

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA
AND INDIAN STATES.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 333

Press

~~Mis.~~

Dated

5. 3.

1883.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following letter from J. C. HANNYNGTON, Esq., British Resident in Travancore and Cochin, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Trivandrum, 1st June 1882, No. 39 :—

With reference to G.Os., Revenue Department, No. 925, dated 13th June 1881, and Political Department, No. 528, dated 16th November 1881, I have the honor to forward copy of a letter, No. 963—P256, of the 21st April 1882, from the Dewan of Travancore acceding to the rectification of the boundary line between Travancore and Tinnevely therein adverted to.

ENCL.

Letter from V. RAMIENGAR, Dewan, to British Resident of Travancore, &c., dated Trivandrum, 21st April 1882, No. 963—P256.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 22nd July last, No. 651, and the dockets and letter marginally noted, regarding certain rectifications of the boundary line between Travancore and Tinnevely proposed by the Tinnevely authorities.

1st July 1881, No. 587.
18th Nov. 1881, No. 1054.
6th April 1882, No. 333.

2. The first question raised relates to the adjustment of the boundary at the summit of the Varattar on the northern extremity of the Shencottah taluk. The accompanying map, which I have marked A to facilitate reference, professes to illustrate the matter in dispute. Travancore claims the whole of the tract within the red-pencil line up to the Shairmalai, but this is disputed by the Tinnevely authorities who allege that the blue-dotted line indicates the correct boundary. Mr. Hayne on behalf of Tinnevely proposes what amounts to a compromise, viz., that the purple line above the coffee estates should be taken as the Travancore limits. I have no personal knowledge of the locality; but, assuming Mr. Hayne's sketch marked A to which I have been referring, to correctly indicate the boundaries, the Travancore Government agree to make the concession sought, viz., to take the purple line as the boundary and to resign the ridge beyond, up to Shairmalai.

3. The next question brought up by Mr. Hayne relates to the adjustment of the boundary from the foot to the summit of the hills at the southern extremity of the Shencottah taluk. This boundary as shown in the accompanying sketch furnished by Mr. Cosby and which I have marked B, consists of two lines, one shown by a black-dotted line traced according to Messrs. Ward and Conner's angles, and the other a red-dotted line marked according to the ayacut accounts. Mr. Hayne prefers the latter as being a well-defined ridge, a spur running from foot to top. The Travancore Government have no objection to offer to this line and are willing that it should be adopted.

READ—the following official memorandum from E. F. WEBSTER, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, to the Resident in Travancore and Cochin, dated Ootacamund, 1st July 1882, No. 311 :—

ABSTRACT.—Requesting to forward map A, enclosure to letter from the Dewan of Travancore, dated 21st April 1882, No. 963—P256, regarding Travancore and Tinnevely boundary.

READ—the following official memorandum from E. F. WEBSTER, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, to the Resident in Travancore and Cochin, dated Ootacamund, 21st July 1882, No. 335:—

ABSTRACT.—Requesting to forward sketch B, enclosure in letter No. 963—P256, dated 21st April 1882, from the Dewan of Travancore regarding Tinnevely and Travancore boundary.

READ—the following official memorandum from E. F. WEBSTER, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, to the Collector of Tinnevely, dated Ootacamund, 7th August 1882, No. 362:—

ABSTRACT.—Forwarding to the Collector of Tinnevely, for remarks, letter from the Resident of Travancore and Cochin, with enclosure and sketches A and B, regarding Tinnevely and Travancore.

READ—the following official memorandum from the Resident in Travancore and Cochin, to the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort St. George, dated Trivandrum, 26th July 1882, No. 65:—

ABSTRACT.—Forwarding sketch B showing the southern boundaries of the Shencottah District as requested.

READ—the following letter from J. B. PENNINGTON, Esq., Collector of Tinnevely, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Tinnevely, 23rd August 1882, No. 528:—

In reply to official memorandum No. 362, dated 7th instant, I have the honor to explain that the two small cases referred to in the enclosed letter from the Dewan are quite distinct from the Taragan's Coffee Estate and Venga Naik's Anicut referred to in the orders of Government quoted by the Resident, and affect the boundary in the Tenkasi taluk only. Apparently the views of the Travancore Government on the two cases in Nanguturi (Venga Naik's Anicut and the Taragan's Estate) have not yet been communicated to the Resident.

2. I am, however, sending him a copy of this letter so that he may himself explain if any mistake has been made in forwarding the wrong letter from the Dewan.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 417.

Copy of official memorandum, No. 362, dated 7th August 1882, is herewith forwarded for information. The Collector reports that he has addressed the Resident, a further communication from whom will be awaited.

