

(1) Number of Pages.....4
(2) Date of repair.....19-11-66
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....h

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

SPARE COPY

G.O. No.	763	Press
-B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	23-9-1890-196-	.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following letter from J. STURROCK, Esq., Collector of Coimbatore, to the Inam Commissioner, Madras, dated Coimbatore, 22nd July 1890, Reference on No. 1632, Mis.:—

I have the honor to reply to paragraph 1 of your endorsement, dated 14th September 1889, No. 450, on G.O., dated 8th August 1889, No. 680, Revenue Department.

2. I am clearly of opinion that the village of Perúr, with its hamlet Mavuthampadi or Ikkarai Walaiyar, is as much entitled to be treated as a whole inam village as Sangramanallur or any other village in the district.

3. As my reasons for doing so are mainly the same as those given with regard to Sangramanallur in my letter recorded with the Government Order above quoted, I presume, I need not repeat them here in detail, but the abstract of the history of the village given as a heading to the Inam settlement account of fasli 1214 is worth quoting: "The entire village

NOTE.—This is a translation from a Tamil version of the Mahratta certified copy given in 1878 to the committee.

"was Sarwamaniyam to the temple long before the reign of Madura Tirumal Naidu Garu. It continued to be so till the year Sobakrithu (1783). Between

"Krodi (1784) to Soumya (1789) Tippu Sultan kept the village under attachment and was paying half of the revenue to the temple. In the years Sadarana and Virodikrithu (1790 and 1791), the British Government kept the village under attachment and appropriated the whole revenue to the temple. Again between Paritapi (1792) and Kalayukti (1798) Tippu Sultan kept the village under attachment and paid half of the revenue to the temple. In the year Siddarti (1799), fasli 1203, the British Government granted the village to the temple as inam and issued a jaripatta to that effect."

4. When the management of the Perúr temple was made over to a trustee in fasli 1257 (1847-48), the total extent of Government land shown in the village accounts was acres 5-90, made up of resumed minor inams. It was only after this that the practice arose of treating as Government land poramboke brought under cultivation.

5. The village contains one channel and two tanks which have always been repaired from the temple funds. There are also some lands under the Kuniyamuthur tank and channel to which they claim to have contributed, but the only contributions for which records are available are two small sums in 1858 and 1879.

6. The hamlet of Mavuthampadi, called in the Revenue settlement Ikkarai Walaiyar, though not included in a takid of 1802 assigning lands to the temple, was settled as temple inam in fasli 1214. It was resumed in 1851 on the ground of its omission from the takid of 1802, but it

* G.O., dated 27th July 1871, No. 1308, communicated with Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 2641, dated 8th August 1871.

was restored in 1871 by an order of Government* on a Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, in which it was stated that there was no reason to doubt that the entire

village of Perúr with its hamlets was an ancient endowment of the Perúr pagoda.

7. In the statement of 1847 referred to in paragraph 4 of your letter recorded with the Government Order above quoted, Perúr, unlike Sangramanallur, was not entered as an entire inam, but the omission of the hamlet of Mavuthampadi from the takid of 1802 would be sufficient to account for this, and after the decision of Government referred to in the preceding paragraph, it would seem that no inference adverse to the claims of the pagoda can now be drawn from it. I have not, however, a copy of the statement in my office but only a note which shows that Perúr was not entered in it as an entire inam.

8. The following abstract shows particulars of the ayacut of Perúr and Mavuthampadi :—

Particulars.	PERUR.		MAVUTHAMPADI.	
	Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.
Poramboke	ACRES. 560.78	RS. A. P.	ACRES. 191.33	RS. A. P.
Inam	936.88	7,505 1 0	1,944.82	1,260 15 7
Unoccupied waste	34.29	190 9 0		
Government lands	25.55	163 5 0	869.59	530 4 0
Total Ayacut ..	1,607.50	7,858 15 0	3,005.77	1,791 3 7

9. Of the poramboke, acres 114.61 are Noyil river poramboke added to Perúr at settlement. To this of course the temple has no claim.

10. The Government lands in Perúr are made up of acres 6.4 (5.90 before settlement) of resumed inams, to which the temple has now no right, and acres 19.51 given on darkhast after the Government had given over the management of the temple lands in 1847 and which would have been added to the inam lands if the darkhasts had been received before that date. The Government land in Mavuthampadi is forest to which the temple waived all claim when the hamlet was restored in 1871.

11. I therefore recommend that Government waive all claim to land in Perúr village and its hamlet Mavuthampadi or Ikkarai Walaiyar with the following exceptions :—

Perúr—resumed inams	ACS. 6.4
Noyil river poramboke	114.61
Mavuthampadi—forest land	869.59

No. 838.

Submitted to Government in reply to G.O., dated 8th August 1889, No. 680, Revenue, paragraph 4. With the exceptions noted in paragraph 11 of the above letter, the village of Perúr and its hamlet Mavuthampadi may be treated as an entire inam village as recommended by the Collector.

MADRAS,
22nd August 1890.

(Signed) W. S. WHITESIDE,
Inam Commissioner.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

ORDER—dated 23rd September 1890, No. 763, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The Collector's recommendation, supported by the Inam Commissioner, is approved and sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Inam Commissioner,
,, the Commissioners of Land Revenue.

Exd. C. A. Munisawilly.

45 Copies.

Gobt. of {1890} Madras.
REVENUE.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 23rd September 1890, No. 763.

SEPTEMBER.

Inam, Coimbatore.

The treatment of the village of Perúr, together with its hamlet Mavuthampadi, as an entire inam village with certain exceptions recommended by the Collector and supported by the Inam Commissioner, approved and sanctioned.

7-11-66

