Sect. I (I)-L—10,006—7-10-70.

(1) Number of pages 20
(2) Date of repair 20.11.73
(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. ~~B.P. No.~~	6821	~~Pres.~~ Mis.
Dated	10-11-1887	197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

20/11/73

C. No 7436 Gov. 6521/10-11-87

NOTE FOR THE UNDER SECRETARY.

With reference to the Hon'ble Member's remark, it is submitted that there is a travelling clerk on Rs 100 in the Land Rev. Dept to accompany the members on tour. ~~Here~~ (vide proposition statement in GO 12-3-86 No 182) It is presumed that they can also take some peons & a muchi if they like.

20/11

FROM CIRCULATION
WITH
REMARKS.

[illegible]

request

Dec. 15 [illegible]
with letter fr[illegible]
sup[illegible]

Office Note No 88/74

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Ireland [illegible]

[illegible]

request

[illegible]

the case that [illegible]

23.1.88

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Revenue Secretariat,

January 23rd 1888.

P

No 14.

Dear Clogstoun.

Will you kindly let me know whether grade promotions can be made vice Jones, Pinto, and D. Jagannadha Row who are Forest Settl. officers.

Yours Sincerely
(Signed) J. F. Price.

request

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue).

Dated 12th September 1887.

~~Recent~~ No. 3067 Mis.

~~Read~~

Resolution

In reply to G.O. 25th July 1887 No. 720 Revenue para 2 the Board beg to submit the following Minute by Mr Thomas the Board's First Member.

Minute

The general public are not a little interested in the question of the possibility of introducing trout on the Nilgris. It is a scientific moot point in England which Ceylon claims to have lately solved. The Government itself treated the matter as one of public interest in G.O. 20th July 1882 No. 810, 29th July 1882 No. 641, 31st July 1882, 11th August 1882 No. 717 and the Government have gazetted Pisciculture as one of the subjects committed to my charge. The official representative of the Ceylon pisicultural movement in connection with trout wrote to me that they would present me with trout fry coupling it with the polite request

[illegible] numbers & date cannot be traced [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] printed in G.O. 311/18[illegible] request that I would be good enough in return to send them Mahseer fry, and a gentleman at Devashola promised to receive and tend the trout fry in tanks specially prepared at his own cost if I would make the transit arrangements for the fry and satisfy myself as to the suitability of the tanks, and another gentleman at Kodanad wrote me that he would get out a consignment of trout ova from England and hatch them out for the good of the public if only I would come and after personal examination assure him that the temperatures and other conditions of the water were favorable.

2 When therefore these three requests came, coupling them with the G. O.s above quoted and with Pisciculture being part of my duty I did not doubt that it was my proper business to meet the occasion. But I determined to discharge the duty as rapidly as possible and therefore I arranged for rapid marching; going without stopping from Madras to Kodanad, covering the last 30 miles at a march, 20 of them on my own horse. Similarly I marched in one day from Kodanad via Kotagherry and Coonoor to Devashola 35 miles, of which 25 were on my own horse, and again

from

from Devashola to the Coonoor ghat junction and so direct to Madras without stopping —

3 In all these three marches I was off the lines on which horses or transits could be hired and of necessity dependant on my own horse. Also such rapid marching was most assuredly not in the interest of my own personal convenience but very much the reverse, and was undertaken solely that I might thereby return the more quickly to head quarters, because the routine of Board work suffers in consequence, as a Board Member has not an office accompanying him as a Collector has, and I had to be my own munshi, clerk and peon the while. Going and coming I was thus absent from Madras for not more than ten days altogether.

Hence I made no doubt that it was to the public advantage in saving time that I should send on one of my horses and that is I understand the question under consideration

As regards the cost thereof to Government, Rs 61-8, I may mention in passing that over and above the cost of this journey I have expended some where about Rs 500 out of my own

private

private purse in connection with tis trout experiment alone, I have never tota l exactly, besides constant minor sums from time to ie in connection with Pisciculture generally which aggregate considerably. I took advantage of the op rtunity of this same journey to introduce also at own personal cost, 60 Carnatic Carp fry and 21 hseer fry into the Kodikanal lake on the Palney Hills. In the meantime I had successfully accomplished my part of the trout experiment, as out of the 21 Mahseer which I sent to Newara Elliya 19 reached their destination in vigorous health, the remaining two having jumped out of their pots through a shifting of the net covering. Albeit it is probable that Ceylon has indigenous Mahseer, still they were asked of me in return for trout and so I had to send them. The 200 trout I may mention were killed by concussion in railway travelling in Ceylon, not in my charge; and then I constructed specially devised boxes and sent over a trained man of my own for another batch, all at my own private cost, but in the meantime the tank in which they were at Newara Elliya had burst and the fry ha been lost.

