

(1) Number of pages 12(2) Date of repair 17.7.41(3) Initials of the Referencer R

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 434Press
Mis.Dated 15th April 1885 18Not to be folded or roughly handled

Seed-beds
 modification of the rules regarding the
 levy of assessment — Approved

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 28th January 1885,
No. 289 :—

Read—again the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd May
1884, No. 1729 :—

ABSTRACT.—Requesting certain Collectors to report on the subject of charging Fasaljasti on seed-beds, and to state their opinions as to the manner in which the present rules require modification.

Read—also the following papers :—

From the Collector of Arcot, North, dated 30th July	1884, No. 300.
" " of Godavari, " 4th June " " 238.	
" " of Kistna, " 4th December " " 3877.	
" " of Tanjore, " 7th August " " 4604.	
" " of Tinnevely, " 26th July " " 373.	

Resolution—dated 28th January 1885, No. 289.

Under instructions from Government the Board * referred to the Collectors of certain districts † for an expression of their opinions regarding the rules in force in respect of the charge of assessment on land used as seed-beds. The letters read above are the Collectors' replies.

* Proceedings, dated 23rd May 1884, No. 1729.

† Godavari, Kistna, North Arcot, Tanjore and Tinnevely.

Godavari.—Mr. Foster reports that in this district seed-beds are left out of account in regard to the charge of Fasaljasti. He would leave them out of consideration in all cases. Upon the case referred to by Government, when seedlings are left in the bed to attain full growth, he observes that this rarely happens except for want of water, and that a crop worth being charged for is seldom obtained by such means. As regards the case put by the Board where land is permanently reserved for seed-beds the Collector would not charge Fasaljasti, though he would give remission.

Kistna.—It appears from the Collector's report that the procedure in this district is not uniform—in some taluks water-rate is charged on dry land and for seed-beds, and in some not. Moreover in some parts ragi seed-beds in dry land are charged, while paddy beds are exempted. As regards remission of assessment on wet land, it appears that the ordinary rules are applied. Mr. Happell does not express any opinion regarding the modification of the rules.

North Arcot.—The Collector would make no charge for seed-beds, as such, upon any kind of land—dry, single or double crop—and would apply the ordinary remission rules to wet land on which seed-beds may be put down. The Sub-Collector would impose certain restrictions in regard to land used exclusively for seed-beds.

Tanjore.—The Collector of Tanjore would make no change in the rules as set forth in paragraph 4 of the Board's Proceedings under reply.

15TH APRIL 1885, No. 434, REVENUE.

Tinnevely.—The Collector of this district would modify Rule (b) in the Board's Proceedings quoted by allowing remission in case the raising of a crop after the removal of the seedlings is rendered impracticable for want of water. This modification would in effect bring about the same state of things which Mr. Stuart and Mr. Foster recommend, viz., that in making charges of Fasal and Tirwajasti and in granting remission, seed-beds should be left out of the reckoning altogether.

2. The proposal thus made has the advantage of simplicity, and, while entailing no sensible loss of revenue, would obviate a good deal of petty oppression on the part of the village officials. The Board recommend that the rules be amended accordingly, and that Collectors be instructed to make no account of seed-beds in levying assessment or in granting remissions, but to deal with the whole field, if wet, in accordance with the ordinary rules. If through deficiency of water or for other cause beyond the ryot's control, seedlings are not planted out, it is not worth while to charge the assessment on the small plots on which they may have been sown.

(true Extract.)

(Signed)

E. GIBSON,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with five letters in original to be returned.

Copy to the Collector of Godáviri.
" " " of Kistna.
" " " of North Arcot.
" " " of Tanjore.
" " " of Tinnevely.

ORDER—dated 15th April 1885, No. 434, Revenue.
Land Revenue, No. 50.

Approved.

(true Extract.)

(Signed)

W. WILSON,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with five enclosures returned.

Exd. W. H. French.

40 Copies.

Govt. of 1885 Madras.

REVENUE.

Issued

Encl.

Recd.

G.O., 15th April 1885, No. 434.

APRIL.

Seed-beds.

Modification of the rules respecting the levy of assessment on —, approved.



No. 883

From the Board of Revenue

Dated 28th Jan'y 1885. No 289.FOR ORDERS.

With reference to the
Honble Member's note
attached to L.O. of 22nd
April 1884 No 533.

It is submitted
that the rule now proposed
by the Board is pretty
liberal and may be
approved. The application
to seed beds of the ordinary
remission rules, whereby
they will be exempt from
all charge when no crop
is raised in them from
want of water, does not
seem to be an unreason-
able concession.

