced no evidence to prove this. As this claimant has never held patta for the land, his right to the seedlings raised thereon, save the claim to the patta usufruct over the trees and palm-trees seedlings other than those registered in claimant's name at the settlement, is not, however, allowed to fold sheep, goats, &c., under the trees, as this procedure would be detrimental to the forest and cannot be allowed on that ground.

The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim as proved and to the continuance of the right to the claimant.

As regards trees the claimant's agent (27-A) prays that mango trees and palm-trees, as disclosed by the village accounts, may be confirmed to his client.

As regards vadialas, he lays claim to about 7,000 and old on the ground that upwards of 2,000 are recorded in the manum. He admits at the same time that he obtained no permission for planting the seedlings after the Revenue Settlement, and that he relies solely on his own accounts for the number he claims, village accounts, and karnam's evidence.

Brings to notice the fact of his having a patta for the palm-trees and right to vadialas (not specified) in the lands of Kachinavillai village included in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest.

Pray that they may be permitted to manure the 5,500 palm-trees in their enjoyment in field No. 323-B of Kachinavillai in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest.

accounts for field 1295 and he has planted 5,000 since the settlement. He admits at the same time that he obtained no permission for planting the seedlings after the Revenue Settlement, and that he relies solely on his own accounts for the number he claims, village accounts, and karnam's evidence.

no evidence to prove this number. Claimant in No. 27-B relies entirely on the village accounts for the number of palm-trees and other trees, but they should not have access to them for this purpose without the permission of the Forest-officer. They will therefore be rejected under section 11 of the Forest Act.

2. The claim to the patta usufruct over the trees and palm-trees seedlings detailed above is admitted under the said section, subject to the same rules, incidents and provisions as in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B, and 29 to 33, 38 and 39, *supra*. Claimants in No. 40 may manure the trees, but they should not have access to them for this purpose without the permission of the Forest-officer. They will not, however, be allowed to fold sheep, goats, &c., under the trees, as this procedure would be detrimental to the forest and cannot be allowed on that ground.

The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim to trees and seedlings as accounted for by the village accounts and to the right being continued to the claimants.

Periasamy Nadan, one of the claimants, appears and states that the number of trees and seedlings as disclosed by the karnam's accounts may be confirmed to them.

Claim patta usufruct over certain trees (not specified) included in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest.

Field number.	Paruvum.	Kattukai.	Oleivettu.	Vadialas.	Total.	Assessment.
278-B	..	1	8	..	9	4 1 0 0
288	98	817	328	580	1,803	39 4 6 6
289	..	12	33	11	56	1 13 3
293	..	38	20	50	114	1 9 6
295	..	10	45	102	257	3 10 0
297-A	..	25	111	404	640	11 6 6
301	..	47	23	50	127	2 4 3
303	..	12	142	60	274	6 15 6
304	..	164	1,234	932	3,205	14 67 3 0
Total	..	..	..	..	..	..

The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim to trees and seedlings as accounted for by the village accounts and to the right being continued to the claimants.

Periasamy Nadan, one of the claimants, appears and states that the number of trees and seedlings as disclosed by the karnam's accounts may be confirmed to them.

Claim patta usufruct over certain trees (not specified) included in the proposed Kudrimolli reserved forest.

2. The claim is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act, subject to the same rules, incidents and provisions as in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B, and 29 to 33, 38 and 39, *supra*.
(Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement-officer.
28th January 1888.

No.	Name of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
41-A	Narayanavadiya Nadathi and 6 other ryots of Kudimaimoli.	19th Dec. 1887.	They that about 250 acres of land, as detailed below, forming the sites of their patta palaymas, vadakkas, and other trees in the proposed Kudimaimoli reserved forest, may be granted to them on patta-- south of Kudimolli of Kanan, west of patta lands of Pullipattu village, north of Pottakulam, and east of the path to Aral, acres 200; east of Sennathikulam, south of Elluvilaiteri, west of Karivilai patta lands, and north of Verrabagu Pillai's patta lands, acres 50.	Claimants, though duly summoned, defaulted appearance.		This claim is not one that could be dealt with under the Forest Act. It is therefore dismissed as inadmissible and for default of the claimants to appear. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 20th January 1888.
41-B	Narayanavadiya Nadathi, pattadar No. 103 of Kudimaimoli.	Do.				According to the kuram's accounts, the palaymas and other trees entered in the pattas of the claimants are as follow:—
41-C	Bathiramutha Nadan, pattadar No. 113 of Kudimaimoli.	Do.				
41-D	D. M. Panamaya Nadan, pattadar No. 119 of Kudimaimoli.	Do.				
41-E	Mathammai Nadathi, pattadar No. 113 of Kudimaimoli.	Do.				
41-F	Theerurandi Nadan, pattadar No. 108 of Kudimaimoli.	Do.				

2. The claim to the patta usufruct over these trees is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act, subject to the same rules, incidents, and provisions as in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B, and 29 to 36, 38 and 23, *supra*.

(Signed) A. PINTO,
Forest Sett.-officer.
30th January 1888.

No.	2nd Dec. 1887.	Bring to notice the fact of their having certain patia palmyras (not specified) in fields Nos. 32-A and 49-C of Kudiramoli village in the proposed Kudiramoliet reserved forest.	The claimants have defaulted appearance.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim as far as proved by the accounts and to the continuance of the right to the claimants.	According to the karnam's accounts, the palmyras entered in the respective patias of the claimants are as follow:—
11-B.	Palavesamuthu Mukundam, pattadar No. 70 of Kudiramoli.				
11-C.	Esaradiyan Mukundani, pattadar No. 63 of Kudiramoli.				
11-D.	Perumal Kon, pattadar No. 37 of Kudiramoli.				
11-E.	Sivanarugum Nadan, pattadar No. 60 of Kudiramoli.				
12-B.	Yova Nadan, pattadar No. 69 of Kudiramoli village.				
12-C.	Packia Nadan, one of the joint holders in patia No. 84 of Kudiramoli village.				
12-D.	Sivanarugum Nadan, one of the joint holders in patia No. 84 of Kudiramoli village.				

Patta number.	Field number.	Palmyras.			Assessment.	Patta number.	Field number.	Palmyras.			Assessment.
		Paruvum.	Kattukal.	Oleivetta.				Paruvum.	Kattukal.	Oleivetta.	
70	32-A 49-C Total.	1 1 2			RS. A. P. 0 1 0 0 1 0 2 0 2 0	37	32-A 49-C Total.	1 1 2	1 1 2		RS. A. P. 2 0 1 6 2 0 1 6 4 0 3 0
63	32-A 49-C Total.	1 .. 1	.. 2 ..		RS. A. P. 0 1 0 2 0 1 0 3 0 2 0	60	32-A 49-C Total.	1 1 2	1 1 2		RS. A. P. 2 0 1 6 2 0 1 6 4 0 3 0

2. The claim to the patia usufruct over these trees is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act, subject to the same rules, incidents, and provisos as in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B, and 29 to 36, 38 and 39, *supra*.
TINNEVELLY.
30th January 1888.

The claimants have defaulted appearance.

The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim as far as proved by the accounts and to the continuance of the right to the claimants.

According to the karnam's accounts the palmyras entered in the respective patias of the claimants are as follows:—
(Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement Officer.

Patta number.	Field number.	Palmyras.			Assessment.	Patta number.	Field number.	Palmyras.			Assessment.
		Paruvum.	Kattukal.	Oleivetta.				Paruvum.	Kattukal.	Oleivetta.	
69	32-A 49-C Total.	.. 1 1	 1		RS. A. P. 0 0 6 0 1 0 2 0 1 6	84	32-A 49-C Total.	3 5 8	.. 15 23	.. 26 49	RS. A. P. 3 0 3 0 1 3 0 1 6 0
139	49-C	12	23	8	RS. A. P. 1 9 6			8	15	26	49 1 6 0

2. The claim to these trees is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act, subject to the same rules, incidents and provisos as in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B, and 29 to 36, 38 and 39, *supra*.
TINNEVELLY.
30th January 1888.

(Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Settlement Officer.

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudiramoliten reserved Forest—*cont.*

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidences adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
16-A	Lutehuzana Mukundan alias Samuel Mukundan and two others of Kudaimoli village.	13th Dec. 1887.	Pray that 20 acres in field No. 32-A and 20 acres in field No. 48-C of Kudaimoli comprising their patta palayams in the proposed Kudaimolli reserved forest may be granted to them on patta.	Claimants, though duly summoned, defaulted appearance on the date fixed, i.e., 27th January 1888. One of the claimants by name Tirumutai Mukundan, however, appeared after Court time, and was told to appear the following day which he did not do.		The claim is one not within the scope of the Forest Act to deal with. It is accordingly dismissed as inadmissible. TINNEVELLY, 30th January 1888. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer.
65-A	Alwar Nadan and 8 other joint holders in patta No. 59 of Kanam.	12th Jan. 1888.	Pray that survey field No. 298 of Kanam, included in the proposed Kudaimolli reserved forest, may be granted to them on patta.			The claim is one not within the scope of the Forest Act to deal with, since the Act does not empower the Forest Settlement-officer to grant patta. The claim is therefore dismissed as inadmissible. TINNEVELLY, 30th January 1888. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer.
43	Valayutha Nadan, pattadar No. 95 of Kanam.	23rd Dec. 1887.				The following are the patta trees and seedlings disclosed by the village accounts, on which the claimants rely:—
44	Mathasavuni Nadan, pattadar No. 105 of Kanam.	Do. ..				
45	Peria Nadan, pattadar No. 103 of Kanam.	Do. ..				
46	Perumal Athithya Nadan, pattadar No. 106 of Kanam.	Do. ..				
47	Perumaya Nadan, son of Mutha Nadan, pattadar No. 102 of Kanam.	Do. ..				
48	P. Chirra Nadan, pattadar No. 100 of Kanam.	Do. ..				
49	Arumuga Nadan, pattadar No. 92 of Kanam.	Do. ..				
50	Parvati Nadan, pattadar No. 90 of Kanam.	Do. ..				
51	Narayana Nadan, pattadar No. 98 of Kanam.	Do. ..				

[illegible]

* **NOTE.**—These items, as also 2 paravum and 18 kattukai out of the trees in field No. 390 in patta No. 188, are on the land excluded from the forest by order in claim No. 71 below.

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudiraimoliteri reserved Forest—cont.

24

21st JUNE 1892, Nos. 636, 636A, REVENUE.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.																																																											
1	2	4	5	6	6	7																																																											
61	Saravana Mudaliar, pattadar No. 86 and purchaser of patta No. 87 of Kanam.	23rd Dec. 1887.																																																															
64	Valumadu Nadan, pattadar No. 89 of Kanam.	10th Jan. 1888.																																																															
65-B	Alwar Nadan and 8 other joint holders in patta No. 89 of Kanam.	12th Jan. 1888.	Claim usufruct over the palmyras entered in their respective pattas in the proposed Kudimolterti reserved forest within the limits of Kanam village. Claimants Nos. 60 and 61 further claim palmyra seedlings as per karnam's accounts. Claimant No. 65-B claims 6,000 and odd palmyra seedlings.	Claimants' spokesman, aravasa Mudaliar, prays that palmyras as per village accounts and seedlings as per settlement register of fasil 1284 exclusive of such as have been confirmed to them; and states that they have no other profits to offer.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of these claims and to the continuance of the right to the claimants.	<table><tr><th>Patta number.</th><th>Field number.</th><th>Palmyras.</th><th>Assessment.</th></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>Kattukal.</td><td>Vadavil.</td><td>Total.</td><td>Rs. A. P.</td></tr><tr><td>86</td><td>390</td><td>7</td><td>138</td><td>180</td><td>250</td><td>575 7 9 0</td></tr><tr><td>87</td><td>390</td><td>7</td><td>138</td><td>180</td><td>250</td><td>575 7 9 0</td></tr><tr><td>89</td><td>390</td><td>14</td><td>276</td><td>360</td><td>500</td><td>1,150 15 2 0</td></tr><tr><td>59</td><td>208-A-1</td><td>..</td><td>22</td><td>28</td><td>40</td><td>90 1 2 0</td></tr><tr><td>224</td><td>208-A-1</td><td>15</td><td>..</td><td>..</td><td>1,260</td><td>2,714 30 9 6</td></tr><tr><td>91</td><td>390</td><td>..</td><td>22</td><td>28</td><td>40</td><td>15 0 15 0</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>90 1 2 0</td></tr></table> <p>The claim to patta usufruct over these trees and seedlings is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act, subject to the same rules, incidents and provisions as in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39 <i>supra</i>. TINNEVELLY, (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 30th January 1888.</p> <p>These fields, as desired by the District Forest-officer, will accordingly be excluded from the proposed reserved forest. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. TINNEVELLY, 30th January 1888.</p>	Patta number.	Field number.	Palmyras.	Assessment.			Kattukal.	Vadavil.	Total.	Rs. A. P.	86	390	7	138	180	250	575 7 9 0	87	390	7	138	180	250	575 7 9 0	89	390	14	276	360	500	1,150 15 2 0	59	208-A-1	..	22	28	40	90 1 2 0	224	208-A-1	15	..	..	1,260	2,714 30 9 6	91	390	..	22	28	40	15 0 15 0							90 1 2 0
Patta number.	Field number.	Palmyras.	Assessment.																																																														
		Kattukal.	Vadavil.	Total.	Rs. A. P.																																																												
86	390	7	138	180	250	575 7 9 0																																																											
87	390	7	138	180	250	575 7 9 0																																																											
89	390	14	276	360	500	1,150 15 2 0																																																											
59	208-A-1	..	22	28	40	90 1 2 0																																																											
224	208-A-1	15	..	..	1,260	2,714 30 9 6																																																											
91	390	..	22	28	40	15 0 15 0																																																											
						90 1 2 0																																																											
66	Alwar Nadan, pattadar No. 224 of Kanam.	Do.																																																															
68	Latchumana Nadan, pattadar No. 91 of Kanam.	24th Jan. 1888.																																																															
71	Saravana Mudaliar, village munisi of Kanam.	29th Jan. 1888.	Applies for exclusion from the proposed reserved forest of 540 acres out of field No. 390 of Kanam, as their village natham and 0.50 acres in field No. 387 as being their sanudayam patta.	The District Forest-officer desires that, in order to make the boundaries more regular, fields— ACS. No. 385 5.95 " 386 1.16 " 387 3.48 " 390-A 6.40 Total .. 15.99 may be excluded from the proposed reserved forest.																																																													

