

19

துறை

அலுவலகம் Revenue

இடம் _____

எண்

523

தேதி

7-5-1880

கீழ்க் உள்ள பக்கங்கள்:

பொருள்,

முன் கோப்புகள்;

முகவு.	எண்.	<u>முறை.</u> வரிசை.	தேதி.	முகவு.	எண்.	<u>முறை.</u> வரிசை.	தேதி.

பின் கோப்புகள்.

பி.வி.	எண்.	திறை. வரிசை.	தேதி.	முடிவு.	எண்.	திறை. வரிசை.	தேதி.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

7th May 1880, No. 523.

Read again G.O., dated 15th November 1878, No. 1,827, and 27th March 1879, No. 74.

No. 48. Read also Petition No. 359 of 1st February 1880, from VELLACHAMI
alias SUPPAYA SERVAL, of Madura District.

ABSTRACT.—Continuance of his mother's pension.

No. 49. ORDER THEREON, 7th May 1880, No. 523.

Miscellaneous.

Miscellaneous.

On reconsideration of the terms of Extract Minutes Consultation, 22nd May 1921, No. 191, the Government resolve No. 741, and direct that the whole allowance be continued to the family during the life of Thangam, the surviving grantee.

2. The petitioner will be referred to the Collector.

(True Ext. ct.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
 „ the Petition Department.
 „ the Accountant-General.

Exd. W. H. French.

10 Copies.

359
17/2/80.
g/r

The humble petition of Villal
... alias Suppia Serrai re-
siding at Valangudi village
Parthipanni Taluk, Swazinga
Mandary, Madras District
Most Respectfully sheweth:-
That in placing this petition
before your Grace, Petitioner trusts he
shall not be considered giving you
Grace unnecessary trouble; he should
be exceedingly thankful to Your Grace
by your going through the same petition
in submitting it again he has to say
circumstances as he is, he should be
aided asking your Grace for a right
which he has been deprived of. But as your
Grace will kindly & patiently require
into his case, he hopes that from he shall
with his gratitude to him.

The Petitioner is the son of
Ammiraketchume, the Grand daughter
of Peramandu Serrayar who was
once the real ruler of Swazinga

gerrindary before 1801, but who was executed
for an act against the British Govt.
looked for seizure of all his property &
ferred the gerrindary on one Perea Adia
tenger in consideration of the services
rendered by him to the State.

On the recommendation of
Colonel Agnew in 1801 an allowance of
Rs. 200 or about Rs. 240 per mensem
was granted from the revenues of the
Sivaganga gerrindary to the widows and
children of Perea marthar Sivaiyar
for their maintenance and the grant
was made in the name of Rakkabath
the senior widow and she received and
distributed it to the rest upto 1805.

The gerrindar subsequently
used to pay the allowance on the ground
that Mr. Lushington, who was specially
appointed as collector of Southern a finger
Poliapato has included this allowance
in the amount of Peshkash or tribute
he had to pay in the Estate.

On the representation of
Ministah Athapota senior widow, who
was Petitioner's great grandmother, Mr.
Parish, the Collector in 1806 obtained
the sanction of Government under date

the 18th August 1806 for the payment to her
of Rs. 100 p.m. or Rs. 120 per mensem
from the Government treasury for her
maintenance enjoyed the allowance till
her death which took place in 1821.
The then collector, Mr. Rose Peter
reported her death and obtained the
sanction of Government under date
the 2nd May 1821 for the continuance
of the pension minus one quarter to the
surviving females and the grant of it
was made in the name of Petitioner's
mother, Athirialatchum, and grand
aunt, Thangam. The former died in 1828.
The Petitioner and his sisters claimed the
allowance.

Your Grace in Council issued
an order on the 27th March 1879 to the
effect that one half of the pension
will be considered to have lapsed
& the remaining half will be con-
tinued to Thangam for her life.

The Petitioner fully believed
that your Grace in Council who were
kind enough to ascertain from the Col-
by their order dated 15th Nov. 1878, the
circumstances & means of subsistence
possessed by Petitioner & others, the
heirs of Athirialatchum, would
never have refused to comply with
their request to continue the allowance.

