

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer J

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

1235-

G.O. No. 1236

Press
Mis.

Dated

11-11-

1882.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

11TH NOVEMBER 1882, No. 1236, REVENUE.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

LETTER from—

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
FORT ST. GEORGE,

To

THE RESIDENT AT HYDERABAD.

SIR,

I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to transmit
copy of Proceedings of this Government,
No. 1235, of this date, relating to the
advisability of settling and demarcating
the boundary between His Highness the Nizam's Dominions and the Godávári
District from Puchikapadu eastwards to the Godávári.

Miscellaneous.

the boundary between His Highness the Nizam's Dominions and the Godávári
District from Puchikapadu eastwards to the Godávári.

2. As the Government of His Highness will doubtless concur in thinking it
desirable that advantage should be taken of the presence of a survey party in the
district to complete the settlement and demarcation of the boundary, I am to request
that an officer may be deputed for this purpose to meet an officer of the British
Government in March next, the earliest month in which the country will be com-
paratively healthy.

3. I am also to request that the officer deputed may be vested with full powers
to decide any disputes that may arise, and, to obviate possible misunderstanding here-
after, that the fact of his having been so authorized may be intimated in your reply.

4. His Highness' Government would probably consent, as on previous occasions,
to bear a moiety of the cost of demarcating the boundary line that may be agreed
upon.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,
Secretary to Government.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
11th November 1882.

Exd. A. F. Goodall.

40 Copies.

1882.

TO THE RESIDENT AT HYDERABAD.

From the Government of
Fort St. George.

Issued.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 11th November 1882, No. 1236.

NOVEMBER.

Requesting that an officer may be deputed for the settlement of the boundary between His Highness the Nizam's Dominions and the Godáviri District.

11TH NOVEMBER 1882, No. 1235, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 27th September 1882, No. 2392:—

READ—the following letter from Colonel H. T. ROGERS, R.E., Superintendent, Madras Survey, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Camp, Ootacamund, 9th September 1882, No. 83-B:—

I have the honor to bring to your notice that a portion of the boundary between Godáviri and His Highness the Nizam's territory still remains unsettled. Now that the topographical survey of the Godáviri District is in hand, it is desirable that the district boundary should be determined. I enclose a sketch of the run of the boundary.

(a) From A to B, between the Gutala Zemindari and the Nizam's dominions, the boundary has neither been settled nor demarcated.

(b) From B to C, the boundary was settled and demarcated by Mr. Stuart, but not surveyed.

(c) From C to D, the boundary was settled and demarcated by Mr. Bird and surveyed under the orders of Mr. Foster. But this line, having been surveyed with a compass, will require to be re-surveyed with a theodolite. I have issued instructions to the Deputy Superintendent, No. 1 Party, to survey the line from B to D, and where necessary to renew or render permanent existing demarcation. In the greater portion of the line, there will, therefore, be an accurate survey at an early date, and I beg to suggest in regard to the remaining portion A B, that an officer on the part of Madras should be appointed to meet an officer of the Nizam's service to settle this part of the boundary at an early date. An officer of the Survey Department should accompany them. I enclose copy of a letter from the Acting Head Assistant Collector of Godáviri, endorsed by the Collector of the district on the 14th August 1882, No. 26, which gives a history of the demarcation and settlement as far as performed.

The Government Orders and Board's Proceedings quoted in the note, with the exception of G.O., 2nd October 1871, No. 1717, G.O., 16th July 1877, No. 3955, and Board's Proceedings, 31st July 1877, No. 10,663, have not been communicated to this office; but as they appear to relate to preliminary arrangements in regard to parts B C, C D, of the boundary line, it appears to me advisable to address you at once on the settlement of the remaining portion of the boundary instead of waiting till copies can be supplied.

