Archives I – 10,006 – 16-8 77.

(1) Number of pages 18.

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No.	561	Press. / Mis.
Dated	18.5.1880	197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

561
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

18th May 1880, No. 561.

No. 122. READ THE FOLLOWING PAPER:—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th April 1880, No. 494.

ABSTRACT.—Submitting for orders of Government letter from Superintendent, Revenue Survey, regarding transfer of villages from Chidambaram to Cuddalore and from Cuddalore to Villupuram Taluk in South Arcot District.

No. 123. ORDER THEREON, 18th May 1880, No. 561.

Miscellaneous.

HIS GRACE the Governor in Council is not prepared to sanction the proposal submitted by the Board in these Proceedings.

2. He sees in the change no advantage and many objections.

3. The Collector notes (though the Board do not refer to it) that the reduction of Chidambaram will probably entail the alteration of the Head Assistant's charge which has already been revised more than once. It may also entail re-classification of the taluks. The area of Chidambaram would be unnecessarily reduced and the cusbah become less centrical than it is now.

4. The proposal will transfer the ryots in both cases of the transferred villages to distant cusbahs as compared with present conditions, and would involve sub-division of villages, which is always objectionable.

5. The only object gained would be to secure a symmetrical boundary for the Cuddalore Taluk which is a matter of no importance whatever.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with nine enclosures.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

40 Copies.

Original

Govt. of (1880) Madras

To

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated May 18th.

Received 22nd.

Read.

Encl 2

G.O., 18th May 1880, No. 561.

MAY.

Nos. 122-123.

Transfer of Villages from Chidambaram to Cuddalore and from Cuddalore to Villupuram Taluk — in South Arcot District.—Stating for certain reasons that His Grace the Governor in Council is not prepared to sanction the proposal submitted by the Board of Revenue in their Proceedings regarding —.

561
2

No. 494.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 13th April 1880.

Read the following letter from J. H. MERRIMAN, Esq., Assistant Superintendent, in charge Central Survey Office, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd March 1880, No. 48-B :—

I HAVE the honor to submit, for the consideration and orders of the Board, transfer rolls and sketches of villages which it is proposed to transfer from the Cuddalore to the Villupuram Taluk and from Chidambaram to Cuddalore in order to make the Ponniar River the northern and the Vellar the southern boundary of the Cuddalore Taluk.

2. It will be seen that, though the Collector does not object to the transfers, his Divisional Officers do. I scarcely think their arguments valid.

3. I also enclose copies of three letters from Mr. Beaumont to the Collector sent at the time he made his propositions.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 to 3.—Copies of letters from Deputy Superintendent to Collector.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 4 to 7.—Lists with Collector's notes.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 8 and 9.—Sketches.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. It is proposed to transfer eighty-three villages from the Chidambaram to the Cuddalore Taluk and twenty-eight villages or portions thereof from the Cuddalore to the Villupuram Taluk in order that the Ponniar and Vellar Rivers may form the northern and southern boundaries respectively of the Cuddalore Taluk. The effect of these proposals is the transfer of 68,035 acres, assessed at Rs. 1,80,782, from Chidambaram to Cuddalore and of 18,326 acres, assessed at Rs. 35,429, from the latter taluk to Villupuram. The acreage and assessment of the three taluks as at present constituted and as proposed are marginally noted.

Taluks.	PRESENT.		PROPOSED.	
	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.
	ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.
Chidambaram ...	175,117	6,73,896	107,082	4,93,114
Cuddalore ...	132,502	3,86,192	182,211	5,31,545
Villupuram ...	171,211	4,65,485	189,537	5,00,914
Total ...	478,830	15,25,573	478,830	15,25,573

3. The Collector sees no objection to the change but Mr. Thomson considers that the Chidambaram Taluk will be rendered too limited in extent and disjointed from the other taluks in the Head Assistant's charge and that Cuddalore will not be so convenient a cusbah for the villages which it is proposed to transfer; as regards the proposed transfer to Villupuram, he points out that the villages in question abut on French territory and are consequently more troublesome than they otherwise would be and that the existing sub-division is already too large. The ryots will also be inconvenienced by the change. He considers that these drawbacks outweigh the advantage of securing a natural boundary.

