(1) Number of pages 12

(2) Date of repair 31 - 5 - 43

(3) Initials of the Reference

CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA
AND INDIAN STATES.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1057 Press ~~Mis.~~

Dated 10 . 11 . 1887 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(LAND REVENUE).

H. S. THOMAS, ESQ., F.L.S., F.Z.S.
J. H. GARSTIN, ESQ., C.S.I.

READ—the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 19th August 1887, No. 4445 :—

With reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 2384, dated 12th July 1884, and Mis. No. 3316, dated 10th April 1885, regarding the boundary disputes between this district and His Highness the Nizam's territories, I have the honor to state that the following boundaries were adjudicated upon by the late Mr. Robinson (Acting Head Assistant Collector) representing the British Government and Mr. Framjee Jhamschedjee, one of His Highness the Nizam's Talukdars :—

(1) Kistna-Hyderabad main boundary.
(2) Ganugapad *versus* Kanumur.
(3) Lingagiri *versus* His Highness' Dominions.
(4) Munagala *versus* His Highness' Dominions.
(5) Muktyala *versus* His Highness' Dominions.
(6) Ravicherla *versus* His Highness' Dominions.
(7) Gani Atkoor and Paritala *versus* Damaloor and Chelukur.
(8) Tank waterspreads.
(9) Mallavalli *versus* Gollapalli and certain other villages.

2. The records connected with the following disputes being complete are submitted :—

Kistna-Hyderabad main boundary.

- (1) Report.
- (2) Decision.
- (3) English translations of kyfiats.
- (4) Five Plans.

Ravicherla and Buravancha.

- Report.
- Decision.
- Plan.
- English translation of kyfiats.
- Tank waterspreads.
- Report.
- Decision.

(1) Kistna-Hyderabad * main boundary.
(2) Ravicherla *versus* Buravancha.
(3) Tank waterspreads.

3. The records in the other disputes will be submitted as soon as certain kyfiats which are expected from Mr. Framjee Jhamschedjee, His Highness the Nizam's Talukdar, are received. Two reminders have been sent to him.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Correspondence, &c.

RESOLUTION—dated 28th September 1887, No. 587.

Submitted to Government.

2. The Collector of Kistna submits the decisions and records in the case of the disputes of boundary between the Nizam's dominions and British territory. The late Mr. Robinson on behalf of the British Government and Mr. Framjee Jhamschedjee on behalf of the Nizam's Government went over the ground and have agreed as to the settlement.

3. In the case of the main boundary dispute, the work consisted mainly of tracing out the old demarcated boundary, which was laid down in 1838 by Captain Gresley, and then approved by Government. In cases where from want of knowledge of the boundary the villagers of either side had encroached, a new line has been laid down, including the cultivation in the village to which it belongs. Beyond this small change, nothing has been done to alter Captain Gresley's line. Where it was difficult to identify precisely his demarcation, a new line has been taken, approximating as far as possible to the old line.

4. The dispute between the villages of Ravicherla and Buravancha has arisen from the dishonest removal of a boundary stone since the settlement made by Mr. MacCartie in 1873—G.O., dated 5th March 1874, No. 312. This line has now been again traced out and the matter set right.

5. The third dispute which has been settled concerns several villages and has arisen from the waterspread of tanks belonging to border villages having been enlarged with the result that by the overflow damage is done to crops belonging to villages across the border. It appears that the villagers of both territories are equally to blame in the matter. The decision arrived at is simply that for the future the waterspread of no tank shall be allowed to cross the boundary line separating the two territories, and that in case of any village transgressing the rule, the local authorities of the village benefitted are to be responsible to the villagers whose lands are submerged. It will, of course, rest with the respective Governments of the high contracting parties to enforce this rule if need should arise.

6. The Collector promises at an early date to submit the decisions arrived at in the other cases which were referred to Mr. Robinson.

(A t[illegible] Extract.)

Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Reve[illegible]
with a bundl[illegible] five plans to be returned.
Copy to the Collector of the Kistr[illegible]

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

3

5 5²

[illegible], REVENUE.

[illegible]AS.

[illegible]MENT.

