M.R.O. 1—77,005—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 12

(2) Date of repair 6-1-42

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 710 Press Mis.

Dated 5 — 8 — 1891

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—again G.O., dated 3rd March 1891, No. 1440, Mis., Revenue.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting the Board of Revenue to report from what date the grant of land revenue to Subadar-Major Jayaram, "Sirdar Bahadur," may take effect.

READ—also the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 7th July 1891, No. 4723, Mis. :—

THE HON. MR. H. W. BLISS.
THE HON. MR. J. GROSE.

Read—Petition from Pensioned Subadar-Major JAYARAM, "Sirdar Bahadur," late of the Queen's Own Sappers and Miners, residing at Edayansathu, Vellore, North Arcot District, dated 18th December 1890.

Reference thereon, dated 5th January 1891.

Referred to the Collector of North Arcot for report.

2. It should be stated if the ryots consented to the assignment of the revenue from their lands.

Read—the following letter from B. MACLEOD, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector of North Arcot, to the Collector of North Arcot, dated Vellore, 6th January 1891, No. 29 :—

In reply to your reference on Board No. 2482 of 1890, dated 8th November last, on Board's Proceedings, No. 651, dated 28th October 1890, regarding the assignment of land revenue, amounting to Rs. 360 per annum, to Pensioned Subadar-Major Jayaram, "Sirdar Bahadur," in the village of Edayansathu in Vellore taluk, I have the honour to inform you that the monegar, who is paying a kist of about Rs. 100, and other pattadars of the village do not consent to pay the assessment of their lands to the Pensioned Subadar-Major, as they apprehend that they cannot expect from him—a neighbour who is at enmity with them—the advantages they derive from the Government system of getting remission in bad season.

2. The Subadar-Major, who was inquired in this office, states that he wants lands only in Edayansathu and that the monegar is the cause of the ryots not consenting to assignment of their lands.

3. The monegar, who was inquired by me yesterday, states that the ryots do not consent to the proposal on account of the ill-feeling existing between the Subadar-Major and the ryots.

4. I have the honour therefore to request instructions in the matter.

Endorsement by W. J. H. LE FANU, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, dated 28th January 1891, No. 76-In.

Submitted to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue with reference to the Board's reference on petition No. 5988 of 1890, dated 5th January 1891, and its Proceedings, dated 28th October last, No. 651.

2. In the above petition the Subadar requests that he may be made the jaghirdar of the whole village on condition that he should remit to Government the difference between the beriz of the village and the amount of Rs. 360 which is to be assigned to him. I am of opinion that the simplest way would be to make the grant an assignment on the beriz of the village, to be paid by the village officers out of the collections. It would be simpler still to direct the Tahsildar to pay the Subadar Rs. 300 a year, which is what Government intends him to get. It seems a clumsy arrangement that he should collect it from the villagers. It is quite intelligible that the latter should object to have a stranger thrust between them and the Government and litigation would be sure to arise between them.

3. The Board petition received with the above reference is returned.

Reference thereon, dated 12th March 1891.

The Board will have to submit the matter for the orders of Government. Before doing so, however, it requests the Collector to ascertain from the Subadar-Major his wishes in regard to the following suggestion.

2. It was on the Board's own suggestion that occupied lands were given, but the Board expressly said that this should be only with the consent of the pattadars concerned, and this consent probably will never be given in the present case for the reasons pointed out by the Collector.

3. The Subadar-Major's request, however, to be made jaghirdar of the whole village the Board cannot approve of; if it means anything at all, it means the Subadar-Major's gradually claiming the rights of a superior proprietor over the pattadar.

4. On the other hand, to give Rs. 300 in cash is simply to give a pension which is not what is meant.

5. What appears to be the best course is to grant either waste land or remission on land already occupied by the Subadar-Major. He says he does hold some lands of his own; the Collector will report how much, and will ascertain if the Subadar-Major would like to have the assessment on these lands remitted and take out the balance in waste land.

Read—G.O., dated 3rd March 1891, No. 1440, Mis., Revenue.

Reference thereon, dated 24th March 1891.

Forwarded to the Collector of North Arcot for report on paragraph 1 of the Government Order in continuation of Board's reference, dated 12th March 1891, on Petition Register No. 367 of 1891.

Read—the following letter from W. J. H. LE FANU, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Kálahasti, 19th April 1891, Ref. on Board No. 702:—

With reference to Board's * reference on Petition Register No. 786 of 1891, dated 24th March 1891, asking me to report the date from which the grant of land to Subadar-Major Jayaram, "Sirdar Bahadur," may take effect, I have the honour to state that I do not see how the grant can take effect retrospectively from the 28th

* Enclosures returned.

October 1889. There are no arrears in this district and consequently there is nothing to collect in any village from that date. Considering, too, that our kists are nearly over, I do not see how any grant can take effect before December next.

2. I do not quite understand why the matter was referred to me for report. If, however, it is meant that the grant should take effect from the date on which the arrangements for the assignment of the land revenue will be completed, the matter is not likely to be disposed of before the close of fasli 1300 and the grant can only take effect from fasli 1301. Information on the matters referred to in the Board's reference, dated 12th March 1891, on Petition Register No. 367 of 1891, has not yet been received from the Sub-Collector. I have reminded him again and will submit a report on the said reference as soon as I am in possession of information.