21st JUNE 1892, Nos. 636, 636A, REVENUE. 25

67	Abel Arulanatham and 33 other ryots of Semmarikulam.	29th Jan. 1888.	Pray that field No. 388-A, 801.79 acres of Semmarikulam, included in the proposed reserved forest, may be granted to them on patta.			<p>This petition was first of all received out of time, for which no sufficient reason is given. Petitioners admit that they have not got a patta for the land since the settlement, i.e., field 1284, or for upwards of 12 years. They further admit that they have ever since been applying for patta, but without success. Without patta and without enjoyment, petitioners acquire no right whatever over Government land. Their claim is therefore inadmissible. It is accordingly dismissed as such. TINNEVELLY, (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. 31st January 1888.</p> <p>This petitioner already submitted a petition under date the 7th January in which the claims herein mentioned were not included. Petitioner does not now give sufficient reason for coming late. His present petition is therefore inadmissible. His petition of 7th January was already disposed of yesterday in claims Nos. 65 A and B. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. TINNEVELLY, 31st January 1888.</p>
72	A. Alwar Nadan, pattadar No. 75 of Kanam on behalf of himself and his villagers.	31st Jan. 1888.	Prays (1) that about 30 acres of land adjoining patta field No. 175-A in field No. 208-A-1 of Kanam may be excluded from the proposed reserved forest for building houses; (2) that their right to patta usufruct over mango, coconut and iluppai trees in fields Nos. 208 and 209 of Kanam may be continued to them; (3) that they may be permitted to repair as usual the two foundations called Kanambuthan in field No. 208 and Sunmayuthu in field No. 209 during summer; and (4) that Sunayuthu, 34.66 acres, may not be included in the proposed reserved forest.			<p>According to the village accounts, there are in favour of claimant No. 25-B, 11 vadavils, palmyras assessed at Rs. 2-6, and 15 vadavils and in favour of claimants No. 26-B (patta No. 60), one kattukal assessed at 6 pies, and 50 vadavils. The claim therefore is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act subject to the same rules, incidents and provisions laid down in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39 <i>supra</i>. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. TINNEVELLY, 31st February 1888.</p> <p>According to the village accounts, there are in favour of the claimants (patta No. 69) 1,892 palmyras, viz., 540 paruvum, 987 kattukal and 155 olavettu, assessed at Rs. 67-2-9, and 2,051 vadavils not brought to assessment. The claim is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act subject to the same rules, incidents and provisions as in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39 <i>supra</i>. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. TINNEVELLY, 3rd February 1888.</p>
25-B	A. Magudu Mohideen Marakatur, pattadar No. 71 of Kayamoli.	17th Dec. 1887.	Bring to notice the fact of their having certain patta palmyras (not specified) in field No. 348-O of Kayamoli in the proposed Kudiraimoliteri reserved forest.	Claimants have defaulted appearance.	do.	
26-B	Sivaramappa & Perumal Nadan and Diravai Nadan, residing at Perumankurichi, Tanjavur taluk.	Do.		do.	do.	
1-B	Sivandi Athithya Nadan and 9 other ryots of Kayamoli.	30th Sept. 1887.	Bring to notice the fact of their having certain patta palmyras (not specified) in the proposed Kudiraimoliteri reserved forest in field No. 348-O of Kayamoli.	do.	do.	
20-B	Sivandi Athithya Nadan and 6 others of Kayamoli.	16th Dec. 1887.				

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved Forest—cont.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
23-C.	Ramanatha Nadan, a pattadar of Kudiramolli village.	16th Dec. 1887.	Brings to notice the fact of his having certain patta palmyras and vadulies (not specified) in field No. 32-A of Kudiramolli village included in the proposed Kudiramolli reserved forest. He does not even mention the number of his patta.	Claimant has defaulted appearance.	...	Claimant was summoned to appear on the 27th ultimo. The summons was duly served, but claimant has not yet turned up. Not having mentioned his patta number nor specified his trees, his claim cannot be identified, and the District Forest-officer is not in a position to admit it. In these circumstances the claim is dismissed for default.
2-D.	Aiyankannu Nadan and 2 other joint holders in patta No. 49 of Kudiramolli village.	8th Oct. 1887.	Bring to notice the fact of their having certain palmyras in fields Nos. 32-A and 49-C of Kudiramolli village in the proposed Kudiramolli reserved forest.	Village accounts and karnam's evidence.	The District Forest-officer does not oppose the claim.	TINNEVELLY, 3rd February 1888. According to the karnam's accounts, there are in favour of the claimants 233 seedlings of the time prior to settlement in field No. 32-A and 27 in field No. 49-C of Kudiramolli village. The claim is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act subject to the same rules, incidents and provisos as in claims Nos. 15-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39 <i>supra</i> .
37-B.	Sivananaja Perumal Nadan, residing at Therikudi-yiruppu of Kayamoli.	17th Dec. 1887.	Brings to notice the fact of his having certain patta palmyras (not specified) in field No. 32 of Kudiramolli village included in the proposed Kudiramolli reserved forest.	do.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim and to the continuance of the right to the claimants.	TINNEVELLY, 3rd February 1888. According to the village accounts, there are in claimant's patta No. 138, 5 paravum, 14 kuttukai and 22 oleivetti, total 41, assessed at Rs. 1-1-8. The claim to the patta usufruct over these trees is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act subject to the same rules, incidents and provisos as in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39 <i>supra</i> .
16-B.	Lutchumana Mukundan alias Samuel Mukundan and 2 others of Kudiramolli village.	13th Dec. 1887.	Bring to notice the fact of their having certain patta palmyras (not specified) in fields Nos. 32-A and 49-C of Kudiramolli village included in the proposed Kudiramolli reserved forest.	Claimants defaulted appearance; but the claim is supported by the village accounts and evidence of karnam.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim and to the continuance of the right to the claimants.	TINNEVELLY, 3rd February 1888. According to the karnam's accounts, there are in claimant's patta No. 137, 81 paravum, 56 kuttukai and 9 oleivetti, total 96, assessed at Rs. 3-13-3 in field No. 32-A, and 11 paravum, 14 kuttukai and 24 oleivetti, total 49, assessed at Rs. 1-8-0, and 38 vadulies in field No. 49-C of Kudiramolli village. The claim to the patta usufruct over the trees and seedlings is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act subject to the same rules, incidents and provisos as in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39 <i>supra</i> .

(Signed) A. PINTO,
Forest Sett.-officer.TINNEVELLY,
3rd February 1888.

69-A.	Vairavanatha Nadan and Sivananajaperumal Nadan, residing at Therikudi-yiruppu, hamlet of Kaya-molli.	27th Jan. 1888.	Pray that about 300 acres of land comprising their patta palmyras in field No. 32 of Kudiramolli village in the proposed Kudiramolli reserved forest, may be granted to them on patta.	Claimants have defaulted appearance.	...	The granting of patta for Government land is not within the scope of the Forest Settlement-officer's duties. The claim is inadmissible. It is dismissed, as such, and also for default.
69-B.	Vairavanatha Nadan and Sivananajaperumal Nadan, residing at Therikudi-yiruppu, hamlet of Kaya-molli.	Do.	Bring to notice the fact of their having certain patta palmyras (not specified) in field No. 32 of Kudiramolli village included in the proposed Kudiramolli reserved forest.	Village accounts and karnam's evidence, but claimants defaulted appearance.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the admission of the claim and to the continuance of the right to the claimants.	TINNEVELLY, 3rd February 1888. According to the village accounts there are in claimant's patta No. 135, 8 paravum, 31 kuttukai and 65 oleivetti, total 92, assessed at Rs. 2-3-0, and 173 vadulies assessed. The claim to the patta usufruct over these trees and seedlings is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act, subject to the same rules, incidents and provisos as in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39 <i>supra</i> .
70-A.	Sudaimuthu Mukundan, of Kudiramolli, residing at Narakuar, hamlet of Pallipattu.	21st Jan. 1888.	Prays for patta for about 100 acres comprising his patta palmyras in field No. 32-A of Kudiramolli included in the proposed Kudiramolli reserved forest.	...	...	TINNEVELLY, 3rd February 1888. The granting of patta for Government waste is not within the scope of the Forest Settlement-officer's duties. The claim is dismissed as inadmissible.
70-B.	Sudaimuthu Mukundan, of Kudiramolli, residing at Narakuar, hamlet of Pallipattu.	Do.	Brings to notice the fact of his having certain patta palmyras in field No. 32-A of Kudiramolli village included in the proposed Kudiramolli reserved forest.	Village accounts and karnam's evidence.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the claim being admitted and the right being continued to the claimants.	TINNEVELLY, 3rd February 1888. According to the village accounts there are in claimant's patta No. 131, 1 paravum, 6 kuttukai and 30 oleivetti, total 37, assessed at Rs. 11-6 in field No. 32-A of Kudiramolli village. The claim to the patta usufruct over these trees is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act, subject to the same rules, incidents and provisos as in claims Nos. 6-B, 15-B and 29 to 36, 38 and 39 <i>supra</i> .
76.	S. Ramaswami Nadan and six other joint holders in patta No. 69 of Kanam village.	14th Feb. 1888.	Pray that their right over the patta palmyras, mango trees &c., in field No. 208-A-1 of Kanam village included in the proposed reserved forest may be confirmed to them.	...	...	TINNEVELLY, 3rd February 1888. The usufruct over the palmyras and seedlings now claimed by the petitioners in patta No. 69 was claimed by one Alwar Nadan and others, and has been admitted in favour of all the joint holders— <i>vide</i> order in claims Nos. 43 to 61, 64, 65-B, 66 and 68, dated 30th January 1888. The petitioners may, if so minded, obtain a copy of the same. The claim to the patta usufruct over mango trees is dismissed, sufficient reason not being given for coming late.

(Signed) A. PINTO,
Forest Sett.-officer.RAJAWOLAH,
20th February 1888.

