

(1) Number of pages 20(2) Date of repair 14-9-1900(3) Initials of the Referencer C**MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.****Government of Madras.***Revenue* **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.

377

Press

Mis.

Dated

29. 3.

1880.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 16th June 1877.

Read the following papers:—

From W. LOGAN, Esq., Collector of Malabar, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Calicut, 27th March 1877, No. 77.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting that extracts from the register of Government grants so far as it relates to that district may be furnished.

From C. T. LONGLEY, Esq., Collector of Salem, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sukkerrydroog, 19th May 1877, No. 283.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting to be favored with extract from Register of Government grants.

From G. D. LEMAN, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 21st May 1877, No. 1,004.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting an extract from the list of Government grants submitted to Government with Board's Proceedings, dated 9th March 1858, No. 1,115, containing information regarding the old Masulipatam and Guntur Districts.

From R. DAVIDSON, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd April 1877, No. 318.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting to be furnished with a copy of Board's Proceedings, dated 29th March 1858, No. 1,115.

From R. DAVIDSON, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 24th May 1877, No. 482.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting that a copy of the Board's Proceedings, dated 29th March 1858, No. 1,115, may be supplied to him, as without it the Board's Miscellaneous Proceedings, No. 1,400, dated 1st February 1877, cannot be answered.

ORDERED that extracts from the list of Government grants issued in the Provinces since the year 1774, submitted to Government with Board's Proceedings, dated 29th March 1858, No. 1,115, be prepared in this office and forwarded to Collectors to enable them to furnish the information called for in Board's Proceedings, dated 1st February 1877, Miscellaneous No. 1,480.

(True Extracts.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Sub-Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) M. JORDAN,
First Assistant.

To all Collectors, except Madura,
with extracts from list of Government grants.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

No. 3,327.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 13th December 1879.

Read the following letters:—

From C. T. LONGLEY, Esq., Collector of Salem, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 20th September 1879, No. 290.

I HAVE the honor to enclose in original two certificates granted by a former Collector, Mr Brett, (registered in the Office of the Registrar of Government Grants on 7th day of January 1857 as Nos. 66 and 67) to Messrs. Thacker and J. Campbell, permitting them to occupy certain lands situated in the village of Yedayanallur, a portion of which is included in the Remount lines at Matigiri near Hosūr, subject to the payment of full assessment thereon. From the endorsements on the certificates the Board will observe that subsequent to the grant by Mr. Brett, the properties changed hands by sale and have now passed to Colonel Drury, the present Remount Agent. This gentleman requests that the two grants be divided into three according to the portions specified by him, and a separate title-deed issued for each.

2. The certificates specifying the lands included in the above two grants are dated the 6th November 1856, and appear to have been issued to the grantees under the authority of the Governor in Council. All that I have been able to trace in the records* is that as far back as 1842 a grant of 40 acres of land was made to Mr. Heel. From inquiries, I have ascertained that this gentleman transferred it to Mr. Hunter, who again transferred to Mr. Thornhill, and that on the request of the last-mentioned gentleman the lands included in the grant were divided into two lots with a separate title-deed issued for each in the names of Messrs. Thacker and Campbell above mentioned. The correspondence relating to the division is not forthcoming in my office. From the above it appears to me that excepting the original grant to Mr. Heel and its division between Messrs. Thacker and Campbell, the several changes of ownership which have taken place have not been registered, the parties probably satisfying themselves that the property was conveyed by a simple endorsement on the certificate, and the officers of Government not caring to register the ownership in the accounts so long as the assessment due continued to be regularly paid.
- *1. Letter to the Collector and Registrar of Government Grants, Madras, dated 14th September 1842, No. 128.
2. Extract Minutes of Consultation, dated 19th April 1842.
3. To the Collector and Registrar of Government Grants, dated 7th October 1842, No. 143.

3. I am of opinion that all applications relating to grants for occupation, &c., can be disposed of by Collectors themselves under Board's Standing Order No. 4 (new series), and that Colonel Drury's application for the division of the two existing grants into three is within my competence; but as the certificates granted to Messrs. Heel, Campbell, and Thacker all purport to have been granted by order of "the Governor in Council," I submit this case for orders; and, although there is nothing in the Standing Orders directing a reference to Government, Colonel Drury thinks that his application

1877.
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 16TH JUNE 1877, MIA. NO. 8,661.

