

(1) Number of pages 30(2) Date of repair 22-10-40

(3) Initials of the Referencer \_\_\_\_\_

**MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.****Government of Madras.***Revenue* **DEPARTMENT.**

|          |              |               |
|----------|--------------|---------------|
| G.O. No. | 1045         | Press<br>Mie. |
| Dated    | 11th October | 1892.         |

**Not to be folded or roughly handled**

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.  
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 23rd July 1892, No. 379 :—

THE HON. MR. H. W. BLISS.  
MR. E. GIBSON.

Read—the following Government Order, dated 13th November 1891, Mis. No. 5830, Revenue :—

Read—again the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 21st October 1891, Mis. No. 7487 :—

Read—the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 29th November 1888, No. 7644 :—

With reference to Government Order, No. 1159, dated 2nd August 1875, communicated with the Board's Proceedings, No. 2577, dated 15th September 1875, I have the honour to forward a statement showing certain charitable topes in the district which have been recommended for resumption by the various Jamabandi officers in the preceding faslis.

2. These tope inams were originally granted for charitable purposes, but the holders have entirely neglected them. They do not contain the prescribed number of trees, that is, 40 per acre. The inamdars have been warned to make up the deficiency but to no effect.

3. I beg therefore that Government may be moved to accord their sanction for the resumption and full assessment of these tope lands and for the transfer to the Taluk Boards for the purpose of local charity of the difference between the quit-rent and the full assessment and of the sale value of such trees as are still on the lands.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Charitable tope inam.

Reference on the above, dated 8th February 1889.

The Collector is requested to specify the charitable purpose for which it is proposed to transfer the inams, and also to ascertain whether the Local Board consent to accept the endowment on the proposed condition.

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,  
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Kistna.

Read—the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 3rd February 1891, No. 1130 :—

Adverting to Board's Reference, dated 8th February 1889, on General Register No. 4296, and Memorandum No. 75, dated 15th May 1889, regarding certain charitable tope inams, I have the honour to request that my recommendation as to resumption of cases Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 13, 14, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30 and 34 referred to in the statement which accompanied my letter, No. 7644, dated 29th November 1888, may be considered as withdrawn. A revised statement containing the remaining cases is herewith submitted and shows the state of the topes at the time of the Inam Settlement and their present condition.

2. The Local Boards concerned have consented to spend the revenue derived from them for the improvement and sanitation of the villages in which these topes are situated.

3. The following are extracts from their resolutions.

*Répalle Taluk Board—*

"The Board are willing to take over the topes. The money derived from them will be spent on the improvement of the villages concerned."

*Guntúr Taluk Board—*

"The Local Board is willing to undertake the charge of the topes and propose to spend the proceeds in improving the sanitation and water-supply of the village in which the topes are situated."

*Vinukonda Taluk Board—*

"The Board have no objection to the full assessment of the resumed tope inam lands referred to in this Board's Resolution, No. 20, dated 27th July 1889, being credited to this Taluk Fund, on the understanding that the Taluk Board is not under any obligation to plant and maintain topes."

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Statement of charitable tope inams.

Reference on the above, dated 23rd April 1891.

From the extract of the Vinukonda Taluk Board's resolution given in paragraph 3 of the Collector's letter, it does not appear that the Board has undertaken to spend the income of the inams for the improvement and sanitation of the villages in which the topes are situated as stated by the Collector. It has merely agreed to receive the income on the understanding that it is not under any obligation to plant and maintain topes.

2. The Collector is requested to obtain a revised resolution from the Taluk Board and forward it with copies of the resolutions passed by the other two Taluk Boards.

(Signed) H. A. SIM,  
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Kistna.

Read—the following letter from G. CAMPBELL, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector in charge, Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 6th October 1891, No. 7053 :—

Adverting to paragraph 2 of Board's Reference on General Register No. 552 of 23rd April 1891, I have the honour to forward, herewith, copy of revised resolution of the Vinukonda Taluk Board as also copies of the resolutions passed by the other two Taluk Boards, viz., Guntúr and Répalle.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 to 3.—Resolutions of Taluk Boards.

Resolution—dated 21st October 1891, Mis. No. 7487.

Submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the inams may be resumed and reassigned to the Taluk Boards concerned on condition that their income is utilized for the sanitary improvement of the villages in which the topes are situated.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) H. A. SIM,  
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,  
with 2 letters and 5 enclosures, in original (to be returned).

Copy to the Collector of Kistna.

Order—dated 13th November 1891, Mis. No. 5830, Revenue.

In his first letter, dated 29th March 1888, forwarded with the above, the Collector of Kistna recommended that the tope lands might be fully assessed and that the difference between the full assessment and the quit-rent already paid by the inamdars should be made over to the Taluk Boards concerned in trust for purposes of local charity. From this it would appear that the occupancy right in the lands vests in the inamdars and that the assessment thereon *minus* the jodi constituted the inams. On the other hand the Collector's proposal that the sale-proceeds of such trees as are still on the land should be credited to the Taluk Boards seems to indicate that the lands are not the property of the inamdars.

2. In his subsequent letter, dated 3rd February 1891, the Collector does not allude to this point beyond referring to the willingness of the Taluk Boards to "spend the revenue derived from them" (the topes). Two of the Boards state that they are willing to take over the topes, while the third has no objection to the full assessment on the tope lands being credited to the Taluk Fund. In its revised resolution, however, the same Board alludes to the subject in general terms, as does also the Board of Revenue.

3. The Board is requested to state definitely what it is that it is proposed to resume, whether the lands on which the topes stand, or only the assessment thereon *minus* the jodi.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,  
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue, with enclosure to be returned.

Reference on the above, dated 25th January 1892.

Referred to the Collector of Kistna for report. It should be stated whether the lands were Government waste or occupied lands at the time of the inam grant.

(Signed) H. A. SIM,  
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Kistna, with Government R. No. 2976  
of 1891, in original, to be returned.

Read—also the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 2nd June 1892, No. 4578 :—

Adverting to Board's Reference, dated 25th January 1892, on Government Register No. 2976 (herewith returned) of 1891, I have the honour to report as follows.

