

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 28
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 8/88
(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 6/9

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

விடுவாய் துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	<u>24</u>	அச்சகம் பலவகை
Ballno 70-71		
நாள் :	<u>7-1-1879</u>	<u>198</u>

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

A. Mai

24
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

7th January 1879, No. 24.

Read the following papers:—

No. 70. Endorsement of the Acting Chief Secretary to Government, dated Ootacamund, 23rd September 1878, No. 1,722.

Read the following letter from W. DONALD, Esq., Officiating Deputy Accountant-General, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 19th September 1878, No. A.B.W-10.

I HAVE the honor to report for the orders of Government under Rule 2-A, Section 52 of the Civil Pension Code, that the Collector of Chingleput has entertained N. Samarapoori Pillai as Vernacular Accountant on his establishment when his age has exceeded 25 years. He is stated to be 27 years old.

TRANSFERRED to the Revenue Department.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Chief Secretary.

REFERRED to the Board of Revenue for report.

OOTACAMUND,
24th September 1878.

(Signed) L. A. CAMPBELL,
Under Secretary to Government.

No. 71. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th December 1878, Miscellaneous No. 12,762.

Read the following letter from J. F. PRICE, Esq., Collector of the Chingleput District, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Saidapet, 4th November 1878, No. 345.

In reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, Miscellaneous No. 10,037, dated 30th ultimo, I have the honor to state that the appointment of Samarapoori Pillai was made by the Treasury Deputy Collector, Mr. Smith, without my knowledge or consent.

2. The whole affair is of a very suspicious nature.

3. One Venecatarangum Chetty, a clerk in the Treasury Department, was in a very irregular manner allowed to take leave for five years. Samarapoori Pillai, who, I may observe, is the son of the Vernacular Record-keeper of this office and an unpassed man, was put in to act. The post became vacant in June last by the non-return of the permanent incumbent, and Mr. Smith, without in any way consulting me, not only confirmed Samarapoori Pillai, but also did not send the usual notice to the Gazette.

4. This appointment is the only one which has not been submitted to me, and which has not appeared in the Gazette.

5. I beg to enclose Mr. Smith's explanation which I consider very unsatisfactory. He either intentionally omitted to bring the matter to my notice or else he merely signed what his subordinates put before him and allowed them, between

From them, to perpetrate a job to which they knew full well that I should object. From what I have seen of him, I believe the latter to have been the case.

6. Paragraph 4 of Mr. Smith's letter has reference to paragraph 15 of Standing Circular of the Board of Revenue, No. 329, to which I drew his attention.

From the same Officer, dated Saldapet, 13th November 1878, No. 372.

I HAVE the honor, at the request of the Treasury Deputy Collector, Mr. Smith, to forward a letter which he has addressed to me, relative to my letter to the Board, No. 345, dated 4th instant.

2. The tone of this communication is such that it calls for no comment from me. I shall have to refer the Board in connection with the explanation called for in regard to Press matters another letter in which Mr. Smith has seen fit to adopt the same style.

3. It will be observed that Mr. Smith imputes to me motives and ideas which would certainly render me unfit to hold my present position in this district. He has, however, entirely failed to give any satisfactory explanation of his having, without my sanction, appointed Samarapoori Pillai to a permanent vacancy and having kept the appointment, made by him, out of the Gazette.

4. I had no opportunity of cancelling this appointment, as I never knew anything about it until the Accountant-General's letter of objection was sent on to me for explanation.

5. Vencatarungam Chetty, I am informed, holds at the present moment an appointment in another department and had done so for some time prior to his vacating that which he held here.

6. My predecessor, Mr. Barlow, officially directed that no unpassed candidates were to be taken on.

7. I assert and am prepared to show that Mr. Smith has signed papers placed before him without taking the trouble to see that they are even fairly correct. I may cite a case, which I have recently reported to the Board, when he sent up the budget estimates to me with the totals wrong and the license tax omitted. He, in the presence of the Assistant Collector, Mr. Rathnavelu Chetty, told me that he did not consider it his duty to check totals, and the Head Accountant was responsible. He further admitted to me in person on another occasion that he had left matters too much to his subordinates. This, to the best of my recollection and belief, I mentioned in a semi-official note written on either same or the next day to Mr. Moser, when Revenue Secretary.

