M.R.O. 1—20,002—12-8-65.

(1) Number of Pages 8

(2) Date of repair 3-4-1969

(3) Initials of the Referencer [illegible]

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	799	Press
~~B.P. No.~~		~~Mis.~~
Dated	14-6-1876	~~196~~ .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
14th June 1876.

Read the following:—

No. 157. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 31st May 1876, No. 1,428.

Read the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Palmanair, 28th April 1876, No. 201.

ADVERTING to the Board's Proceedings, dated 21st January 1876, No. 171, I have the honor to submit a detailed report on the cases of fraudulent use of obliterated postage stamps therein referred to.

2. With his communication of the 1st November last, No. 4,558, the Inspecting Postmaster, Mount Division, forwarded to me a letter having an obliterated postage stamp thereon found in the letter-box of the Chetput Post-office. The matter was referred to my General Deputy for inquiry and disposal, Chetput being situated in that officer's division.

3. The inquiry into the case showed that the signature of the latter was that of one Kuppusami Naick, who admits having written the letter and signed it, but says that his son Narrainasami, to whom he gave it to be posted, made a mistake in putting an obliterated stamp on it.

4. Narrainasami is a lad only eleven years old. His explanation in regard to his having affixed on the letter an obliterated stamp being considered unsatisfactory, he was found guilty of having committed an offence punishable under Section 262 of the Indian Penal Code, and was sentenced to receive fifteen stripes with a light rattan in the way of school-discipline under Section 5 of Act VI of 1864.

5. The Inspecting Postmaster forwarded to me, with his communication of the 4th November last, No. 4,797, another letter said to have been found in the letter-box of the Wandewash Post-office. This case likewise was referred to the Deputy Magistrate on General Duties for inquiry and disposal, Wandewash being the cusbah town of one of his taluqs.

6. The case was inquired into, and it was found that the letter with the obliterated stamp on it was written and posted by one Sundrien, of Ayalapadi, in Wandewash Taluq. The defence set up by him having been found to be untrustworthy, he was sentenced to pay a fine of Rupees 50, in default to suffer one month's simple imprisonment, under Section 262 of the Indian Penal Code.

7. There was a third case reported* by the Inspecting Postmaster of a letter with an obliterated stamp on it having been found in the letter-box of the Pallicondah Post-office in the Vellore Taluq. This matter was referred to the Acting Joint Magistrate, who reports, in his letter of the 3rd instant, No. 93, that the sender of the letter was one Perumal Naick, who freely admits having affixed the stamp to the letter in question, and states that he purchased the stamp at the Pallicondah Post-office. Perumal Naick is a pensioned Havildar between seventy and eighty years of age; and the Acting Joint Magistrate, considering the age of the accused, and the fact that he straightforwardly admitted that he did use the stamp, is inclined to believe that he did not know at the time he used it that it was an obliterated one, and that he must have been imposed upon by the person who sold it to him. The Acting Joint Magistrate is, therefore, of opinion, in

* Letter, dated 11th November 1875, No. 4,803.

which I concur, that it would be useless to prosecute Perumal Naick for having used an obliterated stamp.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to G.O., dated 3rd February 1876, No. 146, Revenue Department.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

No. 158. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 1st June 1876, No. 1,444.

Read the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Palmanair, 11th May 1876, No. 211.

IN continuation of my letter to the Board, dated 28th ultimo, No. 201, I have the honor to report, for their information, that the Inspecting Postmaster having forwarded to me with his letter of the 7th January 1876, No. 5,741, a letter said to have been discovered in the Chetput Post letter-box with an obliterated stamp affixed to it, I referred this case to my General Deputy for inquiry and disposal, Chetput being in that officer's division. It was accordingly inquired into, and the accused, Kolanda Govinden, was found guilty and sentenced under Section 262, Indian Penal Code, to pay a fine of Rupees 50, which has been levied.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to G.O., dated 27th October 1875, No. 1,529, Revenue Department.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

No. 159. ORDER THEREON, 14th June 1876, No. 799.

Separate Revenue, No. 18.
Stamps.

ORDERED to be brought to the notice of the Government of India.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Govt. of 1876. Madras

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated June, 14th.

Read.

G.O., 14th June 1876, No. 799.

JUNE.

Nos. 167—169.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 31st May 1876.

Ordering to be brought to the notice of Government of India certain cases of — which occurred in the North Arcot District.

799
13

No. 1,428.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 31st May 1876.

