

(1) Number of pages 18

(2) Date of repair 19. 5. 43

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA
AND INDIAN STATES.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 85

Press. ☒
~~Mis.~~

258.259

Dated 23 - 1 - 18 82.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

19. 5. 43

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

23rd January 1880, No. 85.

No. 258. READ THE FOLLOWING PAPER :—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 17th December 1879, Miscellaneous No. 11,858.

Read again the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 6th September 1879, Miscellaneous No. 8,341.

Read also the following letters :—

From the Collector of Chingleput, dated 2nd July 1879, No. 436.

ADVERTING to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, Miscellaneous No. 5,697, dated 21st ultimo, I have the honor to point out that a statement showing the reduction to be made in the peishcush of the Zemin villages therein referred to, viz., Kottagarum and Kotteripattu, was already submitted with this office letter, No. 323, dated 21st May last.

2. Referring to the concluding part of the Proceedings under reply, I beg to state that the assessment of the land in question is included in the reduction of peishcush sanctioned in the Government Order noted in the margin. No effect appears to have been given to the above Government Order as to reduction of peishcush, probably because the statement referred to in it contained estimated figures although in several instances they are found to tally with the actuals now ascertained.

3. Now that the claims for compensation for lands taken for the Chembrambakuin tank have been nearly all settled, final proposals for the peishcush reductions were submitted by me in reference to the estates noted in the margin, with my letter quoted above.

From the same Officer, dated 20th October 1879, No. 750.

REFERRING to the Board's Proceedings, Miscellaneous No. 8,341, dated 6th ultimo, I have the honor to submit revised statements showing the particulars of peishcush deductions to be made in the Zemin villages noted in the margin, and to state that the settlements of compensation with the Zemindars have invariably been made in terms of the local measure, viz., the cawny, and that the discrepancies pointed out by the Board have chiefly resulted from the conversion of cawnies into acres and the calculation of the rates of compensation to the acre and the consequent omission of inconvenient fractions under the latter denomination.

2. In the statements now forwarded, whilst the rates per cawny are maintained, the equivalent area in acres and the rates of compensation per acre are also separately shown in red ink, but the former are the correct figures to be adopted.

In G.O., No. 608, dated 10th February 1877, sanction was accorded to reduce the peishcush of the undermentioned Zemindari villages on account of lands taken up for the enlargement of the waterspread of the Chembrambakum tank. The figures there given were based on an estimate, and the following are the actuals as recently reported by the Collector and the Board submit this result for the approval of Government:—

Villages.	Reduction of Peishcush recommended.			Future Peishcush.		
	RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.
1. Keevalur	511	15	5	8	13	4
2. Chettipattu and Thandalum	158	15	1	397	5	0
3. Kottagarum and Kotteripattu	210	10	3	Nil.		

In the last-mentioned case the reduction recommended is Rs. 210-10-3. This sum being in excess of the peishcush by Rs. 113-3-7, the Collector states that he has arranged to pay money compensation for the difference.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

No. 259. ORDER THEREON, 23rd January 1880, No. 85.

Land Revenue, No. 14.

THE statement of revised peishcush furnished in the above Proceedings with reference to the reduction of peishcush sanctioned in G.O., dated 10th February 1877, No. 608, is approved.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with four Enclosures.

Exd. W. H. French.

40 Copies.

Revenue Department

Mis. No. 5697.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
dated 21st June 1879.

Read statement of remuneration
in land or otherwise proposed to
be given to Inamdars in lieu
of their Inams which have become
unprofitable by an act of
Government in the District of
Chingleput, dated 28th March 1879.

In lieu of acres 10.37 assessed at
Rs 28-1-3, Inam land taken up
for public purposes, the Board
sanction as compensation a
fresh assignment of acres 10.34
assessed at Rs 28-1-3.

The Collector should submit a
separate statement for the
reduction to be made in the
Roshuk of these Zemindari villages.

The

Original

Govt. of (1880) Madras.

REVENUE.

To
THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated January 23rd.

Printed 25th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 23rd January 1880, No. 85.

JANUARY.

Nos. 258-259.

Peshkash—in certain Zemini Village of the
Conjeveram Taluk, Chingleput District, on
account of lands taken up for the waterspread of
the Chemburambakam Tank.—Approving the
revised statement furnished by the Board of Revenue
with reference to the reduction of—sanctioned
in G.O., dated 10th February 1877, No. 602.

The statement should show the
present pirohush, the extent and
assessment of the land taken
up and the future pirohush.
It is presumed that the assessment
of the land now taken up
has not been included in the
reduction of pirohush sanctioned
in para 2 of the G.O. dated 10th
February 1877, No. 608.

(True Extract)

M. C. Galtie

Secretary

To the Collector of Chingleput

(True copy)

L. C. Galtie
Acting Secretary

Notified
C. C.

Mis. No. 8341

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
dated 6 September 1879.

Read the following letter from
J. F. Price Esq., Collector of Chingleput,
to the Acting Secretary to this Board
of Revenue, Madras, dated 21st May/79,
No 323

I have the honor to forward three
statements showing the particulars
of deductions to be made in the
Pisheush of the marginally noted
villages in the Coimbatore Taluk
on account of lands acquired in
consequence of the enlargement of
the waterspread of the Cheembambakam
Tank and to request that the
Board will be pleased to obtain
the sanction of Government for
the reductions proposed.