Received

JUNE.
MIA. NO. 8,661.

Government Grants—issued in the Provinces since the year 1774. -- Ordering that extracts from the list of -- be prepared and forwarded to Collectors.

falls under the special rules in force in Military Cantonments, but what these rules are I am not aware, nor do I think that his view is correct. Should the Board decide that his application can be disposed of by me under their Standing Order No. 4, I beg further to be informed as to—

- (1),—whether for the three divisions of the grants applied for ordinary puttass specifying the quit-rents to be paid may be issued, or whether certificates are to be issued in the form of those granted by Mr. Brett omitting the words "by the Governor in Council of Fort St. George;" and
- (2),—whether I may register the grants in the name of Colonel Drury and issue fresh certificates or puttass without an application to that effect from Messrs. Thacker and Campbell (or their heirs) in whose names they were registered in the Government accounts, one of whom has left India and one is dead, as the present conveyers will be ready to supply their places.
4. If on the other hand the Board consider that the grants to Messrs. Heel, &c., were made under the Military Cantonment Rules, I request to be favored with a copy of the same.
5. I have called on the Sub-Collector to submit a statement showing the different lands which should appertain to each of the three divisions proposed by Colonel Drury and shall submit the same when received.
6. I request early orders as Colonel Drury urges a speedy disposal.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 and 2.—Certificates.

From H. LEFANU, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector, to C. T. LONGLEY, Esq., Collector of Salem, dated Hosur, 11th October 1879, No. 522.

With reference to your letters noted in the margin, I have the honor to forward herewith a statement received from the Tahsildar of Hosur showing the different lands which should belong to each of the three divisions desired by Colonel Drury.

2. For sake of reference the two registered grants have been marked with letters A and B. The first lot in each of the grants comprises the 1st Division, the second lot in B the 2nd Division, and the second lot in A the 3rd Division. These lands together with other lands attached to the Remount Depot, measuring in all acres 213.63, were taken as a single lot in the recent survey and numbered as 199-A. The numbers shown in the grants appear to be the old Pymaish numbers, the different pieces of which it is now impossible to identify, especially when there is an increase in each of the proposed divisions. The boundaries of the three divisions now sought for by Colonel Drury have therefore been shown so far as they can be ascertained from the present situation of the lands. The increase now found in remeasurement has also been entered in the statement together with the rates of assessment charged for lands of similar quality in the neighbourhood.

3. The copies of the grants are herewith returned.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Statement.

FORWARDED to the Board of Revenue in continuation of this office letter, No. 290, dated 20th September 1879. The statement received with the above letter is enclosed.

SALEM,
27th October 1879.

(Signed) C. T. LONGLEY,
Collector.

BEFORE passing orders in the matter, the Board desire further information as they believe the lands in question to be situated in the middle of the Remount Depot at Matigiri, and that their alienation to private parties might be detrimental to the interests of Government. The Collector is requested to report at an early date on this point and to submit a rough plan showing the situation of the lands.

2. It is observed that the grant comprising 40 acres, assessed at Rs. 68-11-12, in the village of Yedimallore near Hosur was originally made to Captain Hill, the officer then in charge of the Remount Depot under the Orders of Government, dated 19th April 1842, No. 489; the object of Captain Hill in acquiring the land is stated to have been for the purpose of "exercising the horses that are constantly brought for exhibition and trial previous to purchase"—*vide* Extract Minutes of Consultation, dated 12th October 1841. Captain Hill was about to purchase the house and ground attached thereto belonging to his predecessor who had neglected to obtain a grant for the ground enclosed which is stated to have been a piece of waste land immediately adjoining the depot and to have been allotted to the latter by the then Collector, the nature of the tenure not being mentioned. The grant cannot have been made under the Military Cantonment Rules as Hosur is apparently not a Military Cantonment, and those rules moreover provide that grants within the limits of cantonments shall only be held on lease for fifty years renewable at the end of every ten years at the option of Government, the title-deeds being issued by the Quartermaster-General—*vide* Madras Army Regulations, page 331. In the present case the orders were issued in the Revenue Department, and the Collector was authorized to issue the grant—Extract Minutes of Consultation, 19th April 1842.

