

(5)

2 !

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 8
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 16-5-1990
(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 1000

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

விடுவாய்த் துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	<u>27</u>	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : <u>11-1-1984</u>		1984 .

சென்னை

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

READ—the following paper from W. P. AUSTIN, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 5th October 1883 No. 2957 :—

TABULAR STATEMENT showing the Particulars of the undermentioned Allowance recommended to be continued in the District of T. :—
PARALI, 31st October 1883 No. 2937 :—

[illegible]

RESOLUTION—dated 25th October 1883, No. 3217.

Submitted to Government.

2. For the reasons stated in paragraph 4 of Board's Proceedings, No. 285, dated 23th February 1873, and approved by Government in their Order, No. 310, dated 14th March 1873, the Board are decidedly of opinion that the allowance of Rs. 36 to the late Lakshmiddevamma, which was solely the result of a private arrangement between herself and Kondal Row, must be regarded as a life grant only, terminable at her death, and the full allowance should now be drawn by Janaki Row alone, under G.O., No. 379, dated 14th April 1882.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Wm MacRune
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Collector of Kistna.

Ed. A. F. Aaron.

G.O. 2114-4-82-NO 377
14 3-73 - 310
E.M.C. 8 6-75 - 775

Recd from Dep. Secy
No. 1087
1883.

Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.


The view taken by the
Board of Revenue is correct
and must be carried out.

11-1-84

Printed November th.

Proceedings, 25th October 1883, No. 3217.

Submitting to Government, with remarks,
tabular statement showing the particulars of
the allowance of Manory Lakshmiddevamma
recommended to be continued in the district of
Kistna.



REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 25th October 1883, No. 3217 :—
Read—the following letter from W. P. AUSTIN, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 5th October 1883, No. 2957 :—

TABULAR STATEMENT showing the particulars of the undermentioned allowance recommended to be continued in the district of Kistna.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Taluk and village.	Extent and value of the estate in the form of land.	When and by whom granted.	Bounds or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant.	In lieu of lands resumed.	Name of the original grantee.	Name of the last holder and the relationship in which he stood to the original grantee and the date of decease.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by other members of the family.	Family left by the deceased and names of parties.	No other means of livelihood but the allowance.	Further remarks.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue.	Government Order.
Guntur Taluk; Guntur.	Ra. 36 p. 10 m. 10 a.	In 1872: by British Govt.	G.O., 18th March 1872.	In lieu of lands resumed.	Manory Lakshmiddevamma.	Manory Lakshmiddevamma, widow of the elder brother of Konda Row; 4th Feb. 1883.	The whole share was enjoyed by herself.	(1) Adhamu, daughter-in-law of the deceased; (2) Viraragava Row (grandson) of 11 years; (3) Venkatasubba Row (son of 7 years).	(1) Adhamu, daughter-in-law of the deceased; (2) Viraragava Row (grandson) of 11 years; (3) Venkatasubba Row (son of 7 years).	Manory Janikrayanam Garu, who was in the receipt of a monthly pension of Rs. 333 in 1808; and the same pension was allowed to his eldest son Venkatesan Garu. On the death of the latter, his widow, Lakshmiddevamma, and his brother, Venkata Konda Row, having respectively claimed the deceased's pension, the Board, in their letter of 9th November 1815, decided that it was to be continued to the person who might recognize as the principal heir of the deceased. To the person who might recognize as the principal heir of the deceased, in favor, Venkata Konda Row had entered into an agreement with her, binding himself to pay her a monthly allowance of Rs. 333 on the condition of withdrawing her claim. Lakshmiddevamma withdrew her suit, and the whole pension of Rs. 333 was renewed in the name of the other claimant, Venkata Konda Row. Subsequently the estate was brought under Government management, and the pension of Venkata Konda Row was reduced from Rs. 333 to Rs. 160 and as a consequence that of Lakshmiddevamma to Rs. 38 a month. Sometime after, Venkata Konda Row also died, when his pension of Rs. 160 was continued to his widow. Some time after, Venkata Konda Row was brought under Government management, and the pension of Venkata Konda Row was reduced from Rs. 333 to Rs. 160 and as a consequence that of Lakshmiddevamma to Rs. 38 a month. Some time after, Venkata Konda Row was brought under Government management, and the pension of Venkata Konda Row was reduced from Rs. 333 to Rs. 160 and as a consequence that of Lakshmiddevamma to Rs. 38 a month.	Further remarks.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue.	Government Order.

Board's Proceedings of 19th June 1837.