4. The Board consider that the rectification of the boundary in the manner proposed is very desirable; by this arrangement, not only will the boundaries of the taluks be clearly defined, but the revenue of the three taluks concerned will be equalized and the Villupuram Taluk will embrace all the villages bordering on French

territory. A proposal to transfer ten villages from the Udayárpálaiyam Taluk of Trichinopoly to South Arcot is under consideration with the view of bringing the whole tract irrigated from the Pelandorai anicut under one administration; and, though these villages may for the present perhaps be transferred to the Vriddháchalam Taluk, it is probable that, when survey operations extend to that taluk, a considerable transfer to Chidambaram will be found advisable. If the Government concur in considering the alteration of boundaries desirable, the Board see no reason why it should not be carried into effect at once, instead of awaiting the completion of the survey, and will submit the requisite notification.

Board's Proceedings, dated 27th November 1879, No. 3,239.

5. The Collector also points out that, if the present proposals meet with approval, it will be necessary to consider whether Cuddalore should not become a first-class taluk and Chidambaram be reduced. The effect of the change will be to raise the revenue of the former above five lakhs and to reduce that of the latter slightly below the limit fixed for a first-class taluk. It will be well, however, to await the results of the settlement before reclassifying the taluks.

(A true Copy and Extract).

Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with nine original enclosures to be returned
and copy of Board's Proceedings, dated 16th May 1879,
No. 1,305, and 27th November 1879, No. 3,239.
" Collector of South Arcot, with copies of two lists.
Copy to the Superintendent, Revenue Survey, with copies of
Board's Proceedings, dated 16th May 1879, No. 1,305,
and 27th November 1879, No. 3,239.
" " Acting First Member, Board of Revenue,
in charge of Settlement Department,
with do. and copies of two lists.

Extd. J. W. Fisher.

No. 1,305.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 16th May 1879.

Read the following letter from A. CRUICKSHANK, Esq., Collector of South Arcot, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Tirunamanallur, 5th May 1879, No. 174:—

THE channel from the Pelandorai Anicut to water lands in the Chilambaram Taluk passes, as the Board are no doubt aware, for some considerable distance through the Udiarpolliem Taluk of the Trichinopoly District. In his letter of the 19th February 1877, No. 315, the District Engineer, Major Mead, brought to the then Collector's notice that the villagers of Rajendrapatnam in the Trichinopoly District, a portion of which is irrigated by the Pelandorai Channel, had without authority used water for about 113 acres of dry land to the detriment of the cultivation lower down the channel, and that they had built two sluices and cut banks without leave from anybody and also placed a dam across the main channel. These acts were brought to the notice of the Collector of Trichinopoly, who, while agreeing with the District Engineer of South Arcot in considering the actions of his ryots unjustifiable, and stating that he had warned them strictly against a repetition of the offence, remarked at the same time that he thought his ryots had suffered considerable hardship through the arrangements made by our Department of Public Works officers to supply the South Arcot villages with water. After a good deal of correspondence had passed both between this office and that of the District Engineer, and this office and that of the Collector of Trichinopoly, it was at last arranged that Mr. Sewell and I should meet and see together the subjects of dispute. We accordingly did so in the end of February last. One complaint is that the Pelandorai Channel has cut off the water-supply of the tanks of some villages in the Trichinopoly District, but this is only temporary, as provision has been made for conveying water to these tanks across the channel and the necessary works will be carried out in course of time. The Rajendrapatnam tank is really the only cause of dispute. The Pelandorai Channel has intercepted the natural drainage of the Kajendrapatnam tank, but with a view to make up for this a masonry dam has been thrown across the channel so as to divert water into the tank. About the centre of the bund of this tank a sluice was built by the officers of the Public Works Department of this district to let water pass from the tank to a branch channel conveying water to the lands of villages in this district. It was the banks of this channel just outside the sluice that the ryots of Rajendrapatnam cut, and it was from the openings thus made that they took off water to their lands. It was supposed that the sill of this sluice is lower than that of the old sluice in the corner of the tank. This is not the fact; the latter is lower, but the old sluice has become considerably silted up. It is this new sluice which is the cause of trouble with the Rajendrapatnam ryots, and I must admit that a non-professional man looking at the two sluices would say at once that more water went off by the new one than by the old. I cannot admit that the Rajendrapatnam ryots have at all suffered, and think they have considerably benefited by the change. All that they require is to have the old sluice put in proper order. Though thinking so, I quite agree with Mr. Sewell in his opinion that this new sluice will be a source of constant trouble, because it will be impossible to convince the ryots of the real state of things when their own inspection leads them to come to a different conclusion, and think that his proposal that all the irrigated portions in the villages belonging to his district north of the channel should be made over to this district is the best and easiest way to put an end to all disputes. The distribution of water from the channel will then be under the Public Works Department officers of one and the same district. Major Mead in his letter of the 21st August 1878, No. 179, made the same suggestion. He writes: "In conclusion I would suggest to your consideration whether it would not be as well if all the villages irrigated by the Pelandorai Channel in Trichinopoly District should be handed over to South Arcot District. I much fear till this is done there will be constant disputes, which must increase as the cultivation and interests under the channel become greater." I have therefore the honor to request that the Board will be good enough to lay the matter before Government and to support the proposals of Mr. Sewell and the late District Engineer. The villages