3. Subsequently in November 1856 the land appears to have been divided into two portions and a separate certificate issued for each in the names of Major Campbell and Mr. Thacker. These transfers were duly registered in the office of Registrar of Government Grants on the 7th January 1857 as Nos. 66 and 67. The Collector of Madras is requested to state whether the original grant was registered in his office, and if so, to send a copy, it being advisable to ascertain whether the grant was made subject to any conditions or without restriction as private property. He is also requested to state whether any order can be traced under which sub-divisions and transfers of Government grants in the districts should be registered in his office. In his letter, dated 11th October 1879, No. 336, Mr. Melville states that there is nothing on record to show the conditions attaching to the grants in Madras, no duplicates having been retained in his office; he is requested to state what records are in existence in his office connected with the registration of Government grants, and whether applications for registry of transfers are still received; it appears that in 1857 the Collector of Madras was performing the duties of Registrar.

4. From G.O., dated 25th March 1878, No. 470, it appears that no copies of grants are on record in the Government Office; the Board request that they may be furnished with any further information which may be forthcoming in the Government office regarding the grant to Captain Hill in 1842.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Salem.

" " of Madras.

" Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Exd. A. Grubert.

No. 176.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 3rd February 1880.

Read the following letters :—

From the Collector of Ganjam,	dated 12th July	1879, No. 1,932.
" " of "	" 20th August	" " 128.
" " of Vizagapatam,	" 24th April 1878,	" " 486.
" " of Godavari,	" 26th October	" " 316.
" " of Nellore,	" 12th April	" " 1,490.
" " of "	" 18th July	" " 2,912.
" " of Kurnool,	" 2nd November	" " 408.
" " of Madras,	" 11th October 1879,	" " 336.
" " of Chingleput,	" 7th July	" " 449.
" " of Tanjore,	" 4th December 1878,	" " 4,449.
" " of Trichinopoly,	" 18th April 1879,	" " 124.
" " of Madura,	" 12th July	" " 310.
" " of "	" 21st October	" " 496.
" " of Tinnevely,	" 10th May	" " 324.
" " of Coimbatore,	" 10th December	" " 226.
" " of Salem,	" 29th October	" " 327.
" " of South Canara,	" 9th June	" " 1,048.

With reference to G.O., dated 1st December 1876, No. 1,875, the Board in their Proceedings, dated 1st February 1877, Miscellaneous No. 1,480, requested Collectors to examine the registers of Government grants in their districts, to make inquiries regarding the grants to which conditions attach, and to report cases in which the conditions have not been observed. A list of the grants issued since 1774 submitted to Government with Board's Proceedings, dated 29th March 1858, No. 1,115, was also forwarded to the Collectors for their information with Board's Proceedings, dated 16th June 1877, Miscellaneous No. 8,664, and the letters read above are the replies received from some of the Collectors. Replies have not yet been received from the Collectors of the Kistna, Cuddapah, Bellary, North Arcot, South Arcot, the Nilgiris, and Malabar, but the Board will not defer passing orders on this account, but will deal with the reports which have reached them.

2. The following is a summary of the Collectors' replies :—

3. *Ganjam*.—The Board's list contains only ten grants made prior to 1827, but the records of the Collector's office show that eight more were made subsequently. The original grants are not forthcoming in some cases. Some of the lands are now held on ryotwari puttas and others pay an annual quit-rent. Portions of some are now occupied by buildings, some of them being Government buildings. The buildings for the most part are in good condition, but a few are in ruins. The compounds around several of these buildings are under dry cultivation, but no puttas have been issued for them, nor is any assessment levied. In the cases in which the grants have been produced it appears that the lands are generally to be held for ninety-nine years, some on condition of paying merely an annual quit-rent and some on condition of paying in addition a fine at the end of every thirty years, and at the end of the term of ninety-nine years or the latter only.

4. *Vizagapatam*.—The Board's list contains only eight grants, but a list furnished to the Board by the Collector in 1842 shows twenty-nine including those within Military limits, and that the aggregate quit-rent payable thereon is Rs. 72-14-11 per annum; no quit-rent, however, has ever been collected. The Board's instructions are requested as to whether it is to be levied in future. A copy of the original grant has been produced only in one case, and it shows that the site for building purposes was granted for ninety-nine years on condition of paying an annual quit-rent and a fine of 30 pagodas every thirty years and 100 pagodas at the end of the ninety-nine years.

