

Revenue (1892) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3508

Consolidated 550

DATED 3 August '92

[Despatch Abstract.]

Transferring Dy. Collector J. Ranghau
from the V. District Treasury to the Mantapa
Treasury.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue 1892 Dept.

Govt. of 1892 Madras

Local and Municipal Department.

To

The Revenue Dept.

Recd 3 June

Enl.

Proceedings, dated 2nd June 1892
No. 13052

Subject.

Passing orders on
papers regarding the appoint-
ment of Mr. J. Rangiah
Treasury Deputy Collector
of South Arcot as Vice-
President of the District
Board.

Note by Honorable Sir Henry Stokes

I would express complete concurrence with Mr. Hamnicks' remarks in para 6 of his first letter and say that as a Government servant the Deputy Collector is bound to undertake the duties of Vice President should Government see fit to appoint him. Government is entitled to his whole time and if they think he can do the work he must do it. Runga Rao has entirely misapprehended the intention of section 26 of the Act under which no remuneration has ever been sanctioned and it is not for him to lecture either his Collector or the Government on the principles of Local Self Government. Mr. Hamnicks' report of his work as Treasury Deputy Collector and request for his transfer should be considered in Revenue Department. Send to Honorable Mr. Garstin also.

Note by Honorable Mr. J. H. Garstin.

I quite agree in the above remarks. Runga Rao presumes on being the late Sir J. Madhavarao's son. In the Revenue Department his inefficient discharge of his duties as Treasury Deputy

Collector will, it is hoped be duly noticed.



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers—

From the Collector of South Arcot dated 20th April 1892 Ref. no. No $\frac{147}{92}$.

I have the honor to enclose for the consideration of Government a letter from my Treasury Deputy Collector, Mr. P. Ry. T. Runga Rao dated 18th April 1892.

2. Some months after I arrived in the District I proposed appointing Mr. Runga Rao, Vice President of the District Board in the place of his predecessor. He however declined on the score that his ordinary duties as Treasury Deputy Collector were almost too much for him. Having in view then the fact that I should be in Head quarters at intervals of not very long duration, and also the fact that the Treasury Accounts were in such disorder as to require special attention from the Treasury

Deputy

Secretary

Deputy Collector, I told Mr. Runga Rao that I would not then recommend him for the appointment.

I am now however about to leave head quarters for a prolonged tour and shall probably be only able to return for short periods for some time to come and I therefore think it very necessary that a Vice President should be appointed.

3. The enclosed letter is a reply to a note of mine to Mr. Runga Rao on the subject.

4. I can hardly think that the Treasury Deputy Collector desires his letter to be taken seriously. I say without any hesitation that he has done nothing whatever to assist me in the very laborious duty of trying to get the accounts in the Treasury into order. I tried to get it done through him and pointed him out very clearly the way it should be done. Mr. Runga Rao has done nothing and I have been obliged throughout to deal directly myself with the clerk in charge. The Treasury Accounts and the Salute accounts are at very serious variance and the work of reconciling them, now undertaken for the first time in this

district

district, is laborious but Mr. Runga Rao cannot claim that his work is materially increased thereby — the labor hitherto has almost entirely fallen upon me. Mr. Runga Rao indeed does not seem now to claim exemption from a duty which falls on many Treasury Deputy Collectors elsewhere on this ground. He did so formerly and I hoped results would have been shown with justice, but it has been otherwise and I can assure the Government that from my observation I am able to assert that the Treasury Deputy Collector here does at present no work which should interfere with the small additional labor involved in his being Vice President of the District Board.

5. As regards the appointment of a non-official Vice President the matter has not escaped me. It is not however for Mr. Runga Rao to object to his appointment on that ground.

6. Mr. Runga Rao's claim for an allowance I leave in the hands of Government. I am surprised at his making the claim. His original excuse was inability to do the work. The

excuse I was not surprised at. I am however
astonished at his now attempting to make it a
condition of his appointment that he should
receive an additional allowance of Rs. 50 a
month. It seems to me to show that Mr. Runga
Rao has a very incorrect appreciation of his posi-
tion as Government servant.