Reference thereon, dated 29th May 1891.

The Collector of North Arcot is requested to expedite his reply to Board's reference, dated 12th March 1891, on Petition Register No. 367 of 1891 regarding assignment of land revenue to Pensioned Subadar-Major Jayaram, "Sirdar Bahadur."

Read—the following letter from W. J. H. LE FANU, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 28th May 1891, Ref. on Gl. Eng. No. 3282:—

With reference to paragraph 5 of the Board's reference on Petition Register No. 367 of 1891, dated 12th March 1891, and the allegations contained in the petition received with Board's Proceedings, dated 12th March 1891, No. 720, Routine, I have the honour to state that Subadar-Major Jayaram, "Sirdar Bahadur," owns lands in the villages of Edayansathu and Chitteri in Vellore taluk as noted in the margin. The Subadar-Major is willing to have the assessment on the lands remitted. He is not willing to take out the balance in waste lands, but wants it in cash by means of beriz deduction in any village.

	Acres.	Assessment. Rs.	A.	P.
Edayansathu	14·74	33	14	6
Chitteri	·87	4	10	0
Total ...	15·61	38	8	6

2. As regards the allegations contained in the petition received with the Proceedings referred to above, the Subadar himself admitted that there was some misunderstanding between himself and the village workmen, such as barber, washerman, &c. The misunderstanding is said to be due to the Subadar's giving encouragement to a person who was excommunicated by the villagers for bad character. It does not appear to be a fact that the Subadar offered higher prices for lands as alleged in the Board Petition No. 541 of 1891. The Tahsildar does not consider that the Subadar is such a bad man as he is represented to be by the petitioners, but to avoid all hitch between them recommends that the Subadar's proposals mentioned at the end of paragraph 1 *supra* may be sanctioned. From paragraph 4 of the Board's reference under reply, I find that the Board is averse to any payment in cash which takes the form of a pension, but considering that the grant is intended as a reward for distinguished service, it would perhaps be equitable to meet the Subadar's wishes under the peculiar circumstances of the case.

3. The enclosures are herewith returned.

Resolution—dated 7th July 1891, Mis. No. 4723.

Submitted for the orders of Government with reference to G.Os., dated 15th October 1890, No. 6241, Mis., and dated 3rd March 1891, No. 1440, Mis.

2. The assignment of the revenue on occupied land granted to Subadar-Major Jayaram in the papers communicated with the earlier of the Government orders quoted above has not worked satisfactorily. The ryots complain of being handed over to the tender mercies of a stranger and the Subadar-Major complains of obstruction and

inability to collect his revenue. Such a state of things was natural and is not likely to be mended. The Board therefore suggested that the Subadar-Major should be asked to select some less inconvenient way of receiving the grant which Government wished to make to him.

3. This he now does; he has lands of his own in two villages assessed at Rupees 38-8-6. He proposes that he shall hold this area free of assessment and that he shall receive the balance Rs. 300 *minus* Rs. 38-8-6 in cash by way of beriz deduction. This seems to the Board by far the simplest method of dealing with the matter and it accordingly recommends it for the sanction of Government. As it is his own lands that are concerned and as the balance is to be paid in cash, there seems no need to allow the Subadar any portion of the 20 per cent. allowance for vicissitudes of season and trouble of collection.

4. As regards the date from which the grant should take place, the Board sees no objection to its being given effect to from the date of the original grant, viz., 28th October 1889 or at least from fasli 1300 (1st July 1890). It would only involve the refund of the collections made since then on his own land and the payment of a back year's beriz deduction.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) H. A. SIM,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with 4 enclosures in Petition Register No. 786
of 1891 returned and with Petition Register
No. 5988 of 1890, Nos. 367, 1307 and 1748 of
1891 and its enclosure in original, to be returned.
Copy to the Collector of North Arcot.

ORDER—dated 5th August 1891, No. 710, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The Board's recommendations in paragraphs 3 and 4 are approved and sanctioned.

2. The grant will take effect from fasli 1300.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with the enclosures (five) returned.
" Military Department, with four enclosures.
" Accountant-General.

Exd. C. A. Munisawmy.

55 Copies.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE MADRAS

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 7th July 189/,

No. 4723 Miscellaneous

The Hon'ble Mr. H. W. Bliss
The Hon'ble Mr. J. Gross.

READ the following papers:—

(1) Petition from Pensioned Subadar Major Jayaram "Sardar Bahadur" late of the Queen's own Sappers and Miners residing at Vediyanallur Vellore, North Arcot District dated 18th December 1890 and the following reference thereon dated 5th January 1891:—

Referred to the Collector of North Arcot for report.

2. It should be stated if the ryots consented to the assignment of the revenue from their lands.

(2) Endorsement of H. Le Fanu Esquire, Collector of North Arcot dated 28th January 1891 No 76/Jr on letter from the Asst. Sub. Collector, No. 29 dated 6th January 1891 and the following reference thereon dated 12th March 1891.

