Archives I – 10,006—16-8 77.

(1) Number of pages 24

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No.	1950	Press. / Mis.
Dated	4-12-1878	197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

1950

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

4th December 1878, No. 1,950.

Read the following paper :—

No. 37. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 19th September 1878, No. 2,575.

Read the following letter from A. J. B. ATKINSON, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector in charge of Cuddapah, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd September 1878, No. 582 :—

I HAVE the honor to report that the Inamdars of Pata Cuddapah having petitioned that the cess called "Pangamnaz" * collected on their Inam lands may be discontinued,

* Also called Talavadan.

the Collector left instructions that the Board was to be asked to discontinue such collections in all settled taluks of the district.

2. The history regarding the origin and object of this cess is to be found in G.O., No. 1,449, dated 23rd May 1868, communicated with Board's Proceedings, Miscellaneous No. 6,175, dated 27th August 1868, and I understand that, had it not been owing to the existence, at that time, of the system of collecting "Maramut Tafarik" from the Inamdars, "Pangamnaz" cess would have been done away with.

3. As the "Maramut Tafarik" is now no longer in existence, having been abolished by Government (*vide* Board's Proceedings, No. 2,643, dated 16th September 1874, paragraph 2, 1st clause), I have the honor to request sanction to discontinue the "Pangamnaz" cess altogether and throughout the whole district.

...TTED for the orders of Government.

2. The cess is no longer collected in Kurnool, but is still levied in two villages of Nellore and five of Cuddapah, *vide* G.Os., dated 23rd May 1868, No. 1,449, and 12th May 1869, No. 1,322; the Government having, however, decided in more recent orders (*vide* G.O., dated 1st May 1871, and connected papers) that Inamdars should not be required to contribute towards the repairs of works for which the lands entered as wet in their title-deeds derive benefit, the Board think the item should be relinquished.

3. The sum involved appears from the papers above quoted to be trifling.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

No. 38. ORDER THEREON, 4th December 1878, No. 1,950.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government see no reason to forego the cess referred to.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) L. A. CAMPBELL,
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. A. S. Rodgers.

Govt. of [illegible] Madras.

REVENUE.

To

The BOARD of REVENUE.

DECEMBER

Nos. 37-38.

1950/32

No. 2,575.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 19th September 1878.

Read the following letter from A. J. B. ATKINSON, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector in charge of Cuddapah, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd September 1878, No. 582 :—

I HAVE the honor to report that the Inamdars of Pata Cuddapah having petitioned that the cess called "Pangamnaz"* collected on their Inam lands may be discontinued, the Collector left instructions that the Board was to be asked to discontinue such collections in all settled taluks of the district.

* Also called Talavadan.

2. The history regarding the origin and object of this cess is to be found in G.O., No. 1,449, dated 23rd May 1868, communicated with Board's Proceedings, Miscellaneous, No. 5,175, dated 27th August 1868, and I understand that, had it not been owing to the existence, at that time, of the system of collecting "Maramut Tafarik" from the Inamdars, "Pangamnaz" cess would have been done away with.

3. As the "Maramut Tafarik" is now no longer in existence, having been abolished by Government (*vide* Board's Proceedings, No. 2,643, dated 16th September 1874, paragraph 2, 1st clause), I have the honor to request sanction to discontinue the "Pangamnaz" cess altogether and throughout the whole district.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The cess is no longer collected in Kurnool, but is still levied in two villages of Nellore and five of Cuddapah, *vide* G.Os., dated 23rd May 1868, No. 1,449, and 12th May 1869, No. 1,322; the Government having, however, decided in more recent orders (*vide* G.O., dated 1st May 1871, and connected papers) that Inamdars should not be required to contribute towards the repairs of works for which the lands entered as wet in their title-deeds derive benefit, the Board think the item should be relinquished.

3. The sum involved appears from the papers above quoted to be trifling.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Collector of Cuddapah.

" " " of Nellore.

Exd. A. F. Goodill.

1980
3

1950
1

Revenue Secretariat, Madras,
13 Nov 1878.

Dear Sir W. Grant,

With reference to
our note on the
draft G.O. the views
of the majority were
somewhat ambiguous
as - they would not ad-
vise - yet they would not
oppose. If the Board
made a distinct
recommendation
I assumed that
that was not to be

Revenue Department
No 4298
Read 14-11-78

Copy of Despatch
from the Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

To

THE SECRETARY to GOVT.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Dated Sept., 1878.

