

Revenue (1892) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2203

10525.

DATED 29 April 92

[Despatch Abstract.]

Declining to take any further action on the
charges made by R. Subbaya Raina
to the Government of India.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

(1892)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

ABSTRACT.

Current Number 3297

Confidential

From

The collector of
Anantapur

Read. C No 3297

Order.

The Govt sees no reason
to take any further action
on the charges made against
by Kallapalli Subbayya
against Sundararajachari
English Head Clerk of the
Anantapur Collector's office
as they appear to be
without foundation. *Amul*

Dated 4th April 1892

Received 6th April 1892.

Enclosures 15

No.

29.4.92


To the Commr. *29/4*
To Kallapalli Subbayya.

SUBJECT.

Submitting report of the
Head Assistant collector
Anantapur, on the petition
of R. Subbayya against C.M.S.
Vardachari, English Head
Clerk, Anantapur.

P.T.O

[illegible]



Sept 22-6-51

My dear Hammad Row

namaskaram from your
~~dear~~ servant, Vasudeva Row.

Your kind letter has been received.
Mr. R. Ramaswamy, of C. M. S.
has sent me a marriage invitation
to me also. As I arrived on
the very day of the marriage
I could not go. Otherwise I
would have gone. I had also
obtained leave. I shall
myself remit Rs. 70, the
price of the bandy to Mr. R. R.
You can write to him accordingly.
I have till now written about
this matter and shall write
again. In regard to
batta, please ~~send the balance~~

② ~~paid~~ ask Mr. R. R. money.
Vasudeva Row and have the
balance paid. I have bought
some candles with my own
money. If I receive money
Vasudeva Row does not pay,
I will send if you write to me.
What justice is there in your
paying from your pocket.

I trust you will write now & then
about the affairs there.

Yours truly

C. Vasquez

address

To Mr. R. P. Vallin
Hammonton New Jersey
attending, by Col. J. P. P.
Anantapur District
Anantapur.

Y. B.
5-5-91
G. B.

G. B.
Aug. 1891


Y. B.
5-5-91
G. B.

My Dear Kaminantla Rao

Please hand over the
Deputy Collector's bandy & bullocks
to the charge of the Dewan
(= Sheristadar) in Pamidi
village. I will settle about
the price etc.

Yours no signature
3-5-91

Handwritten text in a script, likely Persian or Arabic, arranged in approximately 18 lines. The text is contained within a rectangular border. The script is cursive and dense, with some characters appearing to be in a different script or dialect. The text is oriented vertically on the page.



My dear Hanumantha Row

The letter written (or caused to be written) by you has been received. This day I have remitted Rs 70 in a registered letter to Mr Rky Ramasachayya - You can ascertain this by writing to him. He is not one who ~~will write~~ ^{is capable of writing} harshly. I trust that you will kindly send me the letter which he has written concerning this matter. I will see and return the same. These matters have not been written there (= to that place), they must be written. Mr Rky Ch. Hanumantha Row will come this night. Kindly write if there is any thing particular there. With
Ramasachayya

Sd/ C. Vasudhavan

Yours
29-6-41

① 2

K. Hanumantha Row
Mr Rky Vallur Hanumantha Row Sam
attends, Dy Coll's office,
Anantapur Division
Anantapur District

118 15-6-91 13-6-91

9. *Handwritten text in Telugu script, likely a continuation of the previous page. It begins with a large '9' and contains several lines of text, including 'అంతా...'*

అనియును.

3 oaks grey
Dr Calicut Sultan

1869 2nd of July
 1869 2nd of July

[illegible]

7-10-1964

[illegible]

கீழ்க்கண்ட
 கட்டணங்களை
 கீழ்க்கண்ட
 கட்டணங்களை
 கீழ்க்கண்ட
 கட்டணங்களை

This letter is superscribed to
 Hammanta Rayaluvuru
 Attender, Genl. only 13-6-91.
 Deputy Collector's Office
 Anantapur - Salem.

" many salutations -

Both doing well.

I have forwarded marriage notices to the
 address of Thiruthagiri - bause them
 to be delivered to the addressees according
 to the superscriptions. I request that you
 will settle the bandy affair for Rs 70.-
 If the persons to whom the notices
 are addressed are absent from
 the village, you should make proper
 arrangements for the notices
 reaching the places where they are.

(The notices) should each be put
 in a separate cover with the
 superscription written, and caused
 to be duly delivered to the respective
 persons. Nothing particular I write -

many salutations"

P. T-0.

(signed) K. Ramaseshiah
 Dy. Col. Salem

C No. 3297

From The Collector of Anantapur

Dated 4th April

1892

FOR ORDERS.

I wrote a letter to Vasudeva Rao from
on the 18th June 1891.

(Jdy V.H.)

(A true translation)

Vinayachandran

The Current contains the report
of the Head Assistant Collector of
Anantapur on the enquiry made
by him under the directions con-
-tained in G.O. No 976 of
17th Feb 1892 in which it will
be remembered. Govt decided to
test the truth of several charges
of Corruption (made by one Ralla-
-palle Subbayya against
Sundararada charlu Esq. Head
Clerk Anantapur Collector's
office) by investigating one
of the charges relating to the
presentation of a Bondy &
Prullocks to Sundararada
Charlu.

2. This charge ^{is} ~~was~~ that
one K. Vasudeva Rao obtained
an appointment of Inland Revenue.

FOR ORDERS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No.

From

Dated

18

FOR ORDERS.

through the influence of Sundarade
Charles, who was at the time of
Huzar Meristadar, by bribing
him with a present of a beauty
& a pair of bullocks.

3 It will be seen from the Head
assistant Collector's report that

the charge has completely
broken down, the accuser's own
witnesses having proved that the

Beauty & Bullocks were purchased for a fair price
by Sundarade Charles from
a Deputy Collector Ramasethayya,
on the latter's leaving the District.

The purchase was made through
Vasudrao Rao who had been
the Deputy Collector's Head Clerk

from whom the ^{very} naturally
asked to arrange for the sale of
the Beauty & Bullocks. Vasudrao

Rao sent the price of the Beauty
& Bullocks to the Deputy Collector
& received the account from Sundarade

Charles the whole transaction
appears to have been perfectly in-
nocent & above-board. It is true

that there was a delay ~~of some~~
on the part of Sundarade

Charles in settling the money
but this is explained by the fact

that the Deputy Collector did
not answer Vasudrao Rao's letter
requesting approval of the sale to
Sundarade Charles. It is
also true that K. Vasudrao Rao
was made a Taluk Meristadar
after the purchase, but it will be
seen from the Record that the
merited promotion was in fact
the Senior Candidate for the same
& that Subbayya's attempt to
procure the Deputy Collector's
promised to get him the appointment
has broken down.

The charge in fact appears
to be false & malicious &
Rallapalle Subbayya is
author ~~of the~~ to be a mere
tool in the hands of a clique of
Madhwa Brahmins District
officials whom even if Sundarade
Charles

has managed to secure.
The Petition may be submitted
be dismissed

FOR ORDERS.

Ch 23 4 90
2574
27/4/92
C No 3297


Charge of Bribery against
Sundaravardachari
English Head Clerk
Collector's Office Anantapur

The Hon Mr. Gault
CS 2
The Hon Mr. Gault
CS 1

Camp Kambadur
25.3.92

From Ag. H.A.C.

