

(1) Number of pages 28(2) Date of repair 25. 5. 43

(3) Initials of the Referencer \_\_\_\_\_

CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA  
AND INDIAN STATES.

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

*Revenue* DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 457

Press  
~~Mis.~~

Dated 9. 6. 18 84.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

9TH JUNE 1886, No. 457, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following G.O., dated 20th April 1836, Mis. No. 2419, Revenue:—

Read—the following letter from Major J. H. NEWILL, Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Bangalore, 13th March 1886, No. 587-855:—

With reference to the correspondence ending with letter No. 1762-901, dated 26th September 1885, from this office, I am directed to forward a copy of letter, No. 6705-404, dated 23rd February 1886, from the Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore, and of its enclosures, regarding the disputed section of the boundary line between the Mysore State and the Madras Presidency, upon the borders of the Madanapalle taluk.

2. I am at the same time to state that the Mysore Durbar approves of the decision arrived at by their representative in regard to the dispute in question, as reported in the enclosures of the letter from the Secretary to the Dewan above referred to, and I am to request that you will kindly communicate the final views of the Madras Government upon the subject for the information of His Highness the Maharaja's Government.

3. The papers received with your letter, Mis. No. 397, dated 11th June 1885, are herewith returned.

ENCLOSURES.

Letter from R. VIJIYANDRA RAO, Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore, to the Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, dated Bangalore, 23rd February 1886, No. 6705-404.

In continuation of this office letter No. 1005, of 18th September 1885, I am directed to forward herewith copy of letter, No. 1020-363, dated 30th November 1885, together with that of its enclosure, reporting the settlement of the boundary dispute between Mysore and British territory on the borders of the Madanapalle taluk, and to state that the Durbar approves of the decision. I am further desired to request that the final orders of the Madras Government may be kindly communicated for the information of His Highness' Government.

Letter from C. SOOB RAO, Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, to the Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore, dated Kolar, 30th November 1885, No. 1020-363.

With reference to your letter No. 1004, of the 18th September last, and this office reply No. 739-259, of 21st September, I have the honor to forward herewith for orders copy of Mr. Assistant Chelaviengar's letter, No. 40, of the 28th ultimo, with its two enclosures, reporting the result of his conference with Mr. W. S. Wynne, Acting Sub-Collector of Madanapalle, in connection with the disputed section of the boundary, on the borders of Cuddapah, on the 71st mile-stone on the Bangalore road. The Assistant Commissioner is of opinion that some of the boundary marks existing now on the ground are not in keeping with the terms of the settlement of 1871, and that of the three marks shown in his sketch alluded to, the triangular wooden post should be altogether dispensed with, removing the Department of Public Works stone to the north of the high road close to the trijunction stone, and the survey bond, to the south of the said road on the boundary line. These marks cannot be found on the sketch, filed in the correspondence received with your letter under acknowledgment, as it has no reference to the section of the boundary under dispute.

2. For further reference, copy of the Acting Sub-Collector's letter, No. 399, of the 9th instant, to the address of the Assistant Commissioner, together with the plan of the Bangalore road on the frontiers alluded to therein, is herewith submitted.

Letter from N. CHÉLAVIENGAR, General Assistant Commissioner, to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, dated Srinivasapur, 28th October 1885, No. 40.

I have the honor to report that I met Mr. S. H. Wynne, Sub-Collector of Madanapalle, on the morning of the 26th instant, at the disputed point of boundary on the borders of the Madanapalle taluk on the 71st mile-stone on the Bangalore road, and inspected the marks fixed by Mysore and Madras Governments, and on the following morning in company of that officer examined the documents bearing on the subject, and discussed the matter over with him.

The question of boundary in point having been finally and formally disposed of in 1871, it only remained for me to see what the terms of the settlement then come to were, and whether the boundary marks now on the ground are in keeping with them. For this purpose Mr. S. H. Wynne kindly placed in my hands a copy of *Moochilika* then executed by the leading inhabitants of Royalpad and Vampalli, both of which villages meet at the point, as also plan of the section of the road, of which copies are enclosed herein for reference. This moochilika is the document marked L-2, referred to in the printed Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 5th September 1872, as the representatives of both the Governments had confirmed it by the affixture of their signatures thereto, and as there was nothing among the papers sent to me by which I can controvert it, I readily accepted the same. It will be seen from these documents that the contending parties starting from the trijunction stone where Royalpad and Moodimadgo villages of Mysore and Vampalli of British territory meet, run a line to the west to Subbarreddy's well, from whence it is taken on in a south-westerly direction to the top of the adjoining hills as the boundary of the two villages, thus making it clear that there is no ground belonging to this Province to the south of the road just opposite to the trijunction mark. I am therefore of opinion that the marks now fixed there should be removed and disposed of as follows:—