7. It is clear from what I have seen
of Mr. Runga Rao's conduct in this matter
that I shall find him a very unwilling ser-
vant and from my experience of his work I am
of opinion that it is not advisable that he
should be encumbered with additional duties,
while he performs his own with such admit-
ted difficulty, and I therefore should be very
much obliged if the Government could see fit
to remove him to a post where the work will
be more suited to his energy.

Telegram from T. Runga Rao, Bangalore dated
30 April 1892.

Beg Government be pleased await
my representation on Collector's letter of twentieth

about my Vice Presidency of District Board
which I just submitted owing absence on circuit

From the Collector of South Arcot dated 30 April
1892 Ref. on G. No. 6268.

With reference to my letter Ref. on
No. $\frac{147}{92}$ his. Recd. dated 20 Instant regarding the
action of the Treasury Deputy Collector in refusing
to permit himself to be nominated as Vice
President, District Board, except on condition
that he was given an allowance from the
Board, I have the honor to submit a further
letter from Mr. Runga Rao.

^x
28-4-92.

2. I intended submitting it without
further remark but it is perhaps as well that
I should say, to avoid misunderstanding, that
I do not refer in this matter to the question of
enlisting non-official aid, though I am pre-
pared to defend my action with reference to that
point, because as it seems to me the question
is not really raised here. The point is whether or
not the Deputy Collector is entitled as a Govern-
ment servant to make the conditions he has made

If he is I am ready to take the Board's opinion on the matter. But if, as I hold, not, then the Deputy Collector has acted wrongly in making his proposal, and in any case the orders of the Government are necessary.

3. The Deputy Collector seems to me to be under a misapprehension as regards his idea that I have mixed up my positions as on the one hand Collector and on the other President, District Board. I am quite aware that the two positions are quite distinct. As President, District Board, I asked the Deputy Collector to be Vice President, as Collector I refer the question to the Government whether he is entitled to make the conditions he does. I should no doubt more correctly have transferred the matter officially to the Collector for disposal but for mere convenience it is best that the correspondence should all of it be conducted in one Department.

4. If the Government rule that the Deputy Collector is not entitled to make conditions in the way he has done, but thinks it questionable whether the Treasury Deputy Collector has time

to do the work of Vice President, I will give the Government my reasons for thinking that he has the time. The Deputy Collector, however, does not really raise this point, because he admits he has the time by stating his readiness to do the work provided he gets the remuneration for which he asks.

Enclosure to letter from the Col^r of S. Arcot dated 26 April 1892 Ref No. 647 ^{his}.
Letter from the Deputy Collector in charge of Treasury South Arcot dated 18 April 1892 No. 77 ^{acc} to the President, District Board, South Arcot.

I beg to acknowledge receipt (in camp) of your D. O. note dated 8 Instant informing that you intend to recommend to Government my appointment as Vice President of the District Board, South Arcot and inquiring if I have any objection.

2. On a former occasion you asked me to the same effect and I replied that in view of my official duties, which completely occupied me I was not inclined to undertake the additional work of the Vice President of the District Board.

3. At the same time it also occurred to me that there might be other members of the District

Board competent and willing to serve as Vice President.

4. There seems to be a general feeling that the co-operation of non-official members of District Boards may be availed of with advantage in the discharge of the duties of Vice President, the Collector being ex-officio President as is now the case. Such a Vice President it is thought, could devote all his time and energies to his duties, while the scope of a Vice President who is also a Government servant on the other hand, must necessarily be circumscribed. Non-official members of Municipal Councils have been appointed to the important place of Chairmen of several Municipalities, so that selection and appointment of Vice President of a District Board from among the non-official members of District Boards, is believed worthy of the consideration of the authorities.

