

Rec^d 9. VIII. 88
Led 14. 8. 88.

3
No. 2508 W. 4/6 - August 1891

Communicated to
Communicated to
the Supdy. Engineer to
the Supdy. Engineer to
agree with reference
to his letter no. 850 of 29-
May 1888.

10/8/88

S. & C. C. C.
S. & C. C. C.

sent 17/8

Page 8. not read

4th 18 17. VIII
Dec 11/17

888

From
Suptg. Engrs. V. Circle.

ers removed from
Government for

Dated 29th }
Received 30th } May 1888.

81593 24/0/5

SUBJECT.

Surgeon A. H. Jacob. Forwarding
correspondence regarding home-rent
due by = and suggesting that
the Military Dept be asked to pass
an order preventing officers being
granted last pay certificate prior to it
being ascertained whether any rent is
due by them -

DISPOSAL.

No. 260 D Dated 4th June 1888.

Transferred to the
Military Department for consideration

(Liza) J. O. H.

Off 9:6 Deep d: 5^m/₈₈

Rec^d 9. VIII. 88
Rec^d 14. 8. 88

3
No. 2508 W. def 6 - August 1881

Communicated to
Communicated to
the Supdy. Engineer of
the Supdy. Engineer of
Epistle with reference
to his letter no. 800 of 29-
May 1886.

Lee
10/8/88

S. 57 Circle
S. 57 Circle.

sent 17/8

P. W. D.

No.

MEMORANDUM of Papers removed from
the Records of the Madras Government for
reference.

2573 11/11/11 81599 244/70

2623 Hmc copy, incl. 7432727/1

2542 *S. m. n.* 86 97 131/100%

~~251711 for 600 8700-1-9/18~~

~~2653-844-5000~~ 8610 72/2

2680W for lens 8826-4-9/8

257312 dot 6009039-10-9-10

2700 = 18 1/2 lbs. for 3.0000 12

Ch 133 MD 8/9/97

~~2707 W. 4th Ave 91771 12/7~~

2590 IP file. # 9420
 2590 IP file. # 9420

2657 W. Fairview - 9480. 21-9

2576 of Jira 9505 24/9/11

2666 S. Haverford St. 95132

96874 Jost 11/95 32 1/2

[Handwritten signature]

~~12-15-61~~

220-11-10-1-9481-9

77-12511

75 W. Ave. S.F. Cal. 95812

No. of G. O.	For what purpose.	When taken.	Name of the party by whom taken.
2623	W. me. 21/2/10/88	959	8/9/88
2707	W. me. 21/2/10/88	2337	8/9/88
2371	W. me. 21/2/10/88	98	8/10/88
2448	W. me. 21/2/10/88	20	8/10/88
2544	W. me. 21/2/10/88	2	8-10-88
2587	W. me. 21/2/10/88	2	8-10-88
2638	W. me. 21/2/10/88	2	8-10-88
2608	W. me. 21/2/10/88	2	8-10-88
2639	W. me. 21/2/10/88	2	8-10-88
2636	W. me. 21/2/10/88	9	8/10/88
2677	W. me. 21/2/10/88	5	8/10/88
2625	W. me. 21/2/10/88	10	8/10/88
2621	W. me. 21/2/10/88	10	8/10/88
2594	W. me. 21/2/10/88	10	8/10/88
2582	W. me. 21/2/10/88	10	8/10/88
2579	W. me. 21/2/10/88	10	8/10/88
2592	W. me. 21/2/10/88	10	8/10/88
2681	W. me. 21/2/10/88	10	8/10/88
2576	W. me. 21/2/10/88	10	8/10/88
2705	W. me. 21/2/10/88	10	8/10/88
2578	W. me. 21/2/10/88	10	8/10/88
2623	W. me. 21/2/10/88	10	8/10/88
2427	W. me. 21/2/10/88	10	8/10/88
2553	W. me. 21/2/10/88	10	8/10/88

PLR / N° 8024

2nd

Mis W. D. Sheet for August 1886

P. W. D.

No.

MEMORANDUM of Papers removed from
the Records of the Madras Government for
reference.

2638 w original file for ch 1159
2571 w files for ch 1411 12/1/90
2581 w 2 files for ch 1585 12/1/90
2691 w 1 file for Sawney
thru 12/10/90
2703 w original files for
ch 9033 12/25/90
2554 w original file for ch 1071 12/1/90
2655 w files for ch 687 12/1/90
or ch 695 12/26/90
2626 w = original
for ch 1300 9/12/91
2699 w original for ch 8707 12/30/91
76

6. Nothing in this order may be taken as tending to permit a hasty and imperfect inspection of works and disposal of claims. On the contrary, as the circumstances attendant on the execution of works of this nature, render it necessary to relax in a great degree the ordinary rules and procedure in connection with the audit of expenditure, Executive Officers are hereby directed to exercise every possible precaution to protect the State from being supplied with inferior work or materials, and to dispose of claims in such a manner as will render it impossible for such claims to be preferred a second time with success.