Collector of Anantapur



Sir with reference to S.O. 976
17.2.92 communicated to me

on 21.2.92 directing me to enquire into that
of the charges made by R. Subbarao against J.N.
Sundaravardachari late acting & Huzar Sheristadar
and present English Head Clerk Anantapur, relating
to the presentation of Bandy and Ballows to the
late Deputy Collector's Head Clerk K.

Vasudeva Rao. I have the honour to report as follows:
At the enquiry which was held at Anantapur, both
R. Subbarao (Petitioner) and the English Head Clerk
were represented by Vairil. The Petitioner was
cross-examined on behalf of Sundaravardachari,
and the following witnesses were examined on
behalf of Petitioner: -

1. Kallur Vasudeva Rao.
2. Sivinda Rao.
3. Aswatha Chari.
4. V. Hanumantha Rao.

The Deputy Collector Ramasubbarao was not
present, nor was his evidence obtained for
by Petitioner's Vairil.

The charge is, shortly, that K. Vasudeva Rao
lured the late acting Huzar Sheristadar (Sundaravardachari)
by a present of a bandy

Sale of the property of Sundararama charlu in remitting the money is explained by the fact that the Collector did not answer K. Vasudevababu's letter requesting approval of the sale of the Band etc. Sundararama charlu for Rs. 70. It is also proved that even after the handing over of the Band of Honours to Sundararama charlu, that K. Vasudevababu

the latter spoke to me
bullocks.
The above is an epitome of the evidence actually
adduced, but the Petitioner tries to give a different
color to it by alleging that it was only after
after a Petition alleging that the bandy and
bullocks had been given as a present. I had
reached the Collector, that Sundaravadachari
obtained the Rs. 70 from K. Vasudha Rao and
re-transmitted the same by post to the latter
to prove payment of the proper price, but that no
real payment was ever made. No
evidence of this is ~~not~~ adduced, nor is this
Petition to the Collector produced, or
order asked for its production.

I may here remark that unless the Dy. Collector gave his 4
bandy and bullocks away to K. Vasudha Rao, or
unless the Dy. Collector also wanted to bribe the then
Acting Huzur Sherristadar. (both "absurdly improbable
contingencies")

K. Vasudha Rao would have to account to the Dy.
Collector for the price of the bandy and bullocks, and that
if he really gave them to the Sridhararada charls,
he was practically giving him Rs. 20; and if he wanted
to bribe him, there was surely several less ^{chances}
methods than doing it by making him a present of
a bandy and bullocks. The transfer of which must have
been known to everyone. I must add that it is
not alleged by Petitioners that Rs. 70 was an unfairly low
price for the bandy and bullocks, though it is hinted that
Aswaththcharls offered Rs. 85 for them. which is however
distinctly denied by Aswaththcharls himself.

To test this charge thoroughly it is well to examine
the position etc. of the Petitioners and the person he
accused. From Petitioners' own account he gets his
livelihood from man sotten lands, some of which are
mortgaged an amount of a debt of Rs. 300; * from the other
he gets about Rs. 200 yearly or thereabouts, out of which
he has to support a mother, wife, and 2 children. Yet this
man has engaged one rasil at a time to support his
Petition, and ~~another~~ ^{for} his enquiry, engaged a
District Court rasil from Bellary. The local rasil
got Rs. 40, and the Bellary rasil would naturally
be paid something more.

2. The fact of this it is not ⁵ possible to believe that he is a
disinterested person who was only actuated by a sense of duty
in bringing these charges against Sridhararada charls.
Sridhararada charls, while giving a satisfactory account
of the bandy and bullock transaction, alleges that the
charge of bribery is connected with it, & the other charges
made by Petitioners are partly the outcome of the ~~enmity~~
grudge borne against him by the Madwa Brahmin Officials
in Anantapur. a grudge intensified by the fact that while
Acting as Huzur Sherristadar Sridhararada charls strongly
supported the Collector in his endeavours to break
up the band of Madwa Brahmins that were holding
nearly all the offices to the exclusion of non-Madwas.
~~but not going so far~~ It is of course impossible to say
definitely that this is a true theory, but I must say that
it is very probable and supported by the facts that
R. Subbar is obviously only a puppet in the hands of
some other person or persons, as evidenced by the fact that
he could not independently have paid the rasil's fees.
(that he did not as a matter of fact is pretty well proved
by his being unable to state the exact sum he paid
Mr. Vadaris Rao, though he must have paid it
recently, as also by his absurd story that a Bellary
rasil (seeing compassion on his poverty wrote Government
Petitioners for him for nothing); (2) R. Subbar cannot
give the names of a single person who informed him of
the substance of his charges (3) it is true that as
any official in Anantapur will know, that there
has been (and still is) a large number of agree

a strong madwa clique in the Office of this District, and it is also true that the Collector is waging war against them. It is obvious therefore that a man - madwa ~~like~~ ^{like} Shendadai would not find it a difficult task to raise a host of enemies round him.

Turning again to the charge itself, the evidence does not make out at all the case of Peltanas, that the bandy and bullocks were a present. But as there is always a possibility of evidence being untrustworthy when given against a high official, it is well to examine the circumstances of the transaction to see whether there is anything suspicious. I may remark en passant that even Peltanas does not allege that K. Ramdora

was unworthy of the taluq Shendadai, or unentitled to it by seniority. As a matter of fact he is of 23 years' service, and first acted as taluq Shendadai in 1880. The 2 men less senior in his favour for the present appointment are Manga Ramdora Rao & Lallu Subbaiah. Both are junior to him, the latter having been his "pupil", and the former is further ^{materially} disqualified from being taluq Shendadai in 1880 because he has hands in the taluq. But this is not a strong point in

Sundaravaram's favour, as the fact that a man is the most worthy of promotion, does not unfortunately prove that he might not be compelled to have recourse to bribery to get it.

The first point that requires explanation is why Sundaravaram's character did not treat with the Dy. Colr about the bandy personally, when waiting for he had gone and then making the bargain with K. Ramdora Rao. Sundaravaram's explanation of this is that ~~it was only~~ after the Dy. Collector did not inform him that his bandy and bullocks were for sale, and that it was only after his departure that somebody told him they were.

I cannot say that Sundaravaram's character was bound to know the Dy. Colr was going to sell his bandy, and this explanation must be accepted. Another circumstance to be considered is the delay in payment. ^{of the bandy & bullocks} The explanation of this is that the Dy. Colr's sanction for their sale to Sundaravaram's character had to be obtained, and further Sundaravaram's character wanted to try them on credit before buying. This amounts to their being immediately handed over to Sundaravaram's character, a further reason for the being that K. Ramdora Rao was, he observes, saved the bulk of the bullocks, for which Dy. Colr had left no advance.

When up, it is proved that the Sundaravaram's character paid for the bandy and bullocks; it is not proved that this was only a nominal payment; it is not proved that the price paid for them was not a fair one; circumstances pointed out above as likely to give rise to suspicion have been satisfactorily explained; it is very probable that this charge is purely the outcome of enmity; if K. Ramdora Rao wanted to bribe Sundaravaram's character then were numerous ways of doing it much more secretly & less clumsily.