5. *Godavari*.—No register of grants is kept in the district, but a list showing eight grants was received from the Collector of Madras in 1822, which corresponds with

1879.

Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

To

THE COLLECTOR OF SALEM,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated December 13th.

Printed 19th.

Received

PROCEEDINGS, 13TH DECEMBER 1879, No. 3,327.

DECEMBER.

No. 3,327.

Lands—granted by a former Collector to Messrs. Thacker and J. Campbell, and now held by Colonel Drury, Remount Agent, Hosur, Salem District.—Requesting further information with reference to Collector's proposal to divide the — into three portions and to issue a separate title-deed for each.

that forwarded by the Board. None of the original grants are forthcoming. Portions of the lands are occupied by buildings and the rest are under cultivation. The annual quit-rent is paid in all cases except three.

6. *Nellore*.—The Board's list contains five cases, but seven are shown in a list prepared in the Collector's office in 1834. The latter appears to be correct. The lands are held on quit-rent; it is not stated whether the quit-rents are regularly paid or not. Portions of the grants are occupied by buildings and the rest are under cultivation.

7. *Kurnool*.—No information is available regarding the only grant in this district made to one Captain Wessott in 1807.

8. *Madras*.—There are 343 grants in the district, but there is nothing on record to show the conditions of the grants, no duplicates having been retained in the Collector's office, and it is not stated whether they are held subject to payment of quit-rent, and whether the quit-rents are collected.

9. *Chingleput*.—The list furnished by the Board contains 174 cases; no copies of the grants are available in the Collector's office, and documents have been produced in twenty-six cases only; these show that the grants are all conditional and similar to that in the South Arcot District which led to the present reference. The Collector requests that information may be furnished to him from the records of the Board or Government office as to whether the grants are conditional or not. No information on the point is available in this office and the Board understand that the grants are not forthcoming in the Government office.

10. *Tanjore*.—There are nine grants in the Board's list, of which two are in the French territory of Karikal. Information is available regarding four others only. The conditions in the case of two are reported to be duly observed; the land referred to in the third has been purchased by Government, and as regards the fourth it appears that the amount to be paid annually according to the grant is 25 pagodas and 10 fanams; the rent now levied thereon is Rs. 89-15-4, with land-cess amounting to Rs. 4-3-6; a fine of 30 pagodas is payable at the end of every thirty years, but no payment on this account has ever been made, though seventy years have now elapsed. It appears further that the conditions of the grant in this case have not been observed, no buildings being maintained on the land. The Collector recommends that the grant be revoked and the land fully assessed, and requests that copies of the grants in the remaining three cases may be furnished to him to enable him to make the necessary inquiries.

11. *Trichinopoly*.—Only twenty-three of the grants entered in the list could be traced and all of these except one have been fully assessed and puttass issued for them. None of the original grants are forthcoming.

12. *Madura*.—None of the grants referred to in the Board's list except three can be traced in the absence of the original grants or copies of them, and no quit-rent is levied on any of these lands. In the case of the three grants which have been identified it is reported that the terms have been duly observed and that the bungalows are in good condition.

13. *Tinnevely*.—Some of the lands are still held on grant tenure and others have been fully assessed. As far as can be ascertained the grants appear to have been originally given for building purposes, but only portions of the lands are occupied by buildings and the rest are cultivated. No charge has ever been made on the cultivated areas, but there is no reason why they should not be fully assessed.

14. *Coimbatore*.—The Collector reports that no information is forthcoming regarding any of the grants in the Board's list with one exception; in this case the grant is not to be found and its conditions are unknown. A list of three other grants prepared from office copies of grants found in the office is forwarded; one is a grant to the London Mission Society for ninety-nine years, free of quit-rent, so long as the land is used for Mission purposes. In the other two cases the quit-rent is regularly paid.