5. However in reply to your D. O. note above acknowledged, I beg to state that, for the present, I am willing to be Vice President of the Local District Board provided I am given Rs. 50

(fifty) a month as house-rent. As a fact, I am actually incurring an expenditure of more than 50 (fifty) Rs. monthly for the quarters in which I am living.

6. Section 26 of the Madras Local Board Act legally authorizes remuneration being made to a Vice President of a District Board. This section has doubtless been introduced to meet cases such as the present one, or else it would not have been enacted for no purpose.

7. I beg to submit that, in these hard times, with the rupee considerably depreciated and the not ⁺affluent salary of Deputy Collectors as compared with their counterparts elsewhere, and who have, at great sacrifice to duty maintain their position both as officers of Government and as citizens, in conformity with the highest principles, it will be some little help if Government be pleased to approve on your kind recommendation grant of the said house-rent.

8. In making any reference to Government in the present connexion, I beg you will be so good as to kindly also submit this letter in full, with my loyal and grateful respects.

Enclosure 2. to letter from the Collectr South Arcot

Sent 27 30 April 1892 Ref No 6368

Letter from the Treasury Deputy Collector, South Arcot,
dated 28 April 1892 No. 7.

I beg to submit to Government that
I just returned from camp and find that the
acting Collector of South Arcot in his capacity as
such has addressed Government regarding me relative
to my appointment as Vice President of the Local
District Board in his letter Ref. on Register No. $\frac{147}{92}$
his. Rec. dated 20 instant. The letter contains reflections
against me, which I feel are hardly called for by
the circumstances of the case and calculated to
prejudice me and they are such as ought to be
in my humble opinion to be studiously eliminated
by Government in its consideration of the central
point in issue.

2. The question, I beg to submit, is a
simple one. I was offered the Vice Presidentship
of the Local District Board. I was not willing
to accept it as my official duties fully occupied
by me.

3. I beg to state in parenthesis that
I was not neglectful that for want of a Vice

President, the District Board work should in any
degree suffer. As I was not inclined to be Vice
President on the ground aforesaid, a selection
could have been made from among the other
members of the District Board. For instance,
there is Mr. R. Ry. S. Devanayogam Moodeliam,
an undergraduate of the Madras University
who is a member of the District Board, who
has at one time Chairman of the Endelore
Municipality and who is a well known and
respectable and capable gentleman.

I mentioned to the Collector that
there was a general feeling that the co-opera-
tion of non-official and capable members of
the District Board with the President in the
actual discharge of business should be far
more largely availed of than was the case
at present, and therefore suggested that a com-
petent and capable member of the District
Board of his selection might be appointed Vice
President.

4. I was again offered to be Vice
President of the District Board. In reply, while

I submitted to the Collector again what I thought
in the matter as indicated in the last pre-
ceding para, I submitted also that I was willing
to accept the Vice Presidency and requested
remuneration in the shape of only house-rent.

In requesting remuneration I acted under section
26 of the Madras Local Boards Act 1884.

5. As the Collector asked me a
second time to be Vice President of the District
Board, I did not think it courteous to again
express unwillingness and so said I should
accept it and also requested the small remun-
eration in keeping only with the terms of
section 27 of the Madras Local Boards Act 1884
as stated.

6. The Collector's letter to Government
Ref. on Register No. $\frac{147}{42}$ Mis. Rec. dated 20 Instant
is the outcome of the aforesaid circumstances.

17. I beg to submit in reference to
para 5 of the Collector's letter, that I have not
objected to my appointment as Vice President of
the District Board, on the ground of appointing
a non-official member of the District Board.

My letter forming enclosure to and the basis of
the Collector's letter, I have again perused, and
cannot alight upon any passage therein to lead
to that conclusion.

8. If I did not at first ask for the
small remuneration which I have since requested
to be granted me and which is sanctioned in
the Act, it was owing to the legal provision
having escaped me before.

