

(A)

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 10
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 11-12-89
(3) ஆதரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கெழுப்பம் 10ms ✓

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

Revenue துறை

அரசாணை எண்.	379	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை
REVENUE DEPARTMENT		
நாள் :	14-4-1882	198.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

~~Done~~

Dated 13th March 1882. 110768.

FOR ORDERS.

R. S.
E. J. W.

CCG. O. G. N. 3798/14/1901

together with Vol. 10,
 Tanaka's, who was finally
 adopted by the community.

written by Anna Komarova,
 to her husband,
 Grigori M. Komarova, is very
 much as follows:
 "I have received your
 letter of the 10th of the
 month, and I am
 very glad to hear
 of your recovery.
 I am sure you will
 be well again soon.
 I am, my dear,
 ever your affectionate
 wife,
 Anna Komarova."

2. Surprised to find even the
claimant, Randolph Martin
Dow, to come off in
the fight to find he is indeed
the boy specified for adop-
tion by the late Rachel Rob-
bison, though Randolph
Dow's mother was said

No. 758.

FOR ORDERS.

Regarding Continuance
of a pension.

Revenue Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.
Read Board's Pro-
ceedings, dated 13th March 1882, No. 758.

Order thereon, 14 April 1882, No 379.

As recommended by the
Collector and the Board of Revenue,
Janaki Rao, who was formally adopted
by the deceased widow lady, Amma-
-Kamma, her husband, Kondal Rao,
is recognized as heir of the whole al-
-lowance; subject however to the continuance
of the ancient monthly payment of Rs 36
to Lakshmi Devamma, the widow of his
adoptive father's brother.

2. In regard to the counter claimant,
Venkata Krishna Rao, the case is shown
to be that he is indeed the boy specified
for adoption by the late Kondal Rao,
but that though Kondal Rao's widow
was ready and willing to adopt him,
his own father flatly refused to give
him in adoption: upon which the
widow adopted Janaki Rao. In any
case therefore Venkata Krishna Ra

21 Feb 09 and 20 Dec 1882
21.6.2.82

60

Genl.

Recd

14/1/82

Mr. P. S. S. S.
10/1/82

C/13-14-82
C/14-14-82

has no locus standi: not being that
that the adoption of a daughter's son
is inadmissible in Southern India,
except amongst Sudras; and the
Sattanapalle family, it is believed,
are Brahmans.

True Extract.

Wm. E. Webster.
Secretary to Govt.

to the Secretary Gen.

Copy for the Exp.

Print
19/4

19/4/1882

14TH APRIL 1882, No. 379, REVENUE.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th March 1882, No. 758:—

Read—the following paper from J. G. HORSFALL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 20th December 1881, No. 17:—

TABULAR Statement showing the Particulars of the undermentioned Allowance recommended to be continued in the District of Kistna.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Taluk and Village.	Extent and Value of the estate owned or received.	When and by whom granted.	Reasons or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant, charter, table or service.	Name of the original grantee.	Name of the last holder, and the relationship in which he stood to the deceased.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by other members.	Family left by the deceased, and names and ages of the parties.	Their circumstances and livelihood possessed by the family.	Recommendation of the Collector and the grounds thereof.	Further Remarks.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue.	Government Order.
Guntur Taluk - Guntur.	Ra. 160 per annum, subject to a deduction of Rs. 34 payable to Lakshmi Devamma.	In 1837. By British Government.	(G.O., 8th June 1837.	In lieu of lands resumed.	Manuri Kondal Rao.	Manuri Ammakamma, widow, 26th October 1881.	The whole share enjoyed by herself.	Adopted son, Janaki Rao, of 14 years. 1. Daughter, Manundar Konamma, of 16 years. 2. Daughter, Ryapati Ramanna, of 40 years.	No other means but the allowance.	Manuri Kondal Rao, the original grantee, was allowed a monthly allowance of Rs. 160, which continued up to his demise on 11th March 1872. The allowance was declared inheritable by heirs, natural or adopted, without limitation, in perpetuity. G.O., No. 1709, of 2nd October 1871. On the death of Kondal Rao, his allowance was continued to his widow, Ammakamma, subject to a deduction of Rs. 36 payable to Lakshmi Devamma, widow of Kondal Rao's brother, during her life. Vide G.O., No. 310, of 14th March 1873. 3. The last holder died on 26th October 1881. She left an adopted son, Janaki Rao, aged now 14 years, and two daughters, one Mazundar Konamma and the other Nyapati Ramanna. The former two have claimed the allowance of the deceased. The Tahsildar of Guntur held an enquiry and took evidence from both parties.			