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved Forest—cont.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
76	V. Sivasubramania Nadan, pattadar No. 162 of Kanam village.	17th Feb. 1888.	Claims patta usufruct over 107 palm trees and vadaias in field No. 208-A1, of Kanam in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest.	The petitioner states that he was not served with proclamation notice and hence the delay in preferring his claim.		The petitioner's statement that he was not served with proclamation notice is not correct. He being absent from home, his son, Arumuga Nadan, was served with the proclamation notice on the 9th November 1887. The time for preference of claims expired by 15th December 1887; sufficient reason not having been assigned for coming so late as 17th February, the petition is dismissed. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. RAJAPOLHIM, 20th February 1888.
77	Sivanadia Nadan and Mutlu Nadan, joint holders in patta No. 60 of Kanam.	9th Feb. 1888.	Claim patta usufruct over certain palm trees and vadaias (not specified) included in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest within the limits of Kanam village.	The petitioners state, as the cause of delay in preferring claim, that they were under the mistaken impression that their trees were on the tank water-spread.		Of the claimants Sivanadia Nadan was served with a proclamation notice on 11th November 1887 and Mutlu Nadan on 20th December 1887. The time originally fixed for preference of claims expired on the 15th December 1887, and the extension of time specially granted to the latter expired on 7th January 1888. Claimants did not put in their claims till the 9th February 1888 and have not given sufficient or intelligible reason for coming late. They say that they were under the impression that their patta trees were within the tank water-spread, but why this is a reason is not clear. Claimants were summoned to appear in person with a view to clearing up this matter, and, if necessary, disposing of the claim. They have not appeared to this day, though the time fixed was 23rd February 1888. Under these circumstances, the claim is dismissed as time barred. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. SHIVILLIPUTTUR, 25th February 1888.
77	Arasakumara Pattadar No. 161 of Kanam village.	17th Feb. 1888.	Claims in field No. 208-A1, of Kanam, included in the proposed reserved forest patta usufruct over the palm trees and vadaias recorded in his own patta Nos. 160 and 161 of Patta Nadan and also over 10 palm trees and 50 vadaias purchased by him out of joint patta No. 59.			The time fixed for preference of claims expired in 15th December 1887. The petitioner has not given sufficient reason for coming late. The petition is therefore dismissed. Moreover, the palm trees and vadaias included in patta No. 59 were claimed by one Alwar Nadan and others of Kanam and the usufruct has been admitted and recorded in favour of all the joint-holders. The petitioner, if he wants, may obtain a copy of the same. The trees in patta Nos. 160 and 161 do not appear from the village accounts to have been included in the proposed reserved forest. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. SHIVILLIPUTTUR, 26th February 1888.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
74.	Kumaraswami Nadan of Pudukudi, hamlet of Kanam village.	14th Feb. 1888.	Appears to claim the trees in patta No. 69 of Kanam included in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest.			If petitioner refers to the trees in patta No. 69, the right has already been admitted on the claim of Alwar Nadan and others. The other matters in the petition are unintelligible and no notice can be taken of the same. The petition is therefore dismissed. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. PERIARULAM, 10th March 1888.
76.	9 Thomas Nadan of Semmarikulam.	9th Mar. 1888.	Claims patta usufruct on behalf of himself and his brother and other joint holders over the palm trees and other joint patta Nos. 238 and 239 of Semmarikulam and included in the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest.			Petitioner admits that he has himself a right to the patta trees and is in enjoyment thereof. 2. Proclamation notice was served on him on 14th November 1887 and the time for preference of claims expired on 15th December 1887. 3. Petitioner gives no sufficient reason for coming late and the petition is insufficiently stamped. For these reasons the claim is inadmissible and is accordingly dismissed. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. CHUTRAPATTY, 23rd March 1888.
79.	District Forest-officer.	5th May 1888.	Brings to notice 28 public rights of way through the proposed Kudiramoliteri reserved forest.			The following public rights of way are admitted and recorded under section 11 of the Forest Act— (1) Cart-track from Kudiramoliteri village to Eluvaram village starting from field No. 91 of the former, passing through forest field No. 388-A of Semmarikulam to field No. 103 of Eluvaram; distance through forest 2 miles, 4 furlongs and 177 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts. (2) Foot-path from Semmarikulam to Uthupattinam starting from field No. 276 of the former and passing through forest field No. 388 of Semmarikulam and No. 32 of Kudiramoliteri to field No. 127 of Uthupattinam; distance through forest 3 miles 4 furlongs and 61 yards and breadth 5 feet. (3) Cart-track from Semmarikulam to Uthupattinam starting from field No. 127 of Uthupattinam; distance through forest 2 miles 5 furlongs and 211 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men and cattle. (4) Foot-path from Uthupattinam to Paliapattu starting from field No. 79 of the former and passing through forest field No. 32 of Kudiramoliteri to field No. 328 of Paliapattu; distance through forest 4 miles and 211 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for men and cattle. (5) Cart-track from Uthupattinam to Kachinavillai starting from field No. 79 of the former and passing through forest field No. 325 of Kachinavillai to field No. 11257 of the same village; distance through forest 7 furlongs and 132 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts. (6) Foot-path from Uthupattinam to Kanam starting from field No. 55 of the former and passing through forest field No. 4 of Kanam; distance through forest 3 miles 6 furlongs and 84 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for men only. (7) Foot-path from Uthupattinam to Kanam starting from field No. 53 of the former and passing through forest field No. 325 of Kachinavillai and 32 of Kudiramoliteri to field No. 384 of Kanam; distance through forest 7 furlongs and 132 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for men only. (8) Cart-track from Uthupattinam to Kachinavillai starting from field No. 62 of the former and passing through forest field Nos. 325 and 140 of Kachinavillai to field No. 712 of Kachinavillai; distance through forest 1 mile, 5 furlongs and 7 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts. (9) Foot-path from Uthupattinam to Kanam starting from field No. 143 of the former and passing through forest field Nos. 300, 303 of Uthupattinam and 32 of Kudiramoliteri to field No. 384 of Kanam; distance through forest 1 mile 6 furlongs and 44 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for cattle. (Signed) A. PINTO, Forest Sett.-officer. CHUTRAPATTY, 23rd March 1888.

* Joins with road No. III at the entrance of Nazzareth (No. 167).
† Joins with foot-path No. 6 in the Kudiramoliteri.
‡ This road comes from bloodhar.
§ These foot-paths Nos. 9, 11 and 12 meet at the entrance of the Kudiramoliteri survey No. 31 and forms as one path and joins foot-path No. 6 to go to Kanam, &c.
¶ 825-D.

Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kudiraimoliteri reserved Forest—cont.

No.	Name of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
						<p>10. Cart-track * from Nazareth to Semmarikulam starting from field No. 127 of the former and passing through forest field Nos. 167 of Nazareth, 32 of Kudiraimoliteri and 388 of Semmarikulam to field No. 287 of Semmarikulam village; distance through forest 4 miles 2 furlongs and 126 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts.</p> <p>11. ** Foot-path from Nalunavadi to Kanam starting from field No. 294 of the former and passing through forest field Nos. 296, 301, 303 of Nalunavadi and 32 of Kudiraimoliteri to field No. 384 of Kanam; distance through forest 1 mile 6 furlongs and 77 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for men only.</p> <p>12. ** Foot-path from Nalunavadi to Kanam starting from field No. 200 of the former and passing through forest fields Nos. 280, 303, 304 of Nalunavadi and 32 of Kudiraimoliteri to field No. 384 of Kanam; distance through forest 1 mile 6 furlongs and 17 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for men only.</p> <p>13. Foot-path from Nalunavadi to Kanam starting from field No. 287 of the former and passing through forest fields Nos. 288 and 289 of Nalunavadi and ** 208 and 390 of Kanam village to field No. 392 of Kanam; distance through forest 1 mile 7 furlongs and 65 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for men and cattle.</p> <p>14. Foot-path † in the village of Kanam starting from field No. 158 and passing through forest field No. 209; distance through forest 176 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for men only.</p> <p>15. Foot-path † from Kayampalli to Thenmadipannai starting from field No. 410 of the former and passing through forest field No. 32 of Kudiraimoliteri to field No. 79 of Thenmadipannai; distance through forest 1 mile 5 furlongs and 144 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for men only.</p> <p>16. Cart-track from Kayampalli to Kudiraimoliteri; distance through forest 5 furlongs and 110 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts.</p> <p>17. Cart-track from Kayampalli to Kudiraimoliteri starting from field No. 422 of the former and passing through forest field No. 32 of Kudiraimoliteri to field No. 31 of Kudiraimoliteri; distance through forest 6 furlongs and 22 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts.</p> <p>18. Foot-path from Kayampalli starting from field No. 548 and passing through forest fields Nos. 32 of Kudiraimoliteri and 318 of Kayampalli to field No. 3 of Kayampalli; distance through forest 1 mile and 1 furlong and breadth 5 feet. Open for men only.</p> <p>19. Foot-path † from Kayampalli to Ulurshapattu (Nazareth) starting from field No. 530 of the former and passing through forest field No. 32 of Kudiraimoliteri and 167 of Nazareth to field No. 127 of Ulurshapattu; distance through forest 4 miles 2 furlongs and 101 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for men only.</p> <p>20. Foot-path from Kudiraimoliteri to Kayampalli starting from field No. 8 of the former and passing through forest field No. 32 of Kudiraimoliteri to field No. 422 of Kayampalli; distance through forest 5 furlongs and 130 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for men only.</p> <p>21. Cart-track from Kudiraimoliteri starting from field No. 47 and passing through forest field No. 49 to field No. 90 of Kudiraimoliteri; distance through forest 7 furlongs and 101 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts.</p> <p>22. Cart-track † from Kudiraimoliteri starting from field No. 48 and passing through forest field No. 49 of Kudiraimoliteri to field No. 90 of Kudiraimoliteri; distance through forest 1 furlong and 100 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts.</p> <p>23. Cart-track from Kayampalli starting from field No. 3 and passing through forest field No. 348 to field No. 409 of Kayampalli village; distance through forest 5 furlongs and 110 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts.</p> <p>24. Foot-path from Kayampalli starting from field No. 8 and passing through forest field No. 348 to field No. 376 of Kayampalli; distance through forest 6 furlongs and 60 yards and breadth 5 feet. Open for men only.</p> <p>25. Cart-track from Kayampalli starting from field No. 43 and passing through forest field No. 348 to field No. 350 of Kayampalli; distance through forest 6 furlongs and 5 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts.</p> <p>26. Cart-track from Kayampalli starting from field No. 342 and passing through forest field No. 348 to field No. 349 of Kayampalli; distance through forest 3 furlongs and 99 yards and breadth 18 feet. Open for men, cattle and carts.</p>

SADAPET,
5th May 1893.(Signed) A. PINTO,
Forest Sett.-officer.

* This is the road passing by the well in survey No. 32, Kudiraimoliteri.

† This way leads to Shunai.

‡ Joins the foot-path No. 4 in the Kudiraimoliteri survey No. 32.

§ Touches south-west corner of survey No. 31, Kudiraimoliteri, and joins with road No. 3 in the Nazareth survey No. 167.

|| Joins with road No. 21.

¶ 290.

** Does not pass through this number.

†† These foot-paths Nos. 9, 11 and 12 meet at the entrance of the Kudiraimoliteri survey No. 31 and forms as one path and joins foot-path No. 6 to 90 to Kanam, &c.

Remarks column of the B register.