9. Under these circumstances I beg res-
pectfully to submit that it is not apparent how
I could justly be remarked upon as in para 6
of the Collector's letter, that I have a very
incorrect appreciation of my position as a
Government servant. The law expressly provides
grant of remuneration with the approval of
Government, and accordingly I availed of it.
Where then, is the basis of the reflection?

I beg respectfully to put it to Government to
consider judicially, in the light of an express
legal enactment in force, if I have acted in
a manner so as to manifest a very incorrect
appreciation of my position as a Government

9 servant as observed in the Collector's letter.

10. Throughout in the present connexion
11 I have regarded and addressed the Collector in
12 his capacity as President of the District Board,
13 and in this capacity only I beg respectfully to
14 submit, should the question of
15 the Vice Presidency in point be considered.

16 That the Collector in his capacity as Collector
17 but not as President of the District Board -
18 I should have addressed regarding me to -
19 Government, as he has in his letter under
20 advertence and imported therein extraneous
21 matter, which in my humble opinion, has
22 no legal bearing whatever on the question of
23 Vice Presidency of the District Board. I beg
24 to respectfully submit to Government is unjust
25 to me. I beg to submit that so far as I have
26 been able to find out, the Madras District
27 Boards Act 1884 does not contemplate a Vice
28 President in relation to a Collector in his capa-
29 city as such, but only in the capacity of
30 President of the District Board.

31. I beg to submit that it would be

serious

serious blow to the independence of members as
such of Local Boards, and to their liberty of
opinion and through them to the real efficiency
of Institutions constituted under the Madras
Local Boards Act 1884 if the circumstances of
being in subordinate official capacity is to be
worked upon to their substantial detriment.
At this rate Local self Government to the ex-
tent of the wholesome infusion of the experience
and counsels of official members in the deli-
berations of Local Boards, which are but tender
plants and which Government is so solicitous
to foster so as to mature and bear fruit in
the fulness of time, must considerably fail of
its capabilities.

32. I beg, therefore, Government will be
graciously pleased to completely eliminate from
its consideration whatever may really have no
relation to the central point of the Vice
Presidency in question, and mete out to me
that justice for which the British Government
is so gloriously celebrated.

33. I beg to add that my personal

conscious, position in life and training amidst distinguished auspices, must repudiate most emphatically anything unworthy of the same.

14. In conclusion I beg leave to submit that the state of my health and that of my family have not been satisfactory. I submitted the fact to the Collector, privately, a long time ago and requested to be good enough to kindly move for my transfer to another District. I beg now to request Government to be pleased to help me accordingly.

With sentiments of loyalty and gratitude.

Order dated 2^d June 1892 No 1305 L. His


The Government fully concurs with the Collector's remarks in para 6 of his first letter. As a Government servant Mr. R. Ry. V. Ranga Rao is bound to undertake the duties of Vice President of the District Board should Government see fit to appoint him, as Government is entitled to his whole time. He has entirely misapprehended the intention of section 26 of the

Local Boards Act under which no remuneration has ever been sanctioned and it is not for him to lecture either his Collector or the Government on the principles of Local Self Government. The Collector's report of the work of Mr. R. Ry. V. Ranga Rao as Treasury Deputy Collector and the request for his transfer will be considered in the Revenue Department.

(True extract)

Done

Ag. Assistant Secretary



The Collector of South Arcot.

Mr. R. Ry. V. Ranga Rao Margal.

The Revenue Department with copy of H. M's Notes.

Cuddalore

5th June 1892

From J. Ranga Rao,
Measuring Officer,
South Arcot

To
The Chief Secretary to
The Govt. of Madras,
Madras.

Thru! The Acting Collector of
South Arcot

Sir,
I beg to acknowledge the receipt
of G.O. No. 1305 of 2nd instant.
I beg you will be so good as
to kindly convey to Government my
sense of deep regret at what I submitted
in the Commission being open to the
construction that I lectured the
Collector or the Government. Far from
far from any intention to do so, I only
submitted the circumstances in which
one in my position was situated. However
ever, as Government view it in the
light that I should not have done so,
I beg to say again that I regret it.