15. *Salem*.—There are six grants in this district, two in Hosur which have been separately reported on,* two in the town of Salem as regards which the conditions have been duly observed, and two on the Shevaroy Hills, of which one cannot be identified and the other has been mortgaged to Messrs. Arbuthnot and Co., who have declined to forward the documents connected with it for the Collector's inspection.

16. *South Canara*.—The Board's list contains twenty grants, but the Collector's list shows nine more. Of the twenty grants referred to in the Board's list the lands appertaining to seven have been taken up for Government purposes, three are situated in North Canara, one has been fully assessed, and of the remainder copies of the grants are available for only five; but it appears that the buildings in all cases are maintained in good order and the quit-rent paid regularly. The special payment at the end of every thirty years, however, does not appear to have been made, probably because this condition was not inserted in the rules published at pages 439 and 440 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 20th April 1849, regarding grants of land in Military Cantonments; in all the other nine cases also the quit-rent is regularly paid, but copies of the grants are forthcoming in five cases only. The documents in three cases contain no condition other than the payment of an annual quit-rent, whilst in the others there is an additional stipulation to the effect that the buildings should be properly maintained. In two cases a fine of Rs. 10 is also payable at the end of every ten years, but this has never been levied.

17. To sum up, the grants in the several districts may be divided into the following classes:—

- I. Grants held on lease for ninety-nine years on condition of paying an annual quit-rent and a fine at the end of a term of years or on the expiration of the lease or on both occasions.
- II. Grants held on lease for ninety-nine years subject to the condition of paying an annual quit-rent only.
- III. Grants held on lease for ninety-nine years free of quit-rent.
- IV. Cases in which grants are not forthcoming, and the conditions on which the grants were made are not known, but an annual quit-rent is paid.
- V. Cases in which grants are not forthcoming and no quit-rent whatever is collected.
- VI. Lands held for Mission purposes free of quit-rent.
- VII. Grants made for building purposes on condition that the buildings are properly maintained.

18. Besides the above there are lands originally held on old grants for which ryotwar puttass have been subsequently issued. No orders are required in regard to them. As regards the several descriptions of grants enumerated above the Board beg to submit the following suggestions for the consideration and orders of Government:—

Class I.—The payment of fines at specified periods does not seem to have been ever enforced, and in the town of Madras the Government have directed that no demand should be made on this account; this order, dated 5th September 1834, No. 784, was communicated to all Collectors in 1855, but does not appear to be generally known, as it is not alluded to in any of the replies. The Board think that the fines may be remitted everywhere. It is, however, an expressed or implied condition of all such grants that at the end of ninety-nine years it is open to Government to renew the lease upon such terms and conditions as may be deemed reasonable. The Board think that these tenures may be settled at once on somewhat the same principle as that sanctioned for similar grants in Vizagapatam in G.O., dated 5th April 1862, No. 760, i.e., to enfranchise them on a quit-rent equivalent to the existing quit-rent plus quarter or half of the difference between the existing quit-rent and the full assessment, which would be payable if the lands were held on ordinary ryotwari tenure, according as the leases have more or less than thirty years to run. For instance, if a grant is

held on a quit-rent of Rs. 10, the full assessment thereof being Rs. 20, and the time to run less than thirty years, the land may be enfranchised subject to an annual quit-rent of Rs. 15, which is half of the difference between the quit-rent and full assessment *plus* the existing quit-rent. If the holders do not agree to this arrangement the lands must be held on their present tenure till the expiration of the lease when the terms must be reconsidered, but the Board doubt not that the holders will be glad to enfranchise them on the terms specified.

Class II.—These may be disposed of in the same manner as grants under Class I.

Class III.—These may be disposed of on the same principles as tenures under Classes I and II, but the quit-rent charged for enfranchisement in these cases should be quarter or half of the full assessment instead of quarter or half of the difference between the full assessment and the old quit-rent.

Classes IV and V.—It is the duty of the holders of grants to produce their title-deeds; when none are forthcoming it may reasonably be presumed that the tenure was a lease for ninety-nine years, as most of the grants which are in existence are of that nature; such lands may be enfranchised on half assessment without any option being given to the holders, as no clear evidence of the terms of the grant can be adduced.

Class VI.—The grants may be continued on the existing tenure so long as the lands are used for the purposes for which they were granted; when they cease to be so used they may be enfranchised on half assessment compulsorily.

