(1) Number of pieces 2

(2) Date of repair 2/6/82

(3) Initials of the Reference

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

DEPARTMENT

G O No B P No	Pieces Mis
Dated	197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

20th May 1878, No. 1057.

Magisterial Jurisdiction.

Read the following paper :—

No. 105. *From J. B. PENNINGTON, Esq., District Magistrate of Tinnevelly, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 27th April 1878, No. 374.*

I have the honor to forward herewith a list of villages transferred from one taluk to another in the settlement just completed, and to request that the Government may be pleased to notify the consequent transfer of Magisterial jurisdiction in respect of those villages.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

List of Villages transferred at the Settlement from one Taluk to another Taluk in respect of which transfer of Criminal Jurisdiction is applied for.

Name of Village.	From what Taluk.	To what Taluk.
1. Kárakéni	Strivilipuṭṭur ..	.. Sattur.
2. Lakshmipurúm		
3. Vélámbudur		
4. Khánsapuram		
5. Vaiyár		
6. Vadakku Arumanainádu	Sattur	.. Strivilipuṭṭur.
7. Tarku Ammarainádu		
8. Kúdakóvil		
9. Nodunkulam	Ottapidaram ..	.. Sattur.
10. Alampatti		
11. Keppampatti	Sankaranainarkovil	.. Do.
12. Thónukal		
13. Sippipárai	Ottapidaram ..	.. Sankarnainarkovil.
14. Athipatti		
15. Kalappálankulam		
16. Kangananallur	Sankaranainarkovil	.. Ottapidaram.
17. Olaikulam		
18. Tennarasankulam		
19. Vadakukónárkóttai		

(Signed) J. B. PENNINGTON,
District Magistrate.

No. 106. ORDER THEREON, 20th May 1878, No. 1057.

If the villages transferred from one taluk to another are still within the limits of the same Divisional jurisdiction, the District Magistrate himself is competent, under Section 49 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to allot the Magisterial work of the villages so transferred.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the District Magistrate of Tinnevelly.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. J. Wright.

Clerk's Office Defendt
No 23763

Court of Civil Appeals.

JUDICIAL.

The DISTRICT ATTORNEY
vs.
TENNESSEE.

Dated May 29th.

Filed

Issued 3rd June

Cost, $20, May Term, No. 1867.

MAY,
Nos. 105 106.

Recognizance forfeited. Motion to set aside
filed with reasons to the motion
Supreme Jurisdiction is vested
Appeals, which is conferred upon
Section 69 of the Code of Criminal Procedure
the village mentioned are within the limits
the said Municipal Jurisdiction.

No 1079
Communicated
to the House of Rep
30 Dec 1879
Secretary