Archives.I - 10,006—16-8 77.

(1) Number of pages 8 pages (4 Sheets)

(2) Date of repair 25-3-1985.

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 631, Revenue — Press.
B.P. No. — Mis.

Dated 29th April 1878. 197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட

மாதம் வருடம் 85

செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த

மாதம் வருடம் 85

ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

29th April 1878, No. 631.

Read the following paper :—

No. 262. From L. C. PROBYN, Esq., Accountant-General, Madras, to C. G. MASTER, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort St. George, 8th April 1878, No. 1,702.

With reference to your Official Memorandum, No. 78, dated the 29th ultimo, I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to inform me whether the instructions contained in the last paragraph of the letter from the Government of India recorded in G.O., No. 2,409, dated the 31st July 1877, Revenue Department, do not supersede former orders referred to in G.O., No. 1,026, dated the 31st July 1876.

2. I am induced to make this reference in consequence of the Resident in Travancore having provided Rs. 20,000 in his budget estimate for the current year with reference to the first-mentioned order.

No. 263. Order thereon, 29th April 1878, No. 631.

Separate Revenue, No. 28.
Salt.

When G.O., 31st July 1877, No. 2,409, was communicated to the Resident in Travancore and Cochin, Mr. Sullivan remarked* on paragraph 6 of the letter from the Government of India that the procedure suggested in the previous correspondence† having been adoptedby the Travancore and Cochin Sirkars no allowance is now made for wastage, and he deprecated any alteration. This was communicated to the Government of India (11th December 1877, No. 3,375), and was apparently approved as no further mention was made of the matter in their letter recorded in G.O., 21st March 1878, No. 447.

*6th November 1877, No. 80, (G.O., 11th December 1877, No. 3,374.)
†G.O., 6th July 1876, No. 907.
‡G.O., 31st July 1876, No. 1,026.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General.
" the Resident of Travancore and Cochin.
" the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Budget Department.

No. 1702

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
8th April 1878.

From The ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
to the GOVERNMENT of MADRAS,

To The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Fort Saint George,

Sir,

With reference to your Special Memo dated the 29th ultimo I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to inform me whether the instructions contained in the last para of the letter from the Government of India recorded in G.O. No. 2409 dated the 31st July 1877 Revenue Department do not supersede former orders referred to in G.O. No. 1026 dated the 31st July 1876.

2. I am induced to make this reference in consequence of the Resident in Travancore having provided Rs. 20000 in his Budget Estimate for the current year with reference to the first mentioned order.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your obedient Servant.

Accountant General.

Govt. of Madras
REVENUE.

To

The ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.
&c., &c., &c.

Dated April 29th.

Read.

G.O., 29th April 1878, No. 631.

Ent.

APRIL.
Nos. 262-263.

Allowance for Wastage of Salt supplied to Traders.—Passing orders on letter from the Accountant-General inquiring whether the instructions contained in Government of India letter recorded in G.O., No. 2,409, of 31st July 1877, do not supersede former orders referred to in G.O., 31st July 1876, No. 1,026, on the subject of —.

Revenue Department

No 631

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 29th April 1878

Read the following

letter from the Accountant

General dated 8th April 1878

No 1702 requesting to be informed

whether the instructions contained

in the last para of the letter from

Govt of India recorded in G.O.

No 2409 of 31st July 1877 do not

supersede former orders referred

to in G.O. No 1026 of 31st July 76.

—

When G.O. 31st July 1877

No 2409 was communicated

to the Resident in Travancore

and Cochin Mr Sullivan en-

=marked* on paragraph 6

of the letter from the Govt of

India that the procedure sug-

=gested in the previous cor-

=respondence

WR
27/4

*6th Nov: 1877 No 80 (G.O. II Dec 77 No 3374)

Revenue Department

No 1568.

Dated 8th April 1878.

From

The Accountant General, Madras,

To The Secretary to Govt

Revenue Department

Fort St George

Dated 8th April 1878

Rcvd. 9th do

No. of answer.

Ansd

Subject.

Requests to be informed whether the instructions contained in the last para of the letter from Govt of India recorded in G.O. No 2409 of 31st July 1877 do not supersede former orders referred to in G.O. No 1026 of 31st July 76.

No 1702

E 27-4-78 R 29-4-78

Correspondence having been
adopted by the Travancore
and Cochin Sirkars no alter-
=ation is now made for reasons
and he deprecated any alteration.
This was communicated to
the Govt of India (W. Dept
1877 No 3375) and was apparently
approved as no further mention
was made of the matter
in their letter recorded in
G. O. 21: March 1878 No 447.

Q

[a] The Accountant General &c 18 May
[b] The Resident, Travancore & Cochin &
14 the Board of Rev &

60
4

[x] G.O. 6: July 1876 No 907
[+] G.O. 31: July 76 No 1026.

63