M.R.O. 1—5,006—16-10-50.

(1) Number of pages 8

(2) Date of repair 16.10.59

(3) Initials of the Referencer

15.10.59.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / ~~B.P. No.~~	597	Press / Mis.
Dated	29 - 7 - 1890	~~195~~ .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 2,143.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 28th April 1865.

Read the following letter from W. T. BLAIR, Esq., Officiating Inam Commissioner, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Coimbatore, 8th February 1865, No. 6 :—

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 25th November 1864, No. 7,679, and 27th January 1865, No. 477, in which they invite me to offer my remarks on the subject of the Ulavada tenures in certain portions of the North Arcot District.

2. Mr. Banbury, in paragraph 3 of his letter* to the Board, describes these tenures to be of the nature of Dasavandams, and in some instances to have been conceded like Cowles in order to bring under cultivation lands unfavorably situated for agriculture.

* 29th October 1864, No. 279, in Board's Proceedings, 25th November 1864, No. 7,679.

3. I do not see that these tenures are in any respect different from the Kattugutta lands in the Ceded Districts and the Harsal Makta, and Badibad lands in the Godavery District, all of which are permanent in their character and have been, under sanction of Government, brought under the Inam rules and enfranchised susequent to the date of the Commissioner's Proceedings regarding the Ulavada tenures of North Arcot.

4. It is therefore my opinion that the Ulavada lands of North Arcot should be dealt with in the same manner as analogous tenures have been in other parts of the Presidency.

The Board are of opinion that the Order of Government, dated 1st March 1855, has finally disposed of all the Ulavada lands of the North Arcot District, by fixing on them a reduced assessment, and they presume that the holders of them have since that date been permitted to dispose of them by sale or mortgage in the same manner as ordinary Puttah lands, but on this point the Collector will be requested to report.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary.

To W. T. Blair, Esq., Officiating Inam Commissioner.

Copy to J. D. Robinson, Esq., Collector of North Arcot.

Exd. J. Wright.

R.P. 3561/27-6-65

N.B.—*The reply to this communication should be addressed to the Secretary, Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).*

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE MADRAS

Memorandum.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE
(LAND REVENUE),
Dated the 3? July 1889.

No. 2174 Roc

In the Inam Register of Saligramam received with the Collector's letter dated 24 July 1888, No. 1071/Ser, the extent of Cowle land in the possession of the individuals in cases Nos. 4 and 6 are given as Cawnies 7-8-0 and 8-3-6 respectively. But in the Statement received with the Collector's letter, dated 3? January 1845 No. 1, disposed of in G.O. dated 28 March 1845, No. 402, it is entered as Cawnies 7-14-0 and 7-9¼. The Collector is requested to explain the difference and also to state whether the last item in the Inam

Register

Rec. 4 Aug 89

No. 2,143.

Dated 28th April 1865.

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

1865. Department.

Requesting to report whether the holders of Uluvala lands have been permitted to dispose of them by sale or mortgage in the same manner as ordinary Puttah lands, since the date on which a reduced assessment was fixed on them.

Register refers to the 3/4th Cawni referred to in the letter of 1845 as having been granted in Inglish to Tenbimeb Subadar Major. If so, the difference in extent in this case also should be explained.

Sd/ M. Hammick
Secretary

To the Collector of Chingleput.

True Copy

A.G.Cardew
Ag. Secretary

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(Land Revenue).

The Hon. J. Grose.

Read—the following letter from J. LEE-WARNER, Esq., Collector of the Chingleput District, to the Inam Commissioner, dated Saidápet, 24th July 1888, Reference on No. 1071-Ver.:—

In the villages of Kovilpadagai, No. 59, and Saligramam, No. 175, in the Saidápet taluk, some lands are held on "permanent cowles" subject to a certain fixed rate of assessment, which is lower than the assessment fixed by the Settlement Department. Out of the 9 cases now reported, in 7 cowles were granted for bringing waste to cultivation, and in the remaining two, for maintaining some pagodas and choultries. As it is more convenient to convert the lands into inam bearing the present rate of cowle assessment as quit-rent, than to continue the present practice of bringing the settlement assessment into demand and remitting the difference between the settlement rate and cowle assessment year after year, I have the honor to recommend that inam title-deeds be issued for the lands. If my recommendation meets with your approval, I beg that the accompanying registers be accepted and title-deeds issued accordingly.