Ootacamund,
4th September 1882.

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Resident in Travancore, with one enclosure.

READ—the following letter from J. C. HANNYNGTON, Esq., Resident in Travancore and Cochin, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort St. George, dated Trivandrum, 28th September 1882, No. 101:—

With reference to your official memorandum, No. 417, dated 4th instant, I have the honor to state that the Dewan's letter, No. 963, dated 21st April 1882, submitted to Government with my No. 39, dated 1st June last, refers to slight rectifications of the Travancore-Tinnevely boundary at two points as suggested by Mr. Hayne through the Collector of Tinnevely; but these are quite different from

those adverted to in G.Os., No. 925, dated 13th June, and No. 528, dated 16th November 1881. I regret that by an oversight these Government Orders were wrongly quoted in my letter to Government forwarding the Dewan's communications.

2. The Dewan has not yet replied to my references on the questions referred to in the Government Orders above mentioned, although he acknowledges them in his letter of the 21st April 1882; I have therefore again called his attention to the subject.

READ—the following letter from J. C. HANNYNGTON, Esq., Resident in Travancore and Cochin, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort St. George, dated Cochin, 27th February 1883, No. 21:—

ABSTRACT.—Forwarding copy of a letter from the Collector of Tinnevely, and requesting that the sketch-maps of the Tinnevely-Travancore boundary may be sent direct to that officer.

ORDER—dated 5th March 1883, No. 333, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The Government infer that the letter from the Collector of Tinnevely, referred to by that officer in his letter of the 22nd February 1883 to the Resident in Travancore, is the letter referred to in Mr. Hannyngton's communication, dated 1st September 1882, by which slight rectifications of the Travancore-Tinnevely boundary originally suggested by Mr. Hayne were proposed. To prevent confusion the Collector should in future address the Resident on such matters through the Government.

2. Orders were not passed on the mentioned letter or on the Resident's previous communication, dated the 1st September 1882, No. 39, until the misunderstanding which arose from his quotation of wrong Government Orders had been cleared up.

3. The Sirkar having no objection to the rectifications suggested, effect should be forthwith given to the suggestion made by Mr. Hayne, and it is to this end the Government presume that the sketch originally received with the Resident's letter of the 1st June 1882 are retained. They are accordingly forwarded with this order to the Collector of Tinnevely as requested.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) P. P. HUTCHINS,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" Political Department, for communication
" to the Resident in Travancore.
" Superintendent, Madras Survey.
" Collector of Tinnevely, with sketches A and B.

Exd. W. H. French.

80 Copies.

12/11/14
2-11-14

Original
Govt. of 1883: Madras.
REVENUE.

CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA
AND INDIAN STATES.

Issued

3-2

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 5th March 1883, No. 333.

MARCH.

Boundary

between Tinnevely and Travancore.—Orders
passed on papers relating to certain rectifications
suggested by Mr. Hayne in the —.

No 963
P 256.

28
Kuzhicutcheri
Tevandrum, 21 April 1882

To
J. L. Harrington Esquire
British Resident of Travancore.
Yes Yes Yes

Sir,
I have the honor to acknow-
ledge the receipt of your letter of the 22nd
July last No 601 and the dockets and
letter marginally noted, regarding certain
rectifications of the Boundary line between
Travancore and Tinnevely proposed by
the Tinnevely authorities.

The first question raised relates
to the adjustment of the boundary at
the summit of the Parattor on the
northern extremity of the Shencottah
Salug. The accompanying map which
I have marked A to facilitate reference
proposes to illustrate the matter in
dispute. Travancore claims the whole
of the tract within the red pencil line
up to the Shanmalai, but this is dis-
puted by the Tinnevely authorities who
allege that the blue dotted line indi-
cates the correct boundary. Mr. Hayne
on behalf of Tinnevely proposes what
amounts to a compromise, namely,
that the purple line above the Coffee

Estates

*1 July 1881 No 597
18th Nov. 1881 - 1054
5 April 1882 - 333*

Estates should be taken as the Savan-
core limits. I have no personal know-
ledge of the locality, but assuming
Mr. Haynes' sketch marked A which
I have been referring to, correctly indi-
cate the boundaries. The Travancore
Government agree to make the
concession sought, namely to take
the purple line as the boundary and
to resign the ridge beyond, up to
Shamimalai.

3. The next question brought up by
Mr. Haynes relates to the adjustment
of the boundary from the foot to the
summit of the hills at the southern
extremity of the Shencottah taluk.
This boundary as shown in the accom-
panying sketch furnished by Mr. Cooley
and which I have marked B, consists
of two lines one shown by a black
dotted line traced according to Messrs.
Ward & Conr's angles and the other a
red dotted line marked according to the
Dyacoit accounts. Mr. Haynes prefers
the latter as being a well defined
ridge, a spur running from foot to top.
The Travancore Government have no ob-
jection to offer to this line and are willing
that it should be adopted.

