

(1) Number of pages 42.24
(2) Date of repair 29.6.83
(3) Initials of the Referencer hans

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1376	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	8-6-1978	1978.

G.B. ✓

Not to be folded or roughly handled

8-27

1376.

[Faint handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the paper. The text is mostly illegible due to fading and the quality of the scan.]

[Faint handwritten text at the bottom of the page, possibly a signature or date.]

Handwritten text on the left page, appearing to be a list or series of notes. The text is very faint and mostly illegible due to fading and bleed-through from the reverse side. Some words like "1880" and "1885" are visible.

Handwritten text on the right page, continuing the list or notes. The text is also very faint and illegible. Some words like "1885" and "1880" are visible, suggesting a chronological list.

may not be a punishable
offence in that District, but
still it will, I think, have
the same force for the reasons
stated in Lord Comyn's letter.
I have not the feeling of the
High Court here, but I remember
they stated that their
case then before them would
have been met by Madras
Act VI of 1871. They do not
refer to any section of the Act
in support of their view, but
the sections are sections 4 and
14 coupled with the definition

of "manufacture" and the
making an attempt to do
certain things an offence -
It is clear I think that the
Act was not expressly directed
against the possession of salt
earth or earth salt, and I
doubt whether in many cases
the possession would be an
offence under the Act, although
no doubt in other cases it
would. However this may be
for the present Madras Act
VI of 1871 must be retained
in Malabar and Pannar.

and if it should turn out
to be insufficient to meet
the case of population &c
in the salt we can pass
another Act which will remove
any difficulty that may arise

Yours very sincerely

W. Sullivan

9th May 98

I return Mr. Conner's letter

Yours very sincerely

Shenandoah 29 May 98

Dear Mr. Sullivan,

I have been directed to
consult you with reference to the
notification issued by you on the
21 March last directing that the
Earth Salt Act of 1878 should
come into operation in certain districts.

You will remember the cor-
respondence is enclosed that on
an objection raised (semi-officially)
by Mr. Conner, Collector of S.
Canara, you suggested the issue
of another notification excluding
Malabar and Canara from the
operation of the Act.

Yours
The

The question arises, is it
practicable to withhold the
notification already issued, or to
alter its scope, when the terms of
the Act do not provide any such
words as 'from time to time'
but peremptorily direct the
Act to come into force in every
district to which it may be ap-
plied by notification? Can
the Government, notwithstanding,
vary the terms of their notification
from time to time?

The original notification
affected only Coast Districts.
It has since been represented
that

that the Act is needed in Trichin-
opoly and the Government in-
cline to the opinion that it
should be extended to all the
remaining inland Districts.
1. N. Arcot Remaining inland Districts.
2. Kannood With reference however to the
3. Ballary Ceded Districts (Kannood,
4. Cuddalore Ballary and Cuddalore) it is
5. Salem to be noted that there is at
6. Coimbatore present in force a system of
7. Madurai licensing, under which, individuals
8. Trichinopoly are allowed on payment of
certain fees to manufacture
earth-salt. The correspondence
on the subject will be found
recorded

received from you on 13 Feb. 1878. Received from
you on 23 July 1878 also

179 and 178 - The question is
whether there will be any
objection to extending the opera-
tion of Act of 1878 (the day)
to these districts?

Kindly return the
accompanying papers with
your reply.

Yours very truly
(Signed) C. H. Master

Wm. H. H. Sullivan
Assistant General

W. H. H.

Paper sent to the Hon. P. M. Sullivan

Copy of a bill for the
Latter from the Hon. P. M. Sullivan
to the Hon. P. M. Sullivan

Latter from the Hon. P. M. Sullivan
to the Hon. P. M. Sullivan

Copy of a bill for the
Latter from the Hon. P. M. Sullivan

Copy of a bill for the
Latter from the Hon. P. M. Sullivan

Copy of a bill for the
Latter from the Hon. P. M. Sullivan

Copy of a bill for the
Latter from the Hon. P. M. Sullivan

Copy of a bill for the
Latter from the Hon. P. M. Sullivan

Copy of a bill for the
Latter from the Hon. P. M. Sullivan

Copy of a bill for the
Latter from the Hon. P. M. Sullivan

29 May 1878

1322

10

Orlacanand

30th May 1878

My dear Mr. Eraser

In reply to yours of the
29th inst. I think, on the
whole, it is better to put forth
the proposed notification under
Act II of 1878 superseding
the former notification. It is
no doubt questionable whether
a district can be brought within
the Act by a notification
and withdrawn from it.

operation by an other hope
- Calm - Generally considered
I do not think this could be
done. But the including of
Malabar and Canara in the
first notification was a mistake,
because of the application
of Act VI of 1871 to those
districts prior to the passing
of Act II of 1878, and both
Acts cannot be applied to the
same district without causing
anomalies and difficulties.

written in Mr. Benger's
letter.

As to the Ceded districts -
perhaps the best course to
take ^{would be} not to mention
them at present - Another
notification can be issued
hereafter for the Ceded
Districts. If they are included
probably the leasees would
be liable to prosecution under
Act II of 1878 although I
presume no prosecution would
be instituted in fact.

I see that it was intended to
bring the license system to
an end in 1878 or 1879 as
it seems probable that there
are so many licenses in
force now. If this be so
and the Collectors can
arrange with the licensees
the notification can be
issued without giving any
warning period of complaint.

Yours very faithfully
P. H. H. H.

18/3/78
Saugam
Vijayapattam
Gadagany
Karthi
Kollone
Chingaput
Chelodan
South West
Saugam
Chadana
Chinnally
N. Arcot
Salur
Comibatore
Sutgiri
Kannur
Bellar
Cuddalore

Revenue Department
Notification
Dated 18 May 1878

With reference
to Section 5 of Madras
Act I of 1864 and
Section 1 of the Earth
Salt Act II of 1878,
His Grace the Gover-
nor in Council hereby
directs that the latter
Act shall come into
operation in the mar-
qually noted Dis-
tricts of the Madras
Presid. from and
after the 21st March/78.

This notification
will supersede that
published in the
Fort St. George Gazette
Extraordinary of

The 21st March

1876

By order of His
Grace the Governor
in Council.

C. M. L.

Secy to Govt.

1376
12

Revenue 1878. Sept.

Int. Revenue of
East. Salt Cell
of 1878 in the
Revenue

No. 2377

Dated 31 May 1878.
Recd 3 June

Mo. G. C. 3 June 1878
No. 1378

Directing that the
East. Salt Act 1878
shall come into operation
in the aforesaid noted
District of the Madras
Presy from and after the
2^d March 1878.