2. The holders of the topes in question have no sanads or other documents to show that the lands on which the topes now stand were occupied when the topes were planted. The only public record available is the inam fair register. In this it is

noted that the tope in Attalur in Sattenapalle taluk, No. 14, in the list submitted with my letter, No. 1130, dated 3rd February 1891, was at the time of the inam inquiry "Asalminaha tope classed as poramboke in the revenue accounts" and that the others were public topes but not cultivated lands. No assessment on them was then paid to Government. It may therefore be presumed that they were unoccupied when the title-deeds were issued.

3. The business of the holders was to see that the trees were not cut and carried away by the people, that the produce of the trees is enjoyed by the community at large and that the tope land was used as camping place for travellers and otherwise for the use of the public. The holders had no right to cultivate or use any portion of the land for their exclusive private use.

4. Under these circumstances, it will be seen that the holders have no occupancy right and I therefore recommend that the lands on which the topes stand together with their assessment should be resumed and transferred to the Taluk Board.

*Read*—also official memorandum from the Acting Assistant Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 29th February 1892, No. 23-R.

*ABSTRACT*.—Requesting an early reply to Government Order, dated 13th November 1891, No. 5830, regarding resumption of certain tope inams in Kistna district.

Reference on the above, dated 5th March 1892.

*Read*—also official memorandum from the Acting Assistant Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 26th April 1892, No. 78-R.

*ABSTRACT*.—Requesting an early reply to Government Order, dated 13th November 1891, No. 5830, regarding resumption of certain tope inams in Kistna district.

Reference on the above, dated 6th May 1892.

Forwarded to the Collector of Kistna in continuation of Board's reference, dated 5th March 1892, on Sub-Register No. 494 of 1892.

2. The submission of the report called for by Government should be expedited.

(Signed) H. A. SIM,  
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Kistna.

*Read*—also official memorandum from the Under Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 2nd June 1892, No. 146-R.

*ABSTRACT*.—Requesting an early reply to Government Order, dated 13th November 1891, No. 5830, regarding resumption of certain tope inams in Kistna district.

*Read*—also the following Government Order, dated 17th February 1892, No. 977, Revenue:—

*Read*—telegram from DAUDAMOODY VENKATARAYUDU, Tikkereddipalem, Yenamadala hamlet, Guntur taluk, Kistna district, dated 11th January 1892.

*ABSTRACT*.—Praying to postpone the sale of tank inam put in auction.

*Read*—petition from DAUDAMOODY VENKATARAYUDU and two others, Yenamadala, Guntur taluk, Kistna district, dated 11th January 1892.

*ABSTRACT*.—Praying that the sale of certain inam land may be cancelled, and that it may be granted to the petitioners on "seri patta."

Order—dated 17th February 1892, No. 977, Revenue.

The petition will be referred to the Collector of Kistna for full report on the facts of the case. It should be stated whether the land itself or the assessment thereon constituted the inam which has been resumed and whether, as a fact, the occupants were dispossessed of the land when the tank and its endowment were made over to the Taluk Board. If the land is still in the occupation of the petitioners, their possession should not be disturbed pending orders on their petition. The following telegram will accordingly be sent to the Collector of Kistna:—

"Government Order, 14th March 1890, No. 1760. Venkatarayudu complains of dispossession in consequence of assignment of inam to Taluk Board. Occupation should not be interfered with, petition being forwarded for report."

2. The petitioners ask for a "seri patta" for the land and refer to two cases in which they say such pattas have been granted. It is not clear what the procedure is when inams are resumed and the endowment is re-appropriated to some charitable purpose with reference to Government Order, dated 2nd August 1875, No. 1159. That order seems to have contemplated a reassignment of the inam, but apparently the land is fully assessed and incorporated with ryotwari, the full assessment being collected by the village officers and the amount *minus* any jodi payable to Government being credited to the body to which the endowment is made over by beriz deduction or direct payment from the treasury. If the inam is reassigned, a patta for the land cannot, of course, be issued by Government. The Board should report on the question with a view to some definite rule being laid down for guidance.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,  
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue, with petitions to be returned.  
Telegram to the Collector of Kistna.

*Read*—also the following Government Order, dated 21st April 1892, R. No. 914, Revenue:—

*Read*—also the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Masulipatam, 5th April 1892, No. 2674:—

Adverting to your telegram, dated 17th February 1892, regarding a charitable inam the resumption of which was sanctioned in Government Order, dated 19th February 1880, No. 666, I have the honour to state that the petition referred to therein has not yet been received. The Sub-Collector has been instructed not to interfere with the occupation of the inam pending the orders of Government.

Order—dated 21st April 1892, R. No. 914, Revenue.

Transferred to the Commissioners of Land Revenue with reference to Government Order, dated 17th February 1892, No. 977.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,  
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue.  
Collector of Kistna.

Reference on the above, dated 12th May 1892.

Forwarded to the Collector of Kistna for very early report.

2. The Board will make its proposals in regard to definite general rules for the treatment of resumed and reassigned inams when submitting the Collector's report.

(Signed) H. A. SIM,  
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Kistna, with petition No. 697 of 1892,  
and its three enclosures (to be returned).  
Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

*Read*—also the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 31st May 1892, No. 4577 :—

Adverting to Board's Reference, dated 12th May 1892, on Petition Register Nos. 699 and 1440 of 1892, I have the honour to report as follows.

2. In Takkireddipalem, hamlet of Yenamadala, Guntur taluk, there is a piece of land measuring acres 14.47 and assessed at Rs. 28-15-0 endowed as an inam as per Inam Commissioner's title-deed No. 267 for the maintenance of a tank used for drinking purposes. The Government petitioners Dandamudi Venkatrayudu, &c., were the trustees of the institution.

3. The petitioners enjoyed the inam lands but neglected to keep the tank in a state of repair in spite of repeated notices. These facts were reported to the Board in this office letter, dated 12th March 1877, No. 497, and the Board in its Proceedings, No. 4556, dated 27th March 1877, sanctioned the attachment of the inam.