Board of Revenue, 1880.

MADRAS.

To

THE SECRETARY to GOVT.,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated April 13th.

Printed th

Received

PROCEEDINGS, 13TH APRIL 1880, No. 494.

APRIL.

No. 494.

Transfer of Villages from Chidambaram to Cuddalore and from Cuddalore to Villupuram Taluk — in South Arcot District.—Submitting for orders of Government letter from Superintendent, Revenue Survey, regarding —.

from which lands will have to be transferred are four in number, viz., Rajendrapatnam, Vettukhadu, Sinnattukurichi and Vannankudikhadu. If the proposal is approved of, I think it would be well if it were given effect to from the next fasli. The Revenue Survey Department are at work in this district, and I presume it would not take an officer of that department very long to do all that is required. I send herewith a copy of Mr. Sewell's letter of the 8th ultimo, No. 277, as well as copies of the letter of Major Mead, bringing to notice the acts of the Rajendrapatnam ryots and of Mr. Sewell's reply thereto and the sketch submitted therewith. (It has to be observed that this sketch is not quite correct as there is no cistern as therein represented. Mr. Sewell prepared it from memory when writing his reply about three months after his first personal inspection, and on his second inspection found he had made a mistake.) I send also a sketch sent me by the District Engineer (original to be returned when no longer required).

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From Major H. R. MEAD, R.E., District Engineer, South Arcot, to A. CRUICKSHANK, Esq., Collector, dated Cuddalore, 19th February 1877, No. 315.

I HAVE the honor to bring to your notice that the villagers of Rajendrapatnam in Trichinopoly District, a portion of which is irrigated by the Pelandorai Channel, have without authority used water for about 113 acres of punjah land to the detriment of the cultivation lower down the channel, and that they have built two sluices and cut banks without leave from anybody and also placed a dam across the Main Channel.

2. This has been brought to the notice of the Deputy Collector in charge, but I believe he has done nothing regarding it.

3. I beg that you will have inquiries made and, should you think right, that you will address the Collector of Trichinopoly on the subject, so that next year, when I hope the supply in the channel will be better and the interests at stake large, practices of this sort may cease. In your letter, No. 261, dated 13th November 1876, you said you would do what you could in the matter, and I am helpless without the assistance of your department.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) A. CRUICKSHANK,
Collector.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

From H. SEWELL, Esq., Acting Collector of Trichinopoly, to A. CRUIKSHANK, Esq., Collector of South Arcot, dated 1st June 1877, No. 572.

I HAVE the honor to reply to your letter of 23rd February 1877, No. 123, relating to the cutting of a certain bund and damming up a channel connected with the Pelandorai Main Channel by the ryots of Rajendrapatnam of Udiarpolliem. I also enclose a rough sketch of the locality drawn from memory, as I inspected the place in March last.

2. I quite agree with the District Engineer of South Arcot in considering the actions of our ryots unjustifiable, and have warned them strictly against a repetition of the offence. At the same time I think our ryots have suffered considerable hardship through the arrangements made by your Department of Public Works officers to supply the South Arcot villages with water.

