

(1) Number of pages 16
(2) Date of repair 25.11.85
(3) Initials of the Referencer WV

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	63	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	14.1.1878	197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

63
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

14th January 1878, No. 63.

Read the following paper:—

No. 121. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 4th January 1878, Miscellaneous No. 23.

Read the following letter from J. A. DAVIES, Esq., Acting Head Assistant Collector, to J. B. PENNINGTON, Esq., Collector of Tinnevely, dated Tuticorin, 8th November 1877, No. 516:—

With reference to your docket No. 1,165, of 14th September last, and paragraph 7 of the Board's Proceedings, No. 3,103, dated 2nd July 1877, regarding the "Budh" now in Tuticorin, there are three courses open for its disposal—1st, it can be left where it is in the Tuticorin Sub-Magistrate's Office compound; 2nd, it can be sent to the Madras Museum as originally proposed; or, 3rd, it can be preserved in the grounds of the bungalow lately bought by Government at Tuticorin for the residence of the Head Assistant Collector, but now, by late changes of the Sub-Collector.

2. In favor of the first course no cost would be entailed against it; the image stands unappreciated in a corner. As regards the second I find it would cost about Rs. 100 to remove it to Madras. The weight of the image is about 35 maunds, and it would cost Rs. 86 for the Railway fare alone, to which would have to be added the expense of its removal to and from the Railway Station, and packing charges. Then after reaching Madras it might be no novelty. I showed it to Mr. Bernard when he was here with Sir Richard Temple, and he evidently did not think much of it. Mr. Puckle also did not recommend its removal to Madras (*vide* Board's Proceedings, No. 80, dated 20th January 1873). In favor of the third proposal, I may say it is a fine image and a rare one in these parts, and if placed on a pedestal 4 or 5 feet high in the compound of the Government bungalow, it would not only be preserved as an interesting relic, but would serve as an ornament to the place, which is somewhat bare; against this there would be the cost of its removal and erection, for which about Rs. 30 would be required. On one occasion I made preparations to place it as a statue on the beach esplanade, but the Christian population of all denominations objected on the ground of its being an idol, and I was therefore obliged to desist from my intention.

3. I beg to recommend the adoption of the third course indicated. The image would not be lost to the public, for, though in the Government compound, it could be placed on the beach where it would be easily seen from other parts of it, as well as from the sea.

No. 824.

SUBMITTED (in original) to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Proceedings, No. 3,103, dated 2nd July 1877.

2. The course suggested by Mr. Davies seems the best.

TINNEVELLY,
13th November 1877.

(Signed) J. B. PENNINGTON.

Collector.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The Board would suggest the removal of the image to Madras by sea with a view to its being placed in the Museum. By this course the cost of transmission would be reduced.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Sub-Secretary.

No. 122.

ORDER THEREON, 14th January 1878, No. 63.

Miscellaneous.

THE Board's proposal is approved
and sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant-General.

Ed. A. Grubert.

63
12

Revenue Department
 Miscellaneous No. 23
 Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
 Dated 4th January 1878.
 Read the following

No. 516

From J. A. Davies Esquire
 Ag. Head Assistant Collector
 Tinnevely
 To J. B. Huntington Esquire,
 Collector of Tinnevely.

Sir,

With reference to your docket
 No. 1165 of 14th September last, and
 para 7 of the Board's Proceedings
 No. 3103 dated 2nd July 1877, regarding
 the "Badh" now in Tuticorin, there are
 three courses open for its disposal. 1st
 It can be left where it is in the Tuticorin
 Sub-Magistrate's Office Compound.
 2nd It can be sent to the Madras
 Museum as originally proposed or

3rd

Cost of (1878) Madras
 REVENUE.

Tax BOARD of REVENUE,

Secy, Secy, Secy

Dated January 14th.

Recd.

G.O. 14th January 1878, No. 83

Est

JANUARY
 Nos. 121-122.

Large "Badh" of 17th century. A printing and
 illustrating the progress of the Board of Revenue
 to preserve the — to Madras by sea with a view to
 its being placed in the Museum.



32⁴ It can be preserved in the grounds of the Bungalow lately bought by Government at Tuticorin, for the residence of the Head Assistant Collector, but now, by late changes, of the Sub-Collector.

2¹ In favour of the first course, no cost would be entailed against it, the image stands unappreciated in a corner. As regards the second, I find it would cost about Rs 100 to remove it to Madras. The weight of the image is about 35 maunds, and it would cost Rs 86 for the Railway fare alone, to which would have to be added the expense of its removal to and from the Railway Station, and packing charges. Then after reaching Madras it might be no novelty. I showed it to Mr. Bernard when he was here with Mr.

Sir Richard Temple and he evidently did not think much of it. Mr. Puckle also did not recommend its removal to Madras (Vide Board's Proceedings No. 80 dated 20th January 1873). In favour of the 3rd proposal, I may say it is a fine image and a rare one in these parts, and if placed on a pedestal 4 or 5 feet high, in the Compound of the Government Bungalow, it would not only be preserved as an interesting relic, but would serve as an ornament to the place, which is somewhat bare. Against this, there would be the cost of its removal and erection, for which about Rs 30 would be required. On one occasion, I made preparations to place it as a statue on the Beach Esplanade, but the Christian population of all denominations objected on the ground of its being an idol and I was therefore obliged

obliged to desist from my intention.

3. I beg to recommend the adoption of the third course indicated. The image would not be lost to the public, for though in the Government Compound, it could be placed on the Beach where it would be easily seen from other parts of it, as well as from the sea.

Office of the H² Asst Coll^r } I have rec^d
Zuticoria, 8 Nov^r 1877 - } Wm J. A. Davis
H² Asst Coll^r

N^o 824.

Submitted (in original) to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Proceedings No 3103 dated 2 July 1877

2. The course suggested by Mr Davies seems the best.

Simenelly }
15 Nov^r 1877 } Wm J. B. Pennington
Collector.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. The Board would suggest the removal of

63
of the image to Madras by sea, with a view to its being placed in the Museum. By this course the cost of transmission would be reduced.

(True Copy & track)

W. L. Cotton
Sub-Secretary

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

W. L. Cotton

W. L. Cotton

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

No. 91

Proprs. Sec. of Revenue

Dated 4 January 1878

FOR ORDERS.

I have communicated
with Dr. Hildebrandt
this morning.

I would strongly recommend
the transfer of the
State figures now at
Luttrell, to the Museum.
It is called a "Book of
the Rev. Mr. Hildebrandt".
From the Rev. Mr. Hildebrandt's
letter it appears to be
rather a Latin manuscript.
Either case it would be
of interest and value.

18 November 1872 No. 112-113
29 January 1873 - 238/39
25 March 1874 - 253/34
17 June 1874 - 174/76

George D. Hildebrandt
14 January 1878

A. 13

The Board's report is
attached and mentioned

Revenue 1878 Deficit

No 91

Proceedings of the

Board of Revenue

14 January 1878

Subd 4.
Page 8. January 1878.
Recd 91

Copy to

Regarding the removal
of the maps "The Book of
Luttrell, formerly, that,
to Hildebrandt by me with
a view to its being placed
in the Museum.

In order
G.D.H.

14 January 1878

Top of the mountain is
indistinctly visible

Alfred and Jackson

Don't know

For order
you

2. 9. 1800/18

Chelton 1000 ft

17 June

2.5 to 1 inch

FOR ORDERS.

a view to its being placed
in the Museum.

To order
E. J. G. G.

Alcock and Jackson

George Brown Dr
debevered for

17 June 1771

14 Jan 1771

E. J. G. G.