- (1) The triangular wooden post being in disrepair, it may altogether be dispensed with.
- (2) Department of Public Works stone pillar, having inscription both in vernacular and English, meets fully the required purpose, and it may therefore be fixed north of the road close to the trijunction stone in juxtaposition.
- (3) The survey boundary mark, which is now to the south of the Department of Public Works stone pillar, should be erased from there, and placed to the south of the road on or within the line of boundary marked in the plan as may be found proper upon survey.

The enclosures of your letter No. 41, of 21st September 1885, as also the Canarese and English correspondence received through the Revenue Sheristadar with their lists, are herewith retransmitted:

No. 590-C.

Transferred to the Revenue Department in continuation of endorsement No. 1706, dated 30th September 1885.

1st April 1886.

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,  
Chief Secretary.

Order—dated 20th April 1886, Mis. No. 2419, Revenue.

Communicated to the Board of Revenue, who will call upon the Collector of Cuddapah for a report and submit the same to Government at an early date.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,  
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with 39 enclosures to be returned.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th May 1886, No. 1117:—

Read—the following letter from C. H. MOUNSEY, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector, in charge of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddapah, 3rd May 1886, No. 369:—

I have the honor, with reference to Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 9509, dated 21st October last, to submit, for the information of the Board, copy of letter, No. 694,

dated 29th October 1885, from the Sub-Collector of Cuddapah, on the subject of the boundary dispute between the British and the Mysore territory.

2. I regret the long delay in the submission of this letter. It is due to the fact that the letter was mixed up with papers disposed of and found only yesterday.

ENCLOSURE.

Letter from S. H. WYNNE, Esq., Sub-Collector of Cuddapah, to the Collector of Cuddapah, dated Madanapalle, 29th October 1885, No. 694.

In continuation of my letters, No. 520, dated 19th August 1885, and No. 589, dated 7th September 1885, I have the honor to inform you that I met the Assistant Commissioner of Kolar at the disputed boundary on the 26th instant.

2. I gave him a copy of document L-2 (*vide* previous correspondence), the agreement drawn up and signed by the representatives of Madras and Mysore Governments when the boundary was fixed, with which he had not been furnished, and went over the ground with him where the points mentioned are easily recognized.

3. He was satisfied that the boundary stone recently put up by the Mysore Government was not correctly placed, and we agreed that two stones should be fixed—one to the north of the road on a line with the "trijunction stone," and the other to the south of the road in a line with the "offset stone." This concedes all we claimed. He also gave me a draft of his report for perusal.

4. I believe that, if it had not been for a mass of utterly irrelevant evidence about marks, and pillars, and posts, which had been collected round this matter, which only obscured the issue, and which must have tended to annoy the Mysore authorities, there never would have been any trouble.

Reference on the above, dated 8th May 1886.

The Collector is requested to state by return of post whether his letter, dated 3rd May, No. 369, may be accepted as replying in full to G.O., dated 20th April 1886, No. 2419, communicated in Board's Proceedings, dated 5th May 1886, Mis. No. 3242.

(Signed) C. F. MACCARTIE,  
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Cuddapah.

Read—the following letter from C. H. MOUNSEY, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector, in charge of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddapah, 10th May 1886, No. 406:—

In reference to reference on General Register No. 2180 of 1886, containing order on my letter of the 3rd May 1886, No. 369, asking if that letter may be accepted as replying in full to G.O., of 20th April 1886, No. 2419, I have the honor to state that the letter in question may be accepted as replying in full to the Government Order in question, communicated in Board's Proceedings, dated 5th May 1886, Mis. No. 3242.

2. The enclosures (sent in a separate parcel) received with the last quoted Board's Proceedings are herewith returned as requested.

Resolution—dated 18th May 1886, No. 1117.

The papers will be submitted to Government with reference to G.O., dated 20th April 1886, No. 2419, Revenue.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,  
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,  
with 41 enclosures in original.

Copy to the Collector of Cuddapah.

4 9TH JUNE 1886, No. 457, REVENUE.