Janaki Rao claims on the ground that he is the nearest cousin (grandson of the deceased's husband's brother); that he was adopted by the deceased in November 1872, i.e., few months after her husband's death; and the fact was reported to Government. Consequently, she has been performing his adoptive father's annual ceremonies, and has lately performed his adoptive mother's funeral ceremonies.

Konamma claims for her 2nd son, Venkata Krishna Rao, now a minor of 18 years of age, on the ground that Kondal Rao and his wife (the deceased), her parents, first intended to adopt Venkata Krishna Rao and to recognize him as their successor; the same was reported to the authorities, and that the adoption of Janaki Rao by the deceased against the wishes of her late husband is null and void.

4. It appears from the enquiry and reports, that Kondal Rao had intended to adopt his daughter's son, Venkata Krishna Rao, to which the deceased also agreed; that owing to the refusal (vide stamped Karamama, dated 14th December 1872) witnessed by some respectable natives of Guntur town, of Venkata Krishna Rao's father to give his son for adoption to the deceased, she adopted Janaki Rao, her brother-in-law's grandson, to fill the wishes of her late husband as to adoption.

5. The fact of this adoption, after enquiry through the Tahsildar of Guntur, was reported to Government through the Board of Revenue, with a request that the allowance in the name of Ammakamma should at once be registered in the adopted boy's name. On this proposition, the Government passed the following order:— "They see no reason to follow the course suggested by the Collector or to pass at present any orders as to the continuance of the allowance after the death of Manuri Ammakamma" (Fide G.O., No. 785, of 26th June 1874).

6. The 2nd daughter of the deceased, Nyapati Ramanna, fully admits the validity of the adoption.

7. The original intention of the deceased and of her late husband does not appear to have been brought to the notice of the Board and Government. The adoption is good and valid by Hindu Law.

8. I therefore beg to recommend the continuance of the whole allowance to Janaki Rao, the adopted son, subject to a deduction of Rs. 36 payable to Lakshmi Devamma. The allowance during Janaki Rao's minority is to be paid to Manuri Ammakamma, the natural mother of Janaki Rao, who was nominated guardian to her adopted minor son by the deceased.

I further beg the sanction of the Board of Revenue to pay the sum of Rs. 104, being the arrears due to the deceased from 1st to 30th October 1881.

Resolution—dated 13th March 1882, No. 758.

Submitted for the orders of Government, with the Board's recommendation that the allowance be continued to Janaki Rao, the adopted son of the deceased Ammakamma, as proposed by the Collector.

2. The origin of the allowance is fully explained in the Board's Proceedings of the 23th February 1873, No. 235, recorded in G.O., dated 14th March 1873, No. 310.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue
Department.
Copy to the Collector of the Kistna District.

ORDER—dated 14th April 1882, No. 379, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

As recommended by the Collector and the Board of Revenue, Janaki Rao, who was formally adopted by the de-

ceased widow lady, Ammakamma, to her husband, Kondal Rao, is recognized as heir of the whole allowance; subject, however, to the continuance of the ancient monthly payment of Rs. 36 to Lakshmi Devamma, the widow of his adoptive father's brother.

2. In regard to the counter claimant, Venkata Krishna Rao, the case is shown to be that he is indeed the boy specified for adoption by the late Kondal Rao, but that though Kondal Rao's widow was ready and willing to adopt him, his own father flatly refused to give him in adoption: upon which, the widow adopted Janaki Rao. In any case, therefore, Venkata Krishna Rao has no *locus standi*: not to say, that the adoption of a daughter's son is inadmissible in Southern India, except amongst Sudras; and the Sattanapalle family, it is believed, are Brahmins.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. W. H. French.

50 Copies.

16-3-93
16/2/93
27/4/02
6.7.05.5

15/1/20

17/6/20

15-10-20.

18-11-22

16-3-93
16/2/93
27/4/02
6.7.05.5
15/1/20
17/6/20
15-10-20.
18-11-22
2015-93

Original

Obt. of (1882) Madras.
REVENUE.

Order, dated 14th April 1882, No. 379.

Allowance — enjoyed by deceased Annamamma of Kistna. — Janaki Rao recognised as heir of the whole — subject to the continuance of the monthly payment to Lakshmi Devamma.