had it not been for the erroneous report
 the Board in their Proceedings dated
 March 1879 in the Collectors letter to Board
 dated 14th February 1879 will convince
 your Grace that Patilwar and others
 heirs of the deceased pensioner for
 so far as property whatever & have no
 means for their subsistence & that
 they lived entirely on the pension
 hitherto drawn being accustomed
 to follow any other profession.
 The original grant of the allowance
 by Govt on report of Colonel Agnew
 from the revenues of the Sivagunga
 Zemindary to the heirs of Percamariadu
 Servai was perpetual as a consideration
 of the Government having confiscated
 his property & of their distressed condition
 and was exactly in the shape of the
 allowance granted to the proprietors
 of Zemindaries or Patilwar forfeited
 & not subject to lapse or reduction
 by 1/3 as in the case of pensions
 granted to Candian prisoners.
 From the notification issued
 by Government on the 16th January
 1881 for the grant of Rs. 200 per month
 to the

the resources of Sivagunga Zemindary
 it would be patent to your Grace that
 the grant is one to be continued for ever.
 The Zemindar stopped the allowance
 on the only ground that the Government
 had included the same allowance in the
 Peshkash payable to them on his estate
 & that it must therefore be paid from
 the Government Treasury.
 The pension granted to Muniata
 under a warrant dated 7th June 1806
 though life grant was subsequent
 to the death of the recipient continued
 to her heirs Thangam & Athurialai
 & to her heirs Thangam & Athurialai.
 In the case of Muthuadaga
 Dorasami Servai, the great grand
 grandson of Sinnamarethar Servai,
 your Grace in Council had the kindness
 to grant to continue to him, his
 father Jamali Servai's pension, who
 was also a life grant pension as appears from
 Government order dated 20th November 1846.
 The hardships which the Patilwar
 and others undergo since the death of
 Muniata, Athurialai, for it is daily
 bread and more than Tongue can tell
 and they are confident that your Grace
 would not like to see the descendants
 of a famous person, who was once the
 real Ruler of the Zemindary and

Vide Govt
 order 1879

... ..

ascertained through the Collector that the parties have no other means of livelihood, and that they would have continued to be in the same state had it not been for the unfavorable prospects of the Road Commission. It is quite

of Revenue in their Proceedings. true however that
d/18 March 1879; that the thought given for life'
original grant of the al- to the original grantee
lowance by Govt from in 1806, it was con-
the revenues of the living tained in part to the
in zemindary to the heirs Petitioners' as the
of Perumara Sawai was without any stipula-
perpetual and was not tion (to the State of
subject to lapse or more Madras 22 May 1821
-tion, as may be seen from No 191 J.D.) as to limi-
the Benayetrnamah (order) tation.
of Govt d/16 January 1842 In the case of a similar
and that the allowance pension to another
is included in the Branch of the family
payable to Govt on the 3 of his father's pen-
zemindari estate; that sion was granted to
in the case of M. the Jemaly Odhar Shervar
Dorassami Shervar for life' (E. Ch. C. 20 Nov
vaizer, the great great 1846 No 1205 P.D.) but
grandson of this allowance was
-vaizer, the great great subsequently distri-
grandson of this allowance buted among his
-vaizer, the great great sons the members of
grandson of this allowance the family and the
-vaizer, the great great continuance of Rs 10 out
grandson of this allowance of Rs 14 was permitted
-vaizer, the great great to Jemaly Odhar Shervar
grandson of this allowance for his life (vide
-vaizer, the great great father Jemali Shervar's papers with Petition
grandson of this allowance pension, which was also a
life

Had a similar dis-

life grant vide G.O. d/ tribution of the allow-
20 Nov 1846; and that ance been made ar-
the sufferings, to which the mong the members
pet and other heirs of the of the family of the
Muralakshmi have been Petitioner their share
subjected from want since W² have continued
to exist, are indescrib- at all events until
-ble. their demise whereas
under the orders
which have been per-
-mitted the entire allow-
-ance will cease on
the demise of an
old lady of 92, half
of it having already
been stopped. It
is an undoubted prin-
ciple to bring a pension
to a dead stop
so suddenly and show
provision for their lives
might, it is submitted,
be made for the im-
mediate descendants
of Athiveralakshmi
who died 20 Dec 1877
vide tabular report print-
ed in G.O. 15 Nov 1878 No.
1827 P.D. Yll

Prop, therefore, that

the generous Govt may con-
-tinue to pet and other the
pension of their said mother
with access from her death
to enable them to discharge
the debts incurred on ac-
-count of her obsequies.

C 45-02
1/25

2

On reconsideration
of the Terms of the
22 May 21 No 191
the J^{ts}. resolve
to cancel their order
of the 27 March 79
No. 711 & direct
that the whole
allowance be
continued to the
family during the
life of Thompson
the surviving parent
of John
Wm. 2nd of
the Pet. with us
referred to the
Collector of
the Board of Finance
of the State of New York
to be paid to the surviving parent
of John Thompson during the
life of Thompson the surviving parent
of John Thompson

L.O.

Cancelled
27 March 79 NY 1.

Print.

65-80

PRINT

10-5-80

Revenue Department

Rev: Genl: Dy C. Clay 1880

10-5-80