1. Kevalur
2. Chettipattin
and
Mundlam
3. Kappagaram
Kattipattin

Rev 8892/79
Enclosure in 1879
Rev. to the Secretary
to Govt. R.P., Madras
17 December 1879.
Mis. No 11858

There

2. There are three more estates, viz
 (1) Shrotriam Podooppai and Tannur
 (2) Zamin Chembambakum and (3)
 Zamin Palayore, in regard to which
 similar proposals will soon be
 submitted.

In the statements submitted with
 the above letter, the reductions of
 puthench entered in column 7
 at the rates and on the area given
 in columns 6 and 5 do not seem
 to have been correctly calculated
 as noted below.

Village	Reduction of puthench recommended	Correct amounts according to the parea given in cols 6 and 5
—	Rs-	R/-
1. Kervalur	511-15-5	506-7-3
2. Chakkipattur } and Thandavan }	158-15-1	158-4-1
3. Kakkayam } and Kakkipattur }	210-10-3	208-9-1

The collector will explain the
 discrepancies and submit revised
 statements

statements if necessary.
 (The copy and extract)
 J. C. Galtore
 Acting Secretary
 To the collector of Chingleput
 (The copy)
 E. C. Galtore
 Acting Secretary

[Signature]
 R

Mis: no. 11858

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
dated 17 December 1879.

Read again the Proceedings of
the Board of Revenue, dated 6th
September 1879, Mis: no. 8341.

Read also the following letters
from the Collector of Chingleput.

No. 436 dated 2nd July 1879.

Adverting to the Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue Mis: no. 5697 dated
21st ultimo, I have the honor to
point out that a statement
showing the reduction to be made
in the Peshkash of the Zamin
villages therein referred to, viz.
Kattagaram and Katturipattur
was already submitted with
this office letter no. 323 dated 21st
May

Recd 2/8/79
Enclosure in No. 8341
of Proceedings of Board
of Revenue dated 17 Dec 1879
Mis: no. 11858

may last.

2. Referring to the concluding part of the Proceedings under reply, I beg to state that the assessment of the land in question is included in the reduction of Pishkash sanctioned in the G.O. noted in the margin. No effect appears to have been given to the above G.O. as to reduction of Pishkash probably because the statement referred to in it contained estimated figures although in several instances they are found to tally with the actuals now ascertained.

3. Now that the claims for compensation for lands taken for the Chembumbakum Tank have been nearly all settled,

1. Kevalur
2. Chettipattu
and
Thandalam
3. Kattayam
and
Kattipattu.

final proposals for the Pishkash reductions were submitted by me in reference to the estates noted in the margin with my letter quoted above.

No 750 dated 20 October 1879

Referring to the Board's Proceedings No. 8341 dated 6th ultimo, I have the honor to submit revised statements showing the particulars of Pishkash deductions to be made in the Zamin villages noted in the margin and to state that the settlements of compensation with the Zamindars have invariably been made in terms of the local measure viz the Cawny, and that the discrepancies pointed out by the Board have chiefly resulted from

1. Kevalur
Rs 571-15-5
2. Chettipattu
Thandalam
Rs 158-15-1
3. Kattayam
Kattipattu
Rs 210-10-3

from the conversion of canies into acres and the calculation of the rates of compensation to the acre and the consequent omission of inconvenient fractions under the latter denomination.

2. In the statements now forwarded, whilst the rates per cany are maintained, the equivalent area in acres and the rates of compensation per acre are also separately shown in red ink, but the former are the correct figures to be adopted.

In G.O. No 608 dated 10 February 1877 sanction was accorded to reduce the peishench of the undermentioned Zamindari villages on account of lands taken up for the enlargement of the waterspread of the Chembambakum Tank.

The

The figures there given were based on an estimate and the following are the actuals as recently reported by the collector and the Board submit this result for the approval of government:-

Villages	Reduction of peishench recommended Rs—As—P	Future Peishench Rs—As—P
1 Keralur	511—15—5	8—13—4
2 Chetripattin Tandalam	158—15—1	397—5—2
3 Kattagaram and Kattipattin	210—10—3	Nil

In the last mentioned case the reduction recommended is Rs 210—10—3. This sum being in excess of the Peishench by Rs 113—3—7, the collector states that he has arranged to pay money compensation for the difference.

(True copies & Extract)

Calcutta

Acting Secretary

To the Secretary to Government Rev. Deptt.
with one copy state in No 2262 of 79 and 3 in No 7881
of 1879 to be sent 2 copies of G.O. No. 86 of 79 viz.
No 8341 and 212 June 1879 viz. No 5697.

Chatterjee

C.R.

Feb 18 February 17) He 3/3-15-

Revenue Week-

1891

No. 8897

Proceedings of the

Principle of Pleasure;

100

dated 17 December 18
Nov 18 —

Recd 18 —

26

Ms. A. 9. 2. 20 January 1880

285

duty for the expense
 of part the result of the
 reduction of his Indian
 in certain grain mays
 of the Egyptian valley,
 Memphis &c on account
 of land taken up
 for the waterment
 of the Cumberland
 river
 Wm. D. Drake

Wm. O. Drake

The Statute of Anne, passed in
 the above year, with ^{reference} to the reduction of interest
 from 12 to 6 per cent. on 40. of 10. Feb. 1877. 89 60 82

23-1-80.

21 No. 100 of 1891

1000

258-267

C-12-1-80 1/2 22/1/80