

(1) Number of Pages.....6

(2) Date of repair.....20.2.69

(3) Initials of the Referencer.....R.F.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	820	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	19.6.1876	196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
19th June 1876.*

No. 201. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 5th June 1876, No. 1,474.

Read the following papers:—

From A. J. STUART, Esq., Acting Collector of Tinnevely, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Wattrap, 14th March 1876, No. 167.

I HAVE the honor to forward a list of suits in which the Revenue officers were defendants, and the amount of fees sanctioned for the Government Vakil.

2. The Government Vakils have only now come forward to claim these fees. You will observe from the entries in column 3 of the list that more than one year has elapsed from the date of sanction.

3. As, according to Government Order embodied in Board's Proceedings, No. 300, dated 12th February 1872, sanction for any charge which has not been acted on for a year must be held to have lapsed, these payments cannot be made to them without renewed sanction, which I request you will be good enough to obtain.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

List of Fees due to Vakils engaged on behalf of Government, Tinnevely District.

Number of Suit.	Amount of Fees.	Board's Proceedings sanctioning Fees.	Remarks.
	RS. A. P.		
Appeal Suit No. 216 of 1873 on the file of the Tinnevely District Court.	125 2 4	G.O., No. 946, dated 28th July 1874.	Due to Annasamy Iyer.
Original Suit No. 29 of 1873 on the file of the Tinnevely Sub-Court.	8 4 0	Board's Proceedings, No. 1,870, dated 18th July 1874.	Do. The amount referred to in the Proceedings was calculated upon the value of the suit. Vakil now claims only Rupees 8-4-0.
Original Suit No. 155 of 1872 on the file of the Tinnevely Sub-Court.	5 4 0	No. 2,277, dated 17th August 1874.	Rupees 1-5-0 to Saminadier. " 1-5-0 to Sitharama. " 2-10-0 to Annasamy Iyer.
Original Suit No. 9 of 1872 on the file of the Tinnevely Sub-Court.	125 2 4	G.O., 5th August 1873, No. 808, and 13th August 1873, No. 5,106.	" 98-13-8 to Saminadier. " 15-10-4 to Sitharama. " 15-10-4 to Annasamy Iyer.
Original Suit No. 48 of 1872 on the file of the Tinnevely Sub-Court.	5 8 0	No. 1,153, dated 18th May 1874.	" 2-12-0 to Saminadier. " 2-12-0 to Sitharama.
Total ...	269 4 8		

(Signed) A. J. STUART,
Acting Collector.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
19th June 1876.*

From the same Officer, dated Trichendur, 1st May 1876, No. 271.

With reference to the Board's Official Memorandum, No. 594, dated 22nd ultimo, I have the honor to explain that the Vakil's fee in Suit No. 155 of 1872 now claimed by the Vakil, viz., Rupees 5-4-0, is the correct one and calculated on the value of the suit amounting to Rupees 104-14-2, and that in the last three cases referred to more than one Vakil was appointed consequent upon promotion of Saminadier to the post of Additional District Munsif and the death of his successor A. Sitharama.

The Board sanction payment of Rupees 19 in the 2nd, 3rd, and 5th cases on the list enclosed in the first letter above recorded.

2. The sanction of Government is requested for payment of Rupees 250-4-8 in the 1st and 4th cases.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

No. 202.

ORDER THEREON, 19th June 1876, No. 820.

Miscellaneous.

SANCTIONED Rs. 250-4-8.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant-General.

Ex d. W. J. Lewis.

Suits Nos. 216 of 1873 and 9 of 1872 on the file of the Tinnevely District and Sub-Courts. — Sanctioning disbursement of Rs. 200-4-8 on account of fees due as —

JUNE
Nos. 201-202

G.O. 13th June 1876, No. 820.

Dated June, 1876.
To
The BOARD OF REVENUE,
Tinnevely District.

Bohl. of 1876: 311 adms.
REVENUE.

No. 1,474.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 5th June 1876.