3. As it is ruled that I am entitled to the whole of my time, and, as a Govt. servant, I am bound to undertake the duties of Vice President of the B. Board, should Govt. see fit to appoint me, I beg to state that I cheerfully accept the ruling, and will faithfully follow it.

4. In the absence of an authorized ruling as above, and as the provisions of Sec 26 of the Local Boards Act were neither expressly, nor impliedly, repugnant to the humble request I made, I made the request. If I was aware, or the Collector had told me, as Government has been pleased to say with a statement of grounds for the same, that remuneration cannot be granted, I would not have at all applied for it.

5. I beg to submit to Govt also that it was not from any selfish considerations that I begged for some little remuneration as Vice President, but I was led to believe that grant of the same, authorized by the Act, at the pleasure of Govt, would be gratefully appreciated also by other Vice Presidents elsewhere.

6. With regard to my work as Treasury Deputy Collector, upon which Mr. Hammick

has

has unfortunately reported, I beg to submit that I did not submit any explanation before, as it seemed to me that the subject had been somehow brought in with little bearing upon the material point in issue.

7. I beg to submit in regard to this that there is, in fact, no such serious difference between the Huger and Talbot accounts as Mr. Hammick has crisply - hearsely stated. If Mr. Hammick had specifically stated, giving particulars, I should have been in a position to meet them.

8. As it is, Government will find there is not a word of explanation from him in the papers in the present connection, nor did Mr. Hammick ask me to furnish one.

9. The only difference apparently in the accounts is as between the Huger and Talbot and Revenue D.C.B. statements, which are being reconciled.

10. The difference is a very long standing one - dating from years and years before I joined this District, and for the existence of which accordingly, I beg to submit, I cannot be responsible.

11 All

11. All the various orders &c passed by Mr. Hamrick, when the Dy. Accountant of this office was eventually retired, and those including circulars addressed to the Divisional officers and Sahaidars, which are in the Collector's office, and which I am unable without access to them or the Collector's permission, to cite or being called for and seen, will, I think, show that the difference is just owing to any deficiency on my part, for which alone I can be responsible.

12. In the course of reconciliation of the difference mentioned, every step taken has been submitted to the Collector, and the papers returned by him to my office, so that, I beg to submit, I have been acting in the full light of his direction.

13. Excepting this one point, there is no other difference at all in the accounts.

14. I beg to submit also with some self satisfaction, that I was Vice President of the Madras St. Board for some years. That St. Board contains the largest number of local boards in the Presidency, and I had the satisfaction of contributing towards their creditable working under the auspices of Mr. E. Turner, Collector

2/

of Madras, to whom I had occasion to write much. The Madras District Board works a Technical school, which I had much pleasure and arranging its working, and the like of which, I suppose, there is not elsewhere in the Presidency, and regarding which institution, I believe, both the Government and the Director of Public Instruction expressed much gratification. Under these circumstances I should not have let slip an opportunity to prove myself useful here also, facilities offering.

15. In conclusion, I beg Government will be pleased to do me justice by thoroughly probing the present matter. For my part, I beg to submit that Mr. Hamrick has treated me not as I may fairly be.

16. I beg to submit that I consider it an honor and a privilege to serve under Government, and I do so accordingly, and not from any other consideration; and I earnestly pray that Government will be pleased to support me.

17. I have submitted to Government in my letter of the 28th May that the State
of

11 of my health and that of my family
have not been satisfactory since I
joined this District, and beg that
the Government will be pleased to transfer
me to another District, for which
I shall feel obliged.
I beg to remain,
Your most obedient servant
Murphy

Murphy

Deputy Collector

12 P.S. I beg to add that meanwhile,
I have been and am helping as a Vice-
President would do, the President District
Board in the despatch of business. This
has been the case ever since I joined
the District. The President himself
will doubtless acknowledge this.
Murphy

14

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text on the right page]

From ^{No 40} 31509
Eny

The Deputy Collector
In charge of Treasury
South Arcot

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government of Madras
Madras

Mrs.
The Dy Collector of
South Arcot

Dated 5 June 1892
No B.