7. All disbursing officers must recognize fully the importance of punctually submitting clear accounts of their expenditure to the Examiner with whom they are in account. Nothing is more calculated to defeat the object aimed at by these orders than the framing of imperfect accounts, or delay in the submission of accounts.

8. In cases where the Local Government or Administration may consider it desirable to relieve Executive Officers of the duty of compiling accounts, they will be required to submit periodically to the Examiner, duly certified copies of their cash books, supported, as far as possible, by vouchers. Where this course is adopted, the cash book entries must be so clearly and fully made, as to enable the Examiner to classify the expenditure therefrom without any further reference to the disbursing officer. In this view, particular care must be taken to specify clearly and fully, the individual work and the particular service, on account of which each payment is made; and the sources from which money is received.

9. Where works are executed by officers of the Royal Engineers, or by other Military Officers, under the orders of the General Commanding in the Field, the procedure indicated above in regard to accounts will be followed. In such cases the accounts must be passed before submission to the Examiner, by the Commanding Royal Engineer.

10. It will be the duty of Examiners of Accounts, while relaxing the audit rules and procedure to suit the circumstances under which this expenditure is incurred, to see that all outlay is clearly entered in the accounts of disbursing officers, and supported as far as possible, by vouchers.

11. They will also be held responsible that expenditure incurred by officers in account with them on works connected with an Army in the Field, is transferred to the Military Accounts Department at the earliest possible date.

As a rule, expenditure should be so transferred in the accounts of the month in which it was incurred, and the approximate cost of services rendered (e.g., the transport of troops and goods by railway, or the transmission of bearing telegrams) must, where the amounts involved are of importance, and there is any delay in arriving at their actual value, be transferred in the same manner, leaving the difference, if any, between actual and approximate figures, to be adjusted as soon as possible after the former are known.

12. If it should happen that expenditure on a work or project is partly debitable to the Military Department as War expenditure, and partly to the Public Works Department, the entire expenditure will be debited to the Military Department, in the first instance, monthly as incurred, and an adjustment made between the two Departments when the work is completed.

13. In cases where the transactions of the Public Works or Telegraph Departments with the Military Department are heavy, it may be necessary to locate a branch Public Works audit office in charge of a junior officer or senior accountant at the base of operations, to work in conjunction with the Military Controller on the spot. In this branch office detailed accounts will be compiled from the cash books of disbursing officers, and a monthly clearance obtained of all War expenditure audited and passed, by transfer to the Military Controller in the usual manner.

14. Examiners will be required to send by telegraph, to the Controller of Military Accounts concerned, regularly on or before the 25th of each month, an approximate statement of the sum likely to be debited to the Military Department in the Central Adjusting Account of the previous month.

15. Examiners will at the same time, advise the Controller of Military Accounts of any considerable liabilities remaining undischarged.

ORDER.—Ordered, that a copy of this Resolution be forwarded to all

The Governments of Madras, Bombay, Bengal, the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and the Punjab.	Local Governments
The Chief Commissioners, Central Provinces, Burma, Assam, and Coorg.	
The Resident at Hyderabad.	and Administrations
The Resident at Mysore (through Foreign Department).	
The Agents to the Governor General for Central India, Rajputana, and Biluchistan.	and officers noted
The Superintendent of Port Blair and Chief Commissioner, Andaman and Nicobar Islands.	
The Director General of Telegraphs.	in the margin for
The Inspector General of Military Works.	
The Superintendent of Works, Simla Imperial Circle.	information and guid-
The Accountant General, Public Works Department.	
The Director General of Railways.	ance.
The Director, Persian Telegraph.	
The Director, Persian Gulf Telegraph.	
The Consulting Engineers to the Government of India, for Railways, Calcutta, Lucknow, and Central Division.	
The Comptroller General.	
The Accountant General, Bengal.	

Ordered also that a copy be forwarded to the Finance and Military Departments for information.

R. E. S. S. S.

Colonel, R.E.,

Secy. to the Govt. of India.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras.

80 S.C.

71
P.W. Cho 7797

No 2509 Wd/16 August 1888

Communicated
to officers of the P.W.
Deptt for information
& guidance

9/8/88

Recd 7-8-88

No 2509 Wd/16 August 1888

11384

Ch. Co for S
Cons Eng for Rys
Supp Engro
C.A. to Govt
App. for works
App. for works

5/5/88
11/8/88

P.W. (No 802)
P.W. to Assistant
Proceeding of the
Madras Govt
Read the following

1st AUGUST 1888, Nos. 365, 366, MARINE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

From E. J. SINKINSON, Esq., Officiating Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, the 19th July 1888, No. 3931.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 205, dated the 21st May 1888, and to express the satisfaction with which the Government of India learns from the proceedings transmitted with it that His Excellency the Governor in Council has adopted and carried into effect the suggestion that the management of the port of Madras should be vested in the Harbour Trust, the Port Funds being collected and dealt with by that body as Conservators of the port under the Indian Ports Act. His Excellency in Council has no doubt that, administratively and financially, the transfer of the control of the port from the Port Officer to the Trust will be successful.