8. As regards the appointments to which Mr. Smith refers, all but two were made in the department to which the man belong, and I was not aware, except in the case of Nathamony Iyengar, that they had relations in the offices in which they were employed. As regards Nathamony Iyengar, Mr. Weld spoke so highly of his conduct whilst with him as Special Assistant Collector that I told him that I would not object to his being put in to act as Head Clerk of the Sub-Collector's Office, but that he must be moved somewhere else as soon as opportunity offered. Pachi Pillai's transfer was made in order to make a vacancy in the taluk for a man who was transferred from the Huzur for misconduct, and I took the best Gumbastah who could be spared not knowing that he had any relatives here.

9. I still continue to hold the opinion that Mr. Smith has acted improperly in the matter of Samarapoori Pillai's appointment. Had he known what his duties as Treasury Deputy Collector were, he must have been aware that he had no right to make any appointment. That he does not know these is the ground of my complaint, a complaint in which I am supported by the best judge of Treasury matters, the Accountant-General.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From A. SMITH, Esq., Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury, Chingleput District, to J. F. PRICE, Esq., Collector of Chingleput, dated Saldapet, 29th October 1878, No. 672.

In reply to your letter, No. 1,778, of yesterday's date received to-day, I have the honor to report, as directed, the circumstances under which Samarapoori Pillai was confirmed in his appointment of 15 Rs. per mensem as 5th Vernacular Accountant.

2. One Vencatarungam Chetty, an Accountant in this office, having obtained leave for five years on total forfeiture of pay, Samarapoori Pillai was appointed to act on the 1st July 1874, as 5th Vernacular Accountant on Rs. 15 per mensem.

3. Vencatarungam Chetty not having returned after five years' leave, Samarapoori Pillai, who acted from 1st July 1874, was confirmed by me in the appointment, and I did not consider it necessary to trouble you on so obvious a claim to the appointment as that held by Samarapoori Pillai, or to publish his confirmation in it in the District Gazette.

4. I note your remark in paragraph 2, and in apologizing for the unintentional error I committed, shall in future take care to obtain your orders on any appointment that may fall vacant in the department, and publish the same in the Gazette.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

From the same Officer, dated 12th November 1878, No. 999.

I HAVE the honor to request that you will do me the favor to lay this letter at your earliest practicable convenience, it being a matter of importance to me, before the Board of Revenue, in reference to yours* to them regarding my confirmation of Samarapoori Pillai in the post of 5th Vernacular Accountant on a salary of Rs. 15 per mensem.

*4th November 1878, No. 345.

2. In the first place, you are pleased to call this transaction an "appointment," as if it was one just made for the first time; whereas the fact is Samarapoori Pillai was merely confirmed in a post which he had satisfactorily filled for about 4½ years. In the next place, you are pleased to observe in reference to this transaction, that "the whole affair is of a very suspicious nature."

3. It is to be regretted, I respectfully submit, that you should have characterized a transaction of no great importance, and which it was open to you to cancel if you did not approve of it, by so ambiguous a term as "very suspicious," without indicating at the same time, for the information of the Board and the Government, what in your opinion rendered the transaction deserving of being branded by, I humbly venture to submit, so harsh and offensive an epithet. It may mean, for instance, that I profited pecuniarily by the transaction, or that I confirmed a man in a post for which he was totally unfit to serve some purpose of my own, and I venture respectfully to repeat that your omission to indicate distinctly the nature of your suspicions is a matter for regret.

4. Moreover, you have been pleased to use this harsh term after the receipt of my explanation that the man had simply been confirmed by me in an appointment which he had continuously held from July 1874. And I beg particularly to solicit your attention to the fact that the Accountant-General's letter to Government refers only to the employment in the public service of Samarapoori Pillai after he had passed the prescribed age; and that it is on this point alone that information has been called for by Government. Instead of showing clearly that, at the time he was first appointed to officiate in the post, Samarapoori Pillai was about 23½ years old, you have been pleased to maintain perfect silence on the point, and have left it to be inferred that he was appointed to the post not only after he had passed the prescribed age, but also obtained the appointment under "very suspicious" circumstances!