ORDER—dated 9th June 1886, No. 457, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The Government are glad to learn that the officers deputed to investigate the boundary disputes between the ryots of the British village of Vempalli and those of the neighbouring Mysore village of Relapad have come to a unanimous decision as to the line of boundary. This decision will accordingly be accepted by this Government, and an intimation to that effect will be made to the Resident in Mysore through the Political Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,  
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with 38 enclosures returned.  
,, the Political Department.

Exd. W. H. French.

10 Copies.

7.2/2  
29-6-1

Original  
Govt. of (1886) Madras.  
REVENUE.

CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA  
AND INDIAN STATES.

Issued.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 9th June 1886, No. 457.

JUNE.

Boundary between Madanapalle and  
Mysore Territory.

Passing orders on report of the decision ar-  
rived as regards the —.

3  
to Dewan of Mysore.  
Mysore 23<sup>rd</sup> February 1886.

Enclosure 1335

Part of the Enclosure is  
the Resident's office  
G.O. No. 587 dated  
13<sup>th</sup> March 1886 at  
transferred to the  
Secretary to the Govt.  
of Madras.

Subject

Papers regarding the disputed  
section of the boundary line  
between Mysore & Madanapalle  
Tahsil.

Pr 363/86  
G.O. 17/2/86

I have &c  
(sd) R. Vijayandra Row  
Secretary

No.

7.2/95  
29-6-1 ✓

Govt.

3  
to Dewan of Mysore  
above 23<sup>rd</sup> February 1886.  
13

at to the Resident  
in Mysore.

Enclosure No. 11.

Enclosure to Madras Govt.  
No 397 of 11 June '85.

18-5-81  
1117  
R.S.



to state that the  
Secretary to the Govt  
of Mysore.

560  
15/3/86

to state that the  
of the decision. I  
ied to request that  
of the Madras Govern-  
kindly communicated  
on of His Highness'

I have &c  
(Sd) R. Vijayandra Row  
Secretary

No.

Boundary

Passing or  
rived as regar

7.2/9.5  
29-6-1 ✓

Gobt.

Boundary

Passing or  
rived as rega

34  
e Dewan of Mysore.  
alore 23<sup>rd</sup> February 1886.  
13

at to the Resident  
in Mysore-

Enclosure No. 11

2 Rough sketch showing the  
line of boundary between  
the Channarayana Taluk  
& Mysore territories.

Part of the Enclosure to  
the Resident's office  
letter No. 587 dated  
13<sup>th</sup> March 1886 and  
referred to the Chief  
Secretary to the Govt  
of Mysore.

560  
15/2/86

ination of this Office  
the September 1885, I am  
nd herewith copy of  
d 30<sup>th</sup> November 1885,  
t of its enclosure,  
lement of the boundary  
Mysore and British  
borders of the Madama  
to state that the  
s of the decision. I  
ied to request that  
f the Madras Govern-  
kindly communicated  
on of His Highness'

I have &c  
(52) R. Vijayandra Row  
Secretary

No.

7.2/95  
29-6-1 ✓

N<sup>o</sup> 6705  
404

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.  
Bangalore 23<sup>rd</sup> February 1886.

To,

The Assistant to the Resident  
in Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of this Office letter N<sup>o</sup> 1005 of 18<sup>th</sup> September 1885, I am directed to forward herewith copy of letter N<sup>o</sup>  $\frac{1020}{363}$  dated 30<sup>th</sup> November 1885, together with that of its enclosure, reporting the settlement of the boundary dispute between Mysore and British Territory on the borders of the Madanapally Taluk, and to state that the Durbar approves of the decision. I am further desired to request that the final orders of the Madras Government may be kindly communicated for the information of His Highness' Government.

I have &c

(sd) R. Vijayandra Row  
Secretary

N<sup>o</sup>

26<sup>th</sup> Instant, at the disputed point of Boundary on the borders of the Madanapalli Taluk on the 71<sup>st</sup> Mile stone on the Bangalore road, and inspected the marks fixed by Mysore and Madras Governments, and on the following morning in company of that Officer examined the documents bearing on the subject, and discussed the matter over with him.

The question of boundary in point having been finally and formally disposed of in 1871, it only remained for me to see what the terms of the settlement then come to were, and whether the boundary marks now on the ground are in keeping with them. For this purpose Mr S. H. Wynne kindly placed in my hands a copy of Moochilika then executed by the leading inhabitants of Royalpad and Tampalli, both of which villages meet at the point, as also plan of the section of the road, of which copies are enclosed herein for reference. This Moochilika is the document marked L2 referred to in the printed proceedings of the Madras Government dated 5<sup>th</sup> September 1872, as the representatives of both the Governments.