1. Path No. 1 enters the forest on the west side of survey No. 91 of Kudiraimoliteri and leaves it on the east side of survey No. 103 of Eluvamuki.
2. Path No. 2 enters the forest on the north side of survey No. 376 of Semmarikulam and joins path No. 3 30 chains south of cairn No. 4. Its correct distance through the forest is 3 miles 6 furlongs and 176 yards.
3. Path No. 3 enters the forest on the north side of survey No. 440 of Semmarikulam and leaves it at cairn No. 4. Its correct distance through the forest is 2 miles 6 furlongs and 4 yards.
4. Path No. 4 enters the forest at the south side of survey No. 79 of Thenmadipannai and leaves it at the north side of survey No. 328 of Pallipattu.
5. Path No. 5 enters the forest on the east side of survey No. 79 of Thenmadipannai and leaves it on the south side of survey No. 251 of Kachinavillai.
6. Path No. 6 enters the forest on the east side of survey No. 55 of Thenmadipannai and leaves it on the west side of survey No. 399-A of Kanam about 2 chains south of cairn No. 56.
7. Path No. 7 enters the forest on the east side of survey No. 53 of Thenmadipannai and joins path No. 6 about 26 chains south-east of the point of its entrance. Its correct distance through it is 3 furlongs and 207 yards.
8. Path No. 8 enters the forest on the east side of survey No. 62 of Thenmadipannai and leaves it at south side of survey No. 132 of the same village.
9. Path No. 9 enters the forest on the south side of survey No. 143 of Nalunavadi and joins path No. 6 at 2 furlongs and 110 yards from the point of its exit. Its correct distance through the forest is 2 miles and 1 furlong.
10. Path No. 11 enters the forest on the south side of survey No. 143 of Nalunavadi and joins path No. 9 at a point 1 mile 2 furlongs and 88 yards south-east of the point of its entrance. Its correct distance through the forest is 1 mile.
11. Path No. 12 enters the forest on the south side of survey No. 290 of Nalunavadi and joins path No. 9 at 1 mile 2 furlongs 198 yards from the point of its entrance. Its correct distance is 1 mile 46 yards.
12. Path No. 13 enters the forest on the south side of survey No. 287 of Nalunavadi and leaves on the north side of survey No. 392 of Kanam.
13. Path No. 14 enters the forest on the east side of survey No. 153 of Kanam and passing through forest No. 209 of that village to Shunai (springs).
14. Path No. 15 enters the forest at a point about 12 chains west of cairn No. 62 and joins path No. 4 at 1 mile 1 furlong and 88 yards from the point of its exit. Its correct distance through the forest is 1 mile 2 furlongs and 176 yards.
15. Path No. 16 enters the forest 1½ chains north of cairn No. 67 and leaves it at the east side of survey No. 31 of Kudiraimoliteri-Karukopalan koil.
16. Path No. 17 enters the forest on the west side of survey No. 422 of Kayampalli and leaves it at the east side of field No. 31 of Kudiraimoliteri.
17. Path No. 18 enters the forest on the north side of survey No. 548 of Kayampalli and leaves it at a point 3 chains north of cairn No. 67. Its correct distance through the forest is 4 furlongs.
18. Path No. 19 enters the forest on the north side of survey No. 559 of Kayampalli and leaves it at 20 chains south-west of cairn No. 77; again entering the forest at cairn No. 92 and joins path No. 3 at the point of its junction with path No. 2. Its correct distance through the forest is 3 miles and 4 furlongs.
19. Path No. 20 enters the forest on the north side of field No. 8 of Kudiraimoliteri and leaves at the east side of survey No. 422 of Kayampalli.
20. Path No. 21 enters the forest at the south side of field No. 47 and leaves it at the north side of No. 90, all of Kudiraimoliteri. Its correct distance through the forest is 1 furlong and 150 yards.
21. Path No. 22 enters the forest on the south side of field No. 48 of Kudiraimoliteri and joins path No. 21* at a point 3 furlongs from its starting point. Its correct distance through the forest is 1 furlong and 88 yards.
22. Path No. 23 enters the forest 6 chains north of cairn No. 75 to the south side of survey No. 3 of Kayampalli. Its correct distance through the forest is 66 yards.
23. Path No. 24 enters the forest on the south side of survey No. 8 and leaves it on the north side of survey No. 376 of Kayampalli.
24. Path No. 25 enters the forest on the south side of survey No. 43 and leaves it on north side of survey No. 350 of Kayampalli.
25. Path No. 26 enters the forest on the west side of survey No. 342 and leaves it on the north side of survey No. 349 of Kayampalli.

(Signed) C. RAJU SASTRI,
Forest Sett.-officer.

KACHIRAKAPALAYAM.

ORDER—dated 21st June 1892, No. 636, Revenue.

Forest, No. 136.

The draft notification, as amended by the Board of Revenue, is approved and will be published in the Fort St. George and Tinnevely District Gazettes, the 1st November 1892 being entered as the date from which the reservation shall take effect.

2. As the number of admitted rights of way is very large, the Collector should be instructed to report, in consultation with the District Forest-officer, on the feasibility of closing some of them under section 20 of the Madras Forest Act.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue, with map.
„ the Superintendent, Government Press, with notification.

No. 636A.

Copy to the Government of India, Revenue and Agricultural Department.

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

NOTIFICATION.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to declare, under the provisions of section 16 of the Madras Forest Act (V of 1882), that, from 1st November 1892, the area, the boundaries of which are set forth in the schedule appended to this notification, shall be constituted "reserved forest."

SCHEDULE.

District.	Taluk.	Number of block.	Name of block.	Boundaries.
Tinnevely	Tenkarai ..	26	Kudiraimoli-teri ..	Block A. North.—Starting from cairn No. 11 about thirteen and a half chains south of the north-east corner of survey No. 86 of Nazareth, the line runs along the west, south and east limits of the 2nd kandam and the east limit of the 1st kandam, both of Thenmadipannai village, to the south-west corner of survey No. 320 of Kachanavilai; thence along the south sides of survey Nos. 320 and 321 and the east sides of survey Nos. 321, 319 and 316 to the south-west corner of survey No. 295; thence along the south sides of that field, the west and south sides of survey No. 259 and south side of survey No. 258 to cairn No. 12 about two chains west of the south-east corner of last mentioned field; thence south to cairn No. 13 at the south-west corner of survey No. 325 C; thence south-east to cairn No. 14 at its south-east corner; thence north to cairn No. 15 at the south-west corner of survey No. 252; thence along the south limit of the 3rd kandam to the north-west corner of survey

No. 323; thence along the west side of survey No. 323 and the west and south sides of survey No. 324 to the south-east corner of the latter (cairn No. 16); thence south to cairn No. 17 at the south-west corner of survey No. 325 A; thence east to cairn No. 18 at its south-east corner; thence north about four chains to cairn No. 19; thence west about three chains to cairn No. 20; thence north to cairn No. 21 on the south side of survey No. 178; then along its south side and the south and east sides of No. 172 to the south-west corner of No. 161; thence along the south sides of survey Nos. 161 and 158 to cairn No. 22 at the north-west corner of survey No. 160 A; thence south for about two chains to its south-west corner (cairn No. 23); thence east to its south-east corner (cairn No. 24); thence north to the south-east corner of survey No. 159 (cairn No. 25); thence along the east side of that field to the south-west corner of survey No. 160; thence the south sides of survey Nos. 150, 148, 141, 142, 139, 136 and 135 to the south-east corner of the last mentioned field; thence along the west and south sides of survey No. 132, the south sides of survey Nos. 131 and 128 and the south and east sides of survey No. 127 to the north-east corner of last mentioned field (cairn No. 26); thence north-east to the south-west corner of survey No. 31 (cairn No. 27); thence its south side to its south-east corner (cairn No. 28); thence south to the south-west corner of survey No. 29 A (cairn No. 29) of Kachanavilai village; thence east about two chains to cairn No. 30; thence south-east for about three chains to cairn No. 31; thence east-south-east to cairn No. 22 on the west side of survey No. 143 of Nalunavadi; thence the west and south sides of survey No. 143, the south side of survey No. 299, and the south and east sides of survey No. 288 to the north-east corner of last mentioned field (cairn No. 33); thence east for about two chains to cairn No. 34 at the south-east corner of survey No. 297 B; thence north to cairn No. 35 at its north-east corner; thence the south side of survey No. 146 to cairn No. 36 at the north-west corner of survey No. 296 A2; thence south to cairn No. 37 at its south-west corner; thence east to cairn No. 38 at its south-east corner; thence north to a cairn No. 39 at its north-east corner; thence the south side of survey No. 146 to the north-west corner of survey No. 291; thence the west side of that field and the west and south sides of survey No. 292 to the south-east corner of the latter; thence the south side of survey No. 291 and the south and east sides of survey No. 290 to the north-east corner of the latter; thence along the south side of the 6th kandam to the south-west corner of survey No. 286; thence along the south and east sides of that field to the south-west corner of survey No. 287; thence along the south and east side of survey No. 287 to cairn No. 40 at the south-west corner of survey No. 278 A; thence east to cairn No. 41 at the easternmost corner of survey No. 277, all of Nalunavadi village.

East.—Thence along the west and south sides of survey No. 171 of Kanam to north-west corner of survey No. 172; thence along the west side of that field and the west and south sides of survey No. 175 to cairn No. 42 at the north-west corner of survey No. 298 B, all of Kanam village; thence south for about two chains to its south-west corner (cairn No. 43); thence east to its south-east corner (cairn No. 44); thence north to cairn No. 45 on the south side of survey No. 175; thence along the south and east sides of that field to the south sides of survey Nos. 186, 187, 188 and 187 to the south-east corner of the last mentioned field; thence along the west side of the survey No. 158 to cairn No. 46 at the south-east corner of survey No. 203 A-2; thence north to cairn No. 47 at its north-east corner; thence west to cairn No. 48 at its north-west corner; thence south to cairn No. 49 at its south-west corner; thence east to cairn No. 46 again; thence the south-west side of 158 again to its southernmost point; then a straight line southwards to a kandam stone north-east of the north-east corner of survey No. 388; thence a straight line to that corner; thence along its north side and the north and west sides of F. No. 389 to the south-west corner of the last mentioned field (cairn No. 50); thence west for about four chains to cairn No. 51; thence north for about three chains to cairn No. 52; thence west-north-west for about four chains to cairn No. 53; thence south about ten chains to cairn No. 54; thence south-east for about two chains to cairn No. 55; thence south for four chains to cairn No. 56; thence east-south-east for about two chains to cairn No. 57 on the west side of survey No. 385, all of Kanam village; thence along the west side of the said field to its south-west corner; thence along the north side of the 6th kandam of Pallipattu to the north-east corner of survey No. 391 of Kanam; thence along the north sides of survey Nos. 391 and 392 of Kanam to the north-west corner of the latter; thence its west side to its south-west corner; thence along the west limit of the Pallipattu 6th kandam and the north limit of the 7th kandam to cairn No. 68 at the north-west corner of survey No. 336 of Pallipattu; thence west-north-west about ten chains to cairn No. 69; thence south-south-west for about ten chains to cairn No. 60; thence south for about twenty chains to cairn No. 61; thence again southward for about twenty-eight chains to cairn No. 62; thence west for about twenty chains to cairn No. 63; thence south for about nine chains to cairn No. 64; thence south-east for about seventeen chains to cairn No. 65; thence east, south-east for about twelve chains to cairn No. 66; thence south for about eighteen chains to cairn No. 67 on the north side of survey No. 413 of Kayampalli; thence along the north and west sides of the 12 and of the 13th kandam to the north-east corner of survey No. 1 of Kudiraimoli; thence along the north and west sides of its 1st kandam to the north-west corner of survey No. 26 (cairn No. 84); thence north-west for about twenty-six chains to cairn No. 83; thence north for about seventeen chains to cairn No. 82; thence east-north-east for about twenty-five chains to cairn No. 81 at the north-west corner of survey No. 32 F; thence south for about fourteen chains to cairn No. 80 at its south-west corner; thence east for about nine chains to cairn No. 79 at its south-east corner; thence north for about sixteen chains to cairn No. 78 at its north-east corner; thence north-east to south-east corner of survey No. 31, cairn No. 77; thence along the east, north and west sides of that field to its south-west corner, cairn No. 92; thence west-south-west for about forty chains to cairn No. 91; thence south, south-west for about twenty chains to cairn No. 90; thence in the same direction for about eighteen chains to cairn No. 89; thence in the same direction for about ten chains to cairn No. 88; thence south-east for nine chains to cairn No. 87; thence east for about seventeen chains to cairn No. 86; thence east, south-east to cairn No. 85 at north-west corner of survey No. 42; thence along the west and south sides of the 4th kandam to the north-west corner of survey No. 57; thence along the west side of 6th kandam to cairn No. 99 at the north-east corner of survey No. 49 A; thence west for about three chains to cairn No. 100; thence south for about five chains to cairn No. 101; thence east for about two chains to cairn No. 102 on the west side of survey No. 55; thence along the west side of the 6th kandam to the south-west corner of survey No. 64, all of Kudiraimoli village.

South.—From the last point along the north side of survey No. 53, the north and west sides of survey No. 53 and the north side of survey No. 51 to cairn No. 93 at the north-west corner of the last mentioned field; thence north for about six chains to cairn No. 91 at the north-east corner of survey No. 49 B; thence west for about eighteen chains to cairn No. 95 at its north-west corner; thence south for about twelve chains to cairn No. 96 at the north-east corner of survey No. 90; thence along the north and west limits of the 7th kandam, all of Kudiraimoli, to the north-east corner of survey No. 374 of Semmarikulam; thence along the north limit of its 10th kandam, the east and north limits of the 4th and the north limit of the 3rd kandam of Padugai to the south-east corner of survey No. 359 of Semmarikulam.
West.—From the last point along the east and north limits of the 12th kandam of Semmarikulam, the east limits of the 7th and 6th kandams, and the east and north limits of the 5th kandam of Eluvamuki to the eastern boundary of Pidanneri village; thence along its east and north boundaries to cairn No. 1 about thirteen chains east of the south-east corner of survey No. 166 of Nazareth; thence north-north-east for about thirty-two chains to cairn No. 2; thence north-east for about fourteen chains to cairn No. 3; thence north-north-east

for about thirty-six chains to cairn No. 4; thence east for about eight chains to cairn No. 5; thence east-south-east for about eighteen chains to cairn No. 6; thence north for about two chains to cairn No. 7; thence north-west for about eight chains to cairn No. 8; thence north-east for about fifteen chains to cairn No. 9; thence again north-east for about thirty-six chains to cairn No. 10; thence east to cairn No. 11 at the starting point of the northern boundary.