4. The petitioners were thereupon served with a notice that if the tank was not repaired within a period of six months, the inam would be resumed. A further extension of six months was granted at the request of the petitioners. They failed to repair the tank within the time allowed. The neglect of the inamdars was reported to the Board in this office letter, No. 2862, dated 18th December 1879, which was submitted to Government in Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 1176, dated 29th January 1880. The Government in Government Order, No. 666, dated 19th February 1880, communicated with Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 2404, dated 27th February 1880, sanctioned the transfer of the tank with its endowment to the Local Fund Board.

5. The petitioners then appealed to the Government, and after obtaining a report from the Collector Government declined to cancel its former order (*vide* Government Order, No. 1760, dated 14th March 1890, communicated with Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 2088, dated 28th March 1890).

6. The Taluk Board as the present trustees of the tank desired to make the best use of the land and resolved to lease it out by auction for a period of five years. The sum of Rs. 600 was offered by certain ryots and the offer was accepted by the Taluk Board.

7. Now the petitioners have again appealed to Government claiming a ryotwari patta for the land, alleging that it has been in their undisturbed possession for the last 100 years. The Government ordered by wire that their occupation should not be interfered with pending disposal of their petition. In compliance with this order the Taluk Board postponed its auction regarding the lease though the terms were agreed upon and the transaction almost completed.

8. A perusal of the inam fair register maintained in this office shows (*vide* the enclosed extract) that the petitioners possessed no sanads and that the land was granted as an inam (having been entered as such in the accounts since fasli 1217) in addition to the freedom from assessment.

9. The petitioners in question held the inam land under reference as trustees and not as cultivating tenants and their claim to occupancy right cannot therefore be admitted. Their object is to retain on an annual payment of Rs. 28-15-0 lands which are worth Rs. 120 a year, as the sale held by the Taluk Board shows.

10. As the tank, together with the inam lands, has been made over to the Taluk Board by the order of Government, No. 666, dated 19th February 1880, confirmed by No. 1760, dated 14th March 1890, I think the Taluk Board should be allowed to complete its arrangements for leasing the land.

11. In this district there are many inams granted for charitable purposes and the tendency of most of the inam holders has been to neglect the institutions and when questioned about the neglect, to request the cancellation of the title-deeds and the assignment of the lands on ryotwari patta. Unless the terms of the title-deeds or other documents show that the endowment consisted of the assessment only and that the land itself did not form part of the endowment, such applications should, I think, be rejected and the land, as well as the assessment, should be transferred to the Local Board or other suitable trustees.

12. The petition and three enclosures received with Board's reference are returned.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Inam register.

*Read*—also the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 27th January 1892, No. 892 :—

I have the honour to report that in the village of Jonnalagadda, Guntur taluk, there is a charitable tope inam measuring acres 2.25 (assessed at Rs. 4-8-0) according to survey and covered by title-deed No. 1146. At present the tope contains only seven margosa trees. Notices were served last year on the inamdars concerned to replant the tope but to no purpose. They state that their previous endeavours to restore the tope have failed, the land being unfit for plantation, and request that a seri patta for the same may be given to them.

2. The tope as it stands is of no use to the public, and I therefore request orders for its resumption, the issue of patta to the present holders and assignment of its assessment to the Guntur Taluk Board for sanitation purposes.

3. The title-deed surrendered by the inamdars is enclosed.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Title-deed.

Reference on the above, dated 20th February 1892.

The Collector is requested to report whether the Taluk Board is willing to receive the assessment and to appropriate it for village sanitation. A copy of the Taluk Board's resolution should be submitted with the Collector's reply to this reference.

(Signed) H. A. SIM,  
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Kistna.

*Read*—also the following letter from F. E. K. WEDDERBURN, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector of Kistna, to the Collector of Kistna, dated Guntur, 16th June 1892, No. 720 :—

With reference to your endorsement, No. 1807, dated 7th March 1892, on a reference from the Board, No. 377 of 1892, I have the honour to report that the Guntur Taluk Board is willing to receive the assessment of Rs. 4-8-0 on charitable tope in D. No. 65-A. of Jonnalagadda covered by title-deed No. 1146, and to utilize it for some local sanitary purpose.

2. A copy of the Taluk Board's resolution is herewith appended.

Endorsement by A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, dated Masulipatam, 25th June 1892, No. 5338.

Forwarded with copy of Taluk Board's resolution to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue with reference to Board's General Register No. 377, dated 20th February 1892.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Taluk Board's Resolution.

Resolution—dated 23rd July 1892, No. 379.

Submitted to Government with reference to Government Orders, Nos. 5830, dated 13th November 1891, and 977, dated 17th February 1892.

2. The Collector of Kistna having recommended that certain tope inams should be resumed and made over to the Taluk Boards on condition of their utilizing the income thereof in the improvement of the sanitation and water-supply of the villages in which the topes were situated, Government, in the first of the papers read above, remarked that it was not clear from the Collector's reports whether the lands themselves or only the assessment thereon formed the inam, and wished to know what it was that was proposed to make over to the Taluk Boards. The Collector, in his letter, dated 2nd June last, No. 4578, reports that the inamdars have produced no evidence to show that the lands were theirs at the time of the Inam Settlement, and that the inam register shows one of them to have been assalminha poramboke tope, and the others to have been public topes. The Collector concludes from these entries that in all the cases the land formed the inam.

3. The facts which led to the issue of the second of the Government Orders referred to in paragraph 1 may be briefly recapitulated as follows.

4. The inam in question was granted for the maintenance of a tank, which was the only source of drinking water to the villagers and their cattle. The inamdars having neglected to maintain the tank, the inam was ordered to be attached, and notice was given to them that, if they did not repair the tank the inam would be resumed. They however still neglected to repair the tank, though they had two years' time allowed them. The Collector, therefore, recommended the resumption of the inam and its transfer to the District Board, and this recommendation was sanctioned in Government Order, dated 19th February 1880, No. 666, which was duly communicated to the Local Fund Board, but not to the inamdars. The Local Fund Board, however, took no action on the Government Order until 1889, and the inamdars, who were in possession of the inam, repaired the tank in the interval and applied for a reconsideration of the order of resumption, but Government declined to comply with their request (Government Order, dated 14th March 1890, No. 1760). The Taluk Board leased the land for five years and the inamdars complained to Government of dispossession. Government instructed the Collector by telegram not to disturb the inamdars' possession, pending further orders, and called for a report as to whether the land or the assessment constituted the inam.