Printed 23rd.

Read. 28

PROCEEDINGS, 19TH SEPT. 1878, No. 2,575.

Copy
SEPTEMBER.
No 2,575.

Ans 1925 to Govt Letter 1878

Case called Panjamee or Tolavetton — col-
lected on Inam lands in Cuddapah District.
Submitting to Government letter from Colr.
for requiring sanction to discontinue the —.

Read the Order

1980
3

1980
opposed especially
as Mr Hudleston
took the same
view. Kindly say
what is wanted
done
Yours sincerely
[illegible]

1880
3

Rev: Dept.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the

Read the following
Proceedings of the Board of
Revenue dated 17th September
1878 No 2575.

1. The matter - a trifling one as it stands - involves an important principle viz whether a share of land revenue should not be collected from Inamdars concerned in a Govt. irrigation work.

2. The question may or may not have to be considered hereafter but meanwhile it does not seem desirable to retain an

Mr T Nelson
Inam Work
Read & to be pre
Pose as the subject is
sub judice (the Commr)
Jan 1880
15th

Is this in accordance
with the view of the Majority?
Ans
Ans

1950
6

in these very exceptional
instances, a demand which
however equitable it may be
is so very partial in its
operation and insignificant
in amount.

3. The Board's proposal
is therefore accepted.

EWR

Note. With reference
to the question
of contributions
towards repair of irrigation
works, Bde No 9 dated
1875 No 2527 forwarded
my Circle Note of
the 25th Oct
Letter. 11/8

Revenue Department

1950
8.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
under date the

Read the following Proceedings
of the Board of Revenue dated
9th September 1878 No 2547.

1. The matter - a trifling
one as it stands - involves an
important principle viz whether
a share of tank repairs should
not be collected from Inamdars
Concerned in a Government
Irrigation work.

2. In regard to this general
question, the Board with their
Proceedings dated 9th September

cf-11-78 R 8 Nov 78
R.C. 18 Nov 78 R. 15/6/78

1950
8

1875 No. 2527 submitted as draft Bill with reference to G.O. 5th April (87) 5 No. 3 R.D. and the previous Correspondence on the subject, and His Grace the Governor in Council is of opinion that the Acts under reference, however partial it is in its operation and insignificant in amount, ought not to the foregone while the matter is sub-judice.

extension of time
a certificate will be
to be effected
at present

Inserted simply
Say Govt are to
issue Writs
the Act requires to

257

G.O.

Irrigation Cess Called "Pangu
Anay" or Talavaikkal.

Put up. Read
note

I do not think that
this proposal should
be entertained by Govt.
for the opinion
They should not
be encouraged to
do so. [illegible]

R.C. 20-Nov/58. R. 25/11/58
R.C. 19-Nov/58 R. 20-11-58

C.N. 4296 1950/9

Revenue Department

Memo for orders.

Board's Proceedings recommending the discontinuance of the Cess Called "Pangumurai" or "Talavaikkal" in Cuddapah District — H.E. No. 2575 dated 19 Septr. 1858

The Board support the recommendation of the Collector of Cuddapah to do away with the Irrigation Cess called Pangam Anay" or "Talavaikkal" in all the settled Talukhs of his district, and remark that the sums involved is trifling being collected only in 5 villages of Cuddapah and 2 of Nellore. The latter villages formerly formed part of Cuddapah as also the Cumbum and Markapuram Talukhs in Kurnool District. There

The matter is a very trifling one as it stands — but the principle is an important — viz one of levying Share (Pangam sums) of the cost of tank Repairs — and myself hold that such a cess must become general — accompanied with the proper local control as a local Trust over the Agricultural ordinary Rent — The question now is it advisable to pursue other

also the Case was being collected upto 1863 when a Sale of Lands of the district (Ms. Minute) abolished it on his own authority on the ground that the Inams had been enfranchised under the Inam Rules and charged with Quitrent.

2. The history of this Case is given in the marginally noted G.O.s and is briefly as follows.

3. In January 1806 Col. (afterwards Sir Thomas) Munro the Principal Collector of the Ceded Districts ordered that (Jaffirth) a proportionate share of the expences of repairs of Tanks from which they derive benefit, should be collected from all Inamdars, where the contribution had been customary, with the proviso that for Inams having Sadi or quitrent, the amount of the quitrent should be deducted from the assessment on which the share was to be calculated.