Submitting further
explanation regarding
Vice Presidency
of the St Board and
Collector's remark.

Please send me the last program
series of the South Arcot
the work further.
M. M. M.
6.11.92

South Arcot

Refu - 20.187
G.

From

J. Hammick Esquire
Acting Collector of
South Arcot

To

The Secretary to
Government
Revenue Department.
Madras

Sir,
With reference to G.O. 1305 L. M. S.
dated 2nd June 1892

I have the honor to submit for the information
of Government a further communication
dated 5.6.92 from the Treasury Deputy Collector.

2. The Treasury Deputy Collector objects
to my saying that he has not done his duties
as Treasury Deputy Collector as well as I
could wish. I need on this point only ask
the Government to read para 7 of his present
communication in which he makes two
statements (1) that there is no such serious
difference between the Huzur and Taluk
accounts as I "comprehensively" stated
(2) that I have never specifically stated
these differences that the Deputy Collector could

Collector's office
Camp Vaidhyanallam
6th June 1892.

know them.

3. The first statement is a most astonishing statement for the Treasury Deputy Collector to have made. I enclose for the information of Government a copy of some of the Circular orders I have had to issue since I have been Acting Collector here and from them it will be seen how serious were and still are the differences between the Taluk and Kuzur accounts. Take August 1891 as an instance. The Balances shown in the D C B sent to the Board compared with the balances in the Taluks ^{are} as follows; —

	D C B or Treasury Balance	Taluk Balance
1292	170	30.13.6
1293	253	515. 3. 11
1294	359	77. 8. 1
1295	19862	20221. 9. 7
1296	11884	2935. 5. 5
1297	27654	6708. 3. 4
1298	2866	3744. 7. 3
1299	10726	30335. 5. 3
Total	73.374	64.568. 8. 6

I think the above differences justified my statement. It must be remembered that these differences concern every one of the eight Taluks.

4. As to the second statement

I cannot understand how the Treasury Deputy Collector has had the boldness to put it down on paper month after month last year and this year have I issued the most careful reviews of these figures, all of which were printed and communicated to the Treasury Deputy Collector, not only that but in my D C B review of July 4 1891 I showed in detail what steps the Treasury Deputy Collector should have taken to get matters straight. Further when I found after returning from a prolonged tour in Kallakurichi Taluk in October last that the Treasury Deputy Collector had done nothing in the matter of getting these balances corrected, I personally saw him on the subject after receiving a note from him in which he stated that in his opinion he saw no reason for my animadversions, as he thought the Tahildars might simply be ordered to adopt the Treasury figures which are the result of a decade of fudging. It was then and the Treasury Deputy Collector knows it perfectly well that I accepted his refusal to become Vice President Local Board because as I told him then

the Treasury was in a disgraceful state. It absolutely passes my comprehension how the Treasury Deputy Collector can have made such a statement as he has in para 7 of his present letter.

5. As to the Treasury Deputy Collector's remarks that he has only been carrying out my orders, he misapprehends the position altogether. My complaint is that up to January 1892 nothing was done toward the correction of these balances, or further that the Treasury Deputy Collector had shown such a poor appreciation of the value of accuracy in these matters that he actually proposed to me to order the Tahsildars to take an action in the matter which could have had no other result than continued systematic judging of their accounts. I regretted to see up the Treasury Deputy Collector's note on the subject. It was demi-official reply to my remarks on the inefficiency of the Treasury in my D.C.B.

Review dated 9th November 1891 and I do not think the Treasury Deputy Collector will deny the fact. In January I had to issue my circular order dated 5th January 1892 in which I took the matter out of the hands of the Treasury Deputy Collector and have since then done all the work myself with Treasury accounts in charge.