5. The Collector reports that the inamdars produced no sanads at the time of the inam enquiry and that the inam register shows that the land had been shown in the accounts as inam since fasli 1217. He argues from these circumstances that the land itself constitutes the inam and not simply the assessment thereon, and that it was therefore open to Government to take the land out of the inamdars' possession. The Collector recommends that the inamdars should be dispossessed, and both the land and the tank made over to the Taluk Board, which has, on the strength of the Government Order sanctioning the resumption of the inam, arranged to lease the land for five years.

6. The Board does not consider that there is sufficient evidence to show that in any of the cases referred to above, except the assalminha tope at Attalur, the land itself formed the inam—see however paragraph 8 *infra*.

7. The Board also submits, for the orders of Government, two other letters from the Collector, dated 27th January and 25th June last, Nos. 892 and 5338, respectively, recommending that a tope inam in the village of Jonnalagadda, in the Guntur taluk, covered by title-deed No. 1146, which has been neglected, and which is of no use to the public, may be fully assessed and the assessment made over to the Taluk Board for expenditure on village sanitation, a ryotwari patta being issued to the inamdar.

8. With reference to paragraph 2 of Government Order, dated 17th February last, No. 977, the Board begs to submit that in most cases it is exceedingly difficult to ascertain whether the land or the assessment thereon forms the inam, and that, in any case, as Government has ceased to impose prohibitory assessment in such cases, the land cannot be taken out of the inamdar's possession without resort to a civil suit. In such suits it will be for the plaintiff to prove that the lands themselves and

not the assessment only thereon formed the inams; and as it would be difficult to prove this, the suit will in most cases fail. In the few cases in which it may be possible to show that the land constituted the inam, the Taluk Boards may not, on account of the expense which such a course will entail, consider it worth their while to institute any suits.

9. In view of these considerations, and of the fact that most grants of the kind are now of very old date, the Board considers that, except in the case of lands which are unoccupied at the time of the fresh assignment, only the assessment on the lands should be considered as constituting the inams and that the lands should be fully assessed and the assessment *minus* the *jodi*, if any, made over to the Taluk Board or other body to which the endowment is transferred, ryotwari pattas being issued to the inamdars in lieu of the title-deeds which should be cancelled.

10. Inam lands which are at the time unoccupied may be made over to the Taluk Board or other body to which the endowment is transferred. In such cases inam title-deeds should be issued to the Taluk Board or other grantee.

11. Where the assessment is held to form the inam as proposed in paragraph 9, it should, the Board thinks, be collected by Government and credited in Government accounts, the amount (*minus jodi* if any) being made over to the Taluk Board or other body by direct payment from the treasury. The money thus made over ought to be spent by the Taluk Board or other body for some definite purpose which would benefit the village in which the inam is situated.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) W. S. MEYER,  
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,  
with 3 enclosures in Petition Register No. 697 of 1892,  
returned, and 1 enclosure in G.R. No. 4296 of 1888, 1 in G.R.  
No. 552 of 1891, 3 in G.R. No. 3506 of 1891, 1 in Petition  
Register No. 1911 of 1892, 1 in G.R. No. 377 of 1892,  
and 1 in G.R. No. 1869 of 1892 (to be returned).

Copy to the Collector of Kistna.

ORDER—dated 11th October 1892, No. 1045, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The Government concurs in the views expressed in paragraphs 9—11 of the Board's Proceedings read above.

2. Sanction is accorded for the resumption and full assessment of the charitable tope inams referred to in paragraph 7 and in the papers forwarded with Board's Proceedings, dated 21st October 1891, Mis. No. 7487, and for the payment of the assessments *minus* the *jodi*, if any, to the Taluk Boards concerned, subject to the condition indicated in paragraph 11.

3. Orders should be issued for the grant of ryotwari pattas to the petitioners referred to in G.O., dated 17th February 1892, No. 977.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,  
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue  
(with 8 enclosures returned).  
„ the Local and Municipal Department.  
Copy of paragraph 3 to the petitioners.

Exd. C. M. Brisson.

50 Copies.

0/11/03.  
1.8.07

**Govt. of {1892} Madras.**  
**REVENUE.**

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 11th October 1892, No. 1045.

**OCTOBER.**

**Inams.**

Passing orders on papers relating to the  
resumption of certain charitable — in the Kistna  
district.

64

BOARD OF REVENUE (LAND REVENUE), 23RD JULY 1892, No. 379.

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE**  
**(LAND REVENUE).**

THE HON. MR. H. W. BLISS.  
MR. E. GIBSON.

Read—the following Government Order, dated 13th November 1891, Mis. No. 5830,  
Revenue :—

Read—again the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue),  
dated 21st October 1891, Mis. No. 7487 :—

Read—the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna  
District, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated  
Masulipatam, 29th November 1888, No. 7644 :—

With reference to Government Order, No. 1159, dated 2nd August 1875, com-  
municated with the Board's Proceedings, No. 2577, dated 15th September 1875, I  
have the honour to forward a statement showing certain charitable topes in the district  
which have been recommended for resumption by the various Jamabandi officers in the  
preceding faslis.

2. These tope inams were originally granted for charitable purposes, but the  
holders have entirely neglected them. They do not contain the prescribed number of  
trees, that is, 40 per acre. The inamdars have been warned to make up the defi-  
ciency but to no effect.

3. I beg therefore that Government may be moved to accord their sanction for  
the resumption and full assessment of these tope lands and for the transfer to the  
Taluk Boards for the purpose of local charity of the difference between the quit-  
rent and the full assessment and of the sale value of such trees as are still on the  
lands.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Charitable tope inam.

