

- (1) Number of Pages 12 .
(2) Date of repair 26.4.1966 .
(3) Initials of the Referencer [initials] .

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 240 .
~~B.P. No.~~

Press
Mis.

Dated 19 . 2 . 1884 ~~196~~ .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 28th January 1884, No. 281 :—
Read—the following paper from W. P. AUSTIN, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 17th January 1884, No. 151 :—

Tabular Statement showing the Particulars of the undermentioned Allowance recommended to be continued in the District of Kistna.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Taluk and village.	Extent and value of the estate in ams or yeomah.	When and by whom granted.	Sansads or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant, charitable or service.	Name of the original grantee.	Name of the last holder and the relationship in which he stood to the original grantee and the date of decease.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by other members.	Family left by the deceased and the names and ages of the parties.	Their circumstances and livelihood possessed by the family.	Recommendation of the Collector and the grounds thereof.	Further remarks.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue.	Government Order.
Répallo Taluk, Répallo.	Rs. 300 per mensem.	In 1849, by British Government.	Honorable Court of Directors' Dispatch, No. 1 of 1849.	In lieu of lands resumed.	Manykya Row Zanganna Row, ex-Zemindar of Répallo.	Manykya Row Venka (Gopala Row, son of Zanganna Row died on 22nd October 1883.	Rs. 250 by the deceased. Rs. 50 by Manykya Row Lakshmayya.	Widow Manykya Row Tirumamma, aged 25 years.	No other means but the allowance.	Répallo was one of the five estates of the late Gunter Circar. When in 1844, these estates were purchased by the Government at a sale held for arrears of peshkash, Manykya Row Zanganna, the holder of Répallo, was granted an allowance of Rs. 300 a month, which he enjoyed up to his death in 1870. The allowance was then renewed in the name of his son Venkata Gopala Row (now deceased), subject to a deduction of Rs. 50 a month payable for life to his step-mother Manykya Row Lakshmayya, under a separate P. P. Order, No. 154.			
										Now, Venkata Gopala Row, the head of the Manykya Row family, died on 22nd October 1883, leaving an infant (male) child of eight months and a widow named Zanganna Row. The minor child having also died on 19th November last, its mother, Tirumamma, widow of late Venkata Gopala Row, now claims that the allowance of her deceased husband be continued in her name, pending adoption by her of a suitable boy. She says that she lost two sons before her husband, and that consequently her husband left a will (duly registered) to the effect that she can adopt a son if so necessitated by the <i>decease</i> and the will.			

In their Proceedings of 23rd October 1869, No. 7892, the Board stated that, although the Court of Directors refused to increase the allowances granted to the ex-Zamindar, still they accepted the proposal of the Madras Government that they should be settled on the proprietors and their legal descendants (in the male line), excluding adoptions. But under G.O., No. 1720, of 2nd October 1871, it appears that the allowances granted to the five Zamindars of the late Gunter Circar are inheritable by heirs, natural or adopted, without limitation in perpetuity.

Under these circumstances, Venkata Gopala Row's permission to his widow Tirumamma as stated in the will is, I think, in accordance with the provisions of Hindu Law. G.O., No. 1202, of 20th September 1880. Further on from the marginally noted correspondence, it is clear that adoptions were recognized and pensions granted to the several members in the families of Madhavi, Manuri, and Vairreddi. I accordingly recommend that the allowance of Rs. 300 sanctioned in the name of deceased Venkata Gopala Row, including Rs. 50 enjoyed by his step-mother Lakshmayya (referred to above, who also died on the 16th December 1883, be continued in the name of Tirumamma, widow of the deceased Venkata Gopala Row, as Government declared in paragraph 3 of their Order, No. 190, dated 11th February 1870, that Lakshmayya's allowance of Rs. 50 should, at her death, lapse to the head of the family.

Resolution—dated 28th January 1884, No. 281.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. Upon the death of Manykya Row Zanganna Row, ex-Zamindar of Répall Government in G.O., 11th February 1870, No. 190, continued an allowance of Rs. 300 per mensem to his son and widow.

3. The son, Venkata Gopala Row died on the 22nd October 1883, leaving an infant son, who died on the 19th November, and the widow of the ex-Zamindar also died on the 16th December 1883.