Read the following papers:—

From A. J. STUART, Esq., Acting Collector of Tinnevely, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Wattrap, 14th March 1876, No. 167.

I HAVE the honor to forward a list of suits in which the Revenue officers were defendants, and the amount of fees sanctioned for the Government Vakils.

2. The Government Vakils have only now come forward to claim these fees. You will observe from the entries in column 3 of the list that more than one year has elapsed from the date of sanction.

3. As, according to Government Order embodied in Board's Proceedings, No. 300, dated 12th February 1872, sanction for any charge which has not been acted on for a year must be held to have lapsed, these payments cannot be made to them without renewed sanction, which I request you will be good enough to obtain.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

List of Fees due to Vakils engaged on behalf of Government, Tinnevely District.

Number of Suit.	Amount of Fees.	Board's Proceedings sanctioning Fees.	Remarks.
Appeal Suit No. 216 of 1873 on the file of the Tinnevely District Court.	RS. 125 A. 2 P. 4	G.O., No. 946, dated 28th July 1874.	Due to Annasamy Iyer.
Original Suit No. 29 of 1873 on the file of the Tinnevely Sub-Court.	8 4 0	Board's Proceedings, No. 1,870, dated 18th July 1874.	Do. The amount referred to in the Proceedings was calculated upon the value of the suit. Vakils now claim only Rupees 8-4-0.
Original Suit No. 155 of 1872 on the file of the Tinnevely Sub-Court.	5 4 0	No. 2,277, dated 17th August 1874.	Rupees 1-5-0 to Saminadier. " 1-5-0 to Sitharama. " 2-10-0 to Annasamy Iyer.
Original Suit No. 9 of 1872 on the file of the Tinnevely Sub-Court.	125 2 4	G.O., 5th August 1873, No. 808, and 13th August 1873, No. 5,106.	" 93-13-8 to Saminadier. " 15-10-4 to Sitharama. " 15-10-4 to Annasamy Iyer.
Original Suit No. 48 of 1872 on the file of the Tinnevely Sub-Court.	5 8 0	No. 1,153, dated 18th May 1874.	" 2-12-0 to Saminadier. " 2-12-0 to Sitharama.
Total ...	269 4 8		

(Signed) A. J. STUART,
Acting Collector.

From the same Officer, dated Trichendur, 1st May 1876, No. 271.

WITH reference to the Board's Official Memorandum, No. 594, dated 22nd ultimo, I have the honor to explain that the Vakils' fee in Suit No. 155 of 1872 now claimed by the Vakils, viz., Rupees 5-4-0, is the correct one and calculated on the value of the suit amounting to Rupees 104-14-2, and that in the last three cases referred to more than one Vakils was appointed consequent upon promotion of Saminadier to the post of Additional District Munsif and the death of his successor A. Sitharama.

THE Board sanction payment of Rupees 19 in the 2nd, 3rd, and 5th cases on the list enclosed in the first letter above recorded.

2. The sanction of Government is requested for payment of Rupees 250-4-8 in the 1st and 4th cases.

(True Copies and Extract.)

W. J. Lewis
Acting Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

„ the Acting Collector of Tinnevely,

Copy to the Accountant-General.

Mrd. W. J. Lewis.

28-7-74 Rs. 242-50
-5-8-73 - 21-21
-1-2-72 - 32-11

*14 To the Board of Revenue, 27th July
to the Accountant-General*

BOARD of REVENUE,
MADRAS.

280 406
21/2/22
Rev 1876. September

PROCEEDINGS, 5TH JUNE 1876, No. 1,474.

Doyle's Journal 176
Encl.

Received *17 June 1876*

JUNE.
No. 1,474.

Suite—Nos. 48 and 155 of 1872 and 29 of 1873 on file of Tinnevely Sub-Court.—Sanctioning payment of Rupees 19 due to Vakils in — and requesting sanction of Government for disbursement of Rupees 250-4-8 on account of fees due in certain other suits. Rs. 830

Sanctioned 4.250-4-8

6/11/1916

19th June 1876

2-17-1876 Rs. 19-5-0