My opinion is that this charge is not proved.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient
servant

A. R. R.
Agst. 1892

Submitted to Government

By Underwood

Anantapur
21 April 92

Papers Submitted as Enclosures
to Confidential letter dated

1. - Petition of Rallapalli Subbappa
to Government with G.O No 976
17.2.92

2. Rallapalli Subbappa's statement
dated 29.2.92.

3. Kallur Vasudewa Rao's statement
dated 29.2.92.

4. Juvinda Rao's statement of 29.2.92

5. Aswatha charli's - do - - -

6. V. Hanumantha Rao's - do - - -

7. English Head of book Rindaravanda by
charli's statement dated 29.2.92.

8. Ex: A Registered Cover.

9. Ex: B Note written in blue pencil at
by K. Vasudewa Rao.

10. Ex: C. Post Card written by Kallur
Vasudewa Rao to V. Hanumantha Rao

11. Ex: D letter written by Deputy
Collector Ramo Seshagiri to
V. Hanumantha Rao
- - - - - 15/4/92.

Rev. 3297/92

To the Collector of Anantapur
with letter to be ret'd.

It is not proved.

12. Petition presented by
Complainant Vakil Vadoji Rao

13. Issues to parties

14. Application/Copies of
S. Varada chari

By Head Asst Collector
1.4.92

Confidential

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read Petition from Rallapalle

Subbayya dated 9th Feb. 1892 praying for an
enquiry into the charges brought against C. N.
Sundaravanda charu, late acting Muzam Sheria
Ladar and present English Head clerk, Anantapur.
pur.

Order thereon: No 2976, dated 17th February 1892.

The Government considers it desirable
that the truth of the charges now specifically
made by R. Subbayya should be tested by
investigation of the charge relating to the
presentation of a Bandy and Bullocks to
S. Varada chari by the Deputy collector's Head
clerk K. Vasudeva Rao. The enquiry should
be conducted by the Head asst. collector and
the result reported to Government at an early date
(True extract)


Rev. 3297/92

Collector
Secretary to Govt.

To the Collector of Anantapur
with Petition to be ret'd.

Forwarded to
the Head Revenue
Collector

H. G. Anderson
Collector
Pudukottai
21 Feb - 92




3
Rev. 329/92

of
PETITION
216
REVENUE DEPT.

The Secretary to the Government
Revenue Department
Madras

Respectfully Sheweth



In Continuation of petition

Dated 2nd Sept. 1911 - petitioner begs to state
that in pursuance of the summons issued
to him by the Collector he appeared
before that Officer at Dharmavaram on
the 30th instant and made a statement
detailing the Charges against G. N. Sundara-
varadachari, late acting Muzur -
Sheristadar, and present English Head
Clerk, Anantapur, for each of these Charges
petitioner was asked to name his witnesses.
As there were a number of witnesses for
each item, besides documentary evidence,
the petitioner to refresh his memory had
the same noted in a memo which he had
with him at the time of his examination.
The Collector flatly refused to allow him
to

to make a reference to it, nor permitted him to produce the same. So his statement was taken without the details of evidence recorded in it. Nor was he told after the examination was over what further action the collector intended to take. There is not the slightest doubt, and the petitioner has got the full assurance that if the matter be enquired into promptly and fairly, and the evidence both oral and documentary which he is going to adduce, be heard fully, the charges one and all will be brought home to the accused. The petitioner submits below a memo of the evidence, oral and documentary, which he is going to adduce in proof of the charges. A perusal of which will the petitioner hopes, convince Government the truthfulness of the Complaint. As the accused is very influential and one of the highest native officials any delay in the enquiry will only give room to him to buy over the witnesses and weaken the case as much as possible. In the case of irregularities on the part of

of the Government servants, Government itself being the employer and directly interested in weeding out the dishonest and the untrustworthy from its ranks should afford every possible assistance when the public take the initiative and come forward boldly to inform it of the maladministration of its servants which is detrimental both to the interests of the State and to the well being of the public. The petitioner's Vakil has been told by the collector that he would not be admitted on behalf of the petitioner. In such inquiries where influential public servants are concerned the petitioner should naturally have his legal advisers and therefore requests that the Officer conducting the inquiry may be directed to admit at the time of acquiring his authorized Vakil.

The Petitioner further brings to notice that Sunda Varadachariyasa making his influence felt in all possible ways he got a subordinate in the office by name Khoffappa deprived of his promotion

promotion to a post which he had been officiating in for the past many months for the mere reason that he happened to be cited as a witness by the Petitioner. His promotion was approved by the Collector, but no sooner it was known that he was cited by the Petitioner as a witness the promotion was cancelled. The poor man did not know why he was cited. The mere fact of a person being named a witness, is made a cause of his ruin as in the above case. What the issue will be of the present Complaint especially if it is allowed to be enquired into in this District and by any of the officers under whom Sundara Varadachary is now serving and with whom they will naturally have some sympathy, will certainly suggest itself to Government. If a fair dealing is to be accorded, the petitioner requests that the case may be referred to any officer in any of the neighbouring districts of Bellary, Chaddargah & Karavol.

The following are the details of charges with the nature of evidence forthcoming.

Charge No 1 — Presentation of cloths at the time of the tansure of Sundara Varadachary's son.

Oral evidence: names of the persons who presented the cloths.

- Officials
1. H. Sankara Rao
 2. D. Venkata Rao
 3. P. Hanamanta Rao
 4. C. Srinivasa Rao
 5. P. Vallabharao Chaudhary
 6. W. Gopalaswami Aidi
 7. E. V. Venkata Perumal Chaudhary
 8. D. P. Sajireddy
 9. V. C. Gopalacharya
 10. V. C. Ramaswami Chaudhary
 11. K. Srinivasa Rao
 12. J. Krishna Rao
- & many others

- Now Officials
1. Putamati Subbarao
 2. B. Narayana Chaudhary
 3. Vedajee Rao
 4. Chakrasaniygar

Persons present at the time: 1. C. Shamaji Rao H.D. (Quadrilateral Police)

6. Gopalacharya (Sub Registrar)
7. Ramaswami Chaudhary (H.D. Master)
8. Murugan Nishigor
9. M. Dhima Rao
10. Yetirajulu Chaudhary
11. T. Chintamani Chaudhary

Documentary evidence: The account of Sankarappa, wholesale dealer in cloths at Anantapur, to prove that cloths were sold on the date.

of consignment to the persons and for the purpose
named above

Charge no II +

Presentation of Band &
bullocks by Deputy
Collector's Head Clerk
Kallur Varadwa Rao

Oral Evidence:-

Witness No 1 Kallur Varadwa Rao
to depose as to the amount
for which the purchase of the band
& bullocks from the Deputy
Collector's Head Clerk and as
to the date on which he made
over them to Sunda Varadacharya

Witness No 2 Deputy Collector.
Rama Shetty to
depose for how much
he sold his band and bullocks
to Varadwa Rao, as to when
he made them over when he
received the purchase money
how and through whom.

Witness No 3. V. Hanumantha Rao
4. K. Aswaththartha
5. C. Govinda Rao

to depose that Varadwa Rao was
promised Subash's tadani at
Pavidi on 3rd May 1891 & that he was
asked first then at the same camp
to part his band and bullocks

Witness No 6 All the officials who
accompanied the collector to
Goby to depose that the band &
bullocks were received by Sunda Varadacharya

and bullocks were received by Sunda Varadacharya
Charles at Goby on the 4th idem

Documentary Evidence II The memo or the
Gazette which announced Varadwa Rao's appointment to show
whether it was prior or subsequent to
the presentation of band and bullocks
that the appointment was restored

Charge no III Presentation of silk cloth
by Sankara Rao

Witness 1 H Sankara Rao to depose
as to the date on which he presented
the silk cloth in the name of his uncle
Subba Rao, Deputy Collector residing
at Bangalore, the date on which he
petitioned was appointed Ray Deputy
Collector the date on which he was transferred
to Anantapur & the date on which
his transfer was ordered.