Operations should commence as soon as possible—say the 15th November; so but little time remains for arrangements if the work is to be completed this season.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From G. F. T. POWER, Esq., Acting Head Assistant Collector, to the Acting Collector, Godáviri District, dated Ellore, 8th August 1882, No. 178, and endorsement by W. A. HAPPELL, Esq., Acting Collector, dated Cocanada, 14th August 1882, No. 26:—

With reference to your letters, No. 213 of the 23rd June, and 261 of 3rd instant, I have the honor to say that, as far as I can make out, the boundary between Yernagudem Taluk and the Nizam's Territories is already known and accurately demarcated from the village of Puchikapad westward, and that from Puchikapad eastward the boundary has never been either inspected or demarcated.

In a letter from this office, No. 142, dated 25th May 1874, Mr. Sewell, who was then Acting Head Assistant Collector, reported that from Puchikapad to the Godáviri, the boundary line had never been inspected, and that no one knew the real boundaries; while from Puchikapad west-

wards as far as Jelugumilli, there were boundary stones in perfect order, set up by Mr. Stuart. Subsequently in 1876, Mr. Bird, who was then Acting Head Assistant Collector, demarcated the line from Jelugumilli westwards as far as Sivapuram in Ellore Taluk—the last village in this district which borders on the Nizam's territory. This line as demarcated by Mr. Bird was afterwards marked out, and a map of the boundary prepared by the Survey Department, and dated 27th June 1877, was forwarded to this office with your No. 309, dated 3rd October 1877. The present condition of affairs is then as follows:—There are boundary marks set up by Mr. Stuart from Puchikapad to Jelugumilli, and from the last of Mr. Stuart's marks in Jelugumilli westwards as far as Sivapuram in the Ellore Taluk, there are boundary marks set up in accordance with Mr. Bird's demarcation. So far as I know, there is no dispute as to the boundary between this district and the Nizam's territories from Sivapuram to Puchikapad. The line is settled, marked out and accepted as correct.

From Puchikapad eastwards to the Godáviri, the boundary line has never been properly demarcated, but it cannot be said that there is any dispute about it. The truth is that from Puchikapad eastwards, the country is so mountainous and jungly that the definition of the boundary line would be a work of considerable difficulty, and as this part of the country is almost wholly uninhabited and yields no revenue, it was not thought worthwhile to take the trouble to define it.

I have marked in pencil on the map sent with your letter, No. 213, the positions of Sivapuram, Jelugumilli and Puchikapad. From Sivapuram to Jelugumilli, the boundary line as settled by Mr. Bird is known and marked. From Jelugumilli to Puchikapad, the boundary line as settled by Mr. Stuart is also known and marked. From Puchikapad to the Godáviri, the correct boundary line is not known, but it cannot be described as "disputed," because it has never been worth any one's while to dispute about it.

The enclosures of your letter, No. 213, are returned.

Endorsement No. 26.

Forwarded to the Deputy Superintendent of Madras Survey, No. I Party, with reference to his letter, No. 285 of 3rd June 1881.

2. A note showing the correspondence regarding the boundary is enclosed.
3. The plan received with his letter is herewith returned.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

NOTE showing the Correspondence regarding the Boundary between the Godáviri and the Nizam's Dominions.

Date and Number.	Subject of the Proceedings.
Board's Proceedings, dated 18th June 1869, No. 1405.	Reporting that there was a boundary dispute to be settled between the Government villages of Yernagudem Taluk and those of Nizam's Territory.
Board's Proceedings, dated 2nd October 1869, No. 7351.	Deputing a talukdar on the requisition made by this Government to the Nizam's Government to settle the dispute in conjunction with the Tahsildar of Yernagudem. The officer deputed on behalf of the Nizam's Government having declined to act upon his own authority regarding the settlement, it was reported that the Nizam's Government be asked to send some competent person with full power so as to meet the Sub-Collector for settlement.
Board's Proceedings, dated 3rd December 1869, No. 8921.	Ordering that the settlement of the dispute be undertaken in March, April, and June, which are supposed to be the healthiest months.
Board's Proceedings, dated 20th December 1869, No. 9342.	
G.O., dated 26th January 1870, No. 37 ...	
Board's Proceedings, dated 28th February 1870, No. 1438.	
Board's Proceedings, dated 11th March 1870, No. 1672.	
Board's Proceedings, dated 31st March 1870, No. 2177.	
G.O., dated 2nd October 1871, No. 1717 ...	Amicable settlement of the dispute by Mr. Gribble, Sub-Collector, and the Talukdar of Kammammet, in the Nizam's Territory.
Board's Proceedings, dated 10th October 1871, No. 3573.	