2. In connection with this transfer, the Government of Madras proposes (1) that the Assistant Port Officer should be detached from the General Administrative Branch of the Port Officer's department, and become the Executive Officer of the port of Madras under the Harbour Trust Board with the title of "Deputy Conservator;" (2) that, as the Port Officer of Madras will now not be directly concerned with the management of the port, his present designation should be changed, and that of "Presidency Port Officer" or "Superintendent of Marine" substituted for it; (3) that the appointment of Examiner of Port Fund Accounts may be abolished; (4) that the pay of the Manager of the Port Officer's office be reduced from Rs. 350 to 150 a month; and (5) that the Agent for Government Consignments should be appointed Personal Assistant to the Presidency Port Officer with an allowance of Rs. 250 a month from Port Funds in addition to his pay of Rs. 350 a month.

3. I am to state that the Governor-General in Council approves the first four proposals, and they may be carried into effect at once. The designation of Presidency Port Officer is more suitable than that of Superintendent of Marine, and should be adopted.

4. As regards the 5th proposal, I am to state that the Governor-General in Council considers that the allowance proposed to the Agent for Government Consignments for the light duties which he will have to discharge as Personal Assistant to the Presidency Port Officer and in occasional supervision of the office during the Port Officer's absence, seems to be disproportionately high. It is understood that this officer's duties as Agent for Government Consignments are not heavy, and it occurs to the Government of India that, for the additional duties to be assigned to him, an allowance of Rs. 150 would be adequate remuneration. Unless the Government of Madras sees sufficient reason for thinking that a larger sum is required, the Governor-General in Council will recommend the sum now suggested to the Secretary of State for India.

Ordered that copy, with copy of the letter (and of its enclosures) to which this is a reply, be forwarded to the Military (Marine) Department and to the Accountant-General, Madras.

1st AUGUST 1888, Nos. 365, 366, MARINE.

From Captain H. A. STREET, Her Majesty's Indian Marine, Port Officer, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 20th July 1888, No. G. 354.

Adverting to G.O., Marine, dated 27th April 1888, No. 163, I have the honor to acquaint you, for the information of Government, that, in accordance with the instructions contained in paragraph 2 thereof, Mr. W. H. Bartlett, Examiner of Port Fund Accounts, will retire from the service on the afternoon of the 27th July 1888. I beg, therefore, to enquire whether the proposal to constitute the Agent for Government Consignments Personal Assistant to the Port Officer, Madras, and to give him an additional allowance of Rs. 250 per mensem, making his pay in all Rs. 600—which proposal has been approved by the Local Government—may be brought into effect from the 28th July 1888, in anticipation of the sanction of the India Government.

ORDER—dated 1st August 1888, No. 365, Marine.

The letter from the Government of India read above will be communicated to the Port Officer, Madras, for information and guidance. Mr. Bartlett, Examiner of Port Fund Accounts, has already retired, and the reduction in the office manager's pay should be carried out at once.

2. In accordance with the instructions of the Government of India, the Port Officer, Madras, will in future be designated Presidency Port Officer.

3. The Governor in Council accepts provisionally the suggestion of the Government of India that the consolidated pay of the Agent for Government Consignments and Personal Assistant to the Presidency Port Officer should not exceed Rs. 500. As proposed by Captain Street, this arrangement may be brought into force with effect from the 28th July 1888. It appears to Government, however, that, considering the relative duties of the two appointments, seven-tenths of the consolidated pay will be too high a proportion to assign to the Agent for Government Consignments, and that it would be fairer to divide the consolidated pay equally between Port Funds (for the Personal Assistant) and Provincial (for the Agent for Government Consignments). Captain Street is requested to report on this point.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To the Presidency Port Officer,
„ the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board.
„ the Accountant-General.

Copy to the Public Works Department.

ENDORSEMENT—dated 1st August 1888, No. 366, Marine.

Copy to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce.

J. F. PRICE,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

Govt. of 1888 Madras.
MARINE.

Order thereon No 2510 1888
16 Aug 1888

Recorded

sof J. O. N.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., &c., 1st August 1888,
Nos. 365, 366.

No 2510 1888 16 August 1888

AUGUST.

Port Officer, Madras.

Passing orders on letter from the Government of India sanctioning, with certain modification, proposals for re-organisation of the —

Ch. & for S
C. & for Lya
S. & V. Arch
Ex. S. W. A. } *for information*

1st AUGUST 1888, Nos. 365, 366, MARINE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From E. J. SINKINSON, Esq., Officiating Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, the 19th July 1888, No. 3931.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 205, dated the 21st May 1888, and to express the satisfaction with which the Government of India learns from the proceedings transmitted with it that His Excellency the Governor in Council has adopted and carried into effect the suggestion that the management of the port of Madras should be vested in the Harbour Trust, the Port Funds being collected and dealt with by that body as Conservators of the port under the Indian Ports Act. His Excellency in Council has no doubt that administratively and financially, the transfer of the control of the port from the Port Officer to the Trust will be successful.

