

Revenue (1892) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1918

Copy to No 579

DATED 11 April 1892

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Calling for papers from the Board, in connection
with Mr Demergue's report of his enquiries into
the settlement of exchequer in the Wynne.*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



FORT ST. GEORGE,

April 5th 1892

My Dear Bliss

I am directed to inform you that it is the desire of Government that you should yourself take up the papers connected with Cunningham's Enquiry regarding the Decree of Exchequer in Mysore, (the

Report on which together
with Stewart's reply is now
in the press) and deal
with the ~~subject~~ subject
as one to be disposed of
by yourself, with no reference
in any Committee report
to your colleagues. The
matter has been under in-
vestigation for a long time
and the Government is

anxious that it should be
disposed of with no further
delay - I am to write, then,
for that you will submit
your views on the papers
at the earliest possible date.
Yours sincerely

Ch. Allen

Revenue Board Office,
(Land Revenue),

MADRAS,

April 6, 1892.

My dear Galtou,

I have received your letter of yesterday informing me that the Government desires that I should myself take up the disposal of the Dumergue-Schuch case without reference to my colleagues.

If I may say so, I think that the Government is perfectly right in remitting the disposal of this troublesome case to a single person. I will furnish the required report as soon as I can. I am informed, however, that there is a prodigious mass of papers to be gone through, so that, as I cannot entirely neglect my ordinary work in the Board as well as that of the Siam Commissioner and devote myself solely to this enquiry, some further delay is probably unavoidable.

I must however point out to the Government that their instructions are of doubtful legality. As the business of the Board is distributed under Section 1 of
Madras

Madras Act II of 1803, the Conduct of Public officers (Covenanted), is a Subject which is reserved for disposal by the Full Board. This is in accordance with the orders of Government at the time of the reorganization of the Board, and with the immemorial practice of the Service, the Members of which may very well say

"The Board we know; but who is Bles that he should pass judgment upon us?" It is obviously the intention of S. 1 of Act II of 1803 that the power thereby conferred of distributing the business of the Board shall be general and shall not affect individual cases. I therefore think that in such cases as the present it would be illegal for a single Member to dispose of the papers without reference to his colleagues.

Three ways out of the difficulty seem to exist:- The Government may (i) either place me on Special duty or may (ii) appoint me a Commissioner under Act II of 1849; or (iii), the Board itself, under Section 23 of Reg. I of 1803, may commit to me the disposal of this particular case.

I have consulted those of my colleagues who are

are in Madras on the Subject and we are of opinion that it will be far better that the Government should take action in one of the first two ways above stated, than that the Board should act under S. 23 of the Regulation. It will not look well, we think, that the Board should seem to seek to direct itself of its powers in a difficult case which is specially reserved by law for disposal by the Full Board. The persons concerned might take exception.

Yours Sincerely,

B. W. Rich

Current No. 50 from L. H. M. regarding
the Drum case for out case

From

Dated

18 .

FOR ORDERS.

As the numerous other
papers are circulated again
The order concerning the case
is put up. I want to
have sent it to the Hon.
L. H. M. for information
his right that I prefer to do
so.

As regards my taking up the
case I have not seen the records
but I believe that they are
very numerous and the points
involved somewhat intricate
unless relieved to some extent
that of my writing one
I cannot undertake to
work up the case in a short
time. It appears so since
to have the papers from the
London. I say I have taken out
the papers and prepare notes
I am sorry that I do not
have them very long but I
respectfully submit that the
Board of the Hon. of the Dep. in
order not to be ignorant of the
case from out of the
Land; even though it cannot
be at first instead of finally disposing of the matter

There are many cases I
do not open with the law
but they are exceptional
cases to the majority
readily for an early
disposal of the matter
to some time I think the
Govt. may from its own
point of view instead of finally disposing of the matter

to report to the other
 party 2 persons is
 now on the case which
 to has been with in the
 earlier stages.

CC
 8/4

second hand 244
 1074-13-

Re Steamer Dunceye case

The firm in the case is
 The firm in the case is

Send to the
 FOR ORDERS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 50. from L. Bliss

From

Dated June 6. 18

FOR ORDERS.



This is a reply to my letter
 of yesterday to the effect
 that you desire that I
 should not send any more
 of the Dunceye Steamer
 case without reference to the
 Attorney General.
 I have pointed out that the
 case is of importance to
 the public and that it is
 a matter of public interest
 and that it is a matter of
 public interest and that it
 is a matter of public interest
 and that it is a matter of
 public interest.