Date and Number.	Subject of the Proceedings.
Board's Proceedings, dated 3rd April 1872, Miscellaneous No. 2325.	About constructing permanent marks and sharing expenss incurred on that account.
Board's Proceedings, dated 20th April 1872, Miscellaneous No. 2895.	
Board's Proceedings, dated 10th June 1872, Miscellaneous No. 4096.	
G.O., dated 3rd August 1874, No. 978 ...	The boundary line between the Nizam's dominions and the British territory was inspected by Mr. Sewell, Acting Head Assistant Collector. A report was sent, suggesting that if the Government wish to have the line accurately marked, it will be necessary to do so in conjunction with an officer of the Nizam's dominions. Then the Government called for some more information.
Board's Proceedings, dated 14th August 1874, No. 5512.	
G.O., dated 14th January 1875, No. 85 ...	The information asked for was furnished.
Board's Proceedings, dated 19th January 1875, No. 384.	
Board's Proceedings, dated 27th August 1875, Miscellaneous, No. 7769.	About the cost of demarcation and the officers to be deputed for the work on both sides.
Board's Proceedings, dated 24th September 1875, No. 2685.	
Board's Proceedings, dated 18th October 1875, No. 2899.	
Board's Proceedings, dated 1st November 1875, Miscellaneous No. 9821.	
Board's Proceedings, dated 20th December 1875, Miscellaneous No. 11,146.	
G.O., dated 28th September 1876, No. 1375 ...	Board's Proceedings, dated 10th October 1876, No. 8638.
Board's Proceedings, dated 10th October 1876, No. 8638.	Boundary line was prepared, and a copy of the map sent to Government.
G.O., dated 16th July 1877, No. 3955.	
Board's Proceedings, dated 31st July 1877, No. 10,663.	

Resolution—dated 27th September 1882, No. 2392.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. The Board think it advisable that opportunity should be taken of the presence of a Survey Party in the district to demarcate the remaining portion of the boundary between the Godáviri and His Highness the Nizam's dominions, and they recommended that arrangements be made for the work be carried out by an officer of the Hyderabad Government.

3. It will not be possible to enter up at the time suggested by Colonel Rogers. Former correspondence shows country is comparatively healthy only in the hot weather.—G.O., 26th J, No. 37, Political Department, and 2nd December 1875, No. 1735, Revenue Department.

(True Copies and

E. GIBSON,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with Sketch to be returned.

Copy to the Collector of the Godáviri District.

Copy to the Superintendent, Madras Survey.

11TH NOVEMBER 1882, No. 1235, REVENUE.

ORDER—dated 11th November 1882, No. 1235, Revenue.

Miscellaneous

The Resident at Hyderabad will be addressed in view to an officer being deputed by the Government of His Highness the Nizam to settle, in conjunction with an officer of the British Government, that portion of the boundary between the Godavari District and the Nizam's Dominions which still remains unsettled.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue
turned.

To the Collector of Godavari
Superintendent, Madras
Political Department
No. 1236, dated 11th
the Resident at Hyderabad

Exd. A. F. Goodall.

60 Copies.

BOARD OF REVENUE, 27TH SEPTEMBER 1882, No. 2392.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

READ—the following letter from Colonel H. T. ROGERS, R.E., Superintendent, Madras Survey, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Camp, Ootacamund, 9th September 1882, No. 83-B:—

I have the honor to bring to your notice that a portion of the boundary between Godavari and His Highness the Nizam's territory still remains unsettled. Now that the topographical survey of the Godavari District is in hand, it is desirable that the district boundary should be determined. I enclose a sketch of the run of the boundary.