17. 58  
Governments had confirmed it by the affixture of their signatures thereto, and as there was nothing among the papers sent to me by which I can controvert it, I readily accepted the same. It will be seen from these documents that the contending parties stating from the trijunction stone where Royalpad and Moodimadgoos villages of Mysore and Tampally of British Territory meet, run a line to the west to Subbareddy's well, from whence it is taken on in a South Westerly direction to the top of the adjoining hills as the boundary of the two villages, thus making it clear that there is no ground belonging to this Province to the South of the road just opposite to the trijunction mark. I am therefore of opinion that the marks now fixed there should be removed and disposed of as follows:-

1. The triangular wooden post being in disrepair, it may altogether be dispensed with

2. S.P.W. stone pillar, having inscription both in vernacular and English meets fully the required purpose, and it may therefore

therefore be fixed North of the road close to the trijunction stone in juxtaposition.

3. The Survey boundary mark, which is now to the South of the D. P. W. stone pillar, should be erased from there, and placed to the South of the road on or within the line of boundary marked in the plan as may be found proper upon Survey.

The enclosures of your letter N: 41 of 21<sup>st</sup> September 1885, as also the Canarese and English correspondence received through the Revenue Sheristadar with their lists, are herewith retransmitted.

I have &c  
(sd) N. Chelavienagar  
Jent. Asst. Commissioner

Copies

H. Cleere  
Registrar

M. M.

Return m/c  
W. Wynne's report  
8.5.86  
Sent Rev. 17/2/86  
Rev. 27/4/86  
Poll. 363/86  
Rev. 4198/86

N: 587 Em 3  
855

1918

Office of the Resident in Mysore  
Bangalore 13<sup>th</sup> March 1886

From.

Major J. H. Newill,  
Asst. to the Resident in Mysore

To,

The Chief Secretary to the  
Government of Madras.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with letter N: 1762/901 dated 26<sup>th</sup> September 1885, from this office, I am directed to forward a copy of letter N: 6705/404 dated 23<sup>rd</sup> February 1886 from the Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore, and of its enclosures, regarding the disputed section of the boundary line between the Mysore State and the Madras Presidency, upon the borders of the Madampalli taluk.

2.

I am at the same time to state

state that the Mysore Durbar approves of the decision arrived at by their representative in regard to the dispute in question, as reported in the enclosures of the letter from the Secretary to the Dewan above referred to, and I am to request that you will kindly communicate the final views of the Madras Government upon the subject for the information of His Highness the Maharaja's Government.

3. The papers received with your letter N: 397 Miscellaneous, dated 11<sup>th</sup> June 1885, are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,  
Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

J. D. Sewell

Asstt. to the Resident.

per packet post  
bR

Discontinued

1885

Discontinued  
1885

1885

Discontinued  
1885

Discontinued

Discontinued  
1885

Discontinued

Discontinued  
1885

Revenue Dept.

CURRENT NO. 363

Political DEPARTMENT.

N<sup>o</sup> 2744.

From Asst Resident  
Mysore.

Ind. Ch. 4198/86

No. 587  
855

Dated 13 March/86

Received 26 April 39  
Enclosure 39

SUBJECT.

Regarding the disputed  
section of the boundary  
line between Mysore  
& Madras presidency on  
the borders of the Madras Presidency

Transferred

Red 14/4

BOARD OF REVENUE, 18TH MAY 1886, No. 1117.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

READ—the following letter from C. H. MOUNSEY, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector, in charge of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddapah, 3rd May 1886, No. 369:—

I have the honor, with reference to Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 9509, dated 21st October last, to submit, for the information of the Board, copy of letter, No. 694, dated 29th October 1885, from the Sub-Collector of Cuddapah on the subject of the boundary dispute between the British and the Mysore territory.

2. I regret the long delay in the submission of this letter. It is due to the fact that the letter was mixed up with papers disposed of and found only yesterday.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From S. H. WYNNE, Esq., Sub-Collector of Cuddapah, to the Collector of Cuddapah, dated Madanapalle, 29th October 1885, No. 694.