Read the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Palmanair, 28th April 1876, No. 201 :—

Adverting to the Board's Proceedings, dated 21st January 1876, No. 171, I have the honor to submit a detailed report on the cases of fraudulent use of obliterated postage stamps therein referred to.

2. With his communication of the 1st November last, No. 4,558, the Inspecting Postmaster, Mount Division, forwarded to me a letter having an obliterated postage stamp thereon found in the letter-box of the Chetput Post Office. The matter was referred to my General Deputy for inquiry and disposal, Chetput being situated in that officer's division.

3. The inquiry into the case showed that the signature of the latter was that of one Kuppusami Naick, who admits having written the letter and signed it, but says that his son Narrainasamy, to whom he gave it to be posted, made a mistake in putting an obliterated stamp on it.

4. Narrainasamy is a lad only eleven years old. His explanation in regard to his having affixed on the letter an obliterated stamp being considered unsatisfactory, he was found guilty of having committed an offence punishable under Section 262 of the Indian Penal Code, and was sentenced to receive fifteen stripes with a light rattan in the way of school-discipline under Section 5 of Act VI of 1864.

5. The Inspecting Postmaster forwarded to me, with his communication of the 4th November last, No. 4,797, another letter said to have been found in the letter-box of the Wandewash Post Office. This case likewise was referred to the Deputy Magistrate on General Duties for inquiry and disposal, Wandewash being the cusbah town of one of his taluqs.

6. The case was inquired into, and it was found that the letter with the obliterated stamp on it was written and posted by one Sundrien of Ayalapadi in Wandewash Taluq. The defence set up by him having been found to be untrustworthy, he was sentenced to pay a fine of Rupees 50, in default to suffer one month's simple imprisonment, under Section 262 of the Indian Penal Code.

7. There was a third case reported* by the Inspecting Postmaster of a letter with an obliterated stamp on it having been found in the letter-box of the Pallicondah Post Office in the Vellore Taluq. This matter was referred to the Acting Joint Magistrate, who reports, in his letter of the 3rd instant, No. 93, that the sender of the letter was one Perumal Naick, who freely admits having affixed the stamp to the letter in question and states that he purchased the stamp at the Pallicondah Post Office. Perumal Naick is a Pensioned Havildar between seventy and eighty years of age; and the Acting Joint Magistrate, considering the age of the accused and the fact that he straightforwardly admitted that he did use the stamp, is inclined to believe that he did not know at the time he used it that it

* Letter, dated 11th November 1875, No. 4,803.

was an obliterated one, and that he must have been imposed upon by the person who sold it to him. The Acting Joint Magistrate is, therefore, of opinion, in which I concur, that it would be useless to prosecute Perumal Naick for having used an obliterated stamp.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to G.O., dated 3rd February 1876, No. 146, Revenue Department.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Collector of North Arcot.

Exd. J. W. Fisher.

No. 799.

Ordered to be brought to the notice of the Govt of India

14 June 1876

A.2319

Rev 1876. Dept &c

BOARD OF REVENUE, MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 31st MAY 1876, No. 1,428.

Encl.

Received 10 June 1876

MAY.
No. 1,428.

Postage Stamps, Obliterated—Submitting to Government detailed report of Collector of North Arcot on certain cases of fraudulent use of—

No 799. 14 June 1876

799/4

No. 1,444.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 1st June 1876.

Read the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Palmanair, 11th May 1876, No. 211 :—

IN continuation of my letter to the Board, dated 28th ultimo, No. 201, I have the honor to report for their information that the Inspecting Postmaster having forwarded to me with his letter of the 7th January 1876, No. 5,741, a letter said to have been discovered in the Chetput Post letter-box with an obliterated stamp affixed to it, I referred this case to my General Deputy for enquiry and disposal, Chetput being in that officer's division. It was accordingly enquired into, and the accused, Kolanda Govinden, was found guilty and sentenced under Section 262, Indian Penal Code, to pay a fine of Rupees 50, which has been levied.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to G.O., dated 27th October 1875, No. 1,529, Revenue Department.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Collector of North Arcot.

Exd. A. S. Rodgers.

G.O. 27 Oct/75 No. 1529.
Rev. Dep. 31 May/76 No. 1428.

No 2440
No 382
Rev 1876. Depts

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 1ST JUNE 1876, No. 1,444.

Dept 18 June/76

Encl.
Sec. No. 799 - 14 June 1876

Received 19 June/76

JUNE.

No. 1,444.

Stamps.—Submitting to Government letter from Collector of North Arcot reporting that one Kolanda Govinden was found guilty of using an obliterated stamp and sentenced to pay a fine of Rupees 50.

No 158