3. A reference to the plan will, I think, make this clear. The Pelandorai Main Channel is taken off, as you are doubtless aware, from the anicut across the Vellar and cuts through a corner of Udiarpolliem Taluk in order to supply certain villages in your district. There are several complaints from our ryots that the channel has in its course intercepted the feeders to their tanks, and, from what I saw in going along the channel bank, I have no doubt that their complaints have some foundation. I understand, however, from local inquiries that arrangements were being made by your Department of Public Works to satisfy our ryots by the construction at different points of small aqueducts to convey the water from these intercepted feeders across the channel to their original destination. I will therefore confine myself to the principal dispute, viz., that connected with the Rajendrapatnam tank.

4. The Main Channel A flows by the side of and close to the tank B. At C there is a small masonry dam by which water is diverted from the main channel into the tank. As the slope of the ground is from beyond the right bank of the channel down towards the channel and on to the tank, it is clear that the channel embankment intercepts the natural drainage into the tank, and I presume it was partly to compensate for this that the dam C with its opening into the tank was constructed. So far our ryots have no ground of complaint.

5. At D, about the middle of the tank and at its lowest, level your Department of Public Works have built a sluice by which the water passes into and rises in a square cistern E. As it overflows this it passes into a channel F and flows on to certain villages of South Arcot. It is just below this cistern, viz., at G G that the dispute occurred, our ryots having thrown a temporary dam across F or made cuts on each side so as to divert the water into their wet lands which lie on either side of F. Your Department of Public Works say they must have all the water that flows out through D and E into F, while our ryots claim to have a share of it.

6. There are, it is true, two small sluices at H H which assist to irrigate the lands of our ryots, but they are on a much higher level than D, and in a bad season like the last when the water is low in the tank they are perfectly useless. There is also a tank lower down on the main channel and filled from it (marked I in the plan), but it is of small extent and only receives a supply of water when the channel is full. It does not materially affect the main question.

7. It seems to me that as your Department of Public Works have constructed their sluice D at the most advantageous point of our tank, it is only fair that our ryots should get some proportion of the water which flows through it. I would suggest that openings be made on each side of the cistern at K K, so that water might flow off to our fields at the same time that it runs down into channel F at L. I would propose that the two openings at K K should together be equal to the opening at L, so that in fact our ryots would get half the water and yours the other half. This, however, is merely a suggestion as the exact proportion would be more properly decided on a consideration of the respective areas to be irrigated. I would also suggest that the openings at K K and L should be made of cut stone to prevent their being tampered with by either side.

8. If you agree with me as to the justice of this proposition it would be, I think, the best plan for the Department of Public Work officers of our respective districts to meet at the place and arrange about the details.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) A. CRUICKSHANK,
Collector.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.

From the same Officer, dated Trichinopoly, 8th April 1879, No. 277.

WITH reference to your letter of 2nd October last, No. 599, I have the honor to inform you that, after our joint inspection of the locality in question towards the end of February last, I am of opinion that the best settlement of the matter will be the transfer of the irrigated portions of the villages noted in the margin to your district. As all the irrigated portions of the above four villages lie to the north of the Pelandorai Channel, the channel itself will serve as a convenient line of boundary between this and your district in that part. This arrangement will place the entire irrigation from the Pelandorai Channel at the command of the Department of Public Works officers of your district and will remove once for all the source of all future disputes between the ryots of this and your district as regards the extents of land to be irrigated in each of those villages. Each of the above four villages will have to be divided and their boundaries redemarcated and their registers and maps reframed. Probably regrouping of the divided portions will also be necessary. This work could easily be accomplished by the survey party which, I understand, is now employed in your district. If you agree to this proposal I request you will submit the question to the Board for their orders.

1. Rajendrapatnam.
2. Vettukhadu.
3. Sinnattukurchi.
4. Vannankudikhadu.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) CRUICKSHANK,
Collector.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 4 and 5.—Sketches.