BLOCK B.

North.—Starting from cairn No. 76 at the south side of survey No. 3 about five and a half chains east of its south-west corner, the line runs along the south limit of the 1st kandam to the south-east corner of survey No. 16.

East.—From the last point along the south limit of the 1st kandam and the west limits of the 2nd and 9th kandas to the north-east corner of survey No. 350.

South.—From the last point along the north limit of the 11th kandam to cairn No. 63 on the north side of survey No. 378 about three chains east of its north-west corner.

West.—From the last point a straight line north-north-east to cairn No. 69; thence a straight line east for about two chains to cairn No. 70; thence a straight line north for about six chains to cairn No. 71; thence a straight line east for about eight chains to cairn No. 72; thence a straight line north-north-west for about six chains to cairn No. 73; thence a straight line north-west for ten chains to cairn No. 74; thence a straight line west for about eight chains to cairn No. 75; thence a straight line north-north-west to cairn No. 76 at the starting point of the north boundary.

N.B.—The fields and kandas mentioned in the block B are all of Kayampalli village.

REMARKS.—The following public rights of way are admitted and recorded:—

- (1) Cart-track from Kudiraimoliteri village to Eluvamuki. Starting from the west side of field No. 91 of the former and passing through forest No. 388 A of Semmarikulam, leaves the reserve for the first time on the east side of field No. 440 of Semmarikulam, and re-entering it on the north side of that field runs north-easterly and leaves it on the east side of field No. 103 of Eluvamuki, distance through forest two miles four furlongs and one hundred and seventy-seven yards, and the breadth eighteen feet; open for men, cattle and carts.
- (2) Foot-path from Semmarikulam to Ulurshampattu alias Nazareth. Starting from the north side of survey No. 376 of the former and passing through forest field No. 388 A of Semmarikulam and 32 A of Kudiraimoli, joins path No. 3, thirty chains south of cairn No. 4; distance through forest three miles six furlongs and one hundred and seventy-six yards, breadth five feet; open for men only.
- (3) Cart-track from Semmarikulam to Ulurshampattu alias Nazareth. Starting from the north side of field No. 440 of the former and passing through forest field No. 388 A of Semmarikulam, No. 32 A of Kudiraimoli and No. 187-191 of Nazareth to cairn No. 4; distance through forest two miles six furlongs four yards and breadth eighteen feet; open for men, cattle and carts.
- (4) Foot-path from Thenmavadippannai to Pullipattu. Enters at the south side of survey No. 79 of the former and passing through the forest field No. 32 A of Kudiraimoli to the north side of survey No. 328 of Pullipattu; distance through forest four miles and two hundred and eleven yards and breadth five feet; open for men and cattle.
- (5) Cart-track from Thenmavadippannai to Kachanavilai. Starting from the east of survey No. 79 of the former and passing through field No. 325 B of Kachanavilai to the south side of field No. 251 of the same village; distance through the forest seven furlongs one hundred and thirty-two yards and breadth eighteen feet; open for men, cattle and carts.
- (6) Foot-path from Thenmavadippannai to Kanam. Starting from the east side of survey No. 55 of the former and passing through forest field No. 325 B of Kachanavilai, No. 32 A of Kudiraimoli, and No. 390 B of Kanam to the west side of survey No. 390 A of the last mentioned village about two chains south of cairn No. 55; distance through the forest three miles six furlongs and eighty-four yards and breadth five feet; open for men only.
- (7) Foot-path from Thenmavadippannai to Kanam. Starting from the east side of survey No. 53 of the former and passing through field No. 325 B of Kachanavilai and joining path No. 6 about twenty-six chains south-east of the point of its entrance; distance through forest three furlongs two hundred and seven yards and breadth five feet; open for men only.
- (8) Cart-track from Thenmavadippannai to Kachanavilai. Starting from the east side of survey No. 52 of the former and passing through forest fields Nos. 325 B and 140 of Kachanavilai to the south side of survey No. 132 of the same village; distance through forest one mile five furlongs and seven yards and breadth eighteen feet; open for men, cattle and carts.
- (9) Foot-path from Nalumavadi to Kanam. Starting from the south side of survey No. 143 of the former and passing through forest fields Nos. 309 and 303 of Nalumavadi and 32 A of Kudiraimoli village to path No. 6, which it joins at two furlongs one hundred and ten yards from the point of its exit; distance through forest two miles one furlong and breadth five feet; open for men and cattle.
- (10) Foot-path from Nalumavadi to Kanam. Starting from the south side of survey No. 146 of the former and passing through forest fields Nos. 294, 295, 301, and 303 of Nalumavadi and 32 A of Kudiraimoli to path No. 9 at a point one mile two furlongs and eighty-eight yards south-east of the point of its entrance; distance through the forest one mile and breadth five feet; open for men only.
- (11) Foot-path from Nalumavadi to Kanam. Starting from the south side of survey No. 290 of the former and passing through forest fields Nos. 289, 304 and 303 of Nalumavadi and 32 A of Kudiraimoli village to path No. 9, which it joins at one mile two furlongs one hundred and ninety-eight yards from the point of its entrance; distance through the forest one mile forty-six yards and breadth five feet; open for men only.
- (12) Foot-path from Nalumavadi to Kanam. Starting from the south side of survey No. 287 of the former and passing through forest fields Nos. 288 and 289 of Nalumavadi and 390 B of Kanam to the north side of field No. 392 of the latter village; distance through forest one mile seven furlongs and fifty-five yards and breadth five feet; open for men and cattle.
- (13) Foot-path from Kanam to Shonai. Starting from the east side of survey No. 158 of Kanam village and passing through forest field No. 209 of Kanam to Shonai (springs) for about one hundred and seventy-six yards five feet broad and open for men only.
- (14) Foot-path from Kayampalli to Thenmavadippannai. Starting from a point about twelve chains west of cairn No. 62 and passing through forest field No. 32 A of Kudiraimoli to path No. 4 which it joins at one mile one furlong eighty-eight yards from the point of its exit; distance through forest one mile two furlongs one hundred and seventy-six yards, breadth five feet; open for men only.
- (15) Cart-track from Kayampalli to Kudiraimoli. Starting from a point one and a half chains north of cairn No. 67 and passing through forest field No. 32 A of Kudiraimoli to the east side of survey No. 31 of Kudiraimoli,

- Karukopalan kovil. Distance through forest five furlongs one hundred and ten yards and breadth eighteen feet; open for men, cattle and carts.
- (16) Cart-track from Kayampalli to Kudiraimoli, starting from the west side of survey No. 422 of the former and passing through forest field No. 32 A of Kudiraimoli to the east side field No. 31 of Kudiraimoli; distance through forest five furlongs and twenty-two yards and breadth eighteen feet; open for men, cattle and carts.
 - (17) Foot-path from Kayampalli to field No. 3 of the same village, starting from the north side of survey field No. 648 of the former and passing through forest field No. 32 A of Kudiraimoli to a point three chains north of cairn No. 67; distance through the forest four furlongs and breadth five feet; open for men only.
 - (18) Foot-path from Kayampalli to Ulurshampattu alias Nazareth, starting from the north side of survey No. 559 of the former and leaving the forest twenty chains south-west of cairn No. 77; again entering the forest at cairn No. 92 and joining path No. 3 at the point of its junction with path No. 2; distance through forest three miles four furlongs and breadth five feet; open for men only.
 - (19) Foot-path from Kudiraimoli to Kayampalli, starting from the north side of field No. 8 of the former and passing through forest field No. 32 A of Kudiraimoli to the east side of survey field No. 422 of Kayampalli; distance through forest five furlongs and one hundred and thirty yards and breadth five feet; open for men only.
 - (20) Cart-track from Kudiraimoli, starting from the south side of field No. 47 and passing through field No. 49-C to the north side of 99, all of Kudiraimoli village; distance through forest one furlong and one hundred and fifty yards and breadth eighteen feet; open for cattle, men and carts.
 - (21) Cart-track from Kudiraimoli, starting from the south side of field No. 48 and passing through forest field No. 49 C of Kudiraimoli to path No. 20 which it joins at three furlongs from its starting point; distance through forest one furlong eighty-eight yards and breadth eighteen feet; open for men, cattle and carts.
 - (22) Cart-track from Kayampalli, starting from a point six chains north of cairn No. 75 and passing through field No. 343 O of Kayampalli to the south side of survey No. 3 of the same village; distance through forest sixty-six yards and breadth eighteen feet; open for men, cattle and carts.
 - (23) Foot-path from Kayampalli, starting from the south side of survey No. 8 and passing through forest field No. 348 O to the north side of survey No. 376 of Kayampalli; distance through forest five furlongs, sixty-six yards and breadth five feet; open for men only.
 - (24) Cart-track from Kayampalli, starting from the south side of survey No. 43 and passing through forest field No. 348 O to the north side of survey No. 350 of Kayampalli; distance through forest five furlongs and five yards and breadth eighteen feet; open for men, cattle and carts.
 - (25) Cart-track from Kayampalli, starting from the west side of survey No. 342 and passing through forest field No. 348 O to the north side of survey No. 349 of Kayampalli; distance through forest three furlongs and ninety-nine yards and breadth eighteen feet; open for men, cattle and carts.

2. The right to the putta usufruct over the palmyrahs specified below is admitted in favour of the respective claimants subject to any rules that may hereafter be made by His Excellency the Governor in Council under section 12 (b) of the Forest Act; also provided

- (1) that no grant, sale, lease, mortgage, or other alienation of the usufruct be made without the sanction of Government under section 19 of the said Act;
- (2) that no access be had to the trees without the permission of the Forest officer except for the purpose of removing the produce thereof.

3. The claimants are free to sell or barter the produce.

4. The claimants, except claimant No. 15 B, may measure the trees by bringing the manure from outside the forest. Claimants Nos. 6 B and 40 are allowed to exercise this right provided that they obtain the permission of the Forest officer before having access to the trees for this purpose.

5. The claimants will not be allowed to fold sheep, goats, &c., under the trees as it is incompatible with the forest conservancy.

Number of claims.	Names of claimants.	Village to which the trees belong.	Putta number.	Field on which the trees stand.	Palmyrahs.					Assessment.	Margues.	Assessment.
					Parvan.	Kattakal.	Alavetta.	Vadalia.	Total.			
6 B. and 2 B.	(1) Aiyankarun Nadan and others.	Kudiraimoli.	49	32 A.	170	499	562	263	1,494	Rs. A. P.	..	Rs. A. P.
			..	49 C.	10	25	28	27	90	1 13 6	..	..
15 B.	(2) Narayana Nadan	Nalumavadi.	83	278 B.	20	59	23	..	107	3 8 6	..	..
29	(3) Gnanamuthu Nadan for himself and other joint holders.	Semmarikulam.	5	388 A.	43	46	..	..	89	4 2 0	..	..
30	Swaminatha Pillai for himself and other joint holders.	Do.	19	..	24	47	..	..	71	2 15 6	..	..
31	V. Pitchumuthu Nadan for himself and other joint holders.	Do.	69	..	17	12	6	..	34	1 8 3	..	..
32	Do.	Do.	90	..	10	9	..	..	19	0 14 6	..	..
33	Do.	Do.	105	..	16	26	66	..	109	2 13 6	..	..
34	Do.	Do.	120	..	15	24	63	..	102	2 10 9	..	..
35	Do.	Do.	121	..	5	66	14	..	85	2 9 6	..	..
36	Do.	Do.	212	338 A.	72	82	35	..	189	7 9 9	..	..
37	Do.	Do.	251	..	..	2	163	..	155	2 9 9	..	..
38	Do.	Do.	232	..	..	43	235	..	278	5 0 3	..	..
39	Do.	Do.	233	..	6	6	1	..	13	0 9 3	..	..
40	Do.	Do.	234	..	14	16	20	..	50	1 11 0	..	..
41	Do.	Do.	235	..	10	30	259	..	299	5 9 9	..	..