The Board will have to submit the matter for the orders of Government before doing so, however, it requests the Collector to ascertain from the Subadar Major his wishes in regard to the following suggestion

2. It was on the Board's own suggestion that occupied lands were given, but the Board expressly said that this should be only with the consent

consent of the puttadars concerned, and this consent probably will never be given in the present case for the reasons pointed out by the Collector.

3. The Subadar Major's request however to be made Jaghirdar of the whole village the Board cannot approve of if it means anything at all it means the Subadar-Major's gradually claiming the rights of a Superior proprietor over the puttadar.

4. On the other hand, to give 300 Rupees in Cash is simply to give a pension which is not what is meant.

5. What appears to be the best course is to grant either waste land or remission on land already occupied by the Subadar Major. He says he does hold some lands of his own the Collector will report how much and will ascertain if the Subadar Major would like to have the assessment on these lands remitted and take out the balance in waste land.

(3) Read Government order, Revenue Department dated 3rd March 1891, No. 1440 Mis. and the following reference thereon dated 24th March 1891.

Forwarded to the Collector of North Arcot for report on para 1 of the Government order in continuation of Board's Reference dated 12th March 1891 on petition Regn No: 367 of 1891.

(4) Letter from the Collector of North Arcot dated 19th April 1891. Ref: on Board No. 702. and the following reference thereon dated 29th May 1891.

The Collector of North Arcot is requested to expedite his reply to Board's reference dated 12th March 1891 on petition Register No. 367 of 1891 regarding assignment of land revenue to pensioned Subadar Major Jayaram, Sirdar Bahadur.

(5) Letter from the Same officer dated 28th May 1891. Ref: on Gl. Sng: No. 3282 of 1891.

Resolution

Submitted for the orders of Government with reference to Government orders dated 15th October 1890, No. 6241 Mis and dated 3rd March 1891, No. 1440 Mis.

2. The assignment of the revenue on occupied land, granted to Subadar Major Jayaram in the papers communicated with the Earlier of the Government orders quoted above have not worked satisfactorily. The ryots complain of being handed over to the tender mercies of a Stranger and the Subadar Major complains of obstruction and inability to collect his revenue. Such a State of things was natural and is not likely to be mended. The Board therefore suggested that the Subadar Major should be asked to select some less inconvenient way of receiving the grant, which Government wished to make to him.

3. This he now does; he has lands of his own in two villages assessed at Rs 38-8-0. He proposes that he shall hold this area free of assessment and that he shall receive the balance.

balance Rs 300 minus Rs 38-8-6 in cash by way of beriz deduction. This seems to the Board by far the simplest method of dealing with the matter and it accordingly recommends it for the sanction of government. As it is his own lands that are concerned and as the balance is to be paid in cash, there seems no need to allow the subadar any portion of the 20 per cent allowance for vicissitudes of season and trouble of collection.

4. As regards the date from which the grant should take place, the Board sees no objection to its being given effect to from the date of the original grant viz 28th October 1889 or at least from Fasli 1300 (1st July 1890). It would only involve the refund of the collections made since then on his own land and the payment of a back years beriz deduction.

(True Extract)

Ag: Secretary.

To
The Secretary to government: Rev: Dept with enclo: in P.R. No 786 of 1891 returned and with P.R. Nos 5988 of 1890, 367, 1307 & 1748 of 1891 and its enclosure in original to be returned
Copy to the Collector of North Arcot

Rev 1891. Sept

Board of Revenue.

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

G.O. 5th August 1891, no: 710.

Rec'd 7.91

Proceedings, dated 1891,
No. 47 Mis. Routine 91. Enclosures.

Subject: Submitting to Government report called for regarding the best method of disposing the pension due to Subadar Major Jeyaram Soodas Bada — dated 17th March 1891 — Stead of the land Revenue assignment now made

With a/order

Read again G.O. 1440 3-3-91

G.O. 25/7/91

G.O. 5th August 1891, no: 710.

The Board's recommendations in paras 3 and 4 are approved and sanctioned.

2. The grant will take effect from 7130.

Note - I think the Board's letter from the Govt. of India merely ruling that in this case the pension is to be taken out of 3 lines - Rs. 60.0 of June 91 no 502. This and not to be entered here & memo. 2/0

To the Board of Rev. with the enclosures (Give) 4/8/91

- Military Depot returned. Letter & enclosures.

" A-4.

keep. 1/9/91

Original

10-11-99
21-2-00
23-5-01
31-7-06
20-5-12
28/8/12
24-7-13
18-4-17
1-9-20

Govt. of {1891} Madras.

REVENUE.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 5th August 1891, No. 710.

AUGUST.

Subadar-Major Jayaram, "Sirdar Bahadur."

The recommendation of the Board of Revenue that — should be permitted to hold free of assessment his lands situated in two villages and assessed at Rs. 38-8-6 and to receive the balance (Rs. 300—Rs. 38-8-6) in cash by beriz deduction, approved and sanctioned, and the grant ordered to take effect from fasli 1300.