The fixing of a
limit of area for seed beds

as suggested by
which Mr Fawcett, Sub
Comr of No 11. Area, suggests
does not seem necessary
in as much as the area
appropriated to seed beds,
whatever its extent, would
be charged like other
cultivated land except
when it fails to yield
a crop owing to want of
water. Against the ex-
penditure of water in-
volved in raising seed-
lings, where the assess-
ment is permitted, there
would be a saving of
water on land planted
with those seedlings
which would yet pay full
assessment.

FOR ORDERS.

C No 883.

Change for seed bed
lands

For the Collector.

15/4/85

G.O. No 124 of 15-4-85

C. 7-4-85
R. 9-4-85

BOARD OF REVENUE, 28TH JANUARY 1885, No. 289.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

READ—again the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd May 1884, No. 1729 :—

ABSTRACT.—Requesting certain Collectors to report on the subject of charging Fasaljasti on seed-beds, and to state their opinions as to the manner in which the present rules require modification.

READ—also the following papers :—

From the Collector of Arcot, North, dated 30th July	1884, No. 300.
" " of Godavari, " 9th June	" " 238.
" " of Kistna, " 4th December	" " 3877.
" " of Tanjore, " 7th August	" " 4604.
" " of Tinnevely, " 26th July	" " 373.

RESOLUTION—dated 28th January 1885, No. 289.

Under instructions from Government the Board * referred to the Collectors of certain districts † for an expression of their opinions regarding the rules in force in respect of the charge of assessment on land used as seed-beds. The letters read above are the Collectors' replies.

Godavari.—Mr. Foster reports that in this district seed-beds are left out of account in regard to the charge of *Fasaljasti*. He would leave them out of consideration in all cases. Upon the case referred to by Government, when seedlings are left in the bed to attain full growth, he observes that this rarely happens except for want of water, and that a crop worth being charged for is seldom obtained by such means. As regards the case put by the Board where land is permanently reserved for seed-beds the Collector would not charge *Fasaljasti*, though he would give remission.

Kistna.—It appears from the Collector's report that the procedure in this district is not uniform—in some taluks water-rate is charged on dry land and for seed-beds, and in some not. Moreover in some parts ragi seed-beds in dry land are charged, while paddy beds are exempted. As regards remission of assessment on wetland, it appears that the ordinary rules are applied. Mr. Huppell does not express any opinion regarding the modification of the rules.

North Arcot.—The Collector would make no charge for seed-beds, as such, upon any kind of land—dry, single or double-crop, and would apply the ordinary remission rules to wet land on which seed-beds may be put down. The Sub-Collector would impose certain restrictions in regard to land used exclusively for seed-beds.

Tanjore.—The Collector of Tanjore would make no change in the rules as set forth in paragraph 4 of the Board's Proceedings under reply.

Tinnevely.—The Collector of this district would modify Rule (b) in the Board's Proceedings quoted by allowing remission in case the raising of a crop after the removal of the seedlings is rendered impracticable for want of water. This modification would in effect bring about the same state of things which Mr. Stuart and Mr. Foster recommend, viz., that in making charges of Fasal and Tirwajasti and in granting remission, seed-beds should be left out of the reckoning altogether.

2. The proposal thus made has the advantage of simplicity, and, while entailing no sensible loss of Revenue, would obviate a good deal of petty oppression on the part of the village officials. The Board recommend that the rules be amended accordingly and that Collectors be instructed to take no account of seed-beds in levying assessment or in granting remissions, but to deal with the whole field, if wet, in accordance with the ordinary rules. If through deficiency of water or for other cause beyond the ryot's control, seedlings are not planted out, it is not worth while to charge the assessment on the small plots on which they may have been sown.

(A true Extract.)

Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with five letters, in original, to be returned.

Copy to the Collector of Godavari.
" " " of Kistna.
" " " of North Arcot.
" " " of Tanjore.
" " " of Tinnevely.

Sp. 434-1102

Approved

10.6

15-4-85

To the Board of Revenue, 5th Jan 1885

10

Exd. W. J. Lewis

10 3 May 1884 No 1729
" " 10 1880 " 639
S.M.C 2. Sept 1858 " 1206
CNO 3573 17-1884.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
1885.
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.
No 883.

Printed February 14.
[Signature]

Proceedings, 28th January 1885, No. 289.

With 5 letters
supd 7/2/85

Expressing Board's opinion in regard to the
levy or remission of assessment on land used as
seed-beds.

7-4-85
69-4-85