2. In connection with this transfer, the Government of Madras proposes (1) that the Assistant Port Officer should be detached from the General Administrative Branch of the Port Officer's department, and become the Executive Officer of the port of Madras under the Harbour Trust Board with the title of "Deputy Conservator;" (2) that, as the Port Officer of Madras will now not be directly concerned with the management of the port, his present designation should be changed, and that of "Presidency Port Officer" or "Superintendent of Marine" substituted for it; (3) that the appointment of Examiner of Port Fund Accounts may be abolished; (4) that the pay of the Manager of the Port Officer's office be reduced from Rs. 350 to 150 a month; and (5) that the Agent for Government Consignments should be appointed Personal Assistant to the Presidency Port Officer with an allowance of Rs. 250 a month from Port Funds in addition to his pay of Rs. 350 a month.

3. I am to state that the Governor-General in Council approves the first four proposals, and they may be carried into effect at once. The designation of Presidency Port Officer is more suitable than that of Superintendent of Marine, and should be adopted.

4. As regards the 5th proposal, I am to state that the Governor-General in Council considers that the allowance proposed to the Agent for Government Consignments for the light duties which he will have to discharge as Personal Assistant to the Presidency Port Officer and in occasional supervision of the office during the Port Officer's absence, seems to be disproportionately high. It is understood that this officer's duties as Agent for Government Consignments are not heavy, and it occurs to the Government of India that, for the additional duties to be assigned to him, an allowance of Rs. 150 would be adequate remuneration. Unless the Government of Madras sees sufficient reason for thinking that a larger sum is required, the Governor-General in Council will recommend the sum now suggested to the Secretary of State for India.

Ordered that copy, with copy of the letter (and of its enclosures) to which this is a reply, be forwarded to the Military (Marine) Department and to the Accountant-General, Madras.

1st August 1888, Nos. 365, 366, MARINE.

From Captain H. A. STREET, Her Majesty's Indian Marine, Port Officer, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 20th July 1888, No. G. 354.

Adverting to G.O., Marine, dated 27th April 1888, No. 163, I have the honor to acquaint you, for the information of Government, that, in accordance with the instructions contained in paragraph 2 thereof, Mr. W. H. Bartlett, Examiner of Port Fund Accounts, will retire from the service on the afternoon of the 27th July 1888. I beg, therefore, to enquire whether the proposal to constitute the Agent for Government Consignments Personal Assistant to the Port Officer, Madras, and to give him an additional allowance of Rs. 250 per mensem, making his pay in all Rs. 600—which proposal has been approved by the Local Government—may be brought into effect from the 28th July 1888, in anticipation of the sanction of the India Government.

ORDER—dated 1st August 1888, No. 365, Marine.

The letter from the Government of India read above will be communicated to the Port Officer, Madras, for information and guidance. Mr. Bartlett, Examiner of Port Fund Accounts, has already retired, and the reduction in the office manager's pay should be carried out at once.

2. In accordance with the instructions of the Government of India, the Port Officer, Madras, will in future be designated Presidency Port Officer.

3. The Governor in Council accepts provisionally the suggestion of the Government of India that the consolidated pay of the Agent for Government Consignments and Personal Assistant to the Presidency Port Officer should not exceed Rs. 500. As proposed by Captain Street, this arrangement may be brought into force with effect from the 28th July 1888. It appears to Government, however, that, considering the relative duties of the two appointments, seven-tenths of the consolidated pay will be too high a proportion to assign to the Agent for Government Consignments, and that it would be fairer to divide the consolidated pay equally between Port Funds (for the Personal Assistant) and Provincial (for the Agent for Government Consignments). Captain Street is requested to report on this point.

(True Extract.)

W. H. Bartlett
J. F. Price
 Per Ag. Chief Secretary.

To the Presidency Port Officer,
 „ the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board,
 „ the Accountant-General.

Copy to the Public Works Department.

ENDORSEMENT—dated 1st August 1888, No. 366, Marine.

Copy to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce.

J. F. PRICE,
 Ag. Chief Secretary.

77 408 11-7-88 2 files

P.W. (N^o 8024)

Military Works also.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Res^d g. m. 88

N. B. Madhava.

R/15-8-88

Under Secretary to the Govt of India

Documents accompanying
chl

No 2511 Wd/16 Aug 88

Communicated to
The Examiner Public Works
Accounts with reference to
No 2097 Wd/11 July 1888

810 W 12/3/88 put up with
nos 2860 of 93

Dec 10/8/88

The Ex P.W.

Examiner P.W. Accounts.
Chief Engineer

(6) P.W. No 7752

Govt. of (1888) Madras.
MARINE.

13. D. C.

Issued

Recd. 7. 8. 88.

Encl.

G.O., &c., 1st August 1888,
Nos. 365, 366.

No 2510 Wd/16 Aug 88

AUGUST.

Port Officer, Madras.