4. These orders seem to have been partially enforced in the Cuddapah district, and not at all in the Bellary district.

5. In 1832 the Board having sought instructions whether the orders should be enforced generally, the Government decided that the principle of taxing Inamdars throughout the Ceded Districts in aid of Tank repairs was perfectly equitable, but ordered, in consideration of the indigence of the Inamdars that the demand should be enforced whenever the area of the Inam equalled one fourth of the whole Cultivation.

6. The Sangam Anaikera now under reference differs from the 'Maramah Jaffirth' only in the

The principle is well
enough but the extents
Sole and area &c. should
I do not approve it
16/10 [illegible]

I am decidedly of opinion
that this was punctual. my
letter. nothing unless
charges for the purpose stated
limited to those by Captain
instances. the principle

G.O. 23rd May 1868 no. 1449 Rd
90 12th May 1869 no. 1322 Rd

First Sir T.R. contend
[illegible] at any rate until
but a long rate until
it in G. time came
Ex-Inam Commissioner
in Enquiring State
Inspection &c. by
for Repairs necessary
& Maintain the State
Revenue &c. this assign
Inam - in some short
instances not of the
Enactments of Inam
Commr this on the

Board's Ors. 29th March 1832
in Bell. C. 1274-328-329/no. 12 5.

Provisions Exceptionable
H.D.

G.O. 27th April 1832 no. 775.

method of its calculation. The former is first calculated in grain according to the village rates fixed in former days and then converted into money at the yearly current prices. The latter was a variable demand dependant upon the necessity or otherwise of the execution of repairs to the tanks under which the Inams were situated, while the Pangam Anay is a fixed annual demand payable irrespective of the execution of repairs.

7. As already observed the Ceres in Coumbum and Markapuram was discontinued in 1863 under orders of the Collector.

8. In regard to the two villages in Nellore, the Government ordered the continuance of the Ceres and

1950/19

its appropriation to tank repairs unless it was water-rate levied on 'dry' Inam lands converted into 'wet'.

9. In G.O 12th May 1869 No. 1322 the Government ordered the continuance of the Ceres in Cuddapah also, and disapproved ~~sanctioned~~ the unauthorized discontinuance of both the "Pangam Anay" and the "Maramut Jaffrik" in the Kurnool district although they were not to be received.

10. It would appear that before the settlement of the Inams, nothing beyond the Ceres was levied from the Pangam Anay Inamdars who had invariably, without reference to any, it matter their lands were cultivated or not.

11. The Inam Commissioner reported

reported that all his department had not dealt with this case and that it was not elucidated in the Pattah fixed by him.

12. It has been however ruled in the marginally noted G.O.s that no Contribution can be levied from an Inamdar towards the irrigation of land entered as Nirgah (rent) in his Title-deed and that if in any case it be decided that Inamdars should be required to contribute towards repairs the amount of the Contribution should be settled by previous agreement, and should take the form of a lump payment. It has been further held that "there is not any legal machinery for compelling Inamdars to contribute a share towards the

Letter dy 7th May 1868
no. 3346 recorded in G.O.
23rd May 1868 No. 1449/10

G.O 11th August 1865 no. 1871 do
" 1st September 1870 no 1375 do
" 1st May 1871 no 765 do
Para 2 of 1330 Proc. recorded in
G.O 16th October 1874 no. 1329
G.O 5th April 1875 no. 535 do

Vide Govt. Orders
letter recorded in G.O
5th April 1875 no. 535

expenses of irrigation works by which they, as well as Government tenants, will be benefited, and that where an Inamdar chooses to resist the attempt to make him Contribute, he can do so with impunity".

13. Under the orders quoted in the last paragraph, the "Maramut Jaffarik" is now no longer in existence and in these circumstances the Board recommendation that the "Sangam Nirgh" or "Talavadan" Cess also should be relinquished, seems the reasonable.

14. It is suggested that any orders which may now be passed be made applicable not only to the Cess-Collections in "the Settled Talooks" of the Cuddapah district as proposed by the Collector, but to the Cess generally wherever levied.

For order

× 5 villages in Cuddapah
2 do in Nellore.

Q.no 1950 Rev

dt 4.12.1878

C 15-10-78.
R 16-10-78
RC 17-10-78
M 19-10-78.