

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 6
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 14.9.88
(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 6/11

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

உருவாய் துறை

அரசாணை எண். <u>S2.(210-211)</u> ~~சு.கோப்புத் தாள்-எண்.~~	அச்சகம் <u>பலன்கை</u>
நாள் : <u>14.1.1879.</u>	<u>198</u>

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

14th January 1879, No. 82.

Read the following paper :—

No. 210. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th December 1878, No. 3,309.

Read the following letter from H. W. BLISS, Esq., Commissioner of Salt Revenue, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 22nd November 1878, No. 1,773 :—

I HAVE the honor to report on the cost, &c., of the shipment of salt lately sent from Vedarniem to Bimlipatam.

2. The total quantity shipped was 93,045½ maunds as under :—

	Indian Maunds.
By the "Inverallan" (first voyage)	25,849
Do. "Malabar"	41,880½
Do. "Inverallan" (second voyage)	25,316
Total	93,045½

3. The quantity landed and weighed into store and the wastage on each trip were—

	Indian Maunds.	Wastage per Cent.
From the "Inverallan" (first voyage)	25,416	1.67
Do. "Malabar"	38,969	6.97
Do. "Inverallan" (second voyage)	24,451	3.41
Total	88,827	
Average		4.53

4. The Board will notice that there were great variations in the rates of wastage. They arose, I think, from two causes. In both of the *Inverallan's* trips a considerable proportion of the cargo was in bags, which were in this way sent for nothing up to Bimlipatam for use in unloading there. The *Inverallan's* first cargo was all swamp salt; hence the exceedingly low wastage. The *Malabar's* cargo was all manufactured salt. Even so, the wastage therein, considering the other wastages, was high. I cannot account for it.

5. Freight was paid only on the quantity landed and weighed into store. It came to Rupees 16,258-8-3, at Rupees 5 per ton, on 3,251 tons, 14 cwt., 6 lbs. This does not quite agree with the above account of the quantity stored (88,827 Indian maunds, or 3,263 tons, 2 qrs., 17½ lbs.). The discrepancy seems to have arisen from the fact that the *Inverallan's* first cargo was weighed twice over—once on landing to satisfy the Ship's Captain, and again on storage—first with beam scales and then with Grainger's Pan machines. It may also be due to a certain extent to errors in the conversion of maunds into tons for the calculation of freight.

6. The expenses of shipment were as under :—

	RS.	A.	P.
Labor for weighing, bagging, stitching, and loading	916	12	3
Boat-hire	2	703	2
Tarpaulins purchased	180	0	0
Sundries	107	15	6
Mr. Millett's salary, at Rupees 250 per mensem, for two months and fourteen days	826	14	1
Total	5,734	12	6

or Rupees 1-12-1½ per ton, or 1 Anna 0-4 Pies per maund of salt landed.

7. The expenses of landing and storage were—

	RS.	A.	P.
Labour for weighing, unloading from the boats and into the carts, &c.	868	6	3
Boat-hire	1,962	11	0
Cart-hire three miles	3,644	1	4
Bags purchased	846	0	0
Hire of a godown to shelter bags pending cartage	78	0	0
Sundries	181	2	0
Total	6,989	4	7

or Rupees 2-2-3 per ton, or Rupees 0-1-3 per maund of salt landed.

8. The expenses of landing this salt came to more than it should have done in consequence of the Salt Deputy Collector, Vizagapatam, having spent Rupees 846 on buying new bags. He explains that he did this because of 4,118 bags sent up from Addalapara full of salt in the *Tynedale* over 2,800 were so rotten that the Captain of the *Lacerullia* protested against unloading salt in them. These are the bags for which the Kistna Salt Deputy Collector gave Rupees 16 per hundred, and such their general condition after one trip.

9. Taken all together the cost of this shipment was—

	RS.	A.	P.
Freight	16,258	8	3
Shipping charges	5,734	12	6
Landing	6,989	4	7
Value at cost price of 4,584½ maunds of salt wasted including two boat-loads swamped in a gale of wind off Vedar-niam	368	7	5
Total	29,342	0	9

or Rupees 8-15-10½ per ton, or 5 Annas 3 Pies per maund of salt landed, as compared with 9 Annas 8½ Pies per maund, the cost of importation last year from Pennugaduru, and 8 Annas 6½ Pies per maund, the cost of the transit of the salt sent up this year from Addalapara by the Kistna Salt Deputy Collector. I think I may say therefore that the result has quite justified the employment of Mr. Millett on the conduct of the shipment at Vedar-niam. He had considerable difficulties to overcome and seems to have done well. Mr. Shackley also appears to have exerted himself in the landing of the salt at Bimalipatam.

SUBMITTED for the information of Government with reference to G.O., dated 1st July 1878, No. 1,001.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

No. 211.

ORDER THEREON, 11th January 1879, No. 82.

Separate Revenue, No. 11.
Salt.

RECORDED.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. S. THOMAS,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. T. D. Ryan.

No. 3,303.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 11th December 1878.
Read the following letter from H. W. BLISS, Esq., Commissioner of Salt Revenue,
to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated
Madras, 22nd November 1878, No. 1,773:—

I HAVE the honor to report on the cost, &c., of the shipment of salt lately sent
from Vedarniem to Bimlipatam.