Number of claims	Names of claimants.	Village to which the trees belong	Putta number.	Field on which the trees stand	Palmyrahs.					Assessment.	Mangoes.	Assessment.
					Paruvan.	Kattakai.	Alavettu.	Vadalia.	Total.			
34	Perumal Thevan for himself and other joint holders.	Sem-mari-kulam.	237	..	9	7	5	..	21	Rs. A. P. 0 13 9	..	Rs. A. P. ..
35	Do. ..	Do.	240	..	5	10	60	..	75	1 9 0	..	..
	Do. ..	Do.	241	..	16	40	25	..	81	2 10 2	..	..
	Pongathaperumal Thevan and three others for themselves and other joint holders.	Do.	242	..	19	32	21	..	72	2 8 3	..	..
	Do. ..	Do.	243	..	28	25	..	..	53	2 8 6	..	..
36	Do. ..	Do.	244	..	5	10	8	..	23	0 12 0	..	..
	Do. ..	Do.	245	..	14	12	..	..	26	1 4 0	..	..
	Do. ..	Do.	246	..	75	120	26	..	227	9 0 6	..	..
	Kumarasawmi Thevan on behalf of himself and his brother Velliah.	Do.	249	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
37	Do. ..	Do.	310	..	15	23	..	..	38	1 10 6	..	..
	Do. ..	Do.	313	..	39	65	56	..	160	5 6 6	..	..
38	Elya Nadan for himself and other joint holders.	Do.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
39	Aiyankannu Nadan.	Do.	..	..	467	788	1,145	..	2,400	71 11 3	..	..
(4)												
22 A.	Ponniiah Nadan	Kayamoli.	40	348 O.	7	15	36	200	258	1 7 6	..	..
22 B.	Swamikannu Nadan.	Do.	42	348 O.	5	8	17	170	200	0 13 3	..	..
(5)												
62	Abel Arulanantham Upathi and twelve others.	Sem-mari-kulam.	249	..	..	..	..	60	60	..	..	..
Do.	Do. ..	Do.	247	363 A.	12	60	92	60	214	3 12 0	..	..
	Do. ..	Do.	304	..	20	38	45	10	113	3 2 3	..	..
	Do. ..	Do.	143	..	13	16	..	..	29	1 5 0	..	..
(6)												
23 A.	Ponniiah Nadan	Kudiraimoli.	93	32 A.	6	25	19	..	50	1 7 3	..	..
23 B.	Swamikannu Nadan.	Do.	96	32 A.	6	18	14	..	38	1 2 6	..	..
(7)												
25 B.	Magadu Mohidien Markayar.	Kayamoli.	71	343 O.	..	..	10	15	25	0 2 6	..	..
26 B.	Sivananjaperumal Nadan and Thoiaviah Nadan.	Do.	69	348 O.	..	1	..	50	51	0 0 6	..	..
(8)												
1 B.	Sivanthi Athithiah Nadan and nine others.	Do.	69	348 O.	540	987	165	2,051	2,743	67 2 9	..	..
20 B.	Sivanthi Athithiah Nadan and six others.	Do.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
(9)												
23 B.	Nagalinga Tambiran, Agent of Tiruvaduthurai Mattam.	Kachinivilai.	2	333 B.	9	32	39	29	100	2 2 9	..	..
Do.	Do. ..	Do.	334	..	11	20	28	29	98	1 12 0	..	..
	Do. ..	Do.	335	..	9	17	29	14	69	1 8 9	..	..
	Do. ..	Do.	336	..	8	19	32	27	86	1 9 6	..	..
(10)												
27 B.	Chocklinga Pillai, authorized agent of Vedadri Dasa Mudaliar, guardian of minor Nagappa Mudaliar of Kachinivilai.	Do.	1	325 B.	37	83	128	100	353	7 1 0	..	..
Do.	Do. ..	Do.	140	..	3	5	..	..	8	0 5 6	2 0 8 0	..
	Do. ..	Do.	149	..	9	9	110	83	211	2 9 0	1 0 4 0	..
	Do. ..	Do.	160 B.	..	9	9	30	33	81	1 5 0	..	..
	Do. ..	Do.	322	..	77	85	32	60	244	7 15 6	..	..
Do.	Do. ..	Do.	325 B.	..	471	561	1,690	1,700	4,422	73 6 0	..	..
	Do. ..	Do.	..	..	569	669	1,852	1,866	4,966	85 9 0	3 0 12 0	..

Number of claims	Names of claimants.	Village to which the trees belong.	Putta number.	Field on which the trees stand.	Palmyrahs.					Assessment.	Mangoes.	Assessment.
					Paruvan.	Kattakai.	Alavettu.	Vadalia.	Total.			
17 B.	(10) Kattava Nadan and ten others, joint holders, on behalf of themselves and other joint holders.	Naluma-vadi.	51	278 B.	..	..	..	..	..	Rs. A. P.	..	Rs. A. P.
				283	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
				289	38	317	323	560	1,803	0 2 6	4 1 0	
				293	12	33	11	50	106	36 12 6	10 2 8	
				295	..	38	26	50	114	1 15 3	..	
				297 A.	10	45	102	25	182	1 9 6	..	
				301	25	111	404	100	640	3 10 0	..	
				303	7	47	23	50	127	11 5 6	..	
				304	12	142	50	70	274	2 4 3	..	
				..	..	..	..	..	..	5 15 6	..	
..	..	..	..	164	1,234	952	905	3,255	62 11 0	14 3 8 0		
41 B. 41 C. 41 D. 41 E. 41 F.	(11) Narayana Vativu Nadathi Bathiramuthu Nadan. D. M. Paramaiaza Nadan. Mathammi Nadathi. Theruvandi Nadan ..	Kudiraimoli Do. Do. Do. Do.	103 118 119 112 108	32 A.	4	14	2	..	20	0 11 6	1 0 4 0	
				32 A.	1	4	..	..	5	0 3 0	..	
				32 A.	..	8	..	..	8	0 4 0	1 0 4 0	
				32 A.	1	1	2	..	4	0 2 0	..	
				32 A.	1	1	2	..	4	0 2 0	..	
				..	7	28	6	..	41	1 6 6	2 0 8 0	
11 B. 11 C. 11 D. 11 E.	(12) Palavesa Muttu Mukundan. Eswadian Mukundan. Perumal Kon .. Sivanarmugam Nadan.	Do. Do. Do. Do.	70 63 37 60	32 A.	1	..	..	..	1	0 1 0	..	
				49 C.	1	..	..	..	1	0 1 0	..	
				32 A.	1	..	..	..	1	0 1 0	..	
				49 C.	..	2	..	..	2	0 1 0	..	
				32 A.	1	1	..	..	2	0 1 6	..	
				49 C.	1	1	..	..	2	0 1 6	..	
..	..	7	6	..	..	13	0 10 0	..				
12 B. 12 C. 12 D.	(13) Yova Nadan .. Pakya Nadas .. Sivanarmugam Nadan and Yova Nadan.	Do. Do. Do.	69 139 84	32 A.	..	1	..	..	1	0 0 6	..	
				49 C.	1	..	..	..	1	0 1 0	..	
				49 C.	12	23	8	..	43	1 9 6	..	
				32 A.	3	..	..	..	3	0 3 0	..	
				49 C.	5	15	20	..	46	1 3 0	..	
				..	21	39	34	..	94	3 1 0	..	
43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 64 65 B.	(14) Vela, utha Nadan .. Muthuswami Nadan. Peria Nadan .. Perumal Athithiah * Nadan. Pera Maya Nadan, son of Mutta Nadan. P. Chinna Nadan*.. Arumuga Nadan .. Parvathi Nadan .. Narayana Nadan .. Thiruthimuthu Nadan. Peria Thiruthimuthu Nadan. Arumugam Nadan .. M. Chinna Nadan .. P. Parvathi Nadan .. Siva Subramania Nadan. Sudalai Muttu Nadan Sivananjaperumal Nadan. Venkatachella Mudaliar, one of the joint holders. Saravana Mudaliar, puttadar No. 86 and purchaser of of putta No. 87. Valumailu Nadan .. Alwar Nadan and 3 others, joint holders in putta No. 69.	Kanam. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.	95 105 103 106 102 100 92 90 98 101 107 97 104 96 94 99 93 183 86 87 89 59	390 B.	..	16	22	30	68	0 13 6	..	
				390 B.	..	29	38	54	121	1 8 0	..	
				390 B.	..	28	39	53	120	1 7 9	..	
				390 B.	..	28	39	53	120	1 7 9	..	
				390 B.	..	28	39	53	120	1 7 9	..	
				390 B.	..	31	29	40	90	1 1 9	..	
				390 B.	..	33	42	60	135	1 11 0	..	
				390 B.	..	22	28	40	90	1 2 0	..	
				390 B.	..	64	86	120	270	3 5 6	..	
				390 B.	..	42	58	80	180	2 3 6	..	
				390 B.	..	28	38	53	119	1 7 6	..	
				390 B.	..	16	22	30	68	0 13 6	..	
				390 B.	..	28	38	54	120	1 7 6	..	
				390 B.	..	16	21	30	67	0 13 3	..	
				390 B.	..	16	21	30	67	0 13 3	..	
				390 B.	..	21	29	49	90	1 1 9	..	
				390 B.	..	31	42	60	135	1 11 0	..	
				390 B.	30	292	360	500	1,182	16 10 0	..	
				390 B.	7	138	180	250	575	7 9 0	..	
				390 B.	7	138	180	250	575	7 9 0	..	
390 B.	..	22	28	40	90	1 2 0	..					
208 A1	..	504	950	1,260	2,714	30 9 6	..					


Number of claims	Names of claimants.	Village to which the trees belong.	Putta number.	Field on which the trees stand.	Palmyrahs.					Assessment.	Mangoes.	Assessment.
					Paruvan.	Katalai.	Alavettu.	Vadallee.	Total.			
66	Alwar Nadan, putta- dar No. 224.	Kanam.	224	208 A1.	15	..	..	..	15	Rs. A. P. 0 15 0	..	Rs. A. P.
68	Lutchumana Nadan. (15)	Do.	91	390 B.	..	22	28	40	90	1 2 0	..	..
37 B.	Sivananajaperumal Nadan. (16)	Do.	138	32 A.	5	14	22	..	41	1 1 6	..	..
16 B.	Lutchumana Mukun- dan alias Samuel Mukundan and two others. (17)	Do. {	137	32 A. 49 C.	31 11	56 14	9 24	.. 38	96 87	3 13 3 1 8 0	..	..
69 B.	Vairavanatha Nadan and Sivananaja- perumal Nadan. (18)	Do.	135	32 A.	8	24	60	173	265	2 3 0	..	..
70 B.	Sudakimutta Mukun- dan.	Do.	131	32 A.	1	6	30	..	37	0 11 6	..	..
	Total ..		..	..	..	..	..	..	..	6,282 0 0	..	..
	Grand Total ..		..	..	..	..	..	..	..	25,341 0 0	..	..

636-636A dt 21-6-1892

Exd. A. Aubert..

Copies.

1-18 40
 7-18
 21-4-19
 25-8-21
 17-1-39
 23-2-40



Issued

Recd.

G.O., 21st June 18
 Nos. 636, 636A.

JUNE.

Draft Notification

His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to declare under the provisions of Section 16 of the Madras Forest Act V of 1892 that from 1st November 1892 the area, the boundaries of which are set forth in the Schedule appended to this notification shall be constituted "reserved forest."

Schedule

District	Taluk	N ^o . of Block	Name of Block	Boundaries	Remarks
Tinnevely	Tunkarai	26	Kudiraimolli	Block A.	
				North:- Starting from Carin N ^o . 11 about 13 1/2 chains South of the northeast corner of Survey N ^o . 86 of Vazareth, the line runs along the west, South and east sides of the 2 nd Kaudan and the east	The following public rights of way are admitted and recorded. 1. Cart track from Kudirai

Jinnivelly.

Jenkarai

26

Kudiraimoli

2 chains to its southwest corner from the north
 (Cavri N^o 23); thence east to its side of field
 Southeast corner cavri N^o 24; N^o 440 of the
 thence north to the South east — former and
 Corner of Survey N^o 159 (Cavri passing through
 N^o 25); thence along the east forest field N^o
 side of that field to south side 388 A of Sem-
 of Survey N^o 150; thence along — marikulam
 the South sides of Survey N^o N^o 32 A of
 150, 148, 141, 142, 139, 136 and 135 Kudiraimoli;
 to the Southeast corner of the last and N^o $\frac{167}{101}$
 mentioned field; thence along of Kageruth
 the west and south sides of to Cavri N^o 4.
 Survey N^o 132, the South side Distance through
 of Survey N^o 131, and 128 and forest 2 miles
 the South and east side of Survey 6 furlongs $\frac{448}{6}$
 N^o 127 to the north east corner garden and
 of last mentioned field; (Cavri breadth 18 feet
 N^o 26); thence north east to open for men,
 the South west corner of Survey cattle and
 N^o 31 (Cavri N^o 27); thence carb.
 along its south side to its IV. Footpath
 Southeast corner (Cavri N^o 28) from Theuma
 — vadi

Jinnivelly.