4. Manykya Row Venkata Gopala Row has left a widow, aged 25, named Tirumalamma, with permission to adopt, and the widow proposes to act upon the permission as her infant son is dead.

5. The allowances granted to the Guntur Zamindars have been continued to adopted sons in instances quoted by the Collector.

6. The Board, therefore, support the Collector's recommendation that the allowance of Rs. 300 per mensem be continued to the widow Manykya Row Tirumalamma Gáru and to the son whom she may adopt.

(A true copy and Extract.)

(Signed) G. MACKENZIE,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Collector of Kistna.

ORDER—dated 19th February 1884, No. 240, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The Right Honorable the Government in Council is pleased to sanction the continuance of the allowance of Rs. 300 per mensem enjoyed by the late Manykya Row Venkata Gopala Row to his widow Manykya Row Tirumalamma Gáru and to the son whom she may adopt.

2. The Collector will report the fact of the adoption on its occurrence for the information of Government.

(A true copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. W. H. French.

40 Copies.

Original

Govt. of 1884 Madras.

REVENUE.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 19th February 1884, No. 240.

FEBRUARY.

Allowance

enjoyed by the late Manykya Row Venkata Gopala Row.— Continuance of the— to his widow, Manykya Row Tirumalamma Gáru, and to the son whom she may adopt, sanctioned.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Read—the following paper from W. P. AUSTIN, Esq., Acting Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 17th January 1884, No. 151:—

Tabular Statement showing the Particulars of the undermentioned Allowance recommended to be continued in the District of Kistna.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Taluk and Village.	Extent and Value of the entire Inam or Yemash.	When and by whom granted.	Ranads or other Docu-ments in support of the Grant.	Nature of the Grant, Charitable or Service.	Name of the original Grantee.	Name of the last Holder and the relationship in which he stood to the original Grantee and the date of Decease.	Share enjoyed by the Deceased and that held by other Members.	Family left by the Deceased and the Names and Ages of the Parties.	Their Circumstances and Livelihood possessed by the Family.	Recommendation of the Collector and the Grounds thereof.	Further Remarks.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue.	Government Order.
Répalle Taluk, Répalle.	Rs. 300 per mensem.	In 1849, by British Government.	Honorable Court of Director's Despatch, No. 1 of 1849.	In lieu of lands resumed.	Manykya Row Zanganna Row, ex-Ze-mindar of Répalle.	Manykya Row Venka Gopala Row, son of Zanganna Row died on 22nd October 1883.	Rs. 250 by the deceased.	Widow Manykya Row Tirumalamma, aged 25 years.	No other means but the allowance.	Répalle was one of the five estates of the late Guntur Circle. When in 1844, these estates were purchased by Government at a sale held for arrears of peishush, Manykya Row Zanganna Row, the then holder of Répalle, was granted an allowance of Rs. 300 a month, which he enjoyed up to his death in 1870. The allowance was then renewed in the name of his son Venkata Gopala Row (now deceased), subject to a deduction of Rs. 50 a month payable for life to his step-mother Manykya Row Lakshmayya, under a separate P. P. Order, No. 154.			

proposal of the Madras Government that they should be settled on the proprietors and their lineal descendants (in the male line), excluding adoptions.

But under G.O., No. 1720, of 2nd October 1871, it appears that the allowances granted to the five Zemindars of the late Guntur Circle are inheritable by heirs, natural or adopted, without limitation in perpetuity.

Under these circumstances, Venkata Gopala Row's permission to his widow Tirumalamma as stated in the *will* is, I think, in accordance with the provisions of Hindu Law.

G.O., No. 1202, of 20th September 1880.

" " 379, of 14th April 1882.

" " 296, of 3rd March 1874.

I accordingly recommend that the allowance of Rs. 300 sanctioned in the name of deceased Venkata Gopala Row, including Rs. 50 enjoyed by his step-mother Lakshmayya (referred to above), who also died on the 16th December 1883, be continued in the name of Tirumalamma, widow of the deceased Venkata Gopala Row, as Government declared in paragraph 3 of their Order, No. 190, dated 11th February 1870, that Lakshmayya's allowance of Rs. 50 should, at her death, lapse to the *head of the family*.