[illegible]nue (Land Revenue), dated 28th [illegible]:—

[illegible]s, F.Z.S. —
[illegible]I.

[illegible]L, Esq., Collector of the Kistna [illegible]ioners of Land Revenue, dated [illegible]:—

[illegible]384, dated 12th July 1884, and [illegible] boundary disputes between this [illegible] have the honor to state that the [illegible]ate Mr. Robinson (Acting Head [illegible]ment and Mr. Framjee Jhams- [illegible]—

[illegible]ns.
[illegible]ns.
[illegible]ns.
[illegible]ns.
[illegible]r and Chelukur.

[illegible]ier villages.

[illegible]g disputes being complete are

1) Kistna-Hyderabad * main boundary.
2) Ravicherla *versus* Buravancha.
3) Tank waterspreads.

The records in the other disputes [illegible]submitted as soon as certain ky- [illegible]ich are expected from Mr Framjee [illegible]djee, His Highness the Nizam's [illegible]r, are received. Two reminders [illegible]en sent to him.

[illegible]dence, &c.

58

[illegible]ee[illegible]ns and records in the case of the [illegible]air[illegible]s and British territory. The late

Mr. Robinson on behalf of the British Government and Mr. Framjee Jhamschedjee on behalf of the Nizam's Government went over the ground and have agreed as to the settlement.

3. In the case of the main boundary dispute, the work consisted mainly of tracing out the old demarcated boundary, which was laid down in 1838 by Captain Gresley, and then approved by Government. In cases where from want of knowledge of the boundary the villagers of either side had encroached, a new line has been laid down, including the cultivation in the village to which it belongs. Beyond this small change, nothing has been done to alter Captain Gresley's line. Where it was difficult to identify precisely his demarcation, a new line has been taken, approximating as far as possible to the old line.

4. The dispute between the villages of Ravicherla and Buravancha has arisen from the dishonest removal of a boundary stone since the settlement made by Mr. MacCartie in 1873—G.O., dated 5th March 1874, No. 312. This line has now been again traced out and the matter set right.

5. The third dispute which has been settled concerns several villages and has arisen from the waterspread of tanks belonging to border villages having been enlarged with the result that by the overflow damage is done to crops belonging to villages across the border. It appears that the villagers of both territories are equally to blame in the matter. The decision arrived at is simply that for the future the waterspreads of no tank shall be allowed to cross the boundary line separating the two territories, and that in case of any village transgressing the rule, the local authorities of the village benefited are to be responsible to the villagers whose lands are submerged. It will, of course, rest with the respective Governments of the high contracting parties to enforce this rule if need should arise.

6. The Collector promises at an early date to submit the decisions arrived at in the other cases which were referred to Mr. Robinson.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with a bundle of records and five plans to be returned.
Copy to the Collector of the Kistna District.

ORDER—dated 10th November 1887, No. 1059, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The three decisions, now submitted, of the representatives appointed to demarcate the boundary between the Kistna district and His Highness the Nizam's dominions are accepted. Intimation to this effect will be given in the Political Department to the Resident at Hyderabad, and he will be requested to inform this Government whether the decisions are accepted by the Government of His Highness.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue.
„ the Political Department.

Exd. A. Aubert.

55 Copies.

12-5-10 C

22/9/10
11-5-011
23-11-011
8-12-09

21-4-10
₹ 5/11/00

Original

Govt. of {1887} Madras.

REVENUE.

Issued

Recd. Enc.

G.O., 10th November 1887, No. 1059.

NOVEMBER.

Boundary Disputes.

Approving, with remarks, the decisions in the matter of the — between the Kistna district and His Highness the Nizam's dominions.

ACCESSIONED 1887

PROCEEDINGS OF

9/11

To the Commrs of Land Rev. 25.11.
Do Political Dept
(with a draft letter)

Orders issued
Letter do

55
4-

11

Draft Geo. Miller

Lights

Print
10th

To the Inhabitants of [illegible]

5/8/20
6/14/28 III
28-7-34 IV

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government Records.

Revenue Department.

G. O. (Printed).

Dated 10th Novbr 1887.

No. 1059

CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA AND INDIAN STATES.

Draft ordered issuing