Enclosures Nos. 1 and 2.—Registers.

Endorsement by W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Inam Commissioner, dated Madras, 4th March 1889, No. 74.

Submitted to the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

2. The registers which accompanied the above letter contained 9 cases, of which two were charitable grants and the remainder were enjoyed for personal benefit. The Collector was asked to report whether the holders of the latter description of inams consent to the imposition of an additional quit-rent under the rules for the future treatment of their cowles as free-holds, and he now reports that the cowledars agree to pay an additional quit-rent as proposed.

3. The Inam Commissioner has no objection to issue title-deeds to the two charitable grants with reference to Board's Proceedings, dated 3rd May 1888, Mis. No.× 2794, but he requests the sanction of the collective Board to adopt a similar course with regard to other grants as the procedure seems to be novel.

× not available

Reference on the above, dated 29th April 1889.

The Collector is requested to report whether the holders of the permanent cowle lands referred to have full power to alienate them by sale or otherwise, and, if so, why they are ready to pay an additional sum as quit-rent if their tenure is changed.

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

To the Collector of the Chingleput District,
with letter and two enclosures (to be returned).

Read—the following letter from J. LEE-WARNER, Esq., Collector of the Chingleput District, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Saidápet, 2nd May 1889, Reference on No. 399-G.&B.-L.R.:—

Referring to Board's Reference on General Register No. 703,* dated 29th April 1889, I have the honor to inform you that according to the terms of the cowles, the holders are at liberty to sell or otherwise alienate the lands as they please.

* Enclosures 2 returned.

2. They did not consent to pay the higher rate till they were told by the Inam Commissioner that the change of tenure would involve it. They probably want the tenure changed because jamabandi officers at times assess cowle lands fully and pending the production of the cowles; and the cowledars will avoid all trouble of this sort if an inam title-deed is issued.

Reference on the above, dated 16th May 1889.

The Collector of Chingleput is requested to return the letter forwarded to him with Board's Reference on General Register No. 703 of 1889.

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

To the Collector of the Chingleput District.

READ—letter from the Collector of the Chingleput District, dated 20th May 1889, Reference on No. 459-G.&B.-L.R.

ABSTRACT.—Returning the Inam Commissioner's letter received with Board's Reference on General Register No. 703 of 1889.

READ—the following letter from G. F. T. POWER, Esq., Acting Collector of the Chingleput District, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Saidápet, 20th April 1890, Reference on No. 616-G &B.-L.R. :—

Referring to the Proceedings of the Board, No. 2177, Routine, dated 3rd July 1889, I have the honor to report as follows.

2. The discrepancy pointed out by the Board between the statement sent with this office letter, No. 1091-Ver., dated the 24th July 1888, and that sent in 1845 is specified in the margin. I am unable to explain it satisfactorily as the Tahsildar has not succeeded in obtaining proper records or information from the present owners of the land and there are no records available in this office which will throw light on the question. I have, however, gathered some facts from the paimash register and the statement of some of the owners of cowle lands which are given below.

Case No.	Extent as per statement of 1845.	Extent as per statement now submitted.
4	7 14 0	7 8 0
6	7 9 4	8 3 6
7	Not shown.	1 10 0

3. Taking first cases Nos. 4 and 7, the survey numbers referring to them are 188A and B and 189A which comprise the paimash numbers specified in the margin. All these numbers are entered in the paimash account of fasli 1236 as "bill thottam" or cowle lands, and it is these numbers that have been now proposed in the statement to be treated as inam. The owner of 1-10-0 cawnies of land comprised in case No. 7 alleges that he originally purchased it from the holder of lands included in case No. 4. This may be true as paimash No. 582 occurs in both cases. But it is not known why the extent was omitted from the statement of 1845 and why the extents in the two statements as regards case No. 4 differ. It, however, does not appear that the extent in case No. 7 refers to the $\frac{1}{4}$ cawni alluded to in letter No. 1 of 1515.