Reference on the above, dated 8th February 1889.

The Collector is requested to specify the charitable purpose for which it is  
proposed to transfer the inams, and also to ascertain whether the Local Board consent  
to accept the endowment on the proposed condition.

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,  
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Kistna.

Read—the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna  
District, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated  
Masulipatam, 3rd February 1891, No. 1130 :—

Adverting to Board's Reference, dated 8th February 1889, on General Register  
No. 4296, and Memorandum No. 75, dated 15th May 1889, regarding certain chari-  
table tope inams, I have the honour to request that my recommendation as to resump-  
tion of cases Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 13, 14, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30  
and 34 referred to in the statement which accompanied my letter, No. 7644, dated  
29th November 1888, may be considered as withdrawn. A revised statement containing  
the remaining cases is herewith submitted and shows the state of the topes at the time  
of the Inam Settlement and their present condition.

2. The Local Boards concerned have consented to spend the revenue derived from them for the improvement and sanitation of the villages in which these topes are situated.

3. The following are extracts from their resolutions.

*Répalle Taluk Board—*

"The Board are willing to take over the topes. The money derived from them will be spent on the improvement of the villages concerned."

*Guntūr Taluk Board—*

"The Local Board is willing to undertake the charge of the topes and propose to spend the proceeds in improving the sanitation and water-supply of the village in which the topes are situated."

*Vinukonda Taluk Board—*

"The Board have no objection to the full assessment of the resumed tope inam lands referred to in this Board's Resolution, No. 20, dated 27th July 1889, being credited to this Taluk Fund, on the understanding that the Taluk Board is not under any obligation to plant and maintain topes."

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Statement of charitable tope inams.

Reference on the above, dated 23rd April 1891.

From the extract of the Vinukonda Taluk Board's resolution given in paragraph 3 of the Collector's letter, it does not appear that the Board has undertaken to spend the income of the inams for the improvement and sanitation of the villages in which the topes are situated as stated by the Collector. It has merely agreed to receive the income on the understanding that it is not under any obligation to plant and maintain topes.

2. The Collector is requested to obtain a revised resolution from the Taluk Board and forward it with copies of the resolutions passed by the other two Taluk Boards.

(Signed) H. A. SIM,  
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Kistna.

Read—the following letter from G. CAMPBELL, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector in charge, Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 6th October 1891, No. 7053 :—

Adverting to paragraph 2 of Board's Reference on General Register No. 552 of 23rd April 1891, I have the honour to forward, herewith, copy of revised resolution of the Vinukonda Taluk Board as also copies of the resolutions passed by the other two Taluk Boards, viz., Guntūr and Répalle.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 to 3.—Resolutions of Taluk Boards.

Resolution—dated 21st October 1891, Mis. No. 7487.

Submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the inams may be resumed and reassigned to the Taluk Boards concerned on condition that their income is utilized for the sanitary improvement of the villages in which the topes are situated.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) H. A. SIM,  
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,  
with 2 letters and 5 enclosures, in original (to be returned).

Copy to the Collector of Kistna.

Order—dated 13th November 1891, Mis. No. 5830, Revenue.

In his first letter, dated 29th March 1888, forwarded with the above, the Collector of Kistna recommended that the tope lands might be fully assessed and that the difference between the full assessment and the quit-rent already paid by the inamdars should be made over to the Taluk Boards concerned in trust for purposes of local charity. From this it would appear that the occupancy right in the lands vests in the inamdars and that the assessment thereon *minus* the jodi constituted the inams. On the other hand the Collector's proposal that the sale-proceeds of such trees as are still on the land should be credited to the Taluk Boards seems to indicate that the lands are not the property of the inamdars.

2. In his subsequent letter, dated 3rd February 1891, the Collector does not allude to this point beyond referring to the willingness of the Taluk Boards to "spend the revenue derived from them" (the topes). Two of the Boards state that they are willing to take over the topes, while the third has no objection to the full assessment on the tope lands being credited to the Taluk Fund. In its revised resolution, however, the same Board alludes to the subject in general terms, as does also the Board of Revenue.

3. The Board is requested to state definitely what it is that it is proposed to resume, whether the lands on which the topes stand, or only the assessment thereon *minus* the jodi.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,  
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue, with enclosure to be returned.

Reference on the above, dated 25th January 1892.

Referred to the Collector of Kistna for report. It should be stated whether the lands were Government waste or occupied lands at the time of the inam grant.

(Signed) H. A. SIM,  
Acting Secretary..

To the Collector of Kistna, with Government R. No 2976  
of 1891, in original, to be returned.

READ—also the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 2nd June 1892, No. 4578 :—

Adverting to Board's Reference, dated 25th January 1892, on Government Register No. 2976 (herewith returned) of 1891, I have the honour to report as follows.

2. The holders of the topes in question have no sanads or other documents to show that the lands on which the topes now stand were occupied when the topes were planted. The only public record available is the inam fair register. In this it is noted that the tope in Attalur in Sattenapalle taluk, No. 14, in the list submitted with my letter, No. 1130, dated 3rd February 1891, was at the time of the inam inquiry "Asalminaha tope classed as poramboke in the revenue accounts" and that the others were public topes but not cultivated lands. No assessment on them was then paid to Government. It may therefore be presumed that they were unoccupied when the title-deeds were issued.

3. The business of the holders was to see that the trees were not cut and carried away by the people, that the produce of the trees is enjoyed by the community at large and that the tope land was used as camping place for travellers and otherwise for the use of the public. The holders had no right to cultivate or use any portion of the land for their exclusive private use.

4. Under these circumstances, it will be seen that the holders have no occupancy right and I therefore recommend that the lands on which the topes stand together with their assessment should be resumed and transferred to the Taluk Board.

READ—also official memorandum from the Acting Assistant Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 29th February 1892, No. 23-R.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting an early reply to Government Order, dated 13th November 1891, No. 5830, regarding resumption of certain tope inams in Kistna district.

Reference on the above, dated 5th March 1892.