Witness No 2 Persons referred to under
Charge no I

Documentary I - Statement of Sankara
Rao's landed property

ii The memo or the Gazette which
announced Sankara Rao's posting
to Anantapur and of his subsequent transfer
to Anantapur.

iii The office note or other document
written by Sunda Varadacharya
stating

to the transfer.

IV Sankara Rao's petition for transfer

Charge No IV

1 Sanjeeva Mudhijar Minor
Irrigation contractor was
nominated on the latter parting
with his Spring Coach to Sundara
Varadachari. On Sanjeeva Mudhijar
demanding the price he was told
by Sundara Varadachari that
he could not be confirmed and
even his post was advertised for
though his confirmation was recommended
by the Ishildars of Goddy and Anantapur.
On Sanjeeva Mudhijar pressing his
demand he paid for less than its
real value.

Oral evidence i

Witness No 1 Sanjeeva Mudhijar to
depone as to the cost price of Spring
Coach as to when he gave to Sundara
Varadachari when he was appointed
Minor Irrigation overseer what price
money if any he obtained for it when
his probationary time ceased and why
he was not confirmed

Witnesses No 2 & 3. A. Jagannatha Rao
&
H. Sitarama Rao

Ishildars of Goddy &
Anantapur to depone
whether they recommended Sanjeeva
Mudhijar's Confirmation

Witnesses No 4, 5, 6 & 7 Sundara Varadachari
J. Sankara Rao, Railway Cash keeper, Mallamangalapur
Mallamangalapur, District Forest
Officer to depone as to for how
much

much they offered to purchase the Coach
Witness No 7 Atal

Ahmed Hupani Sahib
Deputy Collector to

depone as to how much was
paid in 4 An. Sundara Varadachari
Charles' house for the Spring
Coach to Sanjeeva Mudhijar and
when for what amount the receipt
was drawn up in his presence

Documentary evidence i The "Hinda" in which
the post of Minor Irrigation overseer
held by Sanjeeva ^{Mudhijar} was advertised and the order if
any on which it was based.

ii The receipt if any obtained,
by Sundara Varadachari in the trans-
action

Charge No II Purchase of Carpets for
Government and misappropriation
by Sundara Varadachari

Oral evidence No 1 Karim Sahib of Adoni
who sold the Carpets of Goddy
to depone as to the price of the Carpets
sold

Witnesses No 2, 3, 4 & 5, 6 Bapu Rao
B. Venkata Rao, Adoni Khan -
Basireddy, K. Latchmana Rao and
R. Aruntha Charlu to depone how many
Carpets were purchased for office use at the
rate and when & what Carpets are in the
Office at present

Documentary evidence - Carpets in the Office to be
shown

Charge no II Madakina Jack plants
 names of the persons from whom the
 plants were collected as a part
 of the Jamabandi perquisite

Names of Kasba Madakina
 — so — of Madoshi
 — so — of Agali
 — so — of Kolla
 — " — of Rangamachali
 — " — of Kathajiri
 & many more

Witnesses who were aware of the above

V. Hanamanta Rao Taluk Sheridar
 Madakina

Mr J. Williams Talukdar of —

P. Pampapathy Rao Talukdar of
 Penkonda

A. Sreepathi Rao

B. Raghavendra Rao

K. Bapu Rao

H. Srinivasa Rao

Madakina Duffour Hupaisaib

Carpenter Venkatapathy Anantapur

+

(Signed) Ballappa - Subbarao
 of Singanamalla

Enquiry as per S.O. 976
 17.2.52

Ratapale Subbarao. (Complainant)

Am - Examined (on behalf of English Head Clerk)


I live in Singalamalla, 11 miles from
 Anantapur. I have been living for some time
 at Lolur. Since going in the Petition
 against the Head Clerk I have been living
 at Lolur. 2 summonses issued by the
 Collector in connection with this enquiry
 were served on me at Lolur. I know

Lolur Subbarao, 2nd Assistant in Collector's
 Office. I have seen him in Anantapur, not
 in Lolur. I have seen his brother Hanumantha
 charlu in Lolur, but not his father
 Venkobacharlu. I have neither house
 nor lands in Lolur. I have a man
 at Singalamalla, and a shooban at
 Kandamandalipalem. From them I get my
 livelihood. A portion of my shooban is
 in my uncle's enjoyment, & he pays
 me for that enjoyment Rs 50 a year.

Of the 2 mans in Singalamalla as has
 been mortgaged to Ratapale Subbarao.
 I have 3 fields (man) in Singalamalla.
 One of them is mortgaged to Subbarao, the
 other 2 are in my possession. These are
 cultivated by ryots. For the first field
 I get a Pandhan (10 toons), &
 Telugu 100 for the 2nd 15 toons.

Rev. 329/92

Arshin
 29.2

10 toons would realize about 25 Rs.
There means I got as "dambitiam". Besides
there I have ancestral property in
Tadpatra taluq. I have a $\frac{1}{5}$ share = $1\frac{1}{2}$ ac.
from that I get Rs. 20 to 25 yearly. I have
other dry lands which bring me in Rs. 10
yearly. I ~~have~~ ^{own} one Ushatipala Subbara
Rs. 300, and the mortgage has to run for 7 or 8
more crops. 10 or 15 years ago I was convicted
of theft and sentenced to 2 months' rigorous
imprisonment, but the conviction was
reversed on appeal. I heard of the what
I charge the S. H. C. with by rumour, not at
Lohur, but at Panidi when I had been to
my uncle's, and there, at Anantapur
there was this rumour. Why should I
give out the names of those who spoke of it
at Anantapur? With they come as
witnesses? The rumour was that Kallur
Vasudwa Rao, H. C. & Dy. Comm. Officer at
Anantapur, & Mungu Vasudwa Rao, taluq
Sheristadar at Gooty, & taluq Sheristadar
& Head Clerkship respectively by 
The rumour was that Kallur Vasudwa Rao
by getting bandy and bullocks for the
Chief S. H. C. got the taluq Sheristadars of
Gooty taluq, and that in that
telugu name amount Mungu Anantapur
29.1

1.
3

Vasudrao was transferred from that
Taluk Sherikdars to the Head Clerkship in
Dy. Coler Office, Kallur Vasudrao Rao's old
post. The bandy and bullocks which
K. Vasudrao Rao gave were bought by him
from Dy. Coler Ramdeshore for Rs. 70.
The rumour was that the bandy and bullocks
were first given as a present to the
English Head Clerk, but that 2 months
afterwards ~~and~~ the E.H.C. hearing that
a petition had been presented on the
matter to the Collector, got money from
Vasudrao Rao, and remitted the same to
him & money - order as for the
bandy and bullocks. I heard this
both at Namidi and Anantapur. I
heard this all through the Bazaar. I don't
remember whether at night-time or
day-time I heard this. Kolachalam
Gunnasabao, sarkar at Bellary, wrote the
English Petitions for me in this connection
to the Collector and to Government.
I went to Bellary to get these written.
I wrote my first Petition in Telugu to the
Collector. I did not write the Telugu
petition to the Collector, but wrote the
Telugu Petition to the Board.
A. Chinn
29/2

I did not write any Petition to the Collector.
 I went to Bellary to get the ~~English~~ ^{Am} English Petition
 to Government written at my own expense.
 The Bellary Mail wrote the Petition gratis.
 I am representing to him that I was a
 poor man. I have engaged Range Rao.
 District Court Case Bellary in this case.
 He has telegraphed that he will come tomorrow.
 I have promised to pay him the amount of
 fees is not settled yet. I have engaged
 Vedaji Rao, Pleader in this case. I
 may have paid him Rs. 40. It may be Rs. 5
 more or less. I can settle my accounts.
 I paid it in a lump sum, more than a
 month ago. I can say positively I paid
 him Rs. 45. I have been taking meals
 while in anantapur in my uncle's son's
 house. I have given deposition in Tan. 30
 before the Collector at Dharmavaram.
~~Accepted~~ ^{Am} Taken About this bandy &
 bullock affair. I may have given a
 statement before the Collector. I have
 seen all the officials in the Collector's
 Office but cannot give the names
 of all. No official ~~to~~ sent for me a
 last Thursday night. I remember the
 night. I was not sent for.
 An. B.