IN this letter the Collector of South Arcot points out the difficulty of conveying water from the Pelandorai Anicut on the Vellar in South Arcot through an intervening stretch of the Trichinopoly District and proposes the transfer of four Trichinopoly villages to South Arcot. The Board, however, note that a considerable portion of the Rajendrapatnam Sub-division of the Udiarpolliem Taluk projects into the South Arcot District, approaching within 20 miles of Virudachalam while it is 70 miles distant from Trichinopoly. The papers are therefore transferred to the Collector of

Trichinopoly for an expression of opinion as to whether it would not be advisable to throw all the villages intruding on South Arcot into that district and so secure an approximately straight frontier line from the bend in the Vellar above the Pelandorai Anicut to the Chilambaram border.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Trichinopoly, with two sketches to be returned.
Copy to the Collector of South Arcot.

Exd. G. Freeman.

1879.

Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

To

THE COLLECTOR OF
TRICHINOPOLY.

Dated May 16*th.*

Printed June 6*th.*

Received

PROCEEDINGS, 16TH MAY 1879, No. 1,305.

MAY.

No. 1,305.

Villages — irrigated by the Pelandorai Channel in Trichinopoly District.—Transferring to the Collector of Trichinopoly letter from the Collector of South Arcot proposing the transfer to his district of certain —.

56ϕ/5

No. 3,228.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 27th November 1879.

Read the following letter from A. KRISTNAMAH, Deputy Collector on General Duties, to C. W. W. MARTIN, Esq., Acting Collector of Trichinopoly, dated Tirumanur, 25th September 1879, No. 661:—

REFERRING to Board's Proceedings, No. 1,305, dated 16th May, and your docket thereon of the 13th June last, I have the honor to state that I see no practical objection to the transfer proposed by the Board. It will, however, be attended with a considerable sacrifice of revenue, amounting to about Rs. 20,000, to the Udayárpálaiyam Taluk, while the change does not secure to the villagers, as far as easy access to the cusbah station is concerned, any better advantage than they now enjoy. The villages comprised in the old Rajendrapatam Sub-division are within seven to fifteen miles distant from the cusbah station of the Udayárpálaiyam Taluk, which is located at Jayankondacholapuram. If they are transferred to the Vriddháchalam Taluk in South Arcot District, the distance will be about the same, the remotest village, viz., Pelandorai, being about sixteen miles from Vriddháchalam, the cusbah station. The villagers themselves are dead against any change, and for a reason they say that they have long been accustomed to the authorities of the Trichinopoly District with the cusbah station at Jayankondacholapuram, which is near enough to them, but I do not agree with them and think that a change is essential so far as to put the Pelandorai anicut and the whole course of the channel under the control of one district authorities.

2. Both the Tahsildar and myself are decidedly in favor of the proposal suggested by Mr. Sewell, viz., retaining the Pelandorai anicut channel as the boundary between the two districts; but as the proposal necessitates splitting up of certain villages between the two districts and redemarcating and surveying them, I would respectfully beg to suggest that his proposal be so far modified as to give up the whole of the villages, through which the channel runs to South Arcot, without any splitting of villages.

3. I enclose a rough sketch showing the above modified proposal, from which it will appear that the villages intended to form the future boundary line between the two districts, supposing the modified proposal is acceded to, are as per margin.

1. Pelandorai.
2. Kilimangalam.
3. Kurukkatanjeri.
4. Therku Vadaku Puthur.
5. Vannankudikadu.
6. Rajendrapatam.
7. Samathukurichi.

4. I regret to state that I am unable to comprehend the comparison drawn by the Board when they say "that they note that a considerable portion of the Rajendrapatam Sub-division of the Udayárpálaiyam Taluk projects into South Arcot District approaching within twenty miles of Vriddháchalam, while it is seventy miles distant from Trichinopoly."

Now Trichinopoly is not the head-quarters of the Udayárpálaiyam Taluk. The taluk station, as already stated, is Jayankondacholapuram, the distance from which to Rajendrapatam, the remotest village in the old Rajendrapatam Sub-division, being only fifteen miles. Trichinopoly, the head-quarters of the Collector, is certainly seventy miles distant from Rajendrapatam, but the South Indian Railway line through Combaconum renders access to the remotest part of the district, south and north-east, quite easy, and the whole journey can be performed, with perfect ease, in a few hours when necessary.

5. The papers received with your office docket No. 533 are herewith returned.

No. 370.

FORWARDED to the Board with reference to their Proceedings of the 16th May 1879, No. 1,305.

2. There appears to be no necessity for altering the boundary line *because* of the distance of any of the villages from Trichinopoly, for the line of Railway reduces the difficulty to a comparatively insignificant quantity.