2. The total quantity shipped was 93,045½ maunds as under:—

	Indian Maunds.
By the "Inverallan" (first voyage)	25,849
Do. "Malabar"	41,880½
Do. "Inverallan" (second voyage)	25,316
Total ...	93,045½

3. The quantity landed and weighed into store and the wastage on each trip
were—

	Indian Maunds.	Wastage per Cent.
From the "Inverallan" (first voyage)	25,416	1.67
Do. "Malabar"	38,960	6.97
Do. "Inverallan" (second voyage)	24,451	3.41
Total ...	88,827	
Average ...		4.53

4. The Board will notice that there were great variations in the rates of wastage.
They arose, I think, from two causes. In both of the *Inverallan's* trips a considerable
proportion of the cargo was in bags, which were in this way sent for nothing up to
Bimlipatam for use in unloading there. The *Inverallan's* first cargo was all swamp
salt; hence the exceedingly low wastage. The *Malabar's* cargo was all manu-
factured salt. Even so, the wastage therein, considering the other wastages, was
high. I cannot account for it.

5. Freight was paid only on the quantity landed and weighed into store. It
came to Rupees 16,258-8-3, at Rupees 5 per ton, on 3,251 tons, 14 cwt., 6 lbs. This
does not quite agree with the above account of the quantity stored (88,827 Indian
maunds, or 3,263 tons, 2 qrs., 17½ lbs.). The discrepancy seems to have arisen from
the fact that the *Inverallan's* first cargo was weighed twice over—once on landing to
satisfy the Ship's Captain, and again on storage—first with beam scales and then with
Grainger's Pan machines. It may also be due to a certain extent to errors in the
conversion of maunds into tons for the calculation of freight.

6. The expenses of shipment were as under:—

	RS.	A.	P.
Labor for weighing, bagging, stitching, and loading	916	12	3
Boat-hire	3,703	2	8
Tarpaulins purchased	180	0	0
Sundries	1.7	15	6
Mr. Millett's salary, at Rupees 250 per mensem, for two months and fourteen days	826	14	1
Total	5,734	12	6

or Rupees 1-12-1½ per ton, or 1 Anna 0-4 Pies per maund of salt landed.

7. The expenses of landing and storage were—

	RS.	A.	P.
Labor for weighing, unloading from the boats and into the carts, &c.	868	6	3
Boat-hire	1,962	11	0
Cart-hire three miles	3,044	1	4
Bags purchased	846	0	0
Hire of a godown to shelter bags pending cartage	78	0	0
Sundries	181	2	0
Total	6,980	4	7

or Rupees 2-2-3 per ton, or Rupees 0-1-3 per maund of salt landed.

Copy of (1879) Minutes.
REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated January, 1879.

For Secy.

Do.

Do.

G.O., 14th January 1879, No. 92.

JANUARY.

1879.

Copy of 1879 from Revenue to Board of Revenue, 14th January 1879, No. 92.

8. The expenses of landing this salt came to more than it should have done in consequence of the Salt Deputy Collector, Vizagapatam, having spent Rupees 846 on buying new bags. He explains that he did this because of 4,118 bags sent up from Addalapara full of salt in the *Tynedale* over 2,800 were so rotten that the Captain of the *Inverailin* protested against unloading salt in them. These are the bags for which the Kistna Salt Deputy Collector gave Rupees 16 per hundred, and such their general condition after one trip.

9. Taken all together the cost of this shipment was—

	Rs.	A.	P.
Freight	16,253	8	3
Shipping charges	5,734	12	6
Landing	8,930	4	7
Value at cost price of 4,544 maunds of salt wasted including two boat-loads swamped in a gale of wind off Vedar-			
nam	363	7	3
Total	29,342	0	9

of Rupees 8-15-10½ per ton, or 5 Annas 3 Pies per maund of salt landed, as compared with 9 Annas 8½ Pies per maund, the cost of importation last year from Pennaguduru, and 8 Annas 6½ Pies per maund, the cost of the transit of the salt sent up this year from Addalapara by the Kistna Salt Deputy Collector. I think I may say therefore that the result has quite justified the employment of Mr. Millett on the conduct of the shipment at Vedarnam. He had considerable difficulties to overcome and seems to have done well. Mr. Sharkey also appears to have exerted himself in the landing of the salt at Bimlipatam.

Submitted for the information of Government with reference to G.O., dated 1st July 1878, No. 1,001.

Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Commissioner of Salt Revenue.

Ed. G. Freeman.

14 7 Bunder Revenue Dept. J. G. J.

DEPT. OF REVENUE,
MADRAS.

THE SECT. TO GOVERNMENT,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Dated December 11th.

Printed 16th.

Received

PROCEEDINGS, 11th DECEMBER 1878, No. 3,309

DECEMBER

No. 3,309.

Shipment of Salt — from Vedarnam to Bimlipatam. — Submitting to Government letter from Commissioner of Salt Revenue reporting on the cost, &c., of the —

14 11/14/1878-2

Revenue Dept. 14/12/78

1878.

14/12/78

14 11/14/1878-2

14 11/14/1878-2

14 11/14/1878-2