I was here in Anantapur that night.
On Wednesday I came here, stopped on Thursday,
left on Friday evening for Srirangam,
and returned here yesterday.
I heard that the bandy and bullocks were
handed over to the English Head Clerk, Elton
at Pamidi or Gooty. I never said
where the bandy and bullocks were
handed over ~~to~~ before the Collector.
I did not consult any official in the
Collector's Office before going to Dharmavaram
to depose before the Collector. I did not
consult any non-official. I have been
serving the English Head Clerk for the last
10 months. There has been no misunderstanding
or enmity between me and the English
Head Clerk. I have not brought this
complaint at the instigation of any chieftain
in the Collector's Office, or in the District.

Rymer
an.

Howe came directly to inform me, but I
heard of the subject matter of my
complaint, and then an witnesses.
That in my first Petition about 2 months
ago.

Archer
28.2

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

6.

I have a mother, and wife, & 2 children
 depending on me. I have no servants.
 I paid the Vails at my own money.

Arthur
 28.7

2838. 10/10/18

S. J. Green & Co. 10/10/18

Sibbere's
Statement

5
Rev. 3297/92

2nd 7th
1st witness a behalf of Complainant.

Kallur Vasudeva Row.

I am Talug Sheristadar of Tadpatre, formerly
Talug Sheristadar of Gooty. Before I was
Talug Sheristadar of Gooty, I was Head Clerk
in Dy. Collector's Office, Anantapur drawing
Rs. 45. As Tg. Sheristadar of Gooty I drew
Rs. 60. I think I got Sheristadary of Gooty
in the beginning or middle of May last.
I did not ask the then Hagar Sheristadar
(present E.H.C.) for the appointment.
I met him at Pamidi in the month of
May before the appointment. Dy. Colr
Rameswara Rao took me. I stayed at
Pamidi for some 3 or 4 hours. I saw
the present E.H.C. at Pamidi then. I was
present when the Dy. Collector recommended
my case to him, i.e. recommended me for
promotion. When the E.H.C. questioned
me about my length of service etc. I answered
him. I talked with E.H.C. (present E.H.C.)
on other subjects after the Dy. Collector
left us. On subject of our conversation
was the Dy. Colr bandy and bullock.
E.H.C. asked me in whose possession
Dy. Collector ~~was~~ had left his
bandy and bullocks as he
A. Ch.

were going away. talking about the sale
 of the bandy & bullocks. I told the E.H.C. that
 some persons had bid Rs. 70 for it, that
 if higher bid was made, bandy & bullocks would
 be sold to that bidder, and before selling the
 E.H.C. have them at Rs. 70 I should have to
 consult the Dy. Collector. E.H.C. asked me
 what I was going to do with the bandy and
 bullocks before the sale. I said I would
 not keep them as I could have to
 pay the cost of their keep, and I told
 E.H.C. he could have them till the bargain
 was made, she could then remit the
 amount settled. The Dy. Collector took
 away the bandy and bullocks to Govt.
 Station. Dy. Collector instructed me to
 dispose of the bandy and bullocks.
 On the evening the Dy. Colr left Govt. Station,
 I wrote to the bandy man or somebody to
 hand over the bandy and bullocks to
 the E.H.C. On same night I returned to
 Arenalapur and 2-3 days after I
 wrote to the Dy. Collector saying that
 several persons had bid Rs. 70 for the
 bandy and bullocks, and that E.H.C.
 had offered the same amount.
 and requested Arthur

Instructions how to dispose of the
bandy and bullocks. The Dy. Collector
did not reply. The Dy. Collector's attended
Hannamant Rao with one saying that
the Dy. Colr had written to him for the
amount realized by the sale of the bandy &
bullocks, and asked me whether I had
remitted the same. I replied that I
had ~~not~~ written to the Dy. Colr about it,
and after receiving his reply would
send up the money. I received the
money from the E.H.L. on the 16th July. The
amount was Rs. 70 sent down by
notes in an insured letter. Before I
received this money, I had remitted
the Rs. 70 ~~from~~ to the Dy. Collector. I remitted
it 15 days before I received the money
from E.H.L. Hannamant Rao again
wrote to me asking me whether I had
remitted the amount, and ^{said} if not, that
he would himself remit the amount.
I wrote to say that he need not bother himself,
that I would myself remit the amount, &
never it from the Shrinagar (the
present E.H.L.). I myself fixed
the amount of Rs. 70. When the Dy.
Collector was here, someone offered him

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text on the left page, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side.]

4.
Rs. 70, and he told me to sell it for more
if I could, but if not, to sell it for Rs. 70.
He offered more than Rs. 70. Aswartha
Charles never offered Rs. 85. After Hanumantha
Row's second letter asking for the money, I
sent off the Rs. 70 to the Dy. Colr, and then
wrote to the Ethl for it. I wrote to
somebody to hand over the bandy & bullocks
to the Ethl but I don't remember whether it
was to Hanumantha Row. I wrote the note
at Pambidi in Kurnam Subbar's
house when I was putting up. I don't
write it in the presence of the Ethl in the
choultry when he was putting up. It was
not at his request that I wrote it, but he
asked me to have the bandy & bullocks
delivered to him on the other side of the
& I promised to do so, as it would save
me daily half a rupee. The Dy. Collector
did not have with me money for the cost of
keeping the bullocks till they were disposed of.
Before I was Head Clerk in Dy. Collector's
Office, I was taking Sheris's order of Hand upar
for 2 years, some months I drew full
pay, some months acting allowance.
Am

I don't know why I was brought back to
the clerical. I was 10 months as
Head Clerk. I got Rs. 45 as H.C. as Taluk
Sheristadar I asked for Rs. 50 or 60. Multistans
succeeded me as Sheristadar of Haidar
Taluk. He was drawing Rs. 45 or 50 before that.
I don't remember whether during the 10 months
I was H.C. a Taluk Sheristadar was vacant.
and I was passed over.

XX
At the time of the conversation at Namdi
about the bandy & bullock, as Taluk
Sheristadar was vacant. & I don't
know there was any likelihood of any
falling vacant. Mungu Vasudev Rao
is my Junior. I don't know whether he has
leaves in the Govt Division. I know Lokur
Subbanchari. He was my Junior, & was
my pupil. He aspired to be Taluk
Sheristadar. I got the vacant Taluk
Sheristadar of Govt in preference to him.
I succeeded Mungu Vasudev Rao. I began
to act as Taluk Sheristadar in May 1885.
I was placed R. 1. for 6 or 7 years.
I have my Government Service is 23 years.
Arbha

I completed all my Tests, 12 years ago. I have never been fined, warned, or had any black mark against me up to the present moment. At present I am only acting Tahsil Sheristadar. I borrowed the Rs. 20 from T. Simivasa Rao, my brother-in-law, who is a money-lender in Gooly and vice-President of the Tahsil Board. I paid back the Rs. 20 within the day I received it from Ethel. I have the insured letters in which Ethel sent the notes to me. I produce the registered cover, and the covering letter sent by Ethel. I sent the money before receiving it from Ethel because of the attendant's letter and because it was I that was settling the matter. The delay in settling was due to the Dr. Collector not replying to my letter; Ethel ~~was~~ sent a message by Ananthachari, a brother-in-law (H.C. Govt. Dr. Collector's Office) asking me whether Dr. Collector had replied to my letter.