6. As regards para 11 of the Treasury Deputy Collector's letter I never have charged the Deputy Collector with being the cause of the confusion. I found in the Treasury accounts in the District. It is obviously absurd to do so. But what I do charge him with, and what I say his present letter shows, is, that he has not now and never has had any idea of the importance of clearing up matters, that he was absolutely apathetic in the matter all last year and that nothing would have been done up to date unless I had myself taken the matter into my own hands. The Treasury Deputy Collector's refusal to be Vice President District Board is in my opinion due to the same dislike of

routine work. He knows that the Vice President's work is almost entirely checking and passing bills every month and he dislikes the work. I can put his refusal down to no other motive.

5. I enclose for the information of Government a copy of all my reverses on the D.C.B. statements from the Taluks since I have been in District and also a collection of copies of some orders on other matters. I request that my orders dated 5th January 1892, 5th April 1892, 12th April 1892 may be noted. They will show what an amount of time and labor has now to be expended on matters which should, if accounts were in order, require little time to be devoted to them. There are many other matters requiring attention in the District which cannot receive their proper attention until the District accounts have been brought into something like order.

I request that these files may be returned to me at an early date as they are in constant use.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

S. Mammeth
Acting Collector.

AS

Revenue 1892. Dept.

Revenue Number 5242.

From

The Ag Collector of
South Arcot

To

The Secretary to
Government
Revenue Department
Madras

Dated 6th } June 1892.
Received 13 }

DESPATCHED. 13 JUN 1892
19 JUL 92
Enclosures 3 (Three)

Submitting with remarks a
further communication from
his Treasury Deputy Collector
with reference to the observations
contained in G.O. dated 2/6/92
No 1305. L.M.—

(1892.)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

ABSTRACT.

Current Number 6133.

To

From

The Treasury Deputy Collector
South Arcot Dist.

Dated 8 July

Received 11

Enclosures

No.

SUBJECT.

Requesting that the
last letter from the Collector
may be referred to him for
explanation so that both sides
may be seen towards justice.

Lodged.

CL
1917

31

' Code
Class.

INDIAN

TELEGRAPHS

Monthly
Number

To

10,715

1900s

Day,

Hour

Minute

Official

Instruction

40

10

Abstract

3

Fré

1

I beg ^{Mr} Rowing don't be graciously
pleased to refer me
colleagues with letter if
any about me for explana-
tion so that both sides
may be seen towards justice

3/17/2017

F. C. 2.

Lead from

 J, N

1 Sent

J. N.

.. 1

 $\Pi,$

Mt 5. 10

14

Telegraph Master

Indonian Str. Pg. co.: No. 380 T=1-4-91=12.00 m

MADRAS 8-7-99

No 5031

From

Dated

18

FOR ORDERS.

250. Not carrying
 T. Ranga Rao ^{24/6} certainly
 he moved from S. Auditor.
 The A.C. may have been D.O.
 that was has been taken of
 his discharge of his duties
 as a Treasury officer at
 Cuddalore during 9/92 +
 it may then be decided
 whether he should be transferred
 to another Treasury office
 full charge. L.H.

I think the same is a little 17/6/92
 concluded. J.S.
 23 June

The above current is a S.O.
 with Local + Municipal Dy.
 dealing with the report of
 M.M. J. Ranga Rao. Treasury
 Dy. Ch. I want to undertake
 the duties of Vice President of
 Board with no other responsi-
 bility. The order concludes
 by saying that the duties
 report of the work of M.M. J.
 Ranga Rao as Treasury Dy.
 Collector and the report for his
 transfer will be considered in
 the Rev. Dy. on.

The Collector states that Ranga
 Rao would have to assist
 with the duties of the
 Treasury Dy. and also
 with the duties of the
 Dy. Ch. I want to see
 the Dy. Ch. in charge. Also the
 Ranga Rao papers has not
 any duties with admitted diffi-
 culty.

The charge is one of which
 is not sufficient for the
 present. It is not sufficient
 for the present.

to be sent for and if Nanga
has not the right it must
be on the ground found that
he is reported by the Collector
as to the equal to the duties
of a Deputy Treasury.