5. In paragraph 3 of your letter you are pleased to say that five years' leave was very irregularly granted to Vencatarungam Chetty, but you have not been pleased to explain, for the information of the Board and Government, how this was irregular. Vencatarungam Chetty first obtained leave on medical certificate, and subsequently had that leave extended from time to time with forfeiture of full pay under Section 9, Supplement F of the Civil Leave Code, for a period of five years, which being the limit allowed by Section 16, he lost his lien on his appointment, and was considered out of employ. It will thus be seen that what you have been pleased to say as to Vencatarungam Chetty having been "in a very irregular manner allowed to take leave for five years" is not quite in accordance with the facts of the case.

6. In paragraph 5, you are pleased to suggest that I must have either intentionally abstained from bringing to your notice my confirmation of Samarapoori Pillai in an appointment which he had held for 4½ years, or signed a memorandum of appointment laid before me

by my subordinates, without knowing what I was about, and that even your knowledge of me the latter was probably what I had done.

7. This is not the first time I respectfully venture to observe, you have been pleased to accept of my suggestions, and I am glad to hear by my subordinates. If this be true, it requires no argument, I submit, to prove to the public at large, and to the Government in connection with the country at large, and it is indeed a reward that, with such an incentive, I am confident, will be worth the cost. In person in charge for the last eight years, there has been a considerable improvement in the Government funded.

[illegible][illegible]

1. The above information was obtained from the files of the FBI. This information is being furnished to you for your information only. It is not to be used for any other purpose. If you have any questions, please contact the FBI at (202) 452-4000.

[illegible]

1. The first step in the process of identifying a problem is to define the problem. This involves identifying the symptoms of the problem and determining the scope of the problem. Once the problem has been defined, the next step is to identify the causes of the problem. This involves identifying the factors that are contributing to the problem and determining the underlying causes. Once the causes have been identified, the next step is to develop a plan of action. This involves identifying the steps that need to be taken to solve the problem and determining the resources that will be needed to implement the plan. Once a plan of action has been developed, the next step is to implement the plan. This involves carrying out the steps that have been identified in the plan and monitoring the progress of the implementation. Finally, the last step in the process is to evaluate the results of the implementation. This involves determining whether the problem has been solved and whether the resources have been used effectively.

[illegible][illegible]

1. The first step in the process of the investigation is the identification of the problem. This involves a thorough review of the available information and a clear definition of the issue at hand. Once the problem is identified, the next step is to gather relevant data and information. This can be done through various methods, including interviews, surveys, and document analysis. The third step is to analyze the data and information gathered. This involves identifying patterns, trends, and potential causes of the problem. The final step is to develop and implement a solution. This may involve creating a plan, allocating resources, and monitoring progress. The process of investigation is a continuous one, and it may be necessary to revisit previous steps as more information is gathered or as the situation evolves.

...in which I attempted to have a
...and I venture respectfully
...and I venture respectfully
...and I venture respectfully

[illegible]

It is suggested that the Board think that, if the Commissioner was again reappointed, he may be allowed to retain the post. He has been acting since the 1st July 1971. He may be allowed to retain the post.

3. The Board overcame its previous disapproval of the style adopted, which in addressing the Collector, is a most improper one, and other

letters now under the consideration of the Board show that this is not a singular instance of such indiscretion.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

No. 72.

ORDER THEREON, 7th January 1879, No. 24.

Miscellaneous.

THE permanent retention of Sam-
rapoori Pillai is sanctioned as recom-
mended by the Board.

2. The Government concur in the Board's disapproval of the tone of Mr. Smith's second letter to the Collector, but must admit that there was provocation.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. S. THOMAS,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
the Accountant-General.

Exd. W. H. French.

No. A. B. W.

10

PORT SAINT GEORGE,
Accountant General's Office.