Dr. Collector has replied
Mr I sent the amount to Dr. Collector
 currency notes in a registered letter
 I do not have for acknowledgment
 An Ouse

7.
I received no letter from the Dy. Collector
acknowledging receipt of the amount. I don't
remember when I got the paper from for the
chit which I wrote in the Kuman's house.
Others were in the Kuman's house, (over 15, Kuman
others of being Tamabady time. Some taking classes
might have been there, but no Collector's class. He
taking class if there were any would be Govt's
Class. In B. in the chit I wrote in the Kuman's
house to Hanumanth Rao. I cannot say

whether the chit is written on Govt. paper.
On the back of the chit in ink is written
"Official memo". This is in handwriting
resembling the Govt. C's. E.H.L. did not give me
that paper to write chit. The chit is
written dated 3rd May 1881. In C. is the a

On
post-card I wrote to Hanumanth Rao. In B.
is a letter written by Dy. Collector to Hanumanth
Rao. In E. is another post-card written by me
to Hanumanth Rao on 29th June 81. In it I say
"this day I have remitted the money to Dy. Colr.
and that in fact that I had so remitted it
could be ascertained from the Dy. Colr."

There was no talk between the E.H.L.
and the Dy. Collector about the
bandy and the bullocks. When
the Dy. Colr. left. *an*

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side.]

Kalla Vasudeva Rao's
Statement
A. S. M.
28. 12

4. In view of the complaint.

C. Jovide Rao

I am Clerk in Collector's Office

Amantapur. I was with the

Collector in camp at Pannidi in the

beginning of May last. The present E. H. L.

was acting as the Sheriff then and was

in Pannidi then. He was holding office in the

local Pannidi. By order of the Pannidi

Came there at about 2.30 or 3 in the

afternoon. Kalla Vasudeva Rao was there that

day. I don't know that K. Vasudeva Rao

had any conversation with E. H. L. about any

business. I made no conversation with him.

I did not hear what they talked about as I was

sitting at a distance. I did not hear K. Vasudeva

Rao for the Sheriff as above. But I met

By order, K. Vasudeva Rao & Sivaji K. Vasudeva Rao

& the Clerk to the By order had recommended

him and K. Vasudeva Rao for promotion to

the present E. H. L. I saw K. Vasudeva Rao

talking with E. H. L. I never saw Vasudeva Rao

with any clerk as a ~~clerk~~ was being
across the Chandi. K. Vasudeva Rao was sitting
at the head of the table. The latter had been
before me. I am in the Revenue
Comptroller's Office in Collector's Office

6
Rev. 3297/92

I am now working directly under the
Hajim Sherin (Mr. Goring; Krishna Rao
was drawing Rs 25 ~~in 1915~~ but I heard now
he has been reduced to ^{at} Rs 15. He is now
Clerk in the Civil Office. The English
Head Clerk of the Civil Office has no control
over the Account ^{ant} Department. I heard some
2 days back that Krishna Rao was reduced.
The present P.H.C. ceased to act as Hajim
Sherin was in Golder St. At the time
he said Mr. Clerk had recommended him
he was drawing Rs 20. Subsequently he
drew Rs 25. That was a promotion.
He was talking to K. Vansuvaran,
near the basin - then in another place,
i.e. after the entrance after Chanchry.
Ankuramann St. who had come to the
Tamarandry could see and hear.
At the time of the conversation I was
behind the curtain, & did not see either
then was Kumar, Reddy etc. outside.
The conversation took place at about
7.30 in the evening. After K.
Vansuvaran left, E.H.C. began to
talk with some of the clerks.
X+ 1 mes - I am not sure of the
after

None but parent & etc. was pulling up
in the Chandi. At the time no kumars
etc. were bringing up amounts for the bandy.
I & my fellow-clerk was Chaiting.
Bairi, Adan Khan, Anwarthe Chaiter,
was there. When the parent & etc. were
Hajir Sheridar, I was reduced from
As. Revenue Inspector to 25th. Clerkship because

I did not join in time amounting to 15 days arrears.
There was no time specified in the order for me
to join, but the Hajir Sheridar said I had been
warned out of my acting appointment because
I had not joined in time. While I was
As. Rev. Inspector I was transferred from
Pamidi to Madras. There relations
in this District. One of them is a Madras
Jain C. Srinatha. K. Varadarao is a
Srinatha.

He said I was not displeased with transfer
from Pamidi to Madras. I do not
see any great loss. I do not know that
Appointment, I do not know that
C. Govinda Reddy suggests (as H.C.) appointments
with 24.12.12
XX. I mean Pamidi. A. Srin

3 Witness on behalf of Complainant.

Aswartechar

I am Revenue Inspector. I was in Pannidi in May last. I went there to see the Collector to get my leave extended. I saw the then Huzar Sherisadar (present S.H.L.) then. I saw him at the office which was held in the Choultry at Pannidi. The same day I saw Kaker Vamsuwar. There was some conversation between him and the present S.H.L. I was sitting some distance off and did not hear the conversation. Vamsuwar wrote nothing in my presence. I did not hear him ask the present S.H.L. for a taking Sherisadari. I got my leave extended. I made an offer for the Collector's land & bullocks of Rs. 20-72. I made this offer to Mr. Hannamant Rao that day. He referred me to Vamsuwar. I did not ask Vamsuwar. He was there. I did not ask him because I did not find time to go to him.

44. I made this offer to Hannamant Rao at Pannidi. A. S. Han

1
Rev. 329/92

Hannumant Row told me ^{bandy & bullock} ~~the~~ ^{were} ~~transfer~~
sale; and that Vasudev Row had the
duty of disposing of ^{them} ~~the~~. I know Mangra
Vasudev Row. He is junior to Kallur
Vasudev Row. Subbanachari is junior to
Kallur Vasudev Row by some 5 or 6 years.
Kallur Vasudev Row has better claims than
Taling Shrinidasi than either Mangra
Vasudev Row or Subbanachari. I know
that Mangra Vasudev Row has got lands at
Belakanda in Gooly Taluk.

Re-Ex:

I know for certain that Kalland Samdwa Pan
is senior to Subba Chari. Kallander
has nearly 20 years service; the latter about
14 years. I don't know whether there are
any defects in K. Vamsidharan's official.
I don't know why he was brought back
from Hyderabad taking Sheristadars.
Major Venkatarao Rao is now Revenue
Inspector, drawing less pay than he
deserves.

AS. Dy. Colm Head Clerk? Thank
you Day I was at Pami? was May 2nd 1891.
Am - 28 -

Dy Collector came on Wednesday to Pami? ab
about 2 ~ 3 to the present E.C.
Jan - Madras Province.
R. S. Datta

You "so give me the bandy". I said
 "all the night before K. Vasudev Rao, Dr. Ceder
 & I were together, and then they nothing
 was told me about handing over the
 bandy, so I ask not give it you". Ethel
 said "ask you give the bandy or not"? A
 chit was written by me, then I said
 "take it if you like". He spoke in a loud
 voice, I thought it was in an angry tone.
 Because he spoke to me angrily I told him
 to take it. I wrote to Mr. Ceder asking
 him not to ask me anything about the
 price, or I had give over the bandy
 as a chit from K. Vasudev Rao.
 The last sentence of Vasudev Rao's chit to
 me was "I shall settle about the
 price etc.". In D is a letter written
 to me by Dr. Ceder (Ramesh Rao). In
 it is said - See that the bandy is
 disposed of for Rs. 70.