Case No.	Survey Nos.	Paimash Nos.	Extent.
4	188-A	578	1 6 12
	188-B	577	1 10 0
		579	1 4 0
		580	2 5 2
		582	0 7 16
		583	0 6 4
			7 8 0
7	189-A	581	1 6 12
		582	0 4 4
			1 10 0
			9 2 0

4. As regards case No. 6, there is a difference of cawni 0-10-2, assessed at Rs. 1-10-3, between the inam statement and that of 1845. The paimash numbers comprised in the survey fields referring to this case are noted in the margin, from which it would appear that the excess area exactly coincides with that of paimash No. 393 included in survey No. 158. Against this number an entry is made in the paimash that it was "assessed in fasli 1233," which shows that it was either poramboke or unassessed waste before. Thus it is apparent that the extent did not form part of the cowle lands originally, but that it was subsequently added to them and full assessment charged, probably because the holder of the cowle lands encroached on it or was found to be somehow in possession of it.

Survey No.	Paimash Nos.	Extent.
158	392	4 3 8
	393	0 10 2
		4 13 10
189	390	1 11 4
	391	1 10 8
		3 5 12
		8 3 6

RESOLUTION—dated 12th June 1890, No. 327.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. In the papers read above the Collector of Chingleput recommends that certain lands for which permanent cowles have been granted by former Collectors, and which have been confirmed by Government, may be brought under the inam settlement, as the present practice of charging full settlement assessment at the jamabandi of each year and then remitting the portion in excess of the fixed assessment is inconvenient and useless. The Inam Commissioner undertakes to issue inam title-deeds in two out of the nine cases brought to notice as they are religious and charitable service, and requests the orders of the Board in the remaining seven cases as he considers that the procedure recommended in regard to them is novel.

3. The case of these lands seems to be analogous to that of the Valanadai grants in North Arcot for which the issue of inam title-deeds on the existing quit-rent was sanctioned in G.O., dated 18th April 1882, No. 396 (*vide* also paragraph 3 of the Inam Commissioner's letter printed in Board's Proceedings, dated 28th April 1865, No. 2143), and the Board therefore recommends that a similar course may be authorized in regard to the cowle lands in question.

4. It is observed that in some cases there are slight differences between the extent of the grants as now given by the Collector and that given in the old records for which no satisfactory explanation is forthcoming. But these discrepancies are unimportant and may, the Board thinks, be overlooked.

(True Copies and Extract.)

A. J. Cardew
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with two registers (to be returned) and copies of Board's Proceedings,
dated 28th April 1865, No. 2143, and 3rd July 1889, No. 2177.

Copy to the Collector of the Chingleput District, with copy of
Board's Proceedings, dated 28th April 1865, No. 2143.

" " Inam Commissioner.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1890.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

R. 4620

RECEIVED AT THE BOARD OF REVENUE 27 JUN 90 REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Printed June th.

Proceedings, 12th June 1890, No. 327.

Read 27 June.

Submitting, for the orders of Government, proposal of the Collector of Chingleput to treat certain permanent *cowle lands as inams*.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 4620

From The Board of Revenue (Land Revenue)

Dated 12th June 1890.

FOR ORDERS.

The Cowle lands in question appear to be on the same footing as the Valavadi lands in North Arcot. The Board's recommendation may, therefore, be submitted, be sanctioned.

23/7

Read Rev. no: 29.

Order G/29 July 1890, no: 597.

The Board's recommendation is sanctioned

29/7

To The Comr. of Ld. Rev.

FOR ORDERS.

Re. the future treatment of certain cowle lands in the Chingleput District.

Printed for first time 29/7/90

29TH JULY 1890, No. 597, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 12th June 1890, No. 327 :—

THE HON. J. GROSE.

