M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 8

(2) Date of repair 25 10 44

(3) Initials of the Referencer Cb

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue. **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 845. Press ~~Mis.~~

Dated 13. 7. 1883.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

READ—the following paper from J. B. PENNINGTON, Esq., Collector of Tinnevelly, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 1st June 1883, No. 1068:—

TABULAR Report of Lapsed Inams in the District of Tinnevelly.

Case No.	Taluk and Village. Extent and Value of the entire Inam.	When and by whom granted. Name of the original Grantee, Sanad or other Documents in support of the Grant.	Nature of the Grant, Charity or Service, Nature of the Duty prescribed by the Grant.	Name of the last Holder, Date of his Decease, and the Relationship in which he stood to the Grantee.	Share enjoyed by the Deceased and that held by the Other Members.	Family left by the Deceased and Name and Age of the Parties, their Circumstances and the Means of Subsistence possessed by them.	Recommendation of the Collector and the Grounds on which it is made.	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue.	Decision of Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	Taluk Treasury. Otapidâram, Rupees 17-8-0 per mensem.	Granted by the Honorable Company to the relations of the late Poligar of Panjalankurchi. Orders* from the Board of Revenue, dated 21st September 1804, and 9th January 1808 and G.O., No. 589, dated 3rd September 1824.	Maintenance Allowance.	Dalavoikomarasami Naick, who was one of the members of the family of the late Poligar of Panjalakurchi, died on the 13th November 1881.	The entire amount of pension, Rs. 17-8-0 per mensem, was enjoyed by the deceased.		Dalavoikomarasami Naick, the last holder, and the son of Bommacah, one of the members of the family of the late Poligar of Panjalankurchi, was in receipt of a monthly pension of Rs. 17-8-0 for his maintenance and died unmarried on the 13th November 1881. 2. The mother of the deceased is the individual marked No. 2 in the Trichinopoly Collector's decision, dated 28th June 1823, referred to in G.O., No. 589, dated 3rd September 1824. 3. The deceased was transferred to this district from Trichinopoly in 1880—*vide* Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 5634, dated 26th May 1880. 4. Dalavoikomarasami Dorai, one of the two sons of Company Sellum, No. 5 in the abovesaid decision, claimed that the pension drawn by the deceased should be transferred to his and his brother's names, on the ground that the deceased was his paternal aunt's son, and that he was under his protection during his life. 5. From the correspondence referred to in the Government Order quoted above, it appears that, on a former occasion when a pensioner called Perumalackah (No. 6) of the same family died without issue, her allowance was not continued to any of the surviving members of the family, but lapsed to Government. Besides, the claimant already receives a monthly pension of Rs. 52-8-0 for the maintenance of himself and his family. 6. Under these circumstances, the Collector recommends that the pension enjoyed by the deceased should lapse to Government, as he has left no lineal heir, and that the claim set forth by Dalavoikomarasami Dorai should not be admitted. 7. The delay in submitting this report is owing to the long correspondence between this office and the Treasury Deputy Collector, Trichinopoly, from whom the records connected with this grant were received. The last paper was received only on the 16th instant.		

* Quoted in the letter, dated 31st July 1824, of the Collector of Trichinopoly to the Board of Revenue.

RESOLUTION—dated 21st June 1883, No. 1805.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. By G.O., dated 31st August 1804, a pension of 15 pagodas per mensem was conferred upon the family of the late Poligar of Panjalankurchi, comprising the eight persons named in the margin, who were captured during the disturbance in the Province of Dindigul, and the pension was increased to 40 pagodas per mensem, with reference to G.O., dated 23rd December 1807. In their Order of 3rd September 1824, the Government approved of the arrangement made by the Collector of Trichinopoly, where the family was kept under restraint, for the distribution of the pension, and observed that "any casualty which may occur among the surviving pensioners must be reported by the Collector with such information as may enable the Government to decide as to the propriety of continuing the whole or any portion of the lapsed share." Under the above arrangement, the share enjoyed by Bommackah (No. 2) was continued to her son. The Collector now reports the death of this son named Dalavoikomarasami Naick, who was unmarried, and recommends that his allowance of Rs. 17-8-0 per mensem, which is claimed by one Dalavoikomarasami Dorai, son of Company Sellum (No. 5), on behalf of himself and his brother, should lapse to Government, as the deceased has left no lineal heirs and the claimant, Dalavoikomarasami Dorai, already receives a monthly pension of Rs. 52-8-0 for the maintenance of himself and his family. The Board agree with the Collector and support his recommendation.

1. Mullackah.
2. Bommackah.
3. Veramulloo.
4. Getty Mulloo.
5. Company Sellum.
6. Perumalackah.
7. Ramackah.
8. Nagammal.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Collector of Tinnevelly.

Ed. A. F. Aaron.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 21st June 1883, No. 1805 :—

Read—the following paper from J. B. PENNINGTON, Esq., Collector of Tinnevelly, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 1st June 1883, No. 1068 :—

TABULAR Report of Lapsed Inams in the District of Tinnevelly.