4. It must, however, be remarked here that Srinivasa Row, who is alleged to have been adopted by Lakshmiddevamma, which was then questioned by Mr. Leman, reports that Venkatesan Garu, at the time of his death, appears to have arranged with his brother, Venkata Konda Row, that if the latter should have male issue while he himself had none, one of the sons should be given in adoption to his widow, Lakshmiddevamma, otherwise some other boy should be chosen for the purpose; and therefore the adoption appears to have been delayed until Konda Row had male issue, and delayed still further in consequence of their subsequent death; and further that Konda Row, with a view to comply with the request of his deceased brother, appears to have chosen Srinivasa Row, and personally superintended the celebration of the adoption ceremony, together with several of his relatives. The tabular statement adds further that as Lakshmiddevamma was a minor at the time of her husband's death, she was not aware that she should inform the Collector of her husband's consent to adopt, or that she should report the fact of adoption having subsequently taken place, and that he thinks under those circumstances the pension of Lakshmiddevamma should be continued to her grandson who are her legal representatives, as it is a matter of doubt whether agreements executed by minors are valid.
5. From the report of the tabular statement of Guntur, it seems to me that there is no reason to question the validity of the pension held by her as considered to cease with her life. If then the pension held by her is considered to cease with her life, the amount (Rs. 36-0-0) must revert to the major pensioner, Janaki Row. If, on the other hand, it is held to be hereditary, then it should go to her two grandsons, Veeraraghava Venkata Rama Row and Venkatasubba Row, excluding Janaki Row who has been adopted by Annamakamma.
6. Lakshmiddevamma has now also died, leaving two grandsons, Veeraraghava Venkata Rama Row and Venkatasubba Row, and on her death the main allowance was continued in his name—G.O., No. 379 of 14th April 1882.
7. The tabular statement adds further that as Lakshmiddevamma was a minor at the time of her husband's death, she was not aware that she should inform the Collector of her husband's consent to adopt, or that she should report the fact of adoption having subsequently taken place, and that he thinks under those circumstances the pension of Lakshmiddevamma should be continued to her grandson who are her legal representatives, as it is a matter of doubt whether agreements executed by minors are valid.
8. Not given in evidence to verify the statement.
9. Annamakamma, subject to a deduction of Rs. 36 therefrom for payment to the treasury.
10. Venkata Rama Row and Venkatasubba Row.
11. Manory Lakshmiddevamma, who was in the receipt of a monthly pension of Rs. 333 in 1808; and the same pension was allowed to his eldest son Venkatesan Garu. On the death of the latter, his widow, Lakshmiddevamma, and his brother, Venkata Konda Row, having respectively claimed the deceased's pension, the Board, in their letter of 9th November 1815, decided that it was to be continued to the person who might recognize as the principal heir of the deceased. To the person who might recognize as the principal heir of the deceased, in favor, Venkata Konda Row had entered into an agreement with her, binding himself to pay her a monthly allowance of Rs. 333 on the condition of withdrawing her claim. Lakshmiddevamma withdrew her suit, and the whole pension of Rs. 333 was renewed in the name of the other claimant, Venkata Konda Row. Subsequently the estate was brought under Government management, and the pension of Venkata Konda Row was reduced from Rs. 333 to Rs. 160 and as a consequence that of Lakshmiddevamma to Rs. 38 a month. Sometime after, Venkata Konda Row also died, when his pension of Rs. 160 was continued to his widow. Some time after, Venkata Konda Row was brought under Government management, and the pension of Venkata Konda Row was reduced from Rs. 333 to Rs. 160 and as a consequence that of Lakshmiddevamma to Rs. 38 a month.
12. Further remarks.
13. Remarks of the Board of Revenue.
14. Government Order.

Resolution—dated 25th October 1883, No. 3217.

Submitted to Government.

2. For the reasons stated in paragraph 4 of Board's Proceedings, No. 285, dated 28th February 1873, and approved by Government in their Order, No. 310, dated 14th March 1873, the Board are decidedly of opinion that the allowance of Rs. 36 to the late Lakshmidewamma, which was solely the result of a private arrangement between herself and Keadal Row, must be regarded as a life grant only, terminable at her death, and the full allowance should now be drawn by Janaki Row alone, under G.O., No. 379, dated 14th April 1882.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) G. MACKENZIE,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue
Department.

Copy to the Collector of Kistna.

ORDER—dated 11th January 1884, No. 27, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The view taken by the Board of
Revenue is correct and must be carried
out.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. S. LAFFAN,
for Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
the Accountant-General.

Exd. W. H. French.

40 Copies.

16-373
6705
18-9-20
4-1-21

17. Original

Gobi. of (1884) Madras.

REVENUE.

2015/90

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 11th January 1884, No. 27.

JANUARY.

Money allowance

enjoyed by deceased Lakshmiddevamma of the
Kistna district.—Board's view regarding the —,
considered to be correct and ordered to be
carried out.