READ—also official memorandum from the Acting Assistant Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 26th April 1892, No. 78-R.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting an early reply to Government Order, dated 13th November 1891, No. 5830, regarding resumption of certain tope inams in Kistna district.

Reference on the above, dated 6th May 1892.

Forwarded to the Collector of Kistna in continuation of Board's reference, dated 5th March 1892, on Sub-Register No. 494 of 1892.

2. The submission of the report called for by Government should be expedited.

(Signed) H. A. SIM,  
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Kistna.

READ—also official memorandum from the Under Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 2nd June 1892, No. 146-R.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting an early reply to Government Order, dated 13th November 1891, No. 5830, regarding resumption of certain tope inams in Kistna district.

READ—also the following Government Order, dated 17th February 1892, No. 977, Revenue:—

Read—telegram from DAUDAMOODY VENKATARAYUDU, Tikkereddipalem, Yenamadala hamlet, Guntur taluk, Kistna district, dated 11th January 1892.

ABSTRACT.—Praying to postpone the sale of tank inam put in auction.

Read—petition from DAUDAMOODY VENKATARAYUDU and two others, Yenamadala, Guntur taluk, Kistna district, dated 11th January 1892.

ABSTRACT.—Praying that the sale of certain inam land may be cancelled, and that it may be granted to the petitioners on "seri patta."

Order—dated 17th February 1892, No. 977, Revenue.

The petition will be referred to the Collector of Kistna for full report on the facts of the case. It should be stated whether the land itself or the assessment thereon constituted the inam which has been resumed and whether, as a fact, the occupants were possessed of the land when the tank and its endowment were made over to the Taluk Board. If the land is still in the occupation of the petitioners, their possession should not be disturbed pending orders on their petition. The following telegram accordingly be sent to the Collector of Kistna:—

"Government Order, 14th March 1890, No. 1760. Venkatarayudu complains of dispossession on consequence of assignment of inam to Taluk Board. Occupation should not be interfered with, petition being forwarded for report."

2. The petitioners ask for a "seri patta" for the land and refer to two cases in which they say such pattas have been granted. It is not clear what the procedure is when inams are resumed and the endowment is re-appropriated to some charitable purpose with reference to Government Order, dated 2nd August 1875, No. 1159. That order seems to have contemplated a reassignment of the inam, but apparently the and is fully assessed and incorporated with ryotwari, the full assessment being collected by the village officers and the amount minus any jodi payable to Government being credited to the body to which the endowment is made over by beriz deduction or direct payment from the treasury. If the inam is reassigned, a patta for the land cannot, of course, be issued by Government. The Board should report on the question with a view to some definite rule being laid down for guidance.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,  
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue, with petitions to be returned.  
Telegram to the Collector of Kistna.

READ—also the following Government Order, dated 21st April 1892, R. No. 914, Revenue:—

Read—also the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Masulipatam, 5th April 1892, No. 2674:—

Adverting to your telegram, dated 17th February 1892, regarding a charitable inam the resumption of which was sanctioned in Government Order, dated 19th February 1880, No. 666, I have the honour to state that the petition referred to therein has not yet been received. The Sub-Collector has been instructed not to interfere with the occupation of the inam pending the orders of Government.

Order—dated 21st April 1892, R. No. 914, Revenue.

Transferred to the Commissioners of Land Revenue with reference to Government Order, dated 17th February 1892, No. 977.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,  
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue.  
" Collector of Kistna.

Reference on the above, dated 12th May 1892.

Forwarded to the Collector of Kistna for very early report.

2. The Board will make its proposals in regard to definite general rules for the treatment of resumed and reassigned inams when submitting the Collector's report.

(Signed) H. A. SIM,  
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Kistna, with petition No. 697 of 1892,  
and its three enclosures (to be returned).  
" Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

READ—also the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 31st May 1892, No. 4577 :—

Adverting to Board's Reference, dated 12th May 1892, on Petition Register Nos. 699 and 1440 of 1892, I have the honour to report as follows.

2. In Takkireddipalem, hamlet of Yenamadala, Guntūr taluk, there is a piece of land measuring acres 14.47 and assessed at Rs. 28-15-0 endowed as an inam as per Inam Commissioner's title-deed No. 267 for the maintenance of a tank used for drinking purposes. The Government petitioners Dandamudi Venkatrayudu, &c., were the trustees of the institution.

3. The petitioners enjoyed the inam lands but neglected to keep the tank in a state of repair in spite of repeated notices. These facts were reported to the Board in this office letter, dated 12th March 1877, No. 497, and the Board in its Proceedings, No. 4556, dated 27th March 1877, sanctioned the attachment of the inam.

4. The petitioners were thereupon served with a notice that if the tank was not repaired within a period of six months, the inam would be resumed. A further extension of six months was granted at the request of the petitioners. They failed to repair the tank within the time allowed. The neglect of the inamdars was reported to the Board in this office letter, No. 2862, dated 18th December 1879, which was submitted to Government in Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 1176, dated 29th January 1880. The Government in Government Order, No. 666, dated 19th February 1880, communicated with Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 2404, dated 27th February 1880, sanctioned the transfer of the tank with its endowment to the Local Fund Board.

5. The petitioners then appealed to the Government, and after obtaining a report from the Collector Government declined to cancel its former order (*vide* Government Order, No. 1760, dated 14th March 1890, communicated with Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 2088, dated 28th March 1890).

6. The Taluk Board as the present trustees of the tank desired to make the best use of the land and resolved to lease it out by auction for a period of five years. The sum of Rs. 600 was offered by certain ryots and the offer was accepted by the Taluk Board.

7. Now the petitioners have again appealed to Government claiming a ryotwari patta for the land, alleging that it has been in their undisturbed possession for the last 100 years. The Government ordered by wire that their occupation should not be interfered with pending disposal of their petition. In compliance with this order the Taluk Board postponed its auction regarding the lease though the terms were agreed upon and the transaction almost completed.

8. A perusal of the inam fair register maintained in this office shows (*vide* the enclosed extract) that the petitioners possessed no sanads and that the land was granted as an inam (having been entered as such in the accounts since fasli 1217) in addition to the freedom from assessment.

