

(1) Number of pages 8(2) Date of repair 18.9.62

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	332	Press
B.P. No.	—	Mis. —
Dated	22.3.1882	195

Not to be folded or roughly handled

22ND MARCH 1882, No. 332, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th February 1882, No. 457 :—

Read—the following letter from H. E. STOKES, Esq., Collector of Salem, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 7th February 1882, No. 232 :—

With reference to Board's Proceedings, dated 13th January 1882, No. 77, I have the honor to state that for the last two years the practice described in the notice at page 3 of the District Gazette for April 3, 1880, has been observed in this District, and numerous puttass bearing in the case of lands taken up during this period, the notes in question, have been issued.

2. I have the honor to inquire whether these puttass are now to be recalled and fresh ones in the new form issued in respect of the lands in regard to which reservation has been noted. I presume that this should be done, and also that in regard to waste lands taken up hereafter separate puttass in the new form will have to be issued. This plan will make it easy to ascertain at any time to what extent the Government mining rights have been alienated, and will also secure the reservation being made where it ought to be. But it will enormously multiply the number of puttass.

3. I request immediate orders, as the Jamabandi of the fasli is just commencing.

Resolution—dated 11th February 1882, No. 457.

It was the intention that the new form of putta should be issued for all lands taken up since the date of receipt of the order directing that the right of the State to metals and minerals be reserved—G.O., dated 7th October 1879, No. 2029, communicated with Board's Proceedings, dated 11th December 1879, No. 3309. The puttass already granted for such lands should be recalled and fresh ones in the new form issued. Separate puttass in the new form should also be granted for land taken up hereafter.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary.

To the Collector of Salem.
Copy to all other Collectors.
" to the Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.
" to First Member, Board of Revenue,
in charge of Settlement Department.

2

22ND MARCH 1882, No. 332, REVENUE.

ORDER—dated 22nd March 1882, No. 332, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. W. H. French.

50 Copies

23/7/88 ✓

5-8-19 ✓

23. 11. 25 II ✓

Original

Govt. of (1882) Madras.
REVENUE.

Order, dated 22nd March 1882, No. 332.

Revenue Puttas — issued in old form subsequent to date of Government Order reserving State right to metals and minerals. — Board's Proceedings directing that — should be recalled and fresh ones in the new form issued, recorded.

No. 457.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 11th February 1882.

Read the following letter from H. E. STOKES, Esq., Collector of Salem, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 7th February 1882, No. 232 :—

With reference to Board's Proceedings, dated 13th January 1882, No. 77, I have the honor to state that for the last two years the practice described in the notice at page 3 of the District Gazette for April 3, 1880, has been observed in this district, and numerous puttas bearing in the case of lands taken up during this period, the notes in question, have been issued.

2. I have the honor to inquire whether these puttas are now to be recalled and fresh ones in the new form issued in respect of the lands in regard to which reservation has been noted. I presume that this should be done, and also that in regard to waste lands taken up hereafter separate puttas in the new form will have to be issued. This plan will make it easy to ascertain at any time to what extent the Government mining rights have been alienated, and will also secure the reservation being made where it ought to be. But it will enormously multiply the number of puttas.

3. I request immediate orders, as the Jamabandi of the fasli is just commencing.

It was the intention that the new form of putta should be issued for all lands taken up since the date of receipt of the order directing that the right of the State to metals and minerals be reserved—G.O., dated 7th October 1879, No. 2029, communicated with Board's Proceedings, dated 11th December 1879, No. 3309. The puttas already granted for such lands should be recalled and fresh ones in the new form issued. Separate puttas in the new form should also be granted for land taken up hereafter.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

[Signature]
Secretary.

To the Collector of Salem.

Copy to all other Collectors.

" to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department. ✓

" to First Member, Board of Revenue,
in charge of Settlement Department.

Exd. A. F. Grubert.

No 1374

Rev 1882. Sep 11
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

To
THE COLLECTOR OF SALEM.

Recd -
22/3

Printed March 2nd.

Received 11/3/82

PROCEEDINGS, 11TH FEBRUARY 1882, No. 457.

Depd 9/3/82

How N. H. H. H.

Intimating that Ryotwari Puttas issued in the old form subsequent to the date of Government Order reserving the State right to metals and minerals should be recalled and fresh ones in the new form issued.

G.O. No 332
Recorded.

gull

22 March 1882
With an Order.

Note.

C. N. 1374

The strictly correct view of the question seems to be that if the reservation clause inserted in the Puttas granted within the last two years suffices, it is unnecessary to recall them, and that if it does not, it is not proper to recall them. No doubt the strictly legal form in which the reservation is made in the form of Puttas now issued is necessary in the above case of all doubt on the point; but it seems unnecessary to follow them

Rev. 1882

that any defect that there
may be in the reservation
made in patches already
issued is unavoidable.

To recall now all the patches
in which lands newly
taken up have been
added, as well as ^{all} fresh
patches issued, throughout
the Presidency, within the
last two years, must
impose considerable
labour on District establish-
ments.

It is not supposed,
however, that this will
be considered desirable
or expedient to interfere
with the instructions which
the Board have issued.

The District Gazette
printed in the current
paper is not forthcoming and it does not seem indis-
pensably necessary. If a separate
is being made for it -

27

With and