19th September, 1818.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the orders of Government under Rule 2-A,
Section 55 of the Civil Pension Code, that the *Collector of Bellary*
has entertained
William Joseph Kelly as a pensioner
in his establishment, when his age has exceeded 25 years.
He is stated to be 27 years old.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

H. B. Caldwell
Accountant General.

Miscellaneous No. 12762.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Dated 13th December 1878.

Read the following: -
No. 345.

Zorn

J. F. Pire Engineering

Collector of the Chingheput District.

C. A. Cattaw Esquire

Ag. Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Madras.

2.

In reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, Miscellaneous No. 10037 dated 30th Ultimo, I have the honor to state that the appointment of Samrajy Pally was made by the Treasury Dy. Collector Mr. Smith without my knowledge or consent.

2. The whole affair is of a very suspicious nature.

3. One Venkutrangam Chetty, a clerk in the Treasury Deptt., was in a very irregular manner allowed to take leave for 5 years. Laxapathy Pillay who, I may observe, is the son of

46 1722

Transferred to the Revenue Dept.

Amesbury

107

Wm. L. G. S. S.
by Philip S. S. S.

2/28

James H. H. H.

Received of the
 1878 Department
 15 Jan 1879
 The Treasurer General
 Hudson

2.

the chief testimony
of the Government
to the Government
to the Government

Dec 13 1877

March 23 - 1898
10
Hankins Street New York

Wm. L. G. Smith
Lecturer on the
Lectures on the

1. *Adiantum*
 2. *Asplenium*
 3. *Polypodium*

Robertson and his family

Received of
Edward Robinson 27 }
Sept 1878 -
Albion

W. C. Allen
Secretary

of the Temporal Record Keeper of this office
and an unpassed man, was put in to wait.
The post became vacant in June last by
the nonreturn of the permanent incumbent
and Mr. Smith, without in any way consulting
me, not only confirmed Chamarajoy Pillay
but also did not send the usual notice to
the Gazette.

4. This appointment is the only one which
has not been submitted to me and which has
not appeared in the Gazette.

5. I beg to enclose Mr. Smith's explanation
which I consider very unsatisfactory. He
either intentionally omitted to bring the matter
to my notice or else he merely signed what
his subordinates put before him and allowed
them, between them, to perpetrate a job to which
they knew full well that I should object.
From what I have seen of him, I believe the
latter to have been the case.

5. Para 4 of Mr. Smith's letter has
reference to para 15 of Standing Circular of
the

the Board of Revenue No. 390. to which I drew
his attention.

Chingleput Distt.

Colli. Office, Saidpet.

14th Novemb 1891

Shave ^{recd}

Sd/ Frederick Price
Collector.

No. 372.

From

J. F. Price Esquire

Collector of the Chingleput District.

To

C. A. Kallaw Esquire

Secy. Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor, at the request of the
Treasury Deputy Collector, Mr. Smith, to forward
a letter which he has addressed to me,
relative to my letter to the Board No. 345,
dated 4th Instant.

2. The tone of this communication is
such that it calls for no comment from me.
I shall have to lay before the Board in
connection with the explanation called for in
regard to Price matter another letter in which
Mr. Smith has seen fit to adopt the same
style.

3. It will be observed that Mr. Smith
imposes

inputs to me motives and ideas which
would certainly render me unfit to hold my
present position in this District. He has,
however, ^{entirely} failed to give any satisfactory expla-
nation of his having, without my sanction,
appointed Samarapooru Pillay to a permanent
vacancy and having kept the appointment,
made by him, out of the Gazette.

4. I had no opportunity of cancelling this
appointment, as I never knew anything about
it until the Accountant General's letter of
objection was sent on to me for explanation.

5. Venkatamanga Chetty, I am informed, re-
holds at the present moment an appointment
in another Department and had done so for
some time prior to his vacating that which
he held here.