9. The petitioners in question held the inam land under reference as trustees and not as cultivating tenants and their claim to occupancy right cannot therefore be admitted. Their object is to retain on an annual payment of Rs. 28-15-0 lands which are worth Rs. 120 a year, as the sale held by the Taluk Board shows.

10. As the tank, together with the inam lands, has been made over to the Taluk Board by the order of Government, No. 666, dated 19th February 1880, confirmed by No. 1760, dated 14th March 1890, I think the Taluk Board should be allowed to complete its arrangements for leasing the land.

11. In this district there are many inams granted for charitable purposes and the tendency of most of the inam holders has been to neglect the institutions and when questioned about the neglect, to request the cancellation of the title-deeds and the assignment of the lands on ryotwari patta. Unless the terms of the title-deeds or other documents show that the endowment consisted of the assessment only and

that the land itself did not form part of the endowment, such applications should, I think, be rejected and the land, as well as the assessment, should be transferred to the Local Board or other suitable trustees.

12. The petition and three enclosures received with Board's reference are returned.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Inam register.

READ—also the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 27th January 1892, No. 892 :—

I have the honour to report that in the village of Jonnalagadda, Guntūr taluk, there is a charitable tope inam measuring acres 2.25 (assessed at Rs. 4-8-0) according to survey and covered by title-deed No. 1146. At present the tope contains only seven margosa trees. Notices were served last year on the inamdars concerned to replant the tope but to no purpose. They state that their previous endeavours to restore the tope have failed, the land being unfit for plantation, and request that a seri patta for the same may be given to them.

2. The tope as it stands is of no use to the public, and I therefore request orders for its resumption, the issue of patta to the present holders and assignment of its assessment to the Guntūr Taluk Board for sanitation purposes.

3. The title-deed surrendered by the inamdars is enclosed.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Title-deed.

Reference on the above, dated 20th February 1892.

The Collector is requested to report whether the Taluk Board is willing to receive the assessment and to appropriate it for village sanitation. A copy of the Taluk Board's resolution should be submitted with the Collector's reply to this reference.

(Signed) H. A. SIM,  
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Kistna.

READ—also the following letter from F. E. K. WEDDERBURN, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector of Kistna, to the Collector of Kistna, dated Guntūr, 16th June 1892, No. 720 :—

With reference to your endorsement, No. 1807, dated 7th March 1892, on a reference from the Board, No. 377 of 1892, I have the honour to report that the Guntūr Taluk Board is willing to receive the assessment of Rs. 4-8-0 on charitable tope in D. No. 65-A. of Jonnalagadda covered by title-deed No. 1146, and to utilize it for some local sanitary purpose.

2. A copy of the Taluk Board's resolution is herewith appended.

Endorsement by A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, dated Masulipatam, 25th June 1892, No. 5338.

Forwarded with copy of Taluk Board's resolution to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue with reference to Board's General Register No. 377, dated 20th February 1892.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Taluk Board's Resolution.

RESOLUTION—dated 23rd July 1892, No. 379.

Submitted to Government with reference to Government Orders, Nos. 5830, dated 13th November 1891, and 977, dated 17th February 1892.

2. The Collector of Kistna having recommended that certain tope inams should be resumed and made over to the Taluk Boards on condition of their utilizing the income thereof in the improvement of the sanitation and water-supply of the villages in which the topes were situated, Government, in the first of the papers read above, remarked that it was not clear from the Collector's reports whether the lands themselves or only the assessment thereon formed the inam, and wished to know what it was that was proposed to make over to the Taluk Boards. The Collector, in his letter, dated 2nd June last, No. 4578, reports that the inamdars have produced no evidence to show that the lands were theirs at the time of the Inam Settlement, and that the inam register shows one of them to have been assalminha poramboke tope, and the others to have been public topes. The Collector concludes from these entries that in all the cases the land formed the inam.

3. The facts which led to the issue of the second of the Government Orders referred to in paragraph 1 may be briefly recapitulated as follows.

4. The inam in question was granted for the maintenance of a tank, which was the only source of drinking water to the villagers and their cattle. The inamdars having neglected to maintain the tank, the inam was ordered to be attached, and notice was given to them that, if they did not repair the tank the inam would be resumed. They however still neglected to repair the tank, though they had two years' time allowed them. The Collector, therefore, recommended the resumption of the inam and its transfer to the District Board, and this recommendation was sanctioned in Government Order, dated 19th February 1880, No. 666, which was duly communicated to the Local Fund Board, but not to the inamdars. The Local Fund Board, however, took no action on the Government Order until 1889, and the inamdars, who were in possession of the inam, repaired the tank in the interval and applied for a reconsideration of the order of resumption, but Government declined to comply with their request (Government Order, dated 14th March 1890, No. 1760). The Taluk Board leased the land for five years and the inamdars complained to Government of dispossession. Government instructed the Collector by telegram not to disturb the inamdars' possession, pending further orders, and called for a report as to whether the land or the assessment constituted the inam.

5. The Collector reports that the inamdars produced no sanads at the time of the inam enquiry and that the inam register shows that the land had been shown in the accounts as inam since fasli 1217. He argues from these circumstances that the land itself constitutes the inam and not simply the assessment thereon, and that it was therefore open to Government to take the land out of the inamdars' possession. The Collector recommends that the inamdars should be dispossessed, and both the land and the tank made over to the Taluk Board, which has, on the strength of the Government Order sanctioning the resumption of the inam, arranged to lease the land for five years.

6. The Board does not consider that there is sufficient evidence to show that in any of the cases referred to above, except the assalminha tope at Attalur, the land itself formed the inam—see however paragraph 8 *infra*.

7. The Board also submits, for the orders of Government, two other letters from the Collector, dated 27th January and 25th June last, Nos. 892 and 5338, respectively, recommending that a tope inam in the village of Jonnalagadda, in the Guntur taluk, covered by title-deed No. 1146, which has been neglected, and which is of no use to the public, may be fully assessed and the assessment made over to the Taluk Board for expenditure on village sanitation, a ryotwari patta being issued to the inamdar.