Read—the following letter from J. LEE-WARNER, Esq., Collector of the Chingleput District, to the Inam Commissioner, dated Saidápet, 24th July 1888, Reference on No. 1071-Ver. :—

In the villages of Kovilpadagai, No 59, and Saligramam, No. 175, in the Saidápet taluk, some lands are held on "permanent cowles" subject to a certain fixed rate of assessment, which is lower than the assessment fixed by the Settlement Department. Out of the 9 cases now reported, in 7 cowles were granted for bringing waste to cultivation, and, in the remaining two, for maintaining some pagodas and choultries. As it is more convenient to convert the lands into inam bearing the present rate of cowle assessment as quit-rent than to continue the present practice of bringing the settlement assessment into demand and remitting the difference between the settlement rate and cowle assessment year after year, I have the honor to recommend that inam title-deeds be issued for the lands. If my recommendation meets with your approval, I beg that the accompanying registers be accepted and title-deeds issued accordingly.

ENCLOSURES NOS. 1 AND 2.—Registers.

Endorsement by W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Inam Commissioner, dated Madras, 4th March 1889, No. 74.

Submitted to the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

2. The registers which accompanied the above letter contained 9 cases, of which two were charitable grants and the remainder were enjoyed for personal benefit. The Collector was asked to report whether the holders of the latter description of inams consent to the imposition of an additional quit-rent under the rules for the future treatment of their cowles as free-holds, and he now reports that the cowledars agree to pay an additional quit-rent as proposed.

3. The Inam Commissioner has no objection to issue title-deeds to the two charitable grants with reference to Board's Proceedings, dated 3rd May 1888, No. 2794, Mis., but he requests the sanction of the collective Board to adopt a similar course with regard to other grants as the procedure seems to be novel.

Reference on the above, dated 29th April 1889.

The Collector is requested to report whether the holders of the permanent cowle lands referred to have full power to alienate them by sale or otherwise, and, if so, why they are ready to pay an additional sum as quit-rent if their tenure is changed.

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

To the Collector of the Chingleput District,
with letter and two enclosures (to be returned).

Read—the following letter from J. LEE-WARNER, Esq., Collector of the Chingleput District, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Saidápet, 2nd May 1889, Reference on No. 399-G.&B.-L.R.:—

Referring to Board's Reference on General Register No. 703,* dated 29th April 1889, I have the honor to inform you that, according to the terms of the cowles, the holders are at liberty to sell or otherwise alienate the lands as they please.

* Enclosures (2) returned.

2. They did not consent to pay the higher rate till they were told by the Inam Commissioner that the change of tenure would involve it. They probably want the tenure changed because jamabandi officers at times assess cowle lands fully and pending the production of the cowles; and the cowledars will avoid all trouble of this sort if an inam title-deed is issued.

Reference on the above, dated 16th May 1889.

The Collector of Chingleput is requested to return the letter forwarded to him with Board's Reference on General Register No. 703 of 1889.

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

To the Collector of the Chingleput District

Read—letter from the Collector of the Chingleput District, dated 20th May 1889, Reference on No. 459-G.&B.-L.R.

ABSTRACT.—Returning the Inam Commissioner's letter received with Board's Reference on General Register No. 703 of 1889.

Read—the following letter from G. F. T. POWER, Esq., Acting Collector of the Chingleput District, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Saidápet, 20th April 1890, Reference on No. 616-G.&B.-L.R.:—

Referring to the Proceedings of the Board, No. 2177, Routine, dated 3rd July 1889, I have the honor to report as follows.

2. The discrepancy pointed out by the Board between the statement sent with this office letter, No. 1091-Ver., dated the 24th July 1888, and that sent in 1845 is specified in the margin. I am unable to explain it satisfactorily as the Tahsildar has not succeeded in obtaining proper records or information from the present owners of the land and there are no records available in this office which will throw light on the question. I have, however,

Case No.	Extent as per statement of 1845.	Extent as per statement now submitted.
4	7 14 0	7 8 0
6	7 9 4	8 3 6
7	Not shown.	1 10 0

gathered some facts from the paimash register and the statement of some of the owners of cowle lands which are given below.