3. The adoption of the Pelandorai channel, as proposed by Mr. Sewell for the boundary, will not deal with the question of placing the whole Pelandorai anicut irrigation under the officers of one district. As pointed out by the Deputy Collector in paragraph 3, it is necessary to include some villages south of the channel which obtain water from the channel and are likely, by taking too much, to create grievances in the villages lower down. It is also necessary, in order to make a scientific frontier, that the three villages Kanapattikurichi, Musattai and Vettagudi, which lie between the Vellár river and the Pelandorai channel, should be handed over to South Arcot. The preservation of the existing surveyed boundaries of the various villages seems very desirable. I would therefore recommend the transfer to South Arcot of the villages given in the margin as the best solution of the question.

1. Pelandorai.
2. Kilimangalam.
3. Kurukkatanjeri.
4. Vannankudikadu.
5. Rajendrapatam.
6. Therku Vadaku Puthur.
7. Samathukurichi.
8. Kanapattikurichi.
9. Musattai.
10. Vettagudi.

TRICHINOPOLY,
12th November 1879.

(Signed) C. W. W. MARTIN,
Acting Collector.

THE transfer to South Arcot of the ten villages specified by the Collector of Trichinopoly appears the best course, and the Collector of South Arcot will be requested to state whether their transfer will necessitate any addition to the taluk staff; if not the requisite draft notification should be forwarded with a view to the sanction of Government being obtained. The Board's remark regarding the relative distances of Vriddhachalam and Trichinopoly had reference to the location of the European officers not to the taluk cusbahs.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of South Arcot.
" " of Trichinopoly.

Exd. A. Grubert.

1879.
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

To

THE COLLECTOR OF SOUTH ARCOT,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated November 27th.
Printed December 5th.
Received

PROCEEDINGS, 27TH NOVEMBER 1879, No. 3,239.

NOVEMBER.
No. 3,239.

Villages — irrigated by Pelandorai Channel, in Trichinopoly District.—Passing orders on letter from Collector stating his views regarding proposed transfer of certain — to South Arcot District.

56½/6

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 2609.

From The Board of Revenue.

Dated 18th April 1879 [illegible].

FOR ORDERS.

For the Secretary
Thro' The Secretary to
Govt. of Madras
Rd. Dept. with the
Directors Compliments
His private note with
the alteration of the
Hd. Assistt. Collector
at Trichinopoly
at Trichinopoly already

If surely superseded
& seems a superfluous
boundary for the
Cuddalore taluk. It
is a matter for
consideration Rather

[illegible]
17

Some alterations have been
made. I have also entered
a classification of the talukes.
I think I reduce the area
of Ch.m & a very small
one. The Cuddalore Circle
seems too artificial
to me.

I think I have for the
Register both the cases
of the Tippl villages
& distant Customs
as corresponding with
present conditions.

This shows the division
of villages. It is
always objectionable

FOR ORDERS.

Revenue Department.

No. 561

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 12th May 1883.

READ the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th April 1883, No. 494, regarding proposals for certain Villages, Inamdars talook to another the South Arcot District.

Ordered that the Government Council be not prepared to sanction the proposals submitted by the Board in these Proceedings.

2. He sees in the charges heads and items objections.

3. The Collector notes (tho' the Board do not refer to it) that the reduction of the Inam Establishment will probably entail the alteration of the Head Assistant's charge

charges which had
already been revised
in more than seven. It
may also entail
revision of the Taluks. The
area of the Cuddapah
cannot be
increased easily, as
adjacent and the
Cuddapah became
less central than
it is now.

4. The proposal will
transfer the Rights
in both Roads of the
transferred villages
to distant Cuddahs
as compared with
present conditions,
and would be in
violation of Subdivision
of Villages, which
is always objection
-able.

5. The only object
aimed would be
to secure a symmet-
-rical boundary
for the Cuddapah
Taluk which is a
matter of no im
-portance whatever.

Ellis

20 Feb 1860 Board of Revenue Madras

Last from orders

Office of
Solicitor of
Internal Revenue

Print
18.5.80

PRINT
20-6-80

Revenue Department
Wash: Govt - 18th May 1880
No 561

122 123