8. With reference to paragraph 2 of Government Order, dated 17th February last, No. 977, the Board begs to submit that in most cases it is exceedingly difficult to ascertain whether the land or the assessment thereon forms the inam, and that, in any case, as Government has ceased to impose prohibitory assessment in such cases, the land cannot be taken out of the inamdar's possession without resort to a civil suit. In such suits it will be for the plaintiff to prove that the lands themselves and not the assessment only thereon formed the inams; and as it would be difficult to

prove this, the suit will in most cases fail. In the few cases in which it may be possible to show that the land constituted the inam, the Taluk Boards may not, on account of the expense which such a course will entail, consider it worth their while to institute any suits.

9. In view of these considerations, and of the fact that most grants of the kind are now of very old date, the Board considers that, except in the case of lands which are unoccupied at the time of the fresh assignment, only the assessment on the lands should be considered as constituting the inams and that the lands should be fully assessed and the assessment *minus* the *jodi*, if any, made over to the Taluk Board or other body to which the endowment is transferred, ryotwari pattas being issued to the inamdars in lieu of the title-deeds which should be cancelled.

10. Inam lands which are at the time unoccupied may be made over to the Taluk Board or other body to which the endowment is transferred. In such cases inam title-deeds should be issued to the Taluk Board or other grantee.

11. Where the assessment is held to form the inam as proposed in paragraph 9, it should, the Board thinks, be collected by Government and credited in Government accounts, the amount (*minus jodi* if any) being made over to the Taluk Board or other body by direct payment from the treasury. The money thus made over ought to be spent by the Taluk Board or other body for some definite purpose which would benefit the village in which the inam is situated.

(True Copies and Extract.)

*h. w. j.*  
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,  
with 3 enclosures in Petition Register No. 697 of 1892,  
returned, and 1 enclosure in G. R. No. 4296 of 1888, 1 in G. R.  
No. 552 of 1891, 3 in G. R. No. 3506 of 1891, 1 in Petition  
Register No. 1911 of 1892, 1 in G. R. No. 377 of 1892,  
and 1 in G. R. No. 1869 of 1892 (to be returned). ✓

Copy to the Collector of Kistna.

Erd. A. Aubert.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1892.


Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

*Current Number 7417*

Printed August 24th.



*224 Aug 92*  
Proceedings, 23rd July 1892, No. 379. *Encls 11*

Submitting to Government, with remarks,  
papers relating to the resumption of certain chari-  
table income in the Kistna district.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Current No. *7417 of 1892*

From *Board of Revenue (Land Revenue)*

Dated *23rd July 1892* No. *379*

FOR ORDERS.

In the above current, the Board replied to the order of 13 November 1891 & 17th Feb 1892 Nos 5830 & 977 & submitted two letters from the Collector of Kistna recommending the resumption of a tope inam in a village of the Guntur taluk. The facts are fully stated in paras 2, 4 & 7. 2. The Board does not consider that evidence is available to show that the land itself formed the inam except in one case (para 6). In para 8 it points out that should it be decided to disturb occupation a civil suit will be necessary, that it will be for the good of the Local Board to whom the lands are transferred, to prove that the lands & not the assessment formed

The Road is wide, straight  
in saying that in the town  
cross the value of the land  
has been kept to 1000 or  
some 2000 or 3000 for the  
3/10/52 height of the village - not  
being in the same band

Ch  
24/9

C No. 7417 of 1892

FOR ORDERS.

Re. resumption of certain charitable  
topu inams in the Kistna district

G.G. 1045  
11-10-92

The Honble Mr. Justice, C.S. J.  
The Honble Mr. Justice, Kistna District

C 267

Yes.

J.H.G.

H.E.S.

J.H.G.

3-10-92.

13 ✓

13 ✓

The Board's views are correct, I think and may be approved. It has been ruled that in the absence of evidence to show that the land constitutes the Inam, the assessment thereon shall be deemed to be the Inams. In the case of these old grants it will seldom be possible to prove that the land was assigned as inam from waste and that the land constitutes the Inam. The Board is right, I think, in saying that in all these cases the value of the endowment should be spent on some definite object for the benefit of the village and not merged in the general fund.

(Intld.) J.A.G.  
24-9-92

14 ✓  
Read CN<sup>o</sup> 7417 of 1892

14 ✓  
G.O. of 11<sup>th</sup> Oct. 1892, no: 1045.

The Govt. concurs in the views expressed in paras 9-11 of the Board's Proceedings read above.

2. Sanction is accorded for the assumption and full assessment of the charitable tope inams referred in para 7 and in the papers forwarded with Board's Proceedings dated 21<sup>st</sup> October 1891 No. 7487 Mis. and for the payment of the assessments minus the jodi, if any, to the Taluk Boards concerned, subject to the condition indicated in para 11.

3. Orders should be issued for the grant of Ryotwari pattas to the petitioners referred to in G.O. dated 17<sup>th</sup> February 1892, No. 977.

11/10

To the Commr<sup>s</sup> of Land Revenue  
(with 8 Encls. returned)

" Local and Municipal Depts

" Copy of para 3 to the petitioners

100  
8/10  
11/10

List. From Order.

CN<sup>o</sup> 7417 of 1892

Re the resumption of certain  
charitable inams in the Khotin  
District.

G. Edg 11<sup>th</sup> Decr 1892 no 1045

Originals

Govt. of {1892} Madras  
REVENUE.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 11th October 1892, No. 1045.

OCTOBER.

Inams.

Passing orders on papers relating to the  
resumption of certain charitable — in the Kistna  
district.

30.7.03.

27.2.03.

22.3.04.

2-4-06.

3-4-07

5-2-03

13.6.04

15.2.05

13.11.05

14.12.05

24.4.06

18.4.07

21.2.08

8-11-12

10.12.11

20-5-10

23-9-16

14-9-17

25-1-21

9-5-27 BIII

9-7-32 II

15.11.84 III

25.5.1907