There is nothing but, I have
 not to say in the number
 of the case to get through the
 paper and send them up
 with the opinion - I am
 opposed to putting the case
 on a special day for the
 purpose of disposing of
 them - The matter is one
 of some importance and
 it is a matter of public
 interest and that it is a
 matter of public interest.

See page 9. No. 9. 26
 Jan 27. No. 21. in 80.
 2. 12. 7. 1. 662

be over. The second of these
 alternative suggestions
 to Bliss on what to be
 thought of. I am
 7/4/92

I don't know what has been
 done on my suggestion to
 the Attorney General
 at once.

Conduct of Public Affairs
 (Commented) / is a report
 prepared by the Public Board
 he directs the chief of the
 determination in connection
 with the inquiry held by the
 Dunceye is as to whether
 the Steamer has been removed
 in the performance of his
 duties in connection with
 the Department of Coast
 and the paper through
 to report a clerical error

FOR ORDERS.

Some other best seems pro-
-perly to fall under 'conduct
of public officers'. If this
be so one handle cannot
dispense of it, even under in-
structions from the latter
suggests 3 alternative titles.

The 3rd remedy that the Board
itself thought under Sec 23 of
Reg I of 1803. Committee on the
part of the law to the effect
is not to be made and
and not to be made for the
Board to direct it to the
part of a different
which is to be made by law
for disposal by the Board.

The object in view was to
 secure to the women, in every
 instance, the expression of the thought
 of any specific act, was
 in the act to have the record
 of any particular case.

The second structure is open
to quite as much adjustment
as the first. Let \$ of 1849 was
here intended to apply to
a case like this and it
would be stretching the law to
apply it as proposed.

The pair attraction seems to be the only cause -

6/4

D. O. Jones to Mrs.
Alice The Stewart Dr
Burgess case.

I too am altogether opposed to putting Mr. Bliss on special duty for his ^{best} services. The same ^{other} alternatives will not do. I should like to take the matter direct into our own hands at once, & to keep the papers up from the Board now. The matter is one which the Board cannot finally dispose of.

2m bon a h². f. *Scutellaria*
2m bon a h². f. *Scutellaria*
— *Scutellaria*

th. to get the opinion of the full board
will take 2 years however. It is the
the most important thing the board
should be directed to see and the
success of the circumstances is such
as to make us in taking it up across
the night receive perhaps in the
direct from the board the the thing
for a week or two, leaving the
board entirely to devote himself to the
cause, or we may let even five or six
months elapse. It will be a heavy
job, for him but I think he could
manage it in the time.

Very bel. I expect Mr.
Fulton will come through it;
if he has nothing else to do, in
a week or today I hope
7/4/32



FORT ST. GEORGE,

May 2 1892

by Dan MacArthur

The circumstances under which the Stuart Brownrigg case was first drawn from the Board are explained in the accompanying papers which ought to have been sent to this Academy for information.

please convey my apologies
for the oversight. You can
see that Miss. raised cer-
tain legal objections to
the above proposal by for-
m the ground that it concerned
of public Affairs (Commun-
ted) and a full Board
subject. I need not legally
be reported by a single
member. To save time
therefore it was decided
to withdraw the case

from the Board's attention.
I enclose a copy of the note
which I have prepared and
which is now in circulation.
I expect it will pass
the two Board members by
the end of this week. The
wards are voluminous &
for 5 large circulation boxes
but I think a few of the
leading ones will do
that it can be necessary
to trouble this Excellency

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read

G.O. No. 11th April 1892 & 10
1918.

with. I am sure that
the Board of Revenue has
been to run his eyes
on it and to know
at once the error being
of the case.

Yours sincerely
Clifton

I should like to have seen this first before
it went out - but I quite approve of
the course adopted. I understand that
it was owing to a mistake of the clerk's
who has explained how it occurred.

W
May

As it is decided that
orders should be passed
without delay on the Board
first report detailing the
results of his enquiries into
the document 2. Exchanges
in 1892. The Board
in Council is also advised
that the papers connected
therewith be submitted to
the Board at once without any
delay of the Board
giving them.

LL
11/4.

To the Commr of Land Rev.

11-4-92

*1918
11-4-92*

*Calling for papers from
the Board in connection
with Mr. Danvers's report
of his enquiries into the
settlement of exchequer
in Wynnead.*

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____
189

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO G. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only