3. Taking first cases Nos. 4 and 7, the survey numbers referring to them are 188 (A and B) and 189A which comprise the paimash numbers specified in the margin. All these numbers are entered in the paimash account of fasli 1236 as "bill thottam" or cowle lands, and it is these numbers that have been now proposed in the statement to be treated as inam. The owner of 1-10-0 cawnies of land comprised in case No. 7 alleges that he originally purchased it from the holder of lands included in case No. 4. This may be true as paimash No. 582 occurs in both cases. But it is not known why the extent was omitted from the statement of 1845 and why the extents in the two statements as regards case No. 4 differ. It, however, does not appear that the extent in case No. 7 refers to the ¾ cawni alluded to in letter No. 1 of 1845.

Case No.	Survey Nos.	Paimash Nos.	Extent.
4	188-A.	578	1 6 12
	188-B.	577	1 10 0
		579	1 4 0
		580	2 5 2
		582	0 7 14
		583	0 6 4
			7 8 0
7	189-A.	581	1 5 12
		582	0 4 4
			1 10 0
			9 2 0

4. As regards case No. 6, there is a difference of cawni 0-10-2, assessed at Rs. 1-10-3, between the inam statement and that of 1845. The paimash numbers comprised in the survey fields referring to this case are noted in the margin, from which it would appear that the excess area exactly coincides with that of paimash No. 393 included in survey No. 158. Against this number an entry is made in the paimash that it was "assessed in fasli 1233," which shows that it was either poramboke or unassessed waste before. Thus it is apparent that the extent did not form part of the cowle lands originally, but that it was subsequently added to them and full assessment charged, probably because the holder of the cowle lands encroached on it or was found to be somehow in possession of it.

Survey No.	Paimash Nos.	Extent.
158	392	4 3 8
	393	0 10 2
		4 13 10
189	390	1 11 4
	391	1 10 8
		3 5 12
		8 3 6

Resolution—dated 12th June 1890, No. 327.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. In the papers read above the Collector of Chingleput recommends that certain lands, for which permanent cowles have been granted by former Collectors and which have been confirmed by Government, may be brought under the inam settlement, as the present practice of charging full settlement assessment at the jamabandi of each year and then remitting the portion in excess of the fixed assessment is inconvenient and useless. The Inam Commissioner undertakes to issue inam title-deeds in two out of the nine cases brought to notice as they are religious and charitable service, and requests the orders of the Board in the remaining seven cases as he considers that the procedure recommended in regard to them is novel.

3. The case of these lands seems to be analogous to that of the Valavadai grants in North Arcot for which the issue of inam title-deeds on the existing quit-rent was sanctioned in G.O., dated 18th April 1882, No. 396 (*vide* also paragraph 3 of the Inam Commissioner's letter printed in Board' Proceedings, dated 28th April 1865, No. 2143), and the Board therefore recommend that a similar course may be authorized in regard to the cowle lands in question.

4. It is observed that, in some cases, there are slight differences between the extent of the grants as now given by the Collector and that given in the old records for which no satisfactory explanation is forthcoming. But these discrepancies are unimportant and may, the Board thinks, be overlooked.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) A. G. CARDEW,
Ag. Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with two registers (to be returned) and copies of Board's Proceedings,
dated 28th April 1865, No. 2143, and 3rd July 1889, No. 2177.

Copy to the Collector of the Chinglepn District, with copy of
Board's Proceedings, dated 28th April 1865, No. 2143.

" " Inam Commissioner.

ORDER—dated 29th July 1890, No. 597, Revenue.

Land Revenue, No. 39.

The Board's recommendation is sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue, with two enclosures.

Note: Should be sent
Separately for entry in
the Land Register
Separately for entry in
the Inam Register
Not to be entered in any.

Ex'd. A. D. Xavier.

40 Copies.