

(1) Number of pages 10(2) Date of repair 16.6.50

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Revenue

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	176	Press
B.P. No.		Mis
Dated	9. 3	195
		1889

*Original*Not to be folded or roughly handled

9TH MARCH 1889, No. 176, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following G.O., dated 20th Feb. 1889, Mis. No. 1120, Revenue:—

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 4th February 1889, Mis. No. 627: —

W. H. WHITESIDE, Esq.
THE HON. J. GROSE, M.A.

Read—Petition from P. RUNGA CHARRI, Additional Third-class Revenue Inspector of Walajapet taluk.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting to obtain the orders of Government on the question of granting travelling allowance and batta to Revenue Inspectors when travelling on duty.

Endorsement on the above by W. J. H. LE FANU, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, dated 29th October 1888, Reference on Petition No. 3696.

Submitted for the orders of the Board of Revenue in original. So far as Revenue Inspectors are concerned the benefits of "Garstin's scheme" are permanently postponed. The Board are aware how difficult it is for a Revenue Inspector to be honest, and how he can be so on his present pay—with all the expenses of continual travelling to meet—is a mystery to every officer who knows the mofussil. It is needless for me to tell the Board how that mystery is solved.

Resolution—dated 4th February 1889, Mis. No. 627.

Submitted to Government for orders.

2. The position of Revenue Inspectors admittedly requires improvement, and the Board think that an exceptional order might be passed with reference to Revenue Inspectors deputed to go out from the head-quarters at jamabandi, make an inspection, and come back. The petitioner makes out a clear case about this, and the Board know it to be true from their own experience. The Government will be asked to allow travelling allowance on these occasions.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with letter, in original, to be returned.

Copy to the Collector of North Arcot.

Order—dated 20th February 1889, Mis. No. 1120, Revenue.

Referred to the Accountant-General for remarks. Under the Civil Travelling Allowance Code a Revenue Inspector would seem to be entitled to travelling allowance—

- (1) for a journey by rail or steamer within his jurisdiction (section 13, exception 1), and
- (2) when he proceeds under proper authority beyond the circle of his ordinary jurisdiction, for the entire journey including such part of it as is within his circle (section 13, rule 4).

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

READ—also the following letter from T. W. RAWLINS, Esq., Accountant-General to the Government of Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort St. George, 2nd March 1889, No. 627 :—

With reference to your endorsement No. 1120, dated the 20th of February 1889, on Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis. No. 626, dated 4th idem, I have the honor to state that there is no rule either local or in the Civil Travelling Allowance Code which prevents a Revenue Inspector from claiming travelling allowances for journeys made under proper authority beyond the circle of his ordinary jurisdiction; and he is further entitled, as you observe, to the usual allowances for journeys by rail or by steamer, even though made within his jurisdiction.

2. I would further remark that in G.O. No. 188, dated 13th February 1882, Revenue Department, the claim of Revenue Inspectors to travelling allowances for journeys beyond their respective local limits was distinctly recognized and sanctioned.

ORDER—dated 9th March 1889, No. 176, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

Communicated to the Commissioners
of Land Revenue.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue, with one enclosure.
„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. S. J. Pereira.

50 Copies.

NOTE FOR THE SECRETARY.

G.O. 9th March 1889 No. 176

Please see the Honble member's remarks on a copy of the above order, submitted herewith. The question as to what constitutes a Revenue Inspector's jurisdiction ^{for purposes of the T.A. code} does not seem to have ever before come up before Government. From the Accountant-General's letter printed in the G.O., it will be seen that, for journeys beyond the limits of his ordinary jurisdiction, a Revenue Inspector is entitled under the existing rules to travelling allowances, and his ordinary jurisdiction, in the absence of a ruling on this point, should it be submitted, be taken to be the scope over which he presides. Submitted that, until the question actually arises, no decision regarding it need be given.

23/4/1889
68

Revenue 1889. No. 177
Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),
MADRAS.

No. 912

Endowment no: 1120.

Referred to the Accountant-
General for remarks. Under
the Civil Travelling Allowance
Code a Revenue Inspector -
would seem to be entitled to
travelling allowance

(i) for a journey by rail or steam
within his jurisdiction.
(Sec. 13, Exception 1) &

(ii) when he proceeds under
proper authority beyond
the circle of his ordinary juris-
diction, for the entire journey
including such part of it
as is within his circle.
(Sec. 13, Rule 4.)

To

Secretary to
Government,
R.D.

Gov. Secy.
Proceedings, dated 4th Feb. 1889
No. 627. Mis. Enclosures.
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
15 FEB 89
DEPARTMENT

Secretary to Government

Subject.
Submitting for the order of
Gov. Secy. from P. Runga-
Charri. Additional 3rd class
R.D. Inspector of Wallajah
Jy. with B.D. remark that
travelling allowance may
be allowed to Revenue Inspector
deputed to go out of the H.D.
quarters on business during
Jammabandhy.

Fort St. George }
20 February 1889 }

Rev. 1664/89

P. 20.

20/2

Treasury Dept. DEPARTMENT.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,
2 March 1889.

No. 627

From

J. W. Rawlinson J. W.

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Fort Saint George.

SIR,

With reference to your endorsement, No. 1120 dated the 20th of February 1889 as Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, (Land Revenue) No. 627 Miscellaneous, dated 4th idem, I have the honor to state that there is no rule either local, or in the Civil Travelling Allowance Code, which prevents a Revenue Inspector from claiming travelling allowances for journeys made under proper authority, beyond the circle of his ordinary jurisdiction; and he is further entitled, as you observe, to the usual allowances for journeys by rail or by steamer, even though made within his jurisdiction.

2. I would further remark, that in G.O. No. 188, dated 13th February 1882, Revenue Department, the claim of Revenue Inspectors to travelling allowances for journeys beyond their respective local limits, was distinctly recognized and sanctioned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

J. W. Rawlinson

Accountant-General.

ML

13-2-82 No 1120
20-2-89 No 1120

Read again this go. No 1120 of 20-2-89

Revenue (1889) DEPARTMENT.

From No 1664
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
Madras,

To
THE Secretary to Govt.
Revenue Department.


Order of 9th March 1896

Communicated to the Comr.

of Land Revenue

CL
9/3

Printed 9/3 No. 627
Dated 2nd
Received 3rd March 1896



Enc. 1.

List

To The Comr. of Land Revenue

6 - Accountant General

50
3

Subject: That there is no rule
either local or in the Civil Travelling
Allowance Code which prevents
a Revenue Inspector from
claiming travelling allowances
for journeys made under proper
authority beyond the circle of
his ordinary jurisdiction and
that he is further entitled to the
monal allowances for journeys
by rail or by steamer even though
made within his jurisdiction.

with an order

24.9.47

Gobt. of (1889) Madras.

REVENUE.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 9th March 1889, No. 176.

MARCH.

**Travelling Allowance to Revenue
Inspectors.**

Communicating to the Commissioners of Land
Revenue the Accountant-General's opinion on
the question of granting —.

Whether a Rev. Insp. jurisdiction
the pika or the taluk? That will
be the next question.