H. My boy is B. S. I am a Madwa Brahmin.
 I am a native of Bellary District. In
 Anantapur I have no relatives. Heed for it
 is my brother, we live together. Lokur
 Subbaraochara is a Madwa Brahmin.

train at 8 at Gooty. I and Vasudeva Rao
accompanied him to Pamidi. ~~then~~
then I alone accompanied him to
Gooty. ²⁰3 Pears accompanied us. We
took our meals in the choultry at Pamidi
this side the river, went to the village at
3 P.M. he got to the river at 8 A.M.
and stayed in Pamidi village till 5 P.M.
At that time Dy. Carr gave me no
instructions as to the disposal of the
bandy & bullocks. I don't know what
instructions were given to K. Vasudeva Rao.
My duty as attendant was to make him
and bind duppers. When S.H. Shore
came in a angry tone at Gooty Chattram
my charge was present with us
inside. There were many outside.
The Pears who had accompanied by Carr
remained outside, and did not come
inside with me. The clerks of the
establishment were outside at the Mandah.
This happened at 8 A.M. on the Monday
morning. I took the goods to the station
at 6.30 or 7 A.M. same morning.
It took me 2 or 2 1/2 hours to load &
load the goods. The ~~man~~ ^{man} ~~was~~ ^{was}
Choultry in 2 or 2 1/2 miles
from the station.
Arthur

Uth took charge of the bandy & liquor
from me. His servants took them. I do
not know their names. Some fellows I date
as unavid. I like them as a cross wire.
I know Katta Sab attended. I did not
hand over the bandy then. He was outside
during the conversation. I did not act
on my Head Clerk's (S. Vasudeva Rao's)
chit, because I did not know how he
could have written it when up to 5 no
instructions had been given him. All the
time I + Vasudeva Rao was with the
Dy. Collector, the latter gave no instructions
to Vasudeva Rao. I recognised the chit
as being in Vasudeva Rao's handwriting.
The chit was not initiated. Because?
doubt (1) that Vasudeva Rao had written
the chit, it not being initiated by him
(2) that he had no authority to order
disposal of the bandy. I hesitated to
act on that chit. If the chit had
been initiated I should have acted on
it. Seshanna brought me this chit.
He is Collector's Office attendant. He said
he had brought it from Vasudeva Rao at
Pamudi. I understood from the chit
that Vasudeva Rao was selling
the price! and

It was no concern of mine, I handed over
the bandy, I have never asked Ethel for
promotional costs.

By way of Dr. Colver. wrote me the Ex. D. on the
day after his departure I wrote to Dr. Colver
saying that a variance Row's Chit I had
handed over the bandy to Ethel and that
he (Dr. Colver) should not look to me for the
money. An receipt of Ex. D. I wrote to Row
Row. As far as my knowledge goes, Dr. Colver
had not given the bandy and bullwags into
Angie's charge.

Angie's charge.
1/23
Between the Rag

China

25.2.52

ignored ~~the~~ ^{the} power, for some promotion when vacancy occurred. I told him what is a written application to the Collector, stating his claims and said it would be submitted in due course for consideration. After time there was an opportunity. He (Dr. Celler) was canvassing with me he did not give me to understand that his bandy and bullocks were for sale, or? Should have asked him personally and settled matters with him. After he left me I was informed by somebody about name? do not recollect at present, that Dr. Celler's carriage

9
Rev. 3/29/97

And

was for sale. On same evening the
 Dr. Coker's Head Clerk came to take leave
 of me, as he was going to Anantapur.
 I was then holding my Tamabady in the
 Cutchery, preparing papers for the
 next morning, and I then asked him if it
 was true that the Dr. Coker's Camels and
 bullocks were for sale. He said "yes",
 and he was asked to dispose of them.
 I then asked him for what amount.
 He said for no less than Rs. 70 which
 was the amount offered by one of the
 members of the local Fund Establishment.
 He told me at the same time that the
 maximum and minimum prices were
 Rs. 80 & 20 respectively, and expressed
 his anxiety to be relieved of them daily
 in attendance, pending their disposal.
 The bullocks were very worn out, having been worked
 about 30 or 40 miles a day which
 every body knows. The bandy was in a
 shattered state, which as Coker knows
 I got repaired at Medapur.
 Anbu

Recd

3.
Ans I thought \$3.50 is the highest
amount that could be offered.

I have consented to take the
turn-out for the amount ^{said to have been} already
offered, viz. Rs. 70. with the distinct
understanding that I would not buy a bit
more, that I should be allowed to try
it during that circuit, and that the
cost should be obtained for the

It being that circumstance,
owner's consent should be obtained for the
amount offered. before I reached H. & G.
the contract being then settled between
me & the vessel's crew. I have asked
him to give a note to one of the men who
accompanied me by Mr. G. G. with
instructions to have the bandy and tobacco
overboard then at G. G. After reaching
G. G. one of my men took the chest, and the
bunch

Yesterday afternoon I heard the band and buhos
 were at the Cart Road at Jolly. I then
 sent my attendant Kalia Sahib to fetch them
 mentioning that they were purchased
 for me. My attendant brought back the
 band & buhos without any dinner
 or turban of those in charge.

Hurt

There was no force or threat on my part
as alleged, & to the best of my recollection
I was seated outside the Chamber in the
open veranda with the office clerks behind
me out of curiosity to see the marionettes
animals. Here the matter ended. When
I am at Gorky, I remember the
Devernal Office (with Anantara)
menthoring to me about case before
his Court in about the time H. G. Sheridans
Mung Vansanhar was either directly or
indirectly involved, & in about the last to
decide against him. He at the same time
everhard he had no aboriginal lands at
the taking having a human being's right.
could be afforded as H. G. Sheridans of
the same place, & I remember rightly,
he also seems to have menthored that he
had an alienation what effect in his
Judgment. Coming to know of this & they,

W. H. H. Mung Vansanhar's statement
land held by him, & asked me to see
that he was removed from the taking as

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

his holding his appointment was
opposed to orders. I told him he was
faulty because he did not before hand
bring this to the notice of the Collector, to
which he replied that much against his
will he was thrust upon for that
Hugor Sheridley. I told him I did not
believe that, as he himself wanted to
cancel facts, and as to his
believe the Collector as the subject for
orders. He at the same time begged that
as he it was probable that Kankar was
now under H.C. of Dr. Carr, Anantapur,
who is known to be in the district, a statement
of being made taking
Sheridley, he might be appointed to
success him in case K. Kankar
was appointed. I am mentioning
this from memory. Thereupon
I drafted a memo and submitted

End

6
it to the clerk for orders, which
~~was~~ ^{was} duly approved: these were
the circumstances under which
the Acting Appointments were
made & I leave it to the Court
to decide whether they are not
bonafide. As I was about
to leave the ~~foolish~~ Teluk ~~for~~ after
Jamabandi, I sent word to
Kullin Chandrasekar which was
about the end of May 1891
to say that I had not heard
from him about the settlement
of the price and as ^{the} black bullock
was very ill it confirmed my
former opinion that I would
not pay more than Rs. 70 for the
whole turnout and that I
must positively get a reply
by the time I return to the H. &
Quarters from Madakaira
Jamabandi: After returning
to Cannanore which was on or
about the 6th or 7th July when
I took charge of my Acting
Appointment of Major Sher I
remember having sent in another

