

- (1) Number of pages Copy of 3 sheets
(2) Date of repair 25-3-1985
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 633, Revenue Press.
B.P. No. _____ Mis.

Dated 29 April 1978 197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

 * செப்புவதற்காக எடுத்துக் *
 * கொள்ளப்பட்ட *
 * மாதம் வருடம் 85 *
 * செப்பிட்டு முடித்த *
 * மாதம் வருடம் 85 *
 * ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் *
 * கையொப்பம். *

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

29th April 1878, No. 633.

Read the following paper :—

No. 266. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd March 1878, No. 797.

Read the following letter from J. H. MASTER, Esq., Collector of Bellary, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 5th January 1878, No. 3:—

THE Deputy Collector on General Duties at Harpenhally drew in his travelling allowance bill for October last halting allowance for twenty-three days, viz., from 7th to 29th idem.

2. He was informed by this office that under Standing Order of the Board of Revenue, No. 10 of 1877, he could not draw it for a longer period than ten days.

3. He in reply contends that the rates of extra tentage sanctioned in Standing Order, No. 42 of 1867, cannot be drawn as a halting allowance for more than ten days at one place and that the extra tentage referred to in the order of 1867 was exclusively allowed to Covenanted Officers and not to Deputy Collectors.

4. The letter containing the above remarks was submitted to the Accountant-General by the Treasury Deputy Collector and the former officer endorsed the views of the latter, adding that if Venkatachellum Puntulu provides office accommodation to his Cutcherry at his own expense he may be allowed to draw the allowance for a period beyond ten days.

5. Venkatachellum Puntulu further points out that the rates of extra tentage drawn by Covenanted Officers were by Standing Order, No. 42 of 1867, raised, and to this order only the Madras Government made the Order of Government of India, dated 30th June, applicable and quotes Board's Standing Order, No. 10 of 1877, in support.

6. He further proposes that a ruling to the effect that the order of the Government of India, dated 30th June 1877, No. 1,582, does not apply to the travelling allowances of Uncovenanted Servants, as they draw neither house-rent nor fixed tentage, may be passed analogous to the ruling published in the "Police Weekly Circular" of 10th November 1877, page 191, No. 23.

7. He lays much stress on the fact that while the travelling allowance of Salt and Treasury Deputy Collectors has been raised yet no concession of this nature has been granted to the Deputy Collectors engaged on the general duties of the District.

8. If this concession cannot be granted I beg that they may at least be placed on the same footing as the Police Officers referred to in paragraph 6 of this letter.

It is not clear from the Collector's letter what the exact claim put forward by the Deputy Collector is, that is whether it relates to the provision of office accommodation at Harpenhally or to halts elsewhere. Apparently he asks to be exempted from the

restriction as to halting allowance imposed by the resolution of the Government of India, dated 30th June 1877, No. 1,582. The Government have already in G.O., dated 18th February 1878, relaxed the rule as regards ministerial servants and the Board think that Deputy Collectors should also be exempted from its operation. The rule regarding limit of duration of halts has hitherto applied only to Covenanted Officers.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

No. 267.

ORDER THEREON, 29th April 1878, No. 633.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government are not prepared to sanction the general exemption recommended by the Board.

2. Further particulars as to the claim of the Deputy Collector on general duties at Harpenhally should be obtained, and his case be submitted for special orders.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

633
2

Recd. only 2/14/3-14/17

Cost. of (1878) 3/1/1878.

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated April 29th.

Recd.

G.O., 29th April 1878, No. 633.

Exd.

APRIL,
Nos. 266-267.

Halting Allowance drawn by Deputy Collectors.—Deciding to sanction the general exemption recommended by the Board regarding—, and stating that further particulars as to the claim of the Deputy Collector at Harpenhally should be obtained and his case be submitted for special orders.

6/3/78
No. 797.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 23rd March 1878.

Read the following letter from J. H. MASTER, Esq., Collector of Bellary, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 5th January 1878, No. 3:—

THE Deputy Collector on General Duties at Harpenhally drew in his travelling allowance bill for October last halting allowance for twenty-three days, viz., from 7th to 29th idem.

2. He was informed by this office that under Standing Order of the Board of Revenue, No. 10 of 1877, he could not draw it for a longer period than ten days.

3. He in reply contends that the rates of extra tentage sanctioned in Standing Order, No. 42 of 1867, cannot be drawn as a halting allowance for more than ten days at one place and that the extra tentage referred to in the order of 1867 was exclusively allowed to Covenanted Officers and not to Deputy Collectors.

4. The letter containing the above remarks was submitted to the Accountant-General by the Treasury Deputy Collector and the former officer endorsed the views of the latter, adding that if Venkatachellum Puntulu provides office accommodation to his Cutcherry at his own expense he may be allowed to draw the allowance for a period beyond ten days.

5. Venkatachellum Puntulu further points out that the rates of extra tentage drawn by Covenanted Officers were by Standing Order, No. 42 of 1867, raised, and to this order only the Madras Government made the Order of Government of India, dated 30th June, applicable and quotes Board's Standing Order, No. 10 of 1877, in support.

6. He further proposes that a ruling to the effect that the order of the Government of India, dated 30th June 1877, No. 1,582, does not apply to the travelling allowances of Uncovenanted Servants, as they draw neither house-rent nor fixed tentage, may be passed analogous to the ruling published in the "Police Weekly Circular" of 10th November 1877, page 191, No. 23.

7. He lays much stress on the fact that while the travelling allowance of Salt and Treasury Deputy Collectors has been raised yet no concession of this nature has been granted to the Deputy Collectors engaged on the general duties of the District.

8. If this concession cannot be granted I beg that they may at least be placed on the same footing as the Police Officers referred to in paragraph 6 of this letter.

It is not clear from the Collector's letter what the exact claim put forward by the Deputy Collector is, that is whether it relates to the provision of office accommodation at Harpenhally or to halts elsewhere. Apparently he asks to be exempted from the restriction as to halting allowance imposed by the resolution of the Government of India, dated 30th June 1877, No. 1,582. The Government have already in G.O., dated 18th February 1878, relaxed the rule as regards ministerial servants and the Board think that Deputy Collectors should also be exempted from its operation. The rule regarding limit of duration of halts has hitherto applied only to Covenanted Officers.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

W. H. Stokes
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Collector of Bellary.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

2007-18277600
18277600-18277600

1

757.

Director of
to 29th
ference
Deputy
Opera-
Unit-
advis-

187.

10

Deputy
Commissioner
of
Prisons
and
Reformatory
System
of
the
State
of
New
York