(a) From A to B, between the Gutala Zemindari and the Nizam's dominions, the boundary has neither been settled nor demarcated.

(b) From B to C, the boundary was settled and demarcated by Mr. Stuart, but not surveyed.

(c) From C to D, the boundary was settled and demarcated by Mr. Bird and surveyed under the orders of Mr. Foster. But this line, having been surveyed with a compass, will require to be re-surveyed with a theodolite. I have issued instructions to the Deputy Superintendent, No. 1 Party, to survey the line from B to D, and where necessary to renew or render permanent existing demarcation. In the greater portion of the line, there will therefore be an accurate survey at an early date, and I beg to suggest in regard to the remaining portion A B, that an officer on the part of Madras should be appointed to meet an officer of the Nizam's service to settle this part of the boundary at an early date. An officer of the Survey Department should accompany them. I enclose copy of a letter from the Acting Head Assistant Collector of Godavari, endorsed by the Collector of the district on the 14th August 1882, No. 26, which gives a history of the demarcation and settlement as far as performed.

The Government Orders and Board's Proceedings quoted in the note, with the exception of G.O., 2nd October 1871, No. 1717, G.O., 16th July 1877, No. 3955, and Board's Proceedings, 31st July 1877, No. 10,663, have not been communicated to this office; but as they appear to relate to preliminary arrangements in regard to parts B C, C D, of the boundary line, it appears to me advisable to address you at once on the settlement of the remaining portion of the boundary instead of waiting till copies can be supplied.

Operations should commence as soon as possible—say the 15th November; so but little time remains for arrangements if the work is to be completed this season.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From G. F. T. POWER, Esq., Acting Head Assistant Collector, to the Acting Collector, Godavari District, dated Ellore, 8th August 1882, No. 178, and endorsement by W. A. HAPPELL, Esq., Acting Collector, dated Cocanada, 14th August 1882, No. 26:—

With reference to your letters, No. 213 of the 23rd June, and 261 of 3rd instant, I have the honor to say that, as far as I can make out, the boundary between Yernagudem Taluk and the Nizam's Territories is already known and accurately demarcated from the village of Puchikapad westward, and that from Puchikapad eastward the boundary has never been either inspected or demarcated.

In a letter from this office, No. 142, dated 25th May 1874, Mr. Sewell, who was then Acting Head Assistant Collector, reported that from Puchikapad to the Godavari, the boundary line had never been inspected, and that no one knew the real boundaries; while from Puchikapad westwards as far as Jelugumilli, there were boundary stones in perfect order, set up by Mr. Stuart.

Subsequently in 1876, Mr. Bird, who was then Acting Head Assistant Collector, demarcated the line from Jelugumilli westwards as far as Sivapuram in Ellore Taluk—the last village in this district which borders on the Nizam's territory. This line as demarcated by Mr. Bird was afterwards marked out, and a map of the boundary prepared by the Survey Department, and dated 27th June 1877, was forwarded to this office with your No. 309, dated 3rd October 1877. The present condition of affairs is then as follows:—There are boundary marks set up by Mr. Stuart from Puchikapad to Jelugumilli, and from the last of Mr. Stuart's marks in Jelugumilli westwards as far as Sivapuram in the Ellore Taluk, there are boundary marks set up in accordance with Mr. Bird's demarcation. So far as I know, there is no dispute as to the boundary between this district and the Nizam's territories from Sivapuram to Puchikapad. The line is settled, marked out and accepted as correct.

From Puchikapad eastwards to the Godáviri, the boundary line has never been properly demarcated, but it cannot be said that there is any dispute about it. The truth is that from Puchikapad eastwards, the country is so mountainous and jungly that the definition of the boundary line would be a work of considerable difficulty, and as this part of the country is almost wholly uninhabited and yields no revenue, it was not thought worthwhile to take the trouble to define it.