Passing orders on letter from the Government
of India sanctioning, with certain modification,
proposals for re-organisation of the —

No 2510 Wd/16 Aug 88

Recorded
Recorded

Dec 10/8/88

Ch. & for S
Ch. & for Rys
S & T Circle } for
Ex. S.W.A. } information
replied to only
17/8

No 100 2/8/88

No. 398 B.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

CIVIL WORKS.
Buildings

To
The Secretary to the Govt of Madras
Public Works Department

Simla, the 1st August 1888.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge
the receipt of your letter No. 2098 W
dated 11th July 1888, and in reply
to say that the authority given
to Local Governments etc,
under Public Works Department
endorsement No. 92 B dated 22nd
February 1888 to enlarge the
powers of Superintending Engineers
so as to enable them to
sanction Estimates to the extent
of Rs 10,000 extends to
Military.

Public Works Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government

No. 1351 W dated 8th May 1888.

In accordance with the authorisation of the Government
of India, communicated with endorsement No 92 B dated 22nd
February 1888, His Excellency the Governor in Council resolves
to invest Colonel H.W. Vibart R. E. Superintending Engineer
with the following powers of sanction :-

Under Public Works Code para 267

Original Works

(a) Buildings & Roads.

44. Military Works, and

45 Civil Works

Rs 2500

(2) Irrigation.

42. Minor Works (Working Expenses)

43. Minor Works & Navigation

Rs 2500

(c) Subsidiary works for projects for which Capital &
Revenue Accounts are kept

Rs 2500

2. The conditions under which these enlarged powers of
sanction will be exercised will be the same as those hitherto
in force, as laid down in para 267 of the Code.

(True Extract)

(Signed) J. O. Hasted Colonel R.E.

Secretary to Government P. W. D.

To

The Supt. Engineer V Circle.
Examiner P. W. Accounts.
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Examiner P.W. Accounts.

Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Public Works Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

No. 1350 W. dated 8th May 1888.

In accordance with the authorisation of the Government of India, communicated with endorsement No 92 B dated 22nd February 1888, His Excellency the Governor in Council resolves to invest Mr J. W. Rundall, Superintending Engineer, with the following powers of sanction :-

Under Public Works Code para 267 .

Original Works .

(a) Buildings & Roads.

44. Military Works, and

45 . Civil Works

Rs 10000.

(2) Irrigation.

42. Minor Works (Working Expenses).

43. Minor Works & Navigation

Rs 10000.

(c) Subsidiary works for projects for which Capital

& Revenue Accounts are kept

Rs 10000 .

2. The conditions under which these enlarged powers of sanction will be exercised will be the same as those hitherto in force, as laid down in para 267 of the Code .

(True Extract)

(Signed) J. O. Hasted, Colonel R. E.

Secretary to Government P. W. D.

To

The Suptg. Engineer VI Circle.

Examiner P. W. Accounts.

Chief Engineer for Irrigation .

The Suptg. Engineer I Circle.

Examiner P. W. Accounts.

Chief Engineer for Irrigation .

Public Works Department
Proceedings of the Madras Government

No. 1349 W dated 8th May 1888.

In accordance with the authorisation of the Government of India, communicated with endorsement No 92 B dated 22 nd February 1888, His Excellency the Governor in Council resolves to invest Mr G. T. Walch, Superintending Engineer, with the following powers of sanction :-

Under Public Works Code para 267

Original Works

(a) Buildings & Roads.

44 . Military Works, and

45 . Civil Works

Rs 10000

(2) Irrigation

42 . Minor Works (Working Expenses)

43 . Minor Works & Navigation

Rs 10000

(c) Subsidiary works for projects for which Capital &

Revenue Accounts are Kept

Rs 10000

2. The conditions under which these enlarged powers of sanction will be exercised will be the same as those hitherto in force, as laid down in para 267 of the code .

(True Extract)

(Signed) J. O. Hasted, Colonel R. E.

Secretary to Government P. W. D.

To
The Suptg. Engineer I Circle
Examiner P.W. Accounts.
Chief Engineer of Irrigation

For Orders. / the

1888.

P.W.
DEPT

9

Madras, 28th March 1888

OFFICE NOTE No. 1283

To W. O. S. H. O. P. H. I. N.

G. O. No. 810 W. dated 12th. March 1888.

Please say whether Government

wish the order of the Government of India, permitting Local Governments to enhance the powers of Supp. Engineers under certain conditions, in respect to sanctioning estimates for individual civil works or parts of irrigation projects up to a maximum of Rs. 10,000 to be codified. It will be optional with Government to act upon them or not; but it seems desirable that the limits within which the Local Government may delegate power should be accurately stated in the Code.

KEEP-WITH

Génl. No.

dated

188

1,000-7-2-88.

25/3

C.
28/3

No. 1444 C d 5th April 1888

To

E. I.

R 46.

By 29. 3. 88

With G.O. No 810 W
dated 12-3-88.

For favour of
remarks.

W. W.
4. 4. 88

D. W.

For Orders.

the Chief Engineer for Irrigation

No 37 E.W.