Jenkarai

26

Kudiraimoli

(Cavri N^o 28); thence south to the Theumavadi
 Southwest corner of Survey N^o — pannai to
 29 A (Cavri N^o 29) of Kachana Pullippattu
 — vilai village; thence east about from the south
 2 chains to cavri N^o 30. Thence side of Survey
 Southeast for about 3 chains to N^o 79 of the for-
 Cavri N^o 31; thence east South — over and pass-
 east to Cavri N^o 32 on the west — ing from the for-
 side of Survey N^o 143 of Kachu — east field of 24
 — mavadi; thence along the west of Kudiraimoli
 and South sides of Survey N^o to the north side
 143, the South side of Survey N^o 299 of Survey N^o
 and the South and east sides of 320 of Pullipattu
 Survey N^o 298 to the northeast Distance through
 Corner of last mentioned field; forest 4 miles
 (Cavri N^o 33); thence east for and 211 yards
 about 2 chains to cavri N^o 34 at and breadth 5
 the South east corner of Survey N^o feet open for
 297 B. Thence North to cavri N^o open and cattle
 35 at its northeast corner; thence V. least track
 along the South side of Survey N^o from Theuma
 146 to cavri N^o 36 at the northwest — dippannas
 Corner of Survey N^o 296 A; thence to Kachana.
 south to cavri N^o 37 at its south — vilai; starting
 — west corner; thence east to cavri from the east of

Tinnevely.

Tinkarai.

26

Hudraimolli.

Cavri N^o 38 at its southeast corner, of Survey N^o 79
thence north to cavri N^o 39 at its ^{of the former and}
northwest corner; thence along the ^{passing through}
south side of Survey N^o 146 to the field N^o 3258
northwest corner of Survey N^o 291; of Thachanarai
thence along the west side of that ^{to the south side}
field and the west and south ^{of field N^o 251}
sides of Survey N^o 292 to the ^{of the same vil.}
southeast corner of the latter; thence - lap. Distance
along the south side of Survey ^{through the forest}
N^o 291 and the south and east 7 furlongs 132
sides of Survey N^o 290 to the north yards and open
east corner of the latter; thence breadth 18 ft
along the south side of the 6th open for one, cattle,
Kandam to the south west corner and carb.
of Survey N^o 286; thence along the V. Foot path
south and east sides of that field from Thennam
to the southwest corner of Survey - dippamalai
N^o 287; thence along the south to Kanam -
and east side of Survey N^o 287 Starting from
to cavri N^o 40 at the southwest ^{the east side of}
corner of ^{Survey N^o} 278 A; thence east to Survey N^o 53
cavri N^o 41 at the eastermost of the former
corner of Survey N^o 277; All of and passing
through

Tinkarai.

26

Hudraimolli.

of Thallumavadi village; ^{through forest}
East: - Thence along the west and field N^o 3258
south sides of Survey 171 of Kanam of Thachanarai
to northwest corner of Survey N^o - cavri N^o 32 A of
172; thence along the west side ^{Hudraimolli}
of that field and the west and ^{and N^o 390 B of}
south sides of Survey N^o 175 to Kanam to the
cavri N^o 42 at the northwest ^{west side of}
corner of Survey N^o 208 B; thence Survey N^o 370 A
south for about 2 chains to its ^{of the last one.}
south west corner cavri N^o 43; ^{two} ^{times} village
thence east to ^{its} southeast corner about 2 chains
(cavri N^o 44); thence north to south of cavri
cavri N^o 45 on the south side of ^{N^o 55. Distance}
Survey N^o 175; thence along the ^{through the forest}
south and east sides of that field 3 miles 6 furlongs
to ^{the} southwest corner of Survey N^o and 84 yards
186; thence along the south sides and breadth 5
of Survey N^o 186, 187, 196, and feet open for
197 to the south east corner of the one only.
last mentioned field; thence along VII. Foot path
the west side of the ^{Survey N^o 158} ^{from Thennam}
to cavri N^o 46 at the Southeast - dippamalai
corner of Survey N^o 208 A; thence to Kanam.
starting

thence north to cairn N^o. 47 at its. Starting from
 northeast corner; thence west to cairn the east side
 N^o. 48 at its northwest corner; of Survey N^o. 53
 thence South to cairn N^o. 49. at of the former and
 its South west corner; thence east passing through
 to Cairn N^o. 46 again; thence along field N^o. 325 B
 the west side of the 2nd and 3rd of Kachana-
 Kaudam to the Southwest corner - vilai and
 of Survey N^o. 389 (Cairn N^o. 50); joining path
 thence west for about 4 chains N^o. 6 about
 to Cairn N^o. 51; thence north 26 chains south
 for about 3 chains to Cairn N^o. east of the point
 52; thence west northwest about of its entrance
 4 chains to Cairn N^o. 53; thence Distance through
 Southeast for about 2 chains to forest 3 furlongs
 Cairn N^o. 55; thence South for 4 207 yards and
 chains to Cairn N^o. 56; thence east breadth 5 feet
 Southeast for about 2 chains to open for men
 Cairn N^o. 57 on the west side of only.
 Survey N^o. 385, all of Kanaam VIII. least
 village; thence along the west side track from
 of the said field to its southwest Thennavedip
 corner; thence along the north side panna to
 of the 6th Kaudam of Pallipattu Kachanavilai
 Starting

a straight line
 in the middle
 of the road
 from the
 Cairn N^o. 50
 to the
 Cairn N^o. 51
 the distance
 is 100
 yards

Inuvellu
 Tenkari
 26
 Kachanavilai

Pallipattu to the northeast corner Starting from
 of Survey N^o. 391 of Kanaam; thence the east side
 along the north side of Survey N^o. 391
 and 392 of Kanaam to the 52 of the former
 northwest of the latter; thence along and passing
 the west side of the Pallipattu through forest
 6th Kaudam and the north side field N^o. 325 C
 of the 7th Kaudam to Cairn N^o. and 140 of field
 58 at the northwest corner of - navilai to the
 Survey N^o. 336 of Pallipattu; South side of
 thence west northwest about Survey N^o. 132
 10 chains to Cairn N^o. 59; thence of the same side
 South, Southeast for about Distance through
 10 chains to Cairn N^o. 60; thence forest 1 mile 5
 South for about 20 chains to Cairn furlongs and
 N^o. 61; thence again Southward 7 yards and
 for about 28 chains to Cairn N^o. breadth 18 feet
 62; thence east for about 20 open for men
 chains to Cairn N^o. 63; thence back cattle and
 for about 9 chains to Cairn N^o. 64; carts.
 thence Southeast for about 17 IX Foot path
 chains to Cairn N^o. 65; thence from Kalam
 -vadi to Kana.

Inuvellu
 Tenkari
 26
 Kachanavilai

Timberley.
Jankarai

26

Hudraimoli here

thence east, Southeast for about Kanam; Starting
12 chains to Cavin N^o 66; Thence from the South side
South for about 12 chains to Cavin of Survey N^o 43
N^o 67 on the north side of Survey of the former and
N^o 413 of Kayaupalli; Thence passing through
along the north and west sides forest fields N^o
of the 12 and of the 13th Kandam 300 and 303 of
to the northeast corner of Survey Kalamavadi
N^o 1 of Hudraimoli; Thence and 82 N^o of Kadi
along the north and west sides of - raimoli Village
its 1st Kandam to the northeast to path N^o 6 which
Corner of Survey N^o 26 (Cavin it joins at two
N^o 84) [Thence northwest for furlongs 110 yards
about 26 chains to Cavin N^o 83; from the point of
thence north for about 17 chains its exit. Distance
to Cavin N^o 82; thence east through forest 2
northeast for about 25 chains miles 1 furlong
to Cavin N^o 81 at the northwest ~~yards~~ and
Corner of Survey N^o 32 H; Thence breadth 5 feet
South for about 14 chains to - open for men and
Cavin N^o 80 at its southwest cattle.
Corner; Thence east for about X Footpath
9 chains to Cavin N^o 79 at its from Kalam
Southeast Corner; Thence north - wadi to Kanam
Starting

Timberley

Jankarai

26

Hudraimoli here

North for about 16 chains to Cavin Starting from
N^o 78 at its northeast corner; The South side
thence northeast to Cavin to South of Survey N^o 43
east Cavin N^o 31 Cavin of the former and
N^o 79; Thence along the east passing through
north and west sides of that forest fields
field to its southwest corner - 294, 295, 301, and
Cavin N^o 92; Thence west south - 303 of Kalam
- west for about 10 chains to Cavin - vadi and 305
N^o 91; Thence South, southwest of Hudraimoli
for about 20 chains to Cavin N^o 90 to path N^o 9 at
thence in the same direction a point 1 mile
for about 18 chains to Cavin N^o 2 furlongs and
89; Thence in the same direction 88 yards south
for about 15 chains to Cavin N^o east of the point
88; Thence Southeast for 9 chain of its entrance
to Cavin N^o 87; Thence east Distance through
for about 17 chains to Cavin the forest one
N^o 86; Thence east, Southeast mile a furlong
to Cavin N^o 85 at northwest ~~yards~~ and
Corner of Survey N^o 42; Thence breadth 5 ft open
along the west and South side for one only
of the 4th Kandam to northeast

Jumvelly
Tunkarai

26.

Hudraimolli

Northwest corner of Survey N^o 57. Footpath
thence along the west side of 6th Kan from talumana
dam to cavin N^o 99 at the north di to Kanam.
East corner of Survey N^o 19 A; starting from
thence west for 5 chains the South side
to cavin N^o 100; thence South for of Survey N^o
about 5 chains to cavin N^o 101; 290 of the former
thence east for about 2 chains and passing
to cavin N^o 102 on the west side through forest
of Survey N^o 53; thence along the fields N^o 289
west side of the 6th Kaudam 304. and 303 of
to the Southeast corner of Survey talumavadi
N^o 54; all of Hudraimolli and 32 of
village. Hudraimolli
South:- From the last point village to path
along the north side of Survey N^o 9 which
N^o 53 the north and west sides it joins at
of Survey N^o 52 and the north side 1 mile, 2 furlongs
of Survey N^o 51 to cavin N^o 93 198 yards from
at the northwest corner of the the point of its
last mentioned field; thence Entrance Dis-
north for about 6 chains to - tance through
cavin N^o 94 at the northeast the forest 1 mile
corner

Jumvelly

Tunkarai

26.

Hudraimolli

Corner of Survey N^o 49 B. thence 1 mile 2 furlongs
west for about 18 chains to cavin 46 yards and
N^o 95 at its northwest corner, then breadth 5 feet
South for about 12 chains to cavin open for survey
N^o 96 at the northeast corner of Survey N^o 90; thence along the north and from taluma
west sides of the 7th Kaudam all roads to Kanam
of Hudraimolli to the northeast starting from
Corner of Survey N^o 374 of Seenuarikulam the South side
- Kulam; thence along the north side of Survey N^o
of its 10th Kaudam and the north 287 of the for-
sides of the 4th and 3rd Kaudam - mer and pass
of Padugai to the Southeast corner - ing through
of Survey N^o 389 of Seenuarikulam forest field
West:- From the last point along N^o 288 and
the east and north sides of the 12th 289 of talum
Kaudam of Seenuarikulam - mavadi and
the east sides of the 7th and 6th 288 and 390
Kaudams and the east and B of Kanam
north sides of the 5th Kaudam to the north
of Eluvamuki; thence along side of fields
the east and north boundaries of N^o 392 of the
Pidavari Village to cavin N^o 1 - said village.
about Distance.