I have &c.
Lieut. T. Ramnayan
Diwan

June

(True copy)

Chamraj Periyar
President

4
a

Am. B. L.

J. S.

No 39.

From

Residents Office
Travancore, 1st June 1882

J. C. Hannington Esquire
British Resident in
Travancore & Cochin

To,

C. F. Webster Esquire
Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Fort Saint George

Sir,

With reference to Government
orders, Revenue Department, No 925
dated 13th June 1881 and, Political De-
partment, No 528 dated 16th November 1881,
I have the honor to forward copy of a
letter No 963 of the 21st April 1882 from
the Dewan of Travancore acceding
to the rectification of the Boundary
line between Travancore and Tinnevely
therein adverted to.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedt servant,
Hannington
Resident-

ms. L. 2. 1.

Rev: 29.6.82

Enclosure

Revenue Department
Political (1882) Department.

No 2930

From

The Resident-in
Travancore & Cochin

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Fort St George.

No 39.

Date 1st June 1882

Received

No

5/6

Forwarding Copy of a letter
from the Dewan of Travancore
according to the rectification
of the Boundary line between
Travancore and Tinnevely
advertised to Gov. Rev. Deptt.
No 925 d/13 June 1881 and
Political Deptt. No 528 d/16 Nov
1881

No 65

President's Office
Travancore 26th July 1882

Memo.

The undersigned has the
honour to forward herewith in
Sketch B called for in Memo
from the Acting Under Secretary
to Government No 335 dated
21st July 1882.

Munro
President

To

The Ag. Under Secretary
to Government
Fort Saint George
Pottacamund.

J. L.

Political 1882 Department.
From, *The Resident in
Travancore & Cochin*

To, *The Ag. Under Secretary
to Government
Fort St George*

N^o 65-

Dated 26th July 1882
Received

CONFIDENTIAL
1/8/82

Forwarding Sketch B
showing the southern
boundaries of the Channetta
District as requested.

96.5.

N^o 528 *Trincomalee Collectors Office*
23rd August 1882.

From, *J. B. Permington Esq*
Collector of
Trincomalee

To, *E. F. Webster Esq*
Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Fort Saint George

Sir,

In reply to official
Memorandum No 362 dated 7th Instant.
I have the honor to explain that
the two small cases referred
to in the enclosed letter from
the Diwan are quite distinct
from the Farugani's Coffee Estate
and Vanga Naiko's Amich referred
to in the orders of Government
quoted by the Resident, and affect
the boundary in the Tenkasi
Taluk only. Apparently the

views of the Travancore Government
on the two cases in Bangalore
(Venkaiah's arrest and the
Farajani's estate) have not yet
been communicated to the
Resident.

2. I am however sending him
a copy of this letter so that
he may himself explain
if any mistake has been
made in forwarding the wrong
letter from the Dewan.

I have the honor to be

Sir
Your most Obedt Servt

Frederick
Collector

10
2

copy 1912

no 4217/82

1882

TINNEVELLY COLLECTOR'S OFFICE.

From

The Collector

Tinnevely.

To

The Secretary to

Government, Revenue Department

Madras.

Dated, 23
Despatched
Received

August 1882

No. 528

ENCLOSURE

acc. dated 14th Sept
- tankam 1882, No 517
Subject

Deforming
two small cases referred
to in the enclosed
letter from the Diwan
are quite distinct from
the Karagan's Coffee
estate and Kunga Naik's
Ancient referred to in
the orders of Govt
quoted by the Resident
of Travancore

N^o 101.

President's Office

Travancore, 28th September 1882

From
J. C. Hannington Esq
President in Travancore
and Cochin

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Fort Saint George

Sir,

With reference to your official memo
no 417 dated 4th instant, I have the honor
to state that the Diwan's letter no 963
dated 21st April 1882 submitted to Govern-
ment with my no 39 dated 1st June
last refers to slight rectifications of the
Travancore Tinnevely boundary at two
points as suggested by Mr. H. A. H. H. H. H.
the Collector of Tinnevely; but these
are quite different from those adverted
to in Government Orders no 925 dated
13th June and no 528 dated 16th November
1881. I regret that by an oversight these

Government Orders were wrongly quoted
in my letter to Government forwarding
the Dewan's communications.

2. The Dewan has not yet replied
to my references on the questions referred
to in the Government Orders above mentioned,
although he acknowledges them in his
letter of the 21st April 1882. I have there-
fore again called his attention to the
subject.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant.