With reference to G.O. No 810^W dated 12th March 1888 and Examiner's Note No 1283 dated 28th March 1888 I have to point out that the Government of India give authority to the Local Government to confer on selected Superintending Engrs authority to sanction estimates for individual civil works or parts of Irrigation project (the last, it is supposed, does not apply to Capital Expenditure, &c. Irrigation works for which they have now no powers see Code paras 267-73) up to Rs 10,000. I consider that the amount in the Madras Code Rs 2500 may with advantage be increased to Rs 10,000 but I would give this power to all Superintending Engineers all of whom are supposed to be selected officers and I consider it should not be given to only some

some of the Superintending
2. The Chief Engineers in
course, always the right
on the Superintending Engineer
forward for inspection any
plan or estimate they may
sanction and they should
be done in cases in which
for special reasons the Chief
Engineers may think it
advisable and should at
any time the Chief Engineer
find a very faulty design
or estimate had been sanctioned
it would be his duty to bring
the case to the notice of
Government.

3. I consider the Code should
be altered accordingly, the
amount Rs 2,500 in para
267 being raised to Rs 10,000.

Ootacamund
17th April 1888

W. D. M. Col. R.E.
Chief Engineer for India

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

For Order

no 1444 c a/s - 4 - 88.
 " 810 W of 12.3.88

1. Watch
 11. 12.3.88
 12. 3.5.88
 13. 12.3.88
 14. 12.3.88
 15. 12.3.88
 16. 12.3.88
 17. 12.3.88
 18. 12.3.88
 19. 12.3.88
 20. 12.3.88
 21. 12.3.88
 22. 12.3.88
 23. 12.3.88
 24. 12.3.88
 25. 12.3.88
 26. 12.3.88
 27. 12.3.88
 28. 12.3.88
 29. 12.3.88
 30. 12.3.88
 31. 12.3.88
 32. 12.3.88
 33. 12.3.88
 34. 12.3.88
 35. 12.3.88
 36. 12.3.88
 37. 12.3.88
 38. 12.3.88
 39. 12.3.88
 40. 12.3.88
 41. 12.3.88
 42. 12.3.88
 43. 12.3.88
 44. 12.3.88
 45. 12.3.88
 46. 12.3.88
 47. 12.3.88
 48. 12.3.88
 49. 12.3.88
 50. 12.3.88
 51. 12.3.88
 52. 12.3.88
 53. 12.3.88
 54. 12.3.88
 55. 12.3.88
 56. 12.3.88
 57. 12.3.88
 58. 12.3.88
 59. 12.3.88
 60. 12.3.88
 61. 12.3.88
 62. 12.3.88
 63. 12.3.88
 64. 12.3.88
 65. 12.3.88
 66. 12.3.88
 67. 12.3.88
 68. 12.3.88
 69. 12.3.88
 70. 12.3.88
 71. 12.3.88
 72. 12.3.88
 73. 12.3.88
 74. 12.3.88
 75. 12.3.88
 76. 12.3.88
 77. 12.3.88
 78. 12.3.88
 79. 12.3.88
 80. 12.3.88
 81. 12.3.88
 82. 12.3.88
 83. 12.3.88
 84. 12.3.88
 85. 12.3.88
 86. 12.3.88
 87. 12.3.88
 88. 12.3.88
 89. 12.3.88
 90. 12.3.88
 91. 12.3.88
 92. 12.3.88
 93. 12.3.88
 94. 12.3.88
 95. 12.3.88
 96. 12.3.88
 97. 12.3.88
 98. 12.3.88
 99. 12.3.88
 100. 12.3.88

17/10.4.88

E. I. 12.3.88
 E. I. 12.3.88

No 1283 W of 8th May 1888

19/4 - 12.3.5.88

19/4

For Orders

Read the following :-

No 1283 of March 28, 1888

No 37 of April 9

I am of opinion that as it is now understood that only officers possessed of proper qualifications will be selected for the post of Deputy Engineer, authority may be given to the Chief Engineer to sanction estimates for individual civil works or parts of irrigation projects up to 10,000 Rs as suggested by the Govt of India and propose to alter the Code accordingly.

R. ORDERS.

I am of opinion that it is now understood that only officers possessed of proper qualifications will be selected for the post of Deputy Engineer, authority may be given to the Chief Engineer to sanction estimates for individual civil works or parts of irrigation projects up to 10,000 Rs as suggested by the Govt of India and propose to alter the Code accordingly.

The Chief Engineer to sanction estimates for individual civil works or parts of irrigation projects up to 10,000 Rs as suggested by the Govt of India and propose to alter the Code accordingly.

19/4

23/4/88

The Chief Engineer for

Read the following:—

Office Note from the Examiner P.W. Works No. 1283 of March 28, 1888
Note by the Chief Engineer for Srip. No. 57 E.W. of April 9.

FOR ORDERS.

As I understand the matter the
power to extend ^{the} sanctioning authority
of Sup. Engineers has been raised
to 10000 & the provision of § 267
(a), (b) (1) & (2) & (c) must be modified accordingly.
That is all that has to be modified,
unless we are prepared to lay down at
once a limit applicable to all
Sup. Engrs alike. The only objections
I see to putting general powers
fixing a general limit of 5000 Rs.
are (1) that India intends it to
be a personal matter, (2) that some
of our Sup. Engineers are merely on
trial as it were. We might give
Walsh & Randall power up to 10000,
but Hamman, Smalley, & probably
Vibart & Hardy have now extensive
powers than 2500. We had better talk
it over on Friday.