RESOLUTION—dated 28th January 1884, No. 281.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. Upon the death of Manykya Row Zanganna Row, ex-Zemindar of Répalle, Government in G.O., 11th February 1870, No. 190, continued an allowance of Rs. 300 per mensem to his son and widow.

3. The son, Venkata Gopala Row, died on the 22nd October 1883, leaving an infant son, who died on the 19th November, and the widow of the ex-Zemindar also died on the 16th December 1883.

4. Manykya Row Venkata Gopala Row has left a widow, aged 25, named Tirumamma, with permission to adopt, and the widow proposes to act upon this permission as her infant son is dead.

5. The allowances granted to the Guntur Zemindars have been continued to adopted sons in instances quoted by the Collector.

6. The Board, therefore, support the Collector's recommendation that the allowance of Rs. 300 per mensem be continued to the widow Manykya Row Tirumamma Gáru and to the son whom she may adopt.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

W. J. Lewis
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Collector of the Kistna District.

1-011 July/90. N 190
 3 March 74 - 299
 20 Sept 80 - 1202
 14 April 82 - 377

Revenue and Disbursements
 No 813
 REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1884.
 Board of Revenue,
 MADRAS.



Printed February 11th.

Proceedings, 28th January 1884, No. 281.

Recd 5/2/84

Submitting to Government, with the Board's support, recommendation of the Collector of Kistna for the continuance of the allowance of Rs. 300 per mensem enjoyed by the late Manykya Row Venkata Gopala Row of the family of the ex-Zemindar of Repalle, in the name of his widow Manykya Row Tirumalamma Garu and to the son whom she may adopt.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 813.

of Revenue. No 281.

Dated 28th Jan 1884.

FOR ORDERS.

Prior to G.O. No 2003 of 1871. No 720 it was always held that these maintenance allowances, granted for the support of the family of the late zemindars were not intended to go to the heirs, but were intended to go to the adopted sons. That order however recorded the instruction of the Govt of India that when a person is "in the nature of private property" or is an allowance in perpetuity in lieu of husband's services up to Govt it ought to descend to adopted heirs. Since then it has been repeatedly held that these allowances are inheritable by adopted sons, and under adoption order under the will of their deceased

* G.O. No 2003 of 1871.
 " 30 March 74. No 299.
 " 20 Sept 80. No 1202
 " 14 April 82. No 377

husbands have been
recognized & acted on.
The B^d's recommendation
is therefore in strict ac-
cordance with precedent;
though there is perhaps
some reason to doubt whether
the Supreme Govt. intended
their ruling to be applicable in
such & every case of the
kind. (See their letter in
G.O. 17th April 73. in 4009.
where they speak of sanc-
tioning the Vasaroddi ad-
option "as a special
case," and the dispatch
in G.O. 27 August 1873 in
4007. where in reference
to the same case the Secy
of State says: "I am inclined
on the whole, while recog-
nizing the justice of the
distinctions drawn by your
Ex^{ty} in Council, to ~~not~~
give the adopted son the
full benefit of the rule."
What these distinctions were
we do not know. The Pres-
ident Govt. has made
none).

Following precedent then
the allowance of the ex-
propriety should be continued
to the widow, and to the son.

6
proposed. A question might
arise, perhaps, as to when
the allowance was for
N. 50, drawn by the ^{late} ~~present~~
step-mother as a portion
of his allowance, should
be allowed to descend. But
it will be seen that,
after a similar question
had been duly considered,
it was decided by Govt.
in G.O. 11th Feb. 1870.
to 190 "that the provision
for the family was intended
to be N. 300." & the pension
was paid accordingly.

Suggested order. ~~240~~

The 2^d Month the Govt. in
Council is pleased to sanc-
tion the continuance of the
allowance of N. 300 per
annum enjoyed by the
late Manaykya ^{Row} ~~Row~~ ^{Row} ~~Row~~
Gopal Row to his widow
Manaykya Row Tumbar
Gann and to the son
whom she may adopt.

2. The Govt. will report the
fact of the adoption & its
occurrence for the infor-
mation of Govt.

10
To the Board of Revenue 29.2.81
10
12.2.81 12.2.81

Penn
1912

C. 15-2-301
R. 17-2-301