6. My predecessor Mr. Parlane
officially directed that no unpassed can-
didates were to be taken on.

7. I assert and am prepared to show
that.

that Mr. Smith has signed papers placed
before him without taking the trouble to see
that they are even fairly correct. I may cite
a case, which I have recently reported to the
Board, when he sent up the Budget &
Estimate ^{from} with the totals wrong and the Income
Tax omitted. He, in the presence of the Assistant
Collector Mr. Rathanmaha Chetty, told me
that he did not consider it his duty to check
totals and the Head Accountant was responsible.
He further admitted to me in private that on
another occasion that he had left matters
too much to his subordinates. This, to the best
of my recollection and belief, I mentioned in a
Semi Official Note written on either some
or the next day to Mr. Maister, when Revenue
Secretary.

8. As regards the appointments to which
Mr. Smith refers, all but two were made
in the Department to which the men belong
and I was not aware except in the case of
Madamang.

Nadunoy Lyngar, that they had relations
in the Office in which they were employed.
As regards Nadunoy Lyngar, Mr. Weld
spoke so highly of his conduct whilst with
him as Special Assistant Collector that I
told him that I would not object to his
being put in to act as Head Clerk of the
Sub-Collector's Office but that he must be
moved somewhere else as soon as opportunity
offered. Packer Pillay's transfer was made in
order to make a vacancy in the salary for a
man who was transferred from the Survey for
misconduct and I took the best connections
who could be spared not knowing that he
had any relatives here.

9. I still continue to hold the opinion that
Mr. Smith has acted improperly in the matter
of Samanapooray Pillay's appointment. Had he
known what his duties as Treasury Deputy
Collector were, he must have been aware
that he had no right to make any appoint-
ment. That he does not know this is the
ground

ground of my complaint, a complaint in which
I am supported by the best Judge of Treasury
matters, the Assistant General.

Chingapat Distt.

Collector's Office, Saidapat.

13th November 1878

I have per

(S^d) Frederick Price
Collector.

In answer.

No. 672.

From

The Deputy Collector

In charge of the Treasury

Chingapat District.

The Collector of Chingapat
Saidapat.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 1778 of
yesterday's date received to day, I have the honor
to report, as directed, the circumstances under
which Samanapooray Pillay was confirmed in his
appointment of 15 Rupees per mensem as 5th
Ternacular Accountant.

2. One Terestanungum Chetty, an account-
ant in this Office, having obtained leave for
five years on total forfeiture of pay, Saman-
apooray Pillay was appointed to act on the 1st

Sd/

Nadammay Lyngar, that they had relations
in the Office in which they were employed.
As regards Nadammay Lyngar, Mr. Weld
spoke so highly of his conduct whilst with
him as Special Assistant Collector that I
told him that I would not object to his
being put in to act as Head Clerk of the
Sub-Collector's Office but that he must be
moved somewhere else as soon as opportunity
offered. Packer Pillay's transfer was made in
order to make a vacancy in the salary for a
man who was transferred from the charge for
misconduct and I took the best connections
who could be spared not knowing that he
had any relatives here.

9. I still continue to hold the opinion that
Mr. Smith has acted improperly in the matter
of Samanapooray Pillay's appointment. Had he
known what his duties as Treasury Deputy
Collector were, he must have been aware
that he had no right to make any appoint-
ment. That he does not know this is the
ground

ground of my complaint, a complaint in which
I am supported by the best Judge of Treasury
matters, the Assistant General.

Chingleput Distt.

Collector's Office, Saidapet.

13th November 1878

I have per

(S^d) Frederick Price
Collector.

In answer.

No. 672.

From

The Deputy Collector

In charge of the Treasury

Chingleput District.

The Collector of Chingleput
Saidapet.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 1778 of
yesterday's date received to day, I have the honor
to report, as directed, the circumstances under
which Samanapooray Pillay was confirmed in his
appointment of 15 Rupees per mensem as 5th
Ternacular Accountant.

2. One Theestammam Chetty, an account-
ant in this Office, having obtained leave for
five years on total forfeiture of pay, Saman-
apooray Pillay was appointed to act on the 1st

Sd/

July 1874 as 5th Tamaras Accountant per Rs 15
per mensem.

3. Tamarasgum Chetty not having re-
turned after 5 years leave, Samaraspoory Pillay
who acted from 1st July 1874, was confirmed
by me in the appointment, and I did not
consider it necessary to trouble you or so
obscure a claim to the appointment as that
held by Samaraspoory Pillay, or to publish
his confirmation in it in the District Gazette.