I may also men on that I took the opportuni of this trip to Denacholah to open a comm n with gentlemen who will kindly help me by pturing fry of Barilius bola, commonly cal the Indian trout, near Indore, delivering them my trained man who would have to bring m thence to Ootacamund. They would serve to take the place of trout. They are mentioned in the Rod in India pages 154 to 157 as trout like in their habits, and as running ordinarily to 2 lbs, and occasionally to 5 lbs, so in this respect al my rapid trip was utilized to the utmost. But my private purse has its limits and if the public wants these Barilius bola for stocking Hill waters they must arrange to find the funds this time. I will find the laborious attention to details of superintendance and the friendly connection.

In conclusion I would venture to point out that though I am individually taken to task and have had to render a personal explanation, it was not on personal grounds that the claim for Rs 61-8 was submitted. It was on the essentially public ground whether or not the newly constituted

constituted Commissioners who
called on to
travel were to travel without
or whether
they might, like the Forest
quators, and
their horses by rail under G.
June 1885
No: 683. Had it been a per
l matter I
should probably never have t
bled Govern-
ment with the trifle of Rs 6.
in like manner
as I have never done so about
e hundreds that
I have been out of pocket from time to time in
connection with the same subject. But once
having mentioned the subject on public grounds
I have no option but to justify my action, and
the wording of para 2 of the G. Os of 5 and 25
July 1887 No: 790 Financial – No: 720 Revenue
seem to imply that it is open to question whether
or not such a journey should have been under-
taken at all. In G. O. 18 April 1887 No: 377
Government said that one of the Land Revenue
Commissioners should always be at head-
quarters and no other restriction will be placed
upon their touring.)

Pisciculture is one of the subjects
with which I am charged. I therefore thought

it was embraced in the above order.

(True Copy and Extract)

M Connell
Ag Secretary

To

The Secretary to Govt. R.D.

G.O. [illegible]
" 20-7-82 No 810
" 27-7-82 No 641
" 11-8-82 No 717
" [illegible]-87 No 377

Revenue 1887. Dept

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue)

MADRAS.

No 7436

15 SEP 87
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

To

The Secretary to
Government
R. D.

Rd 15 Sep:

Proceedings, dated 12th September 1887.
No. 3067 Mis.

Subject.

Relating to Govt. minute by Mr H. S. Thomas, the Board's First Member in reply to para 2 of G.O. No. 720 d 25th July '87 regarding his late tour from Madras to Mettupalaiyam on the subject of Pisciculture.

For Orders.

Note

Replying to G.O. No. 720, d/ 26th July 1887 the First Comr. L.R. explains the circumstances under which his recent tour was undertaken & requests sanction for the cost (Rs 61-8-0) incurred by him in sending his horse by rail for the purpose of the tour.

Submitted that the amount may be sanctioned. Mr Thomas' pay is over Rs 120 per day and any economy in the use of horse-flesh that delayed his movements would be a false economy. It is pretty certain that he cannot do as much of his ordinary work when touring as when at Head Quarters.

RMB 28/10.

There does not appear to have been any necessity for Mr. Thomas's making this so called tour at all.

It is the only one that he has under-taken since the reorganized Bd came into existence.

If the tour is considered to have been a proper one, Mr Thomas should be allowed to charge for a horse.

[illegible] 28/10

27/10/87 [illegible] I do not object to the [illegible] any discouragement in the way of members making tours [illegible] the case that [illegible]

P.T.O.

Member in tours be debarred from taking any establishment. This can hardly be reasonable or intended.

2/11

PROCEEDINGS MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

G. No. 7436/85

9/11

rocs. No. 6521 of 10/[illegible]

on acct. of a horse carriage
The charges incurred by Mr. Thomas are sanctioned. With reference to the remark in ra 3 that "a Board Member has not an office accompanying im as a Collector has," ~~and they have my order peons~~ ~~ith peons the while~~" the Govt. observe that a travelling ith on Rs 100 p.m. is provided in the L.R. Dept. (Vide Statement in G.O. No. 184, of 12th March 1886) & there appears to no reason why a ~~clerk~~ uchi & peons shd not accompany a Member of the Board

for the despatch of public business that they should do so. Indeed from a comparison ~~[illegible]~~ of the statement above referred to ~~and of~~ with para 18 of Brd's Proc dd. 7th May 1885, No. 1361, in revising the Board's estab. it appears that provision was made for 1 Munshi on Rs 15 and for 4 peons on Rs 7 each for the express purpose of accompanying Members [illegible] on tour.

7/11

RBB 7/11

To the Comm of L R
Acct Genl } Issd 10/11

Rev/1887/Depts

Draft Geo

Fo 3-2-84 Pg 113

DISPOSED OF BY GOVT. ORDER
Mis. No 622-I
Date [illegible]

Desp 10/11