I have marked in pencil on the map sent with your letter, No. 213, the positions of Sivapuram, Jelugumilli and Puchikapad. From Sivapuram to Jelugumilli, the boundary line as settled by Mr. Bird is known and marked. From Jelugumilli to Puchikapad, the boundary line as settled by Mr. Stuart is also known and marked. From Puchikapad to the Godáviri, the correct boundary line is not known, but it cannot be described as "disputed," because it has never been worth any one's while to dispute about it.

The enclosures of your letter, No. 213, are returned.

Endorsement No. 26.

Forwarded to the Deputy Superintendent of Madras Survey, No. I Party, with reference to his letter, No. 285 of 3rd June last.

2. A note showing the correspondence regarding the boundary is enclosed.
3. The plan received with his letter is herewith returned.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

Note showing the Correspondence regarding the Boundary between the Godáviri and the Nizam's Dominions.

Date and Number.	Subject of the Proceedings.
Board's Proceedings, dated 18th June 1869, No. 1405.	Reporting that there was a boundary dispute to be settled between the Government villages of Yernagudem Taluk and those of Nizam's Territory.
Board's Proceedings, dated 2nd October 1869, No. 7351.	Deputing a talukdar on the requisition made by this Government to the Nizam's Government to settle the dispute in conjunction with the Tahsildar of Yernagudem. The officer deputed on behalf of the Nizam's Government having declined to act upon his own authority regarding the settlement, it was reported that the Nizam's Government be asked to send some competent person with full power so as to meet the Sub-Collector for settlement.
Board's Proceedings, dated 3rd December 1869, No. 8921.	Ordering that the settlement of the dispute be undertaken in March, April and June, which are supposed to be the healthiest months.
Board's Proceedings, dated 20th December 1869, No. 9342.	
G.O., dated 26th January 1870, No. 37.	
Board's Proceedings, dated 28th February 1870, No. 1438.	
Board's Proceedings, dated 11th March 1870, No. 1672.	
Board's Proceedings, dated 31st March 1870, No. 2177.	
G.O., dated 2nd October 1871, No. 1717.	Amicable settlement of the dispute by Mr. Gribble, Sub-Collector, and the Talukdar of Kammammet, in the Nizam's Territory.
Board's Proceedings, dated 10th October 1871, No. 3573.	

Date and Number.	Subject of the Proceedings.
Board's Proceedings, dated 3rd April 1872, Miscellaneous No. 2325.	About constructing permanent marks and sharing expenses incurred on that account.
Board's Proceedings, dated 20th April 1872, Miscellaneous No. 2895.	
Board's Proceedings, dated 10th June 1872, Miscellaneous No. 4096.	
G.O., dated 3rd August 1874, No. 978.	
Board's Proceedings, dated 14th August 1874, No. 5512.	The boundary line between the Nizam's dominions and the British territory was inspected by Mr. Sewell, Acting Head Assistant Collector. A report was sent, suggesting that if the Government wish to have the line accurately marked, it will be necessary to do so in conjunction with an officer of the Nizam's dominions. Then the Government called for some more information.
G.O., dated 14th January 1875, No. 85.	
Board's Proceedings, dated 19th January 1875, No. 384.	The information asked for was furnished.
Board's Proceedings, dated 27th August 1875, Miscellaneous No. 7769.	
Board's Proceedings, dated 24th September 1875, No. 2685.	About the cost of demarcation and the officers to be deputed for the work on both sides.
Board's Proceedings, dated 18th October 1875, No. 2899.	
Board's Proceedings, dated 1st November 1875, Miscellaneous No. 9821.	
Board's Proceedings, dated 20th December 1875, Miscellaneous No. 11,146.	
G.O., dated 28th September 1876, No. 1375.	
Board's Proceedings, dated 10th October 1876, No. 8638.	Boundary line was fixed by Mr. Bird, Head Assistant Collector.
G.O., dated 16th July 1877, No. 3955.	
Board's Proceedings, dated 31st July 1877, No. 10,663.	A map of the boundary line was prepared, and the Superintendent, Revenue Survey, was ordered to have a copy of the map sent to the Nizam's Government.