In continuation of my letters, No. 520, dated 19th August 1885, and No. 589, dated 7th September 1885, I have the honor to inform you that I met the Assistant Commissioner of Kolar at the disputed boundary on the 26th instant.

2. I gave him a copy of document L 2 (*vide* previous correspondence), the agreement drawn up and signed by the representatives of Madras and Mysore Governments when the boundary was fixed, with which he had not been furnished, and went over the ground with him where the points mentioned are easily recognized.

3. He was satisfied that the boundary stone recently put up by the Mysore Government was not correctly placed, and we agreed that two stones should be fixed—one to the north of the road on a line with the "trijunction stone," and the other to the south of the road in a line with the "offset stone." This concedes all we claimed. He also gave me a draft of his report for perusal.

4. I believe that, if it had not been for a mass of utterly irrelevant evidence about marks and pillars, and posts, which had been collected round this matter, which only obscured the issue, and which must have tended to annoy the Mysore authorities, there never would have been any trouble.

Reference on the above, dated 8th May 1886.

The Collector is requested to state by return of post whether his letter, dated 3rd May, No. 369, may be accepted as replying in full to G.O., dated 20th April 1886, No. 2419, communicated in Board's Proceedings, dated 5th May 1886, Mis. No. 3242.

(Signed) C. F. MacCARTIE,  
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Cuddapah.

READ—the following letter from C. H. MOUNSEY, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector, in charge of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddapah, 10th May 1886, No. 406:—

In reference to reference on General Register No. 2180 of 1886 containing order on my letter of the 3rd May 1886, No. 369, asking if that letter may be accepted as replying in full to G.O. of 20th April 1886, No. 2419, I have the honor to state

397 - 11-6-85 Mis.  
1/2 3/2 - 30-7-85 Mis.  
1/2 6/5-10-1-8-85 Mis.  
1/2 5/20-5-2-85 Mis.  
1/2 6/25-2-7-85



15902 of 10 April 86

Transferred to the  
Revenue Department  
in continuation of  
Endorsement No. 1706  
dated 30 September 1885.

Transferred  
Chief Secretary.

Order No. 2419

Communicated to the Board of  
Revenue who will call upon the  
Collector of Cuddapah for a  
report and submit the same  
to Govt. at an early date.

Has 20/4  
20/4

20-4-86

To the Board of Revenue  
with 29 Jan to the 20th

To the Revenue Dept.

25-6-86  
29-6-86

that the letter in question may be accepted as replying in full to the Government Order in question, communicated in Board's Proceedings, dated 5th May 1886, Mis. No. 3242.

2. The enclosures (sent in a separate parcel) received with the last quoted Board's Proceedings are herewith returned as requested.

RESOLUTION—dated 18th May 1886, No. 1117.

The papers will be submitted to Government with reference to G.O., dated 20th April 1886, No. 2419, Revenue.

(True Copies and Extract.)

*Minnick*  
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,  
with 41 enclosures in original. ✓  
Copy to the Collector of Cuddapah.

Mem 90 20 - 4 - 86 No 2419.


*Read again*

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1886.

Board of Revenue,  
MADRAS.

*No 1198*



Printed May 28th.

*28 May.*

*41 Encls. sent  
by post.*

Proceedings, 18th May 1886, No. 1117.

Submitting to Government letters from the  
Collector of Uddapah regarding the boundary  
dispute between Madanapalle taluk and the  
Mysore territory.

*line*

*108*

Read again this g.o. of 20<sup>th</sup> April

1886 No 2419

Read also Progs of the No. of No.  
of 18<sup>th</sup> May 1886 No 1117.

Order No 457 of 9-6-86.

The Govt are glad to  
learn that the officers deputed  
to investigate the boundary  
disputes between the ryots of the  
British village of Vinipalle  
and the neighbouring Mysore village  
of Delapad have come to an  
unanimous decision as to the  
line of boundary. <sup>This decision</sup> ~~and~~ <sup>it will</sup>  
accordingly be accepted <sup>by this Govt</sup> and an  
intimation to that effect will be  
~~communicated~~ <sup>made</sup> to the deputation in  
Mysore through the Political  
Dept.

7/16<sup>th</sup> Board of Revenue  
with 38 Encls. and  
5. Political Dept.  
60  
4

H. C. S. 4/16  
4/16

26-6-86  
27-6-86

Boundary dispute between  
Madras & Mysore

The Hon. Mr. J. B. ...

... of ...

... of ...

... of ...

C. 56-86  
R. 9-6-86