P.H.
25 Apr.

I am of opinion that
as it is now understood that
only officers possessed of
proper qualifications will be
selected for the post of Sup. Engrs,
authority may be given
to all Superintending Engineers
to sanction estimates for, in addition
civil works or parts of irrigation
projects up to 10,000 Rs. as
suggested by the Govt. of
India - and propose to alter
the Code accordingly.
2. The Chief Engineer for

Irigation would apparently
give the full power to all
Suptg Engineers, as regards
irrigation works, at once.
but as the limit in the case
of civil works has not yet
been raised above Rs 500
(although the Code allows
a limit of Rs 2500) it may be
well to fix the limit for
civil works at Rs 5000 for
the present.

See
24/4/88

Groups of sanction

For Command 27. W. No.

10/13/8 W.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 8th May 1888

again
READ the following: —

G.O. dated March 12, 1888, No 810W.

Send also: —

Office note from the Examiner P.W. Sects (H.E. No 1283 dtd 28, 1888)
Note by the Chief Eng^r for Irrigation (37 W. dtd 9, ")

PPH
30/4/88

The orders of the
Government of India recorded
with G.O. No 810W dated
12th March 1888 should be
codified. —

The Examiner P.W. Sects

See
24/4/88

See
30/4/88

See
24/4/88

80W-12-3-88

W 12/5

1348 10/1/88

V 1349-50-51 W 8/5/88

94
4
H.W. 30/4
m 1/5

808
12/5
1/5

1888

Examiner, P.W. Accounts

Madras, 29 May 1888

Office Note No 1556

To

W

G.O. No. 1349 W to 1351 W of
8 May 1888.

Adverting to the
G.O.s quoted above, I beg to
suggest that the substance
of the orders of the Govt.
of India communicated
with endorsement No 92 B
dated 22nd Feby. 1888 be embodied
in the Madras P.W.D. Code
under paragraph 267, and
that the details of the
special powers from time
to time accorded to indivi-
dual Supg. Engineers be
stated in an Appendix. If
you see no objection please
obtain the sanction of
the Govt. to this arrange-
ment.

20/5

Ces 5046

Dear

For orders -

A doubtful point appears to be whether it would be advisable to publish to the world that a junior Supply Engineer has been vested with larger powers than a senior -

C,

1-6-88

The only allusion made in the former letter in S.F., being certain money limits, I see no reason why mistakes should occur. The F.O. can keep a register of the limits of power vested in each S.F.

See Indian portion of H.L.
H.L. - there is no necessity of referring to the Appendix.

J.W.
9/6/88

1888

Examiner, P.W. Accounts

Madras, 29th May 1888

Office Note No 1556

To

W

G.O. Nos. 1349 W to 1351 W of

8th May 1888.

Adverting to the G.O.s quoted above, I beg to suggest that the substance of the orders of the Govt. of India communicated with endorsement No 92 B dated 22nd Feb'y. 1888 be embodied in the Madras P.W.D. Code under paragraph 267, and that the details of the special powers from time to time accorded to individual Supply Engineers be stated in an Appendix. If you see no objection please obtain the sanction of the Govt. to this arrangement.

S. J.

2. If the powers are
not all clearly defined
in the Code, mistakes
are likely to occur &
(Ed) C.H.P.C.

P.W.C. No 5046

R/ 30-5-88

No. 2228 C d/ 14 June 1888

To.

C

No. 1348 W d/ 8-5-88
" 1349 W " 8-5-88
" 1350 W " 8-5-88
" 1351 W " 8-5-88

G.O. 1348 W d/ 8 May 1888
directs that the orders of
the Govt. of India recorded
with G.O. 810 W d/ 12 March
1888 should be codified.
Nothing further is needed.

It is not considered
necessary that the details
of the special powers
accorded to individual
Supp. Engineers from
time to time should be
incorporated in the Code
as an Appendix or other
wise -

(Ed) J.C.H.

11/6/88

W.

15/7

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT.
OF MADRAS. }

1888.

{ P.W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 27 June

1888.

OFFICE NOTE No.

1771

To

W.

With reference to G.Os. Nos.

1349 W. and 1350 W. dated 8th. May
1888 conferring certain enhanced
powers on Messrs. G. T. Walch and J. W.
Rundall, Supp. Engineers, I beg to
bring to notice that the Government
of India Resolution No. 92 B. dated
22nd. February 1888, communicated
with G.O. No. 810 W. dated 12th. March
1888 authorises Government to confer
increased powers to selected Supp.
Engineers up to a maximum of Rs.
10,000 only, in respect to individual
estimate for Civil works or parts
of Irrigation projects, but not in the
case of Military works.

2. The grant of increased
powers to Messrs. Walch and Rundall
up to Rs. 10,000 in respect to 44
Military works in the orders quoted

above

KEEP-WITH

Genl. No.

dated

188 .