Jumivelly
Kudirai
26.

about 13 chains east of the Southeast Distances through
Corner of Survey N^o 166 of Kazerath forest 1 mile
thence north, north east for about 7 furlongs and
32 chains to caviat N^o 2; thence 55 yards and
northeast for about 14 chains to breadth 5 ft
Caviat N^o 3; thence north, north - open for men
- east for about 36 chains to caviat and cattle.
N^o 4; thence east for about 8 chains XIII. Foot
to caviat N^o 5; thence east, south path from
east for about 18 chains to caviat Kanam to Shonai
N^o 6; thence north for about two Starting from
chains to caviat N^o 7; thence north the ^{east} side of
west for about 8 chains to caviat Survey N^o 158
N^o 8; thence northeast for about of that village
15 chains to caviat N^o 9; thence and passing
a caviat northeast for about 36 through forest
chains to caviat N^o 10; thence field at N^o 209
east to caviat N^o 11 at the starting to Shonai (Spring)
point of the north boundary for about 176

Block B

yards ^{5 ft} broad

North:- Starting from caviat and open for
N^o 76 at the South side of low men only.
- vey N^o 3 about 5 1/2 chains XIV Foot path
east from

Jumivelly
Kudirai
26.

east of its southwest corner, the from Kazerath
line runs along the South ^{limit} side of the li to Shonai.
1st Kaudam to the South east corner - vadippanai.
of Survey N^o 16. Starting from a
East:- From the last point point about 12
along the South ^{limit} side of the 1st Kan. chains west of
- dam and the west ^{limits} side of the caviat N^o 62 and
2nd Kaudam to the northeast passing through
Corner of Survey N^o 350. forest fields
South:- From the Last point 32 A of Kudirai
along the north side of the 11th Kan. to path
- dam to caviat N^o 68 on the north N^o 4 which is
side of Survey N^o 378 about joins at 1 mile
3 chains east of its northwest 1 furlong 88 yards
Corner. from the point
West:- From the last point of its Exit. Dis.
a straight line north northeast - caviat through
to caviat N^o 69; thence a straight forest one mile
line east for about 2 chains 2 furlongs 176
to caviat N^o 70; thence a straight yards. Breadth
line north for about 6 chains 5 feet open
to caviat N^o 71; thence straight line for men only.
east

Imiwellly
Tukarai.

26.

Kudiraimoli

east for about 8 chains to cavin XV Cart track
N^o 72; thence a straight line from Kayaupalli
north, northwest for about 6 chains to Kudiraimoli.
to cavin N^o 73; thence a straight starting from
line northwest for 10 chains to a point $1\frac{1}{2}$ chains
cavin N^o 74; thence a straight north of cavin
line west for about 8 chains to N^o 67 and pen.
cavin N^o 75; thence a straight ~~ing~~ through for-
line north northwest to cavin east field N^o
N^o 76 at the starting point of the 32 A of Kudir-
north boundary. ~~raimoli to~~

N. B. The fields and Kave. ^{the east side}
dams mentioned in the block ^{of Survey N^o}
B. are all of Kayaupalli ^{31 of Kudirai}
village. ^{inoli Tharu -}
^{Kopalan Kovil.}

Distance through forest 5 furlongs 110 yards
and breadth 18 feet open for one, cattle
and carts.

XVI. Cart track from Kayaupalli to
Kudiraimoli. Starting from the west side

side of Survey, N^o 422 of the former and
passing through forest field N^o 32 A of Kudir-
raimoli to the east side of field N^o 31 of
Kudiraimoli. Distance through forest
5 furlongs and 22 yards and breadth 18 feet
open for one, cattle and carts.

XVII Foot path from Kayaupalli to
field N^o 3 of same village. starting from
the north side of Survey field N^o 52.8 of the
former and passing through forest field
N^o 32 A of Kudiraimoli to a point 3
chains north of cavin N^o 67. Distance
through the forest 4 furlongs and breadth
5 feet open for one only.

XVIII Foot path from Kayaupalli
to Uluathappatti alias Nazzareth.
Starting from the north side of Survey
N^o 539 of the former and leaving the
forest 20 chains southwest of cavin
N^o 77; again entering the forest at

at Cairn N^o 92 and joining path N^o 3 at the point of its junction with path N^o 2. Distance through forest 3 miles 4 furlongs and Breadth 2 ft open for men only.

XIX. Foot path from Hudraimoli to Kayampulli. Starting from the north side of field N^o 8 of the former and passing through forest field N^o 32 A of Hudraimoli to the east side of Survey field N^o 422 of Kayampulli. Distance through forest 5 furlongs and 130 yards and breadth 5 feet open for men only.

XX. Cart track from Hudraimoli. Starting from the South side of field N^o 47 and passing through field N^o 49c to the north side of go. all of Hudraimoli village. Distance — through forest 1 furlong and 150 yards and Breadth 18 feet open for cattle

Cattle, men and carts. 10.

XXI. Cart track from Hudraimoli. Starting from the South side of field N^o 48 and passing through forest field N^o 49c of Hudraimoli to path N^o 20 which it joins at 3 furlongs from its starting point. Distance through forest one furlong 88 yards and breadth 18 feet open for men, cattle and carts.

XXII. Cart track from Kayampulli starting from a point 6 chains north of cairn N^o 75 and passing through field N^o 34 80 of Kayampulli to the South side of Survey N^o 3 of the same village. Distance through forest 66 yards and breadth 18 feet open for men, cattle and carts.

XXIII. Foot path from Kayampulli. Starting from the South side of Survey N^o 8 and passing through forest field

a field N^o 348 @ to the north side of Sur-
vey N^o 376 of Kayampulli. Distance
through forest 5 furlongs, 66 yards and
Breadth 5 feet open for men only.

XXIV. Cart track from Kayampulli.
Starting from the South side of Survey
N^o 43 and passing through forest
field N^o 348 @ to the north side of
Survey N^o 350 of Kayampulli. Distance
through forest 5 furlongs and 5 yards
and Breadth 18 feet open for men,
Cattle and carts.

XXV. Cart track from Kayampulli.
Starting from the west side of Survey
N^o 342 and passing through forest
field N^o 348 @ to the north side
of Survey N^o 349 of Kayampulli.
Distance through forest 3 furlongs
and 99 yards and Breadth 18 feet
open for men, Cattle and carts.

Here Enter 2

Kachirayapoli-
10 March 1891 }

Cham Sattu
Forest Settlement Officer.

DLD

52

Up to 1000

1000 1000 1000

2. The right to the puttah usufruct over the Palmyraks specified below is admitted in favour of the respective claimants subject to any rules that may hereafter be made by the Governor's Council under Section 12(b) of the Forest Act; also provided

(1). That no grant, Sale, lease, mortgage, or other alienation of the usufruct, be made without the sanction of Government under Section 19 of the said Act.

(2). That no access be had to the trees without the permission of the Forest officer except for the purpose of removing the produce thereof.

3. The claimants are free to sell or tender the produce.

4. The claimants except claimant No. 15 B may measure the trees by bringing the measure from outside the forest. Claimants Nos. 6 B. and 40 are allowed to exercise this right provided that they obtain the permission of the Forest officer before having access to the trees for this purpose.

5. The claimants will not be allowed to fold sheep, goats & under the trees as it is incompatible with the forest Conservancy.

No. of claimant	Names of Claimants	Village to which the trees belong	Puttak No.	Shield on which the trees stand	Palmyraks				Assess. - one	Kangas	Assess. - one	Remarks
					Assess. - one	Puttak No.	Shield on which the trees stand	Shield on which the trees stand				
6 B.	Thiruvannamthur Nadan	Kudirai	49	32 A.	170	499	562	263	14 2/4	35	0	✓
12 B.	And others	- and -		49 C.	10	25	28	27	90 1/2	1	13	✓
15 B.	Thiruvannamthur Nadan	Thaluma - and -	83	27 B.	20	59	28	-	107	3	8	✓
29	Thiruvannamthur Nadan for himself and other joint holders	Thaluma - and -	5	388 A	43	46	-	-	89	4	2	✓
30	Thiruvannamthur Nadan for himself and other joint holders	Thaluma - and -	9	"	24	47	-	-	71	2	15	✓
31	Thiruvannamthur Nadan for himself and other joint holders	Thaluma - and -	19	"	17	12	5	-	34	1	8	✓
32	Thiruvannamthur Nadan for himself and other joint holders	Thaluma - and -	69	"	10	9	-	-	19	-	14	✓
33	Thiruvannamthur Nadan for himself and other joint holders	Thaluma - and -	90	"	10	29	83	-	120	2	13	✓
34	Thiruvannamthur Nadan for himself and other joint holders	Thaluma - and -	105	"	16	26	66	-	108	2	13	✓
35	Thiruvannamthur Nadan for himself and other joint holders	Thaluma - and -	120	"	15	24	63	-	102	2	10	✓
36	Thiruvannamthur Nadan for himself and other joint holders	Thaluma - and -	121	"	5	66	14	-	85	2	9	✓

32.	Joint holders C. Karayann Nadan for himself and other joint holders	212	388A	72	22	35	-	189	7	99	✓
		231	-	"	2	163	-	165	2	99	✓
		232	-	"	43	235	-	278	5	3	✓
33.	Humarasawmi Nadan for himself and other joint holders	233	"	6	6	1	-	13	-	93	✓
		234	"	14	16	20	-	50	1	11	✓
		236	"	10	30	259	-	299	5	99	✓
34	Perumal Thervan for himself and other joint holders	237	"	9	7	5	-	21	-	139	✓
		240	"	5	10	60	-	75	1	9	✓
		241	"	16	40	25	-	81	2	103	✓
35	Pongatha Perumal Thervan and three others for themselves and other joint holders	242	"	19	32	21	-	72	2	83	✓
		243	"	28	25	-	-	53	2	86	✓
		246	"	5	10	8	-	23	-	12	✓
		248	"	14	12	-	-	26	1	4	✓
36.	Humarasawmi Thervan on behalf of himself and his brother Velliah	249	"	75	126	26	-	227	9	6	✓
		310	"	18	23	-	-	38	1	106	✓
		313	"	39	65	58	-	160	5	56	✓

38.	Elya Nadan for himself and other joint holders	40	348C	7	15	36	200	258	1	76	✓
39.	Kizankamm Nadan	42	348C	5	8	17	170	200	-	138	✓
22A.	Porniah Nadan	249	-	12	23	53	379	458	5	49	✓
22B.	Swamikamm Nadan	247	388A	12	50	92	60	214	3	12	✓
62.	Abel Aralanaiham, Repatti and 12 others	304	"	20	38	45	10	113	3	23	✓
23A.	Porniah Nadan	143	-	13	16	-	-	29	1	5	✓
23B.	Swamikamm Nadan	98	32A	6	25	19	-	50	1	73	✓
25B.	Magadu Mohideen Marikazhar	96	32A	6	18	14	-	38	1	26	✓
26A.	Swarnajaperumal Nadan and Therviah Nadan	66	348C	-	0	10	15	25	-	26	✓
		66	348C	-	1	-	50	51	-	6	✓
				1	10	65	76	-	-	3	✓

1.B. Sivanthi Athithi

11.B. Palavesa Muthukudam	Andirai	70	32A	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
11.C. Sewadiam Muthukudam	Andirai	63	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
11.D. Perumel Kon	Do.	37	32A	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
11.E. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	60	49C	1	-	-	2	-	1	✓
12.B. Govu Nadaan	Do.	69	32A	1	-	-	2	-	1	✓
12.C. Pakia Nadaan	Do.	139	49C	12	23	8	43	1	2	✓
12.D. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	32A	1	-	-	2	-	1	✓
12.E. Elya Nadaan	Do.	94	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.F. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	32A	1	-	-	2	-	1	✓
12.G. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.H. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.I. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.J. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.K. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.L. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.M. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.N. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.O. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.P. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.Q. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.R. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.S. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.T. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.U. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.V. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.W. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.X. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.Y. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓
12.Z. Sivanasamugam Nadaan	Do.	81	49C	1	-	-	1	-	1	✓

38. Elya Nadaan

45. Peria Nadaan	Do.	103	390B	-	28	39	53	120	1	79	✓
46. Perumel Athithi Nadaan	Do.	106	390B	-	28	39	53	120	1	79	✓
47. Perasamugam Nadaan	Do.	102	390B	-	28	39	53	120	1	79	✓
48. Perumel Athithi Nadaan	Do.	100	390B	-	21	29	40	90	1	19	✓
49. Perumel Athithi Nadaan	Do.	92	390B	-	33	42	60	135	1	11	✓
50. Perumel Athithi Nadaan	Do.	90	390B	-	22	28	40	90	1	2	✓
51. Karayana Nadaan	Do.	98	390B	-	64	88	120	270	3	5	✓
52. Perumel Athithi Nadaan	Do.	101	390B	-	42	58	80	180	2	3	✓
53. Perumel Athithi Nadaan	Do.	107	390B	-	28	38	53	119	7	7	✓
54. Perumel Athithi Nadaan	Do.	97	390B	-	16	22	30	68	-	13	✓
55. Perumel Athithi Nadaan	Do.	104	390B	-	28	38	53	120	1	7	✓
56. Perumel Athithi Nadaan	Do.	96	390B	-	16	21	30	67	-	13	✓
57. Perumel Athithi Nadaan	Do.	94	390B	-	16	21	30	67	-	13	✓

2
7/10/91
14
2
7/10/91

51 712
15-3-92