4. I note your remark in para 2, and
in apologizing for the unintentional error
I committed, shall in future take care to
obtain your orders on any appointment that
may fall vacant in the Department, and
publish the same in the Gazette.

Chingleput Distt. }
Collector's Office Saidpet }
29th October 1878 }
I am &c.
(S^d) A. Smith
Dy. Collector
(True Copy)
(S^d) Frederick Price
Collector.

No. 999.

From

The Dy. Collector

In Charge of the Treasury,
Chingleput District.

The Collector of Chingleput District,
Saidpet.

Sir, I have the honor to request that you
will do me the favor to lay this letter at
your earliest practicable convenience, it being
a matter of importance to me - before the Board
of Revenue, in reference to them regarding
my confirmation of Samaraspoory Pillay in the
post of 5th Tamaras Accountant on a salary
of Rs 15 per mensem.

4th Nov 1878
No. 365.

2. In the first place, you are pleased
to call this transaction an "appointment," as if
it was one just made for the first time; whereas
the fact is Samaraspoory Pillay was merely con-
firmed in a post, which he had satisfactorily
filled for about 4 1/2 years. In the next place,
you are pleased to observe in reference to this
transaction, that "the whole affair is of a very
suspicious nature."

H

3. In the report, I respectfully submit, that you should have characterized a transaction of no great importance, and which it was open to you to cancel if you did not approve of it, by as unambiguous a term as "very suspicious"; without indicating at the same time, for the information of the Board and the Comr., what in your opinion rendered the transaction deserving of being branded by. I humbly venture to submit, is harsh and offensive an epithet. It may mean, for instance, that I profited pecuniarily by the transaction, or that I confirmed a man in a post for which he was totally unfit; or some some purpose of my own; and I venture respectfully to repeat that your omission to indicate distinctly the nature of your suspicions, is a matter for regret.

4. Moreover you have been pleased to use this harsh term after the receipt of my explanation that the man had simply been confirmed by me in an appointment, which

which he had continuously held from July 1874. And I beg particularly to direct your attention to the fact that the Assistant General's letter to Comr. refers only to the employment in the public service of Samanapooray Pillay after he had passed the prescribed age, and that it is on this point alone that information has been called for by Comr. Instead of showing clearly that, at the time he was first appointed to officiate in the post, Samanapooray Pillay was about 22½ years old, you have been pleased to maintain perfect silence on the point, and have left it to be inferred that he was appointed to the post not only after he had passed the prescribed age, but also obtained the appointment under "very suspicious" circumstances!

5. In para 3 of your letter, you are pleased to say that five years' leave was very irregularly granted to Venkataranga Reddy; but you have not been pleased to explain, for the information of the Board and Comr., how

How this was irregular. Venatarunga Chetty first obtained leave as Medical Certificate, and subsequently had that leave extended from time to time, with forfeiture of full pay under Section 9 Supplement I of the Civil Leave Code, for a period of 5 years, which being the limit allowed by Section 16, he lost his leave on his appointment, and was considered out of employ. It will thus be seen that what you have been pleased to say as to Venatarunga Chetty having been in a very irregular manner allowed to take leave for 5 years is not quite in accordance with the facts of the case.

6. In para 5, you are pleased to suggest that I must have either intentionally abstained from bringing to your notice my confirmation of Samaraswamy Pillay in an appointment which he had held for $\frac{1}{2}$ years, or signed a Decree of appointment laid before me by my subordinates, without knowing what I was about; and that from your knowledge of me, the latter was probably what I had done.

This

7. This is not the first time I respectfully venture to shew, you have been pleased to assert that I sign any thing placed before me by my subordinates. If this be true, it requires no conjurer, I submit, to predict the absolute risk incurred by Govt. in continuing me in charge of the Treasury and Accounts; and it is indeed a marvel that with such an incorrigible, know-nothing and care-nothing sort of a person in charge for the last eight years, there have not occurred several depletions in Govt. funds!