1,000-7-2-88.

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

1888

{ P.W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 27 June 1888

OFFICE NOTE No. 1771

To

W.

With reference to G.Os. Nos.

1349 W. and 1350 W. dated 8th. May 1888 conferring certain enhanced powers on Messrs. G. T. Walch and J. W. Randall, Supp. Engineers, I beg to bring to notice that the Government of India Resolution No. 92 B. dated 22nd. February 1888, communicated with G.O. No. 810 W. dated 12th. March 1888 authorises Government to confer increased powers to selected Supp. Engineers up to a maximum of Rs. 10,000 only, in respect to individual estimate for Civil works or parts of Irrigation projects, but not in the case of Military works.

2. The grant of increased powers to Messrs. Walch and Randall up to Rs. 10,000 in respect to 44 Military works in the orders quoted above.

KEEP-WITH

Genl. No.

dated

188

1,000—7-2-88.

P.W.C. No 5046

R/ 30-5-88

No. 2228 C d/ 14 June 1888

To.

C

G.O. 1348 W d/ 8 May 1888 directs that the orders of the Govt. of India recorded with G.O. 810 W d/ 12 March 1888 should be codified. Nothing further is needed.

It is not considered necessary that the details of the special powers accorded to individual Supp. Engineers from time to time should be incorporated in the Code as an appendix or otherwise.

(2d) J. O. H.

11/6/88

W.

15/6

15/6

2. If the powers are not all clearly defined in the Code, mistakes are likely to occur.

(2d) C. H. P. C.

C

No. 1348 W d/ 8-5-88
 " 1349 W. 8-5-88
 " 1350 W. 8-5-88
 " 1351 W. 8-5-88

19/8/61

P. W. Cuo 6275

C.

27
6

27. 27/6

Res! 28: 6-8f.

nos 2097 w d f 11 July
2098 1888

3. No 1358 W. 7. 8-5. 88
 " 1358 W. " 8-5. 88
 " 1349 W. " 8-5. 88
 No 2228 C. " 14. 6. 88
 3. No 1348 W. " 8. 5. 88.
 " 810 W. " 12-3. 88
 20. 97-8 W. 11. 7. 88

Old 19
vii 86
Am 6.7.88
Ans: 9:7: 11.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 11th July 1888

READ the following.

Office note from the Examin^r F. W. Beck. A. C. No 1771 of 27th June 1885.

The question will be referred
for the orders of the Govern-
ment of India.

2/17

G. The Examiner F. W. Aerts.

To The Secretary to the Govt of
India.
S. W. Dept.

Sir,
 According to the Proceedings
 of the Government of India No.
 92 B. dated 22nd February 1888,
 in which local governments are
 authorized to enlarge the powers
 of Superintending Engineers, so as
 to enable them to sanction
 estimates

estimates to the extent (Rs. 10,000)
to which they may finally
approve designs and details,
I am directed to request that
this Government may be informed
whether this authorisation extends
to Military Works, which are
not specially mentioned in the
Proceedings in question. If not,
I am to inquire the limit of
the powers of Superintending
Engineers in regard to sanction
of estimates for these works.
I am to add that hitherto
there has been no distinction
in this Presidency between Civil
and Military Works in respect
to powers of sanction.

12/7
Jm
6/7/88

12/7

No. 2077 Wd/H July 1888
2078

6/7/88

13/7

8/8

No. 1842.

N.B.—Please quote the number
and date of this letter in fu-
ture communication on this
subject.

P. M. D.

NILGIRI DIVISION OFFICE,

STATION. Ootacamund 31st July 1888.

Enclosures. 1

Estimate in
duplicate

From

W. B. de Winton Esq.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, NILGIRI DIVISION,

OOTACAMUND,

To

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

FOURTH CIRCLE,

COIMBATORE.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward here:
1. with an estimate in duplicate
amounting to Rs 300 for the purchase
of four pairs of bullocks for use on
works in the Nilgiri Division.
2. You are aware that of late
I have lost 7 pairs of bullocks from
rinderpest and to replace the above ~~the~~
~~above~~ the four pairs now estimated
are absolutely necessary, & I request
that early sanction of Govt. may
be accorded for the purchase of the
same, which I have done in
anticipation

anticipation of sanction because
I could not carry on the work
of the D^y without them & had a
favorable opportunity for purchasing
some chess.


I have the honor D^y
Sir
Your most obed^t ser^t

Buchanan
Esq: Engineer, Mysore D^y

No. 334
not

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Mysore D^y in trust with a request that he will be good enough to obtain sanction of Government to the estimate.
Enclosure
one estimate in duplicate.

Coimbatore }
7th Aug: 1888 }
Madrasi-Buchanan
Supt. Engineer, Coimbatore



From

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
NILGIRI DIVISION,

To

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
4TH CIRCLE.

Dated 3rd }
Despatched 3rd } *Augst* 1888.
Received }

No. 1842

Enclosures. 1

Subject.

*Bullocks - purchaser
of 4 pairs of - for
use in the den.
Submit for sanction
an Est^t for the -*