End

Messages by A. Krishna Rao
 Head of the General Charge
 Dept. in office pressing for
 an early intimation of the
 amount settled. To this I
 remember having received
 a reply saying that he had
 remitted Rs 70 which was the
 amount he said was settled
 by him. On the 15th of ~~May~~ July
 I drew my travelling allowance
 of which two were in currency
 notes to the value of Rs 70 as per
 details in the margin & sent them
 in a registered letter duly issued
 on the 16th July. The insurance
 Application is in the handwriting
 of one of my Office Clerks Basir
 - Reddi B. A. The receipt given
 by the Postal Authorities is now
 produced. Thus ended the
 transaction to which Coler is now
 given by the Complainant & his
 party. The Complainant was
 a convict, who is now a professional

Rs. 7/8 74608.
 20 7/17 97330.

Encl

Mendicant with no means of support has been purposely removed from his native village about more than 3 months ago fed at the Shroton village called Lohis and there tutored by close relatives of one Lohis, Subbans of banter who is now 2nd English Accountant in the Collector's Office - He came to me twice asking who nominated for the post of Taluk Sher and I told him to represent his claims to the Collector who will decide the matter in conformity with the claims of others in the Dist. when both the vacancies fell the J. was pleased to appoint his seniors one in service and the other by caste & qualifications. He was naturally enraged at this and has ever since ^{been} creating party spirit against me. While giving effect to the strict orders of Board & Government

Read

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

But the late permanent Magistrate
Sherstadur being a madra
Brahmin of the old Belary
District does not seem to have
submitted proposals for the
orders of the Collector giving effect
to the suggestions made by the
officers mentioned above and
the consequence was that it
has fallen unfortunately to
my lot to make peace of the
whole case and submit it
to the Collector for orders. The
whole town of Ganakpuri was
in an uproar: meetings were
held, subscriptions raised and
with a nominal puppet of
Rallapalli Subbarao present
Government Petitioners, I have been
attacked in the news papers
by anonymous petitions to the
Collector, by pseudonymous
petitions to the P. & G. Government
and lately by fictitious names

[Handwritten signature or initials.]

of repts to the District. All these happened
subsequent to the return of the
permanent Huzin Sher from the
Belary District, whose friends
& caste people, some of the
above mentioned Officers are
the Hon'ble Government without
inquiring into the Complaint
made in the petition said to
have been presented by the repts
of the District or without waiting
for the report which the N^d
have called upon the Collector
in the matter or without a
word of explanation from me
have summarily passed an
order saying that I should
not be employed as Huzin Sher
an appointment which I held
for the last decade - I therefore
humbly beg that the Hon'ble
Govt will be pleased, after
weighing the evidence now
referred to communicate my

Yours

My poor case — a dutiful
public servant of more than 20 years
standing — and restore me
to my former Appointment
in any District in the Presy.
I am a perfect stranger to this
land beset with thorns, simply
because I have discharged
my duty conscientiously and
thereby disturbed a nest of
local strong cliques in this
perhaps a purely Conservative
District where official positions
used to be simply family
preserves. and this fact is well
known to Govt for a long time
people. The narrow Brahmin
clique is formed more to injure
my reputation & thus damage
my future prospects than ^{to do} anything
else by getting up such trumped
up cases. Under these cir —

End

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

Circumstances I humbly
request that I may be
^{with an appointment}
provided in any of the Navy
Offices in his district

Respectfully

Head Clerk

Collected Office

Accountant

J.P.D.

29/2/92

2000

12
Rev. 3287/92

Petitioner is given to understand that Govaji Krishna Rao has been reduced 2-3 days ago on some plea on the recommendation of the English Head Clerk Mr. Suresh Varadachari that G. Krishna Rao does not belong to but Defacto Petitioner Submits that this was done to create terror in the wit. nesses mind.

1. Petitioner begs that
the record concerning J. K. Kutter
Rover's redemption may be
sent for & perused

29-2-92

H. Rough
Beaver

Sept. 1880

to be in the hands of the
the

... ~~... ..~~

[Faint handwritten notes at the bottom of the page]

the first of the year

1. The first of these is the fact that the
 2. second of these is the fact that the
 3. third of these is the fact that the

Received of the Treasurer of the County of ...

18-11-1951

but defied. Johnson was

the first time

۱۰۰۰
 ۱۰۰۰

1871

the same

Handwritten signature: *John W. Adams*

1891



June.

(to, idem, etc)

~~of~~ Hallapulle in Hind.

Siganus Gr.

✓ 2 Kallor Väsandervägen

Of Salik Khristian
in space

3. By Col. Hann Shesha-gor

46. *Hammananthrax*

✓ 5 K. Cesura Ha Charles — Dec. 31, 1864

✓ G. C. Govindarao — Clerk Coll & office transactions

7 acc off to who accompanied
the case to footy—

8. S. Vedajirai.

✓9 Sunda nova nada charles—

Notice.

1. Kallapali Subbiah
2. Kallu Venuvarasu (Cousin)
3. K. Siva Rao (Cousin)
4. C. Srinivasulu
5. K. Srinivasulu

The marginally noted persons are directed to appear before the undersigned at Anantapur on the 29th instant at 11 Am.

To the evidence in the complaint of Kallapali Subbiah of Vundavara, do Charles presiding & as the Anantapur police office as regards the latter obtaining a bandy & bullocks from Kallu Venuvarasu late of police head constable.

Rev. 3297/92

Notice.

K. Srinivasulu, Vundavara, do
K. Srinivasulu, do
K. Srinivasulu, do
K. Srinivasulu, do
K. Srinivasulu, do
K. Srinivasulu, do
K. Srinivasulu, do
K. Srinivasulu, do
K. Srinivasulu, do
K. Srinivasulu, do

Ans
By the police

will be heard & enquired into by the undersigned on the 28th at 11 Am.
This is at 11 Am.
at Anantapur

Notice

Messrs. J. W. & Co., Importers & Dealers in
is hereby informed that the
complaint of Kallapalli Subbiah
of being an agent a part of the
present E. & F. Co. Sundararam
da Chack as regards the latter
obtaining a bandy & bullocks
from K. Sundararam Chack
on which band & will be heard
& enquired into by the undersigned
at Accantapur on the 29th inst
at 11 am.

O. C. Am.

29th 11th

22nd

of Charge
 Anantapur
 25/2/92
 G. B. Pitt Esq.
 Head Post Collector
 Pennacoda

all our kind love,

I beg to acknowledge at 7 P.M. on the 23rd instant the receipt of Your notice dated 22nd idem in-
forming me that the complaint of Rallapalle Subbiah of Puzana-
matta against me will be heard and enquired into by you at
Anantapur on the 29th instant. I beg that I may be favoured im-
mediately with authenticated copies of all papers relating to the
matter. To meet the copying charges, I have this day remitted Rs 3- by D.D.

15 / Rev. 327/92

I beg to remain
Your most obedt Servant
Eustachius Kilder
(Heard Club, Collet off.)

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

ADN G.O., No
189

DATE:

2. No

ENCLOSURE TO 'C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A	A	A	A	A	A	A
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DEBTS

PAPERS, NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only
Q = Copy heading only