RESOLUTION—dated 27th September 1882, No. 2392.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. The Board think it advisable that opportunity should be taken of the presence of a Survey Party in the district to demarcate the remaining portion of the boundary between the Godáviri and His Highness the Nizam's dominions, and they recommend that arrangements be made for the work being carried out by an officer of the district in communication with an officer of corresponding rank appointed by the Hyderabad Government.

3. It will not be possible to enter upon the work at the time suggested by Colonel Rogers. Former correspondence shows that the country is comparatively healthy only in the hot weather.—G.O., 26th January 1870, No. 37, Political Department, and 2nd December 1875, No. 1735, Revenue Department.

(True Copies and Extract.)

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with Sketch to be returned.
Copy to the Collector of the Godáviri District,
Superintendent, Madras Survey.
Exd. A. Raitt.

Acting Secretary.

Revenue Department
11/6/82

1882.

Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

Proceedings, 27th Sept. 1882, No. 2392.

Submitting for the orders of Government letter from the Superintendent, Madras Survey, reporting that a portion of the boundary between the Godavari District and His Highness the Nizam's dominions still remains unsettled; and recommending that opportunity should be taken of the presence of a Survey Party in the district to take the work in hand.

Boundary between H.H.
the Nizam's dominions
and Godavari

CULATION.

The Honorable H. E. SULLIVAN.

L. 9/10/82 R. 11-11-82

13/10/82

had an order

No. 5785

From The Board of Revenue

Dated the 27th Sep^r 1882 rec^d 13th Oct^r

FOR ORDERS.

Order No 1235 of 11.11.82

The Resident at Hyderabad will be addressed in view to an officer being deputed by the Gov^t of His Highness the Nizam to settle in conjunction with an officer of the British Gov^t that portion of the boundary between the Godavari District & the Nizam's dominions which still remains unsettled.

FW 6.11.82

- 2/- To The Board of Revenue.
 - " Collector of Godavari.
 - 7/- Supd^t Madras Survey
 - 5/- Political Department
- with copy of letter No 1236 of 11.11.82 to the Resident at Hyderabad

Letter No 1236 of 11.11.82

To The Resident at Hyderabad (over)

20 N-11-22 of 12.3.82

Sir,

Winkler

I am directed by the
 Right Honourable the
 Governor in Council to
 transmit copy of proceedings
 of this Govt. NO: 1200 of this
 date relating to the advisability
 of settling & demarcating
 the boundary between His
 Highness the Nizam's dominions
 the Godavari District
 from Puchikapadu east-
 wards to the Godavari.

As the Govt. of
 His Highness will doubtless
 concur in thinking it
 desirable that advantage should
 be taken of the presence

of a survey party in the
 district to complete the
 settlement & demarcation
 of the Boundary I am to
 request that an officer may
 be deputed for this purpose
 to meet an officer of the
 British Govt. in March
 next, the earliest month
 in which the country will
 be comparatively healthy.

I am also to request
 that the officer ~~who may be~~
 deputed ^{may} be ~~empowered~~ ^{be} ~~vested~~
 with full powers to decide
 any disputes that may arise.
 His Highness' Govt. would
 probably consent as on previous
 occasions to bear a moiety of the
 cost of demarcating the boundary line
 that may be agreed upon. 6.11.02

and to obviate possible misunderstand-
 ings hereafter, that the fact
 of this having been so arranged
 may be intimated in your
 reply

An answer in the
 affirmative will
 save subsequent
 correspondence.

FOR ORDERS.

SW

C. 9/11/82 P. 11-11-82

13/11

7-9-374

14-9-17

Verj 18/83

Originals.

Gobt. of (1882) Madras.
REVENUE.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 11th November 1882, No. 1235.

NOVEMBER,

Boundary

Between His Highness the Nizam's Dominions
and the Godavari District.—Resident at Hydera-
bad requested to depute an officer for the settle-
ment of the —.