Case No.	Taluk and Village, Extent and Value of the entire Inam.	When and by whom granted, Name of the original Grantee, Sanad or other Documents in support of the Grant.	Nature of the Grant, Charity or Service, Nature of the Duty prescribed by the Grant.	Name of the last Holder, Date of his Decease, and the Relationship in which he stood to the Grantee.	Share enjoyed by the Deceased and that held by the Other Members.	Family left by the Deceased and Name and Age of the Parties, their Circumstances and the Means of Subsistence possessed by them.	Recommendation of the Collector and the Grounds on which it is made.	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue.	Decision of Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	Taluk Treasury, Ottapidáram, Rupees 17-8-0 per mensem.	Granted by the Honorable Company to the relations of the late Poligar of Panjalankurchi. Orders* from the Board of Revenue, dated 21st September 1804, and 9th January 1808, and G.O., No. 589, dated 3rd September 1824.	Maintenance Allowance.	Dalavoikomaraswami Naick, who was one of the members of the family of the late Poligar of Panjalankurchi, died on the 13th November 1881.	The entire amount of pension, Rs. 17-8-0 per mensem, as enjoyed by the deceased.	....	Dalavoikomaraswami Naick, the last holder, and the son of Bommackah, one of the members of the family of the late Poligar of Panjalankurchi, was in receipt of a monthly pension of Rs. 17-8-0 for his maintenance and died unmarried on the 13th November 1881. 2. The mother of the deceased is the individual marked No. 2 in the Trichinopoly Collector's decision, dated 28th June 1823, referred to in G.O., No. 589, dated 3rd September 1824. 3. The deceased was transferred to this District from Trichinopoly in 1880—*vide* Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 5634, dated 26th May 1880. 4. Dalavoikomaraswami Doral, one of the two sons of Company Sellum, No. 5 in the abovesaid decision, claimed that the pension drawn by the deceased should be transferred to his and his brother's names, on the ground that the deceased was his paternal aunt's son, and that he was under his protection during his life. 5. From the correspondence referred to in the Government Order quoted above, it appears that, on a former occasion when a pensioner called Perumalackah (No. 6) of the same family died without issue, her allowance was not continued to any of the surviving members of the family, but lapsed to Government. Besides, the claimant already receives a monthly pension of Rs. 52-8-0 for the maintenance of himself and his family. 6. Under these circumstances, the Collector recommends that the pension enjoyed by the deceased should lapse to Government, as he has left no lineal heir, and that the claim set forth by Dalavoikomaraswami Dorai should not be admitted. 7. The delay in submitting this report is owing to the long correspondence between this office and the Treasury Deputy Collector, Trichinopoly, from whom the records connected with this grant were received. The last paper was received only on the 16th instant.		

* Quoted in the letter, dated 31st July 1824, of the Collector of Trichinopoly to the Board of Revenue.

Resolution—dated 21st June 1883, No. 1805.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. By G.O., dated 31st August 1804, a pension of 15 pagodas per mensem was conferred upon the family of the late Poligar of Panjalankurchi, comprising the eight persons named in the margin, who were captured during the disturbance in the Province of Dindigul, and the pension was increased to 40 pagodas per mensem, with reference to G.O., dated 23rd December 1807. In their Order of 3rd September 1824, the Government approved of the arrangement made by the Collector of Trichinopoly, where the family was kept under restraint, for the distribution of the pension, and observed that "any casualty which may occur among the surviving pensioners must be reported by the Collector with such information as may enable the Government to decide as to the propriety of continuing the whole or any portion of the lapsed share." Under the above arrangement, the share enjoyed by Bommackah (No. 2) was continued to her son. The Collector now reports the death of this son, named Dalavoikomaraswami Naick, who was unmarried, and recommends that his allowance of Rs. 17-8-0 per mensem, which is claimed by one Dalavoikomaraswami Dorai, son of Company Sellum (No. 5), on behalf of himself and his brother, should lapse to Government, as the deceased has left no lineal heirs and the claimant, Dalavoikomaraswami Dorai, already receives a monthly pension of Rs. 52-8-0 for the maintenance of himself and his family. The Board agree with the Collector and support his recommendation.

1. Mullackah.
2. Bommackah.
3. Veramulloo.
4. Getty Mulloo.
5. Company Sellum.
6. Perumalackah.
7. Ramackah.
8. Nagammal.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. M. WINTERBOTHAM,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Collector of Tinnevelly.

ORDER—dated 13th July 1883, No. 845, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The Collector's recommendation, supported by the Board of Revenue, is approved and sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. W. H. French.

40 Copies.

13-8-12
15/11/12 9-3-09.
11-3-1

17/3/12
3-7-12
29/11/12
2 9. 25
28-3-36_1

Govt. of {1883} Madras.

REVENUE.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 13th July 1883, No. 845.

JULY.

Pension

enjoyed by the deceased Dalavoikomaraswami, one of the members of the family of the late Poligar of Panjalankurchi, Tinnevelly District.—Collector's recommendation that the — should lapse to Government, approved and sanctioned.