8. But in the present case, your remark that I probably signed the Decree of Samaraswamy Pillay's appointment, merely because it was laid before me by my subordinates, does not, I beg respectfully to say, appear to me correct. I abstained from bringing the confirmation to your notice, not because, as you are pleased to assert, I thought you would object to it, but because

because it seemed to me to be unnecessary to do so,
it not being a new appointment, and because
the main claim to it, after satisfactorily holding
it for $4\frac{1}{2}$ years, was indisputable. For this
irregularity, if it may be so termed, I have
duly apologized in my letter, dated 29th
October 1878 No. 672.

9. As to Samarafoory ^{Pillay} not being a passed
candidate, I venture respectfully to observe
that I am not aware of any order prohibiting the
appointment of unpassed candidates to posts of
Rs 15. This man has been altogether seven
years in the Accounts Department and so far as
intelligence, industry, experience and good
conduct are concerned, is well worthy of the
post in which he has been confirmed.

10. However you appear to object to this
appointment on the ground that Samarafoory
Pillay is the son of the Vernacular Record
Keeper of this Office. This is true, but the father
and son, though in the same Department,
are

are working in different Departments and in different
parts of the buildings. If this objection against
Samarafoory Pillay's appointment be valid, then
it follows that it is equally applicable to the
transfer you have ^{recently} made of Pachay Pillay from
Pannery Taluk to the Sugar, the said Pachay Pillay
being the brother of Chatter Pillay the head
English Record Keeper. Again, in the correspondence
Department, there is one Subba Rao, English Clerk.
His main brother Sheshagiri Rao is in the same
Department, and in the same room, and you
were recently pleased to promote him in the

③ Dist Gazette
§ 32nd July
1878 Page 141. some Department from Rs 15 to 20. Once more, a man
is the holder of that position and yet his brother's ^{position}
thammy Krishna Sengar was appointed English

④ Dist Gazette
§ 30th July
1878 Page 174. Head Clerk of the 1st Division in which Madhava
tation is included. Once again C. Srinivasan
Rao was appointed Vernacular Clerk in the

⑤ Dist Gazette
§ 12th Oct 1878
Page 277. Sheristadar, though his own uncle is the Sub-
sildar of Tiruvallur. If therefore objection be
raised to a man on Rs 15 being confirmed
in an appointment which he had held for
 $4\frac{1}{2}$ years on the ground of relationship, the
objection

objection applies with still greater force to the abnormally more important appointments above named.

11. I am to be permitted to add one word in conclusion. You have been pleased, after characterizing this very simple and ordinary transaction as a very suspicious affair, to brand it as a job, which I have allowed my subordinates to perpetrate between themselves. I most humbly and respectfully leave it to the Board and ^{not} to decide whether, under the explanation I have afforded, my confirmation of Samaraswamy Pillay in an appointment, which he had continuously and satisfactorily filled for 4½ years, deserved to be stigmatized as a job.

12. On the whole, looking to the unfair manner in which you appear to have represented this transaction - not distinctly explaining the only point referred to you for report, and throwing suspicion on a matter not under enquiry. I trust you will pardon me, if I

27
I venture respectfully to observe, that your action appears to me to wear all the semblance of an attempt to make a mountain of a mole-hill, and to hold up my conduct in the most unfavorable light it can be represented.

Shree Jagadish Chatterjee } I have your
Office, 12th Nov. 1878 } (Sd/ Alex. Smith
(True Copy) Deputy Collector
(Sd/ Frederick Price
Collector

Submitted to Government with reference & endorsement dated 24th September 1878.

2. The appointment of Samaraswamy Pillai by the Treasury Deputy Collector without reference to the Collector was highly irregular but the Board think that as he has been acting since the 1st July 1874 he may be allowed to retain the post.

3. The Board must express their grave disapproval of the style adopted by Mr. Smith in addressing the Collector; his letter is a most improper one and other letters now under the consideration of the Board are equally so.

consideration of the Board show that this is
not a singular instance of such in direction.

(True Copies and Extracts)

To
The Secretary of the Government
Revenue Department.

W. C. C. C.
Ag. Secretary.

C. C. C. C.
W. C. C. C.

