

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை _____
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் _____
(3) ஆதாரம் குறிப்பவர் கருக்கொப்பம் _____

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

Reamy துறை

அரசாணை எண். 204	அச்சுதம்
மு. கோப்புத் துறை எண். 3030	பலவகை
நாள் : 23-7-1883	199

மடிக்கவோ சுண்டப்படிக் கையாளவோ கூடாது.

No. 4551.

From The Govt. Pleader

Dated the 17th July 1883.

FOR ORDERS.

G.O. 6th Feb 1883. no: 167. This is the Manjathamund case.
It is to be hoped the sale will be
proved & then the next issue whether
the Todas possessed the right
to sell will almost certainly go in
Govt's favour.

As to Mr. Shephard's bill

He was engaged	3 days February	
"	3 " April	
"	6 " July	Rs:
	12 x 175 =	2100
	T. allowance =	278
Total amounts to		<u>Rs: 2378</u>

G.O. 1005 of 6th March 1883

There is no need. Assume that
Rs 679 have already been sanctioned
for the journey & attendance in
Feb. & now sanction the balance.
Shephard will then be able to draw in July it is presumed Rs: 2 of G.O.
The whole if he has not already done so. 20th Nov 1872 allows Rs: 175 a day
to draw the Rs 679. If he has not
sent will not pay him again. Draft the fact that the officer drawing it is
Govt. but not the paper. Mr. Shephard might have addressed
D.O. about this. Then as to days
in July it is presumed Rs: 2 of G.O.
20th Nov 1872 allows Rs: 175 a day
the fact that the officer drawing it is
injuring his recess at Ootacamund
at the time notwithstanding. 19/7/83

பாடுகாப்பு பிரதி-1

புலிபுத்தூர் பெயர்:

புலிபுத்தூர் எஞ்சர்-
புலிபுத்தூர்

புலிபுத்தூர்
புலிபுத்தூர்
புலிபுத்தூர்

1005 - 2008

1005 - 2008

1005 - 2008

1005 - 2008

1005 - 2008

1005 - 2008

FOR ORDERS,

The Hon. C. Sullivan.

21-7-82
23-7-82

№ 204

Madras

July 1883.

From

H. H. Shephard Esquire,
Government Pleader,
Madras.

Go

The Hon'ble L. F. Webster,
Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

I have the honor to report that the hearing of the case referred to in Government Order noted in the margin was concluded on the 4th July and judgment reserved.

In February I attended the Court for the settlement of issues and was necessarily absent from Madras three days. On the 31st March I attended the Court for the examination of a witness, Mr Money who was about to leave

2

leave the country, and was again necessarily absent from Madras three days. The final hearing took place on the 21st, 22^d, 27th, 28th, 29th June and 4th July thus occupying six days. 3 days + 3 = 6 days

3 I am inclined to think it will be held that the Plaintiffs have failed to prove that they did in fact buy from the Todas such rights as they might have to sell, for the evidence as to the transaction, the payment of the price &c. was very contradictory and the conveyance was not executed or assented to by the Pottadar.

4 On the more important question whether the Todas possessed any interest which they could sell I think the decision must also be favorable to Government.

In

21-7-88
23-7-88

5 In addition to the other evidence which went to show the limited nature of the rights enjoyed by the Todas we were able from information given by a witness, the Revenue Inspector, in answer to some question put by the Judge, to produce from the records of the Collector's office a book containing a Muchilika in which the Todas of Todanad had expressed their desire to hold 12 bullahs of land on the terms mentioned in Mr Grant's letter of the

X not available here -
It is in an old B.O. which was put up last year & shown to the collector & the Pottadar.

27th April 1863. The Muchilika sets out the terms, which ought to have been inserted in the Pattahs then given and were inserted in the Pattahs given in

1871

1871, and purports to have been acknowledged by the marks of the Todas who, the Revenue Inspector deposed, were all present and had the matter fully explained to them. Though it is certainly strange that this document was not forthcoming in 1871 nor at any other time when the question of the rights of Todas came before the authorities, I do not think the genuineness of the book can well be disputed and indeed I may say that no attempt was made to do so. With this documentary evidence added to the other evidence that had previously been collected I think the Court cannot fail to decide that the Todas, at any rate those who

21-7-88
23-7-88

5
who assented to the terms of the Muchilika, have no right to alienate their Pattah lands.

6 As I was necessarily absent from Madras 12 working days, my fee according to the authorized scale amounts to Rs 2100 - " - " for travelling expenses from Madras to Botacomand and back ^{on} ~~and~~ the first two occasions I am entitled according to the authorized scale to Rs 278 - " - ". I have to request to sanction for the payment of Rs 2378 - " - ".

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

$\frac{175}{12}$
2100 days

H. H. Mishra
Government Pleader.

4

90 1 March / 83 Rs 254.
 - 23 October / 82 - 3479 Rs
 - 6 February / 83 - 167.
 - 6 March / 83 - 1665 Rs
 - 8 March / 83 - 1053 - -

No. 1364

Transferred to the
 Revenue Department.

Mammuth
 H. Asst Secretary -
 16/7.
Pottasamund
 17th July 1883

Mis. G.O. No. 3030.
 The Govt. observe that Rs
 679 have already been sanc-
 tioned on account of fees
 & travelling expenses on the
 occasion of his first appeal
 in the suit in Feb. 1883. (G.O.
 10005 dated 6 March 1883).
 Payment of the balance due
 or Rs 1699 is now sanctioned.

10564
25/7/83
W.S.
 23-7-83.
 To the Govt Pleader.
 " Asst General (1.)
 " Secy of Revenue
 Dated 27.7.83.
 C21-7-83.
 R23-7-83.

Revenue 7
filed 1883 / Dept
(Supt)
No. 4551
 From
 The Government Pleader
 Madras.

To The Chief Secretary
 to Govt.

Dated 17 JUL 83
 12
 RECEIVED
 REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Received.
 " R.D. 17 July
 No. 204
 23-7-83
 Subject. No. 2615

Communicating the
 result of C.S.No. 123 of
 1882, on the file of the
 Pottasamund
 Sub Court of Pottasamund

21-7-83
23-7-83
10564
25/7/83
W.S.
 23-7-83.
 To the Govt Pleader.
 " Asst General (1.)
 " Secy of Revenue
 Dated 27.7.83.
 C21-7-83.
 R23-7-83.