Nanga has been removed from
Madras in consequence of
disagreement with him.
There is connection with
his conduct in various
municipal matters but
his competency as a Treasury
Officer does not appear to
have been taken into
question.

Nanga has been transferred
to Madras in July/91 & the
Commissioner General reports on
the administration of District
Treasury for 91-92 has not
yet come in, so we do not
know how his work is reported
on. Perhaps a reference
might be made to the Accountant
General on the subject.

If Nanga has not the right
to a Deputy Treasury Accountant
is suggested.

Ch
11/6

FOR ORDERS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 5031 + 5243

From

Order of J. Nanga Rao
Treasury of Chertan


Dated

18

FOR ORDERS.

Order of J. Nanga Rao
Treasury of Chertan
Shert

Accountant-General's Office,
Fort Saint George, MADRAS
2nd of June 1892



My dear fellow.

Your No. of the 24th
I have gone through the
figures of my report on
the working of the Tea
Laws during 9 1/2. And
have consulted the
Dy. and I can find
nothing to warrant my
forming an unfavourable
view of the way in which
Ranga Rao has done
his duties. There were

The Am. Mr. H. S. Foster
The Am. Mr. H. S. Foster
K.C.H.

Ranga Rao had better
be transferred to
Treasury at Madras
24th

4/7/92

Page 1

18 July

18 July

no occasion to send him collection
letter - asked for his telegrams
of July 8th

As directed I had a reference
to the Accountant General
officially and he says
that having gone through his
report on the working of
District Treasuries during
1891-92 + having consulted
the Dy. Accountant General
he can find nothing to warrant
his forming an unfavourable
view of the way in which Ranga
Rao discharges his duties. He
adds however that although
the Am. is a good one there
is still a very long way to go
before he can be said to have
mastered the Treasury Am.
as a rule it is not possible to
qualify such a man with
experience to meet in Ranga Rao
The second account is a further
letter from his hand which is
which contains the
statement of the
expenses of the
district of Madras
which is a very good one

C.N. 5031 + 5242

FOR ORDERS.

Alister of the Treasury -
These accounts. bridge
is that the Treasury Dr.
Chester was appointed &
afford him the assistance -

Ch
27/6

Conduct of J. Range has
Treasury Dr. Chester
I. Chester ..

Range has also in his
they have just received
the Director's last letter about
him may be referred to
Department.

Ch
17/7

The Hon. Mr. Chester
The Hon. Mr. H.E. Stokes
K.C.S.

H.E. the Governor

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read in abstract. Read 5031 + 5242.

S.O. 3rd August 92

H.E. the Governor in

W Council minutes to resi.
table that the Mr. J. Range
has been ^{convinced} to transfer
to a higher Treasury - he
was accordingly reported
to the authorities -

W.S. 1/11/92

2/11/92

2.8.92


To The Lt. Col. Secy
Comms of RA }
Col. of Secy } 19/8/92

Ch
19/7

Range has with displaced
Muhammad Azizuddin Khader
Sub. the young Khader
Zabuddin of Selam recently ap-
pointed to the same place.
place. It is a pity to
keep him in a Treasury out
it is submitted that J. Sundar

Rao, General Duty Delhi
 L. Govt. Reported to the State.
 Govt Treasury in place 2 Drafted.
 J. Ranga Rao and C. Rajagopal Rao J. Ranga Rao
 Reported to General Duty transfer from S. Arav
 L. Govt. From what he said
 From his home I don't think
 he will be going to the Sundara
 Rao. I don't know him myself
 but from what he said I think
 he will do better for a Treasury
 than General Charge. A report
 stating his merits has been pre-
 pared accordingly in anticipation
 of approval.

C.R.
 21/7



When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 189
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

AGAIN G.O., No. _____ DATED _____
 189

RENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

APERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied
 P = Copy abstract only
 Q = Copy for filing only