W. D. Dufferin

Asst. for President.

1882 (1882)

18
a

in relation with
the Government

my signature
to the Dewan
of the 1st

1882
1882/10/25 15.10.1882
1882

1882/10/25 15.10.1882
1882/10/25 15.10.1882
1882/10/25 15.10.1882
1882/10/25 15.10.1882

Revenue (1882) Department
From No 5544
The Resident in
Savane & Lochin

L

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Fort Saint-Jean

No 101.

Dated 28th September }
Received 2nd October } 1882

Is

Explaining that G. 26
No 926 d/13th June and
No 525 d/16th Nov/81
remain unreflected for by
The Director of Revenue
whose attention has now
been called.

10/10/82, 1000-5544

2/10/82

See over for receipt of
further communication from

5. 10. 82.

No 115

Ministry Collectors Office
29th February 1883.

14

a

From

The collector of
Ministry

To,

The British Resident
Savane & Lochin

Sir,

As the sketches referred
to in my letter No 57 dated
29th January 1883 are urgently re-
quired for conducting the boundary
work at the northern and
southern extremities of the
Shencotta Talug. I have the
honor to request that you will
be good enough to get them from
Government if they have not been
already returned and send them
to me as soon as possible.

I have seen
107 R. D. Broadfoot
check for folio
(True Copy)

Resident

10/10/82

N^o 21.

Residents Office,
Cochin, 27th February 1882.

From,

J. B. Hannington Esquire
Resident in Travancore Cochin

To.

P. P. Hutchins, Esquire
Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to your official
Memorandum N^o 417 dated 11th September
1882 with enclosure, I have the honor
to forward the accompanying copy of
a letter, N^o 115 of the 22nd Instant from
the collector of Tinnevely and to re-
quest that the sketch Maps referred
to may be sent direct to that
officer.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant.

[Signature]
Resident

[Signature]


[Signature]

Revenue (1883) Dept
No 1103

Political 1882 Department
From,
The Resident in
Travancore & Cochin

To,
The Secretary to Govt
Revenue Department
Fort St. George

No 21
Dated 27th February 1883
Received



Forwards copy of a letter
from the collector of
Tinnevely and requests
that the sketch maps
of the Tinnevely-
Travancore boundary may
be sent direct to that
office.

Wm. H. ...

333 of 5-3-83. *Print*
18
PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT. a

Read the following letter (cur: no 2930)
(in full with enclosures)

O. M. 17th July 1882 no 311
(abstract)

O. M. 21st July 1882 no 335.
(abstract)

O. M. 7th August 1882 no 362.
..... current no 4217 (abstract)

The following letter (cur: 4820)
in full

O. M. 4th Sep^r 1882 no 417.

The following letter (current no 5544)
in full.

The following letter (current no 1403)
in abstract.

Order

The Govt infer that the letter
from the collector of Tinnevely
referred to by the Resident in Travancore

By the Resident in Travancore
in his letter no 21 of 27th July 1883
from the collector of Tinnevely

is the letter referred to in Mr.
Hannington's communication
of 28th Sept 1882 ^{by which} suggesting
slight rectifications of the ~~Taverney~~
Taverney boundary ^{originally} suggested

by Mr. Hague were proposed. To prevent confusion the Collector
should address the Resident on such matters through Govt.

2. Orders were not
passed on the last mentioned
letter or on the Resident's
previous communication dated
the 1st June 1882 no 39 until
the misunderstanding which arose
from the ^{his} quotation of the wrong
Govt. orders had been cleared
up.

3. The Sircar
having no objection to the
rectifications suggested, effect
should be forthwith given
to the suggestions made by Mr.
Hague & it is to this end
the Govt. presume that

19
a

The sketches originally received
with the Resident's letter of
the 1st June 1882 are required.
They are accordingly forwarded
with this order to the Collector
of Taverney as requested.

for
3/3/83

- 21- To The Board of Revenue.
- 1- Political Dept. for
communication to the Resident
in Travancore.
- 2- " Supdt. Madras Survey
- 3- " Coll. Taverney with sketches A+B

Kotz

Great confusion has resulted from
(i) the Collector's having addressed
Res^t directly as to rectification.
(ii) from the Resident having replied
to Govt. & having quoted 2 orders which
had no reference to this case.

† 28th Oct. 81. no 1591
13th June 81 no 925

† The Sircar have replied
and to these two orders of current no 305
which with an order goes today to the
Secretary. 3/3/83

Infling rectifications of Boundary
between Truhari & Tinnevely
Kshencottah, Travancore

Cur: no 1403

Print.
5-3-83

Line Deep
10
5/3