

Revenue (1892) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 4260

no 565

DATED 30 September 92

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording letter from the Amble the Advocate
General forwarding opinion on the question whether
the Local Govt has power to appoint a Commissioner
of Enquiry under Sec 37 of Act. into the
behaviour of a statutory Civilian or whether
it should be appointed by the Govt of India

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 2217

From

Opinion of Adonit General
regarding the case of Govt
opposed a Commission under
Act XXXVI of 1850 in the case
Dated 26/9/189

FOR ORDERS.

The Adonit General L.R.D. that
the expression 'removal' and
'removal from office' and in
Act XXXVI of 1850 were in-
tended to be synonymous &
that they do not necessarily indicate
dismissal from the public service.
He concludes therefore that the
Govt. is empowered to take action
26/9/189 under the Act in the case of
a statutory provision in the
Local Govt.

I am prepared to accept it
but don't know if my
Colleagues are - J.H.P.

I think the opinion certainly wrong
It was too plainly suggested by them
Darius of the reference
It is however a very convenient one
and I am prepared to accept it
29/9/189

I do not think the opinion is sound - but
we can act on it, & if the Commission reveals
a state of affairs, which would justify removal
from the service - we must lend it on to India
for their sanction. If however deputation is an
inferior appointment we appear to meet the case.
I presume we could do it off our own bat.

It is presumed that the opinion
will be accepted & that no
further reference on this question
is necessary. If a Commission
should eventually be appointed
it may be left to the Council
to raise the question of the
validity of the appointment.
Rameshchandra Rao's explanation
regarding the Account book has
been called for & will be circulated
as receipt.

Ch
26/9

20/9

Confidential

Madras 23rd September 1892

From

The Honorable J. H. Spring Branson
Advocate General

To

C. A. Galtton Esq.
Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
with the Governor
Stonehouse Hill.

Sir,

With reference to the order of Govern-
ment dated 19th instant, No. 4128, Revenue
Department, I have the honor to forward
herewith my opinion on the question raised
therein.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

J. H. Spring Branson.
Advocate General

Opinion of Advocate General
on the question of the return
of the land from the Govt.
print a Commission under
Act XXXVII of 1882.

The Hon^{ble} Mr. Galtton C.S.
The Hon^{ble} Mr. A. E. S. S. S. S.
H.C.S.

officers from their offices, though only the
Secretary of State for India could dismiss
them from the public service.

J. Springman.

Madras Advocate General.

23rd September
1892.

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text follows, appearing to be a letter or official communication.]

G.O. No 4128d, 19-9-92

Confidential No 90.

1892

Current Number 8817.

From

The Advocate General
Madras

To

The Revenue Secretary to
Government

4260


30-9-92

Polacaminid

Dated 23rd Sept

Desp:

Received



G.O. No 4260d, 30-9-92

Recd

379

Subject

Forwarding opinion on the
question whether the Local
Govt. has power to ap-
point a Commission of en-
quiry under Act XXXVII of
1850 into the behaviour of a
statutory civilian, or whether
such a Com. must be apptd
by the G of India P.T.O.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DFTS

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only

A A A A A A A