Class VII.—In addition to the condition of maintaining buildings on the land some of these grants appear to have stipulated for the payment of an annual quit-rent and a fine at certain intervals during the lease, which is generally for ninety-nine years. The Board consider that the maintenance of buildings is a condition which need no longer be enforced. The lands under these grants may therefore be disposed of as if the leases did not contain any such stipulation, and enfranchised on the terms applicable to grants under Classes I, II, and III if they are held on a lease for ninety-nine years, or treated as existing freeholds if the lease is not for any specified period.

19. The existing quit-rents need in no case be reduced should they prove in any case to be higher than the full ryotwari assessment; where they are equal thereto they will of course not be enhanced on account of enfranchisement. These tenures may be registered by the Inam Commissioner and title-deeds issued by him in all cases in which enfranchisement takes place.

(A true Extract.)


Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with seventeen original letters and their enclosures, to be
returned; and copies of Board's Proceedings, 16th June
1877, Mis. No. 8,664, and 13th December 1879, No. 3,327.

„ all Collectors.

Copy to the Inam Commissioner.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

Draft G O. L. 344
 H's Prop of 3rd Feb 1880
 No 176.

The Govt see no
 need to interfere with
 the old quit rent leases
 referred to in the foregoing
 Progs, much less to deal
 with them as *Intimus*.

They will leave the tenure
 undisturbed. Collectors shd
 see to the tenure of the
 leases being duly observed
 and evidence of tenure
 being produced where
 special conditions are
 claimed.

11/11/80

29th March 1880.

Not from orders

105 The Board of Revenue
 with 14 origl letters & their Encls. & 50 Encls

130
 2

10/11/45
 1880.

Board of Revenue,
 MADRAS.

THE SECRETARY TO GOVT.,
 REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
 &c., &c., &c.

Dated February 3rd.

Printed 19th.

Received

PROCEEDINGS, 3rd FEBRUARY 1880, No. 176.

FEBRUARY. 17th 1880

Secretary - 29 March 1880

Government, grants of Land for building and
 other purposes - in Madras Presidency - Re-
 viewing reports from Collectors of certain dis-
 tricts regarding - and submitting suggestions
 on the subject.

PRINT

29. 3. 80

Revenue Department
Pros: Cpt. D. J. C. 1/80
N. 377

[Handwritten signature]

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 1146

From The Board of Rev.
Dated 3rd Feb
FOR ORDERS.

1880. N. 176.

[Faint handwritten text, possibly a list or notes]

[Faint handwritten text, possibly a list or notes]

Dear Mr. [unclear]

I have been thinking
of you for some time

and hope to hear from you

very soon.

Yours truly,

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

[unclear]

Yours truly,

[unclear]

24/11/3

C. P. 1145-
FOR ORDERS.

19
GOVERNMENT MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

29th March 1880, No. 377.

No. 357. READ THE FOLLOWING PAPER :—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd February 1880, No. 176.

ABSTRACT.—Reviewing reports from Collectors of certain districts regarding Government grants of land for building and other purposes in Madras Presidency and submitting suggestions on the subject.

No. 358. ORDER THEREON, 29th March 1880, No. 377.

Land Revenue, No. 25.

The Government see no need to interfere with the old quit-rent leases referred to in the foregoing Proceedings, much less to deal with them as Inams. The would leave the tenure undisturbed. Collectors should see to the terms of the leases being duly observed and evidence of tenure being produced where special conditions are claimed.

(True Extract.)

Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with seventeen original papers and their enclosures.

Exd. W. H. French.

130 Copies.

Unissued

Cont. of (1830) *Revenue*

REVENUE.

75

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated March 23rd.

Printed April 1st.

Recd.

Recd.

Revenue 377 of 29-2-11

BAEK 357-58

1-6-91
3-10-92
12/3/91
18-10-91
94-12-81

18-8-18

29.9.81

4-7-22 *DU*

3.11.26

12-4-39 *II*

8.12.39 *II*

Government grants of land for building and other purposes in Madras Presidency.—Stating with certain instructions to Collectors that the Government see no need to interfere with the old grant leases referred to in Revenue Board's proceedings regarding—much less to deal with them as leases; they would leave the tenure undisturbed.