

(1) Number of Pages.....10
(2) Date of repair.....10-2-1969
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....*[Signature]*

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

428

Press
Mis.

Dated

27.3.1876 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

17

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
27th March 1876.*

No. 262. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th March 1876, No. 776:—

Read the following letter from H. W. BLISS, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Periacolum, 9th March 1876, No. 102.

I HAVE the honor to submit translation of a plaint filed in the Small Cause Court in Original Suit, No. 1,824 of 1875, in which the General Duty Deputy Collector and the Madura Tahsildar are defendants.

2. The plaintiff was the Irrigation Peshear of the Nelayur channel and was paid from the cess contributed by the ryots. His services were dispensed with on the 3rd November 1874, up to which date from September 1873 he received no pay in consequence of the refusal of the ryots, which has been frequently before the Board, to pay up the voluntary irrigation cess they had engaged to pay. The plaintiff has now sued for the wages due to him.

3. The Government Vakeel whom I have consulted thinks that the suit is barred under Article 7 of Schedule II. of the Limitation Act. I also think that if the suit is to be defended at all it might be pleaded that the ryots and not the Deputy Collector and the Tahsildar are the persons responsible. If the Board think that the suit should be defended I will raise these pleas; but in my opinion the suit should not be defended. The [redacted] worked properly, I have ascertained, and I think he should be paid; and as the ryots have broken their agreement and he was appointed by the responsible officers of Government and no doubt looked to Government and not to the ryots for his pay, I think Government should, under the circumstances, pay him. I request, therefore, that I may be authorized to do so.

4. No written statement being required in Small Cause suits none is submitted.

5. The value of the suit is Rupees 146-7-2 and its hearing is fixed for the 21st instant, but I have applied for an adjournment.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Translation of Plaint.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The plaintiff was appointed by the responsible officers of Government and is reported to have performed his work satisfactorily. The Board are of opinion, therefore, that no technical plea should be advanced but that the suit should be compromised by payment of the wages claimed. The early sanction of Government to this course is requested.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
27th March 1876.*

No. 263. ORDER THEREON, 27th March 1876, No. 428.

Irrigation, No. 7.

THE Government sanction the payment of the wages claimed as recommended and costs if any.

2. The Board will expedite the submission of the report called for in G.O., 31st July 1875, No. 1,155.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,
the Accountant-General.

Lulloch. *Revenue Department.*

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 18 March 1876, No 776.

Read the following

N^o 102.

From *L. W. Bliss Esquire*
Acting Collector of Madras.
To *J. A. Galton Esquire*
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Madras.

Sir,
I have the honor to submit
translation of a plaint filed in
the Small Cause Court in O. S. N^o 824
of 1875, in which the General Duty
Deputy Collector and the Madras
Tahsildar are defendants.

2. The Plaintiff was the
irrigation Peohar of the Kalayur
Channel and was paid from the
cess contributed by the Ryots. His
services were dispensed with on
the

1876-77

11-7-94

8/12/1876

Boat of 1876 Madras

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Secy Secy Secy

Dated March 27th.

Recd.

Recd.

G.O. 27th March 1876, No. 428.

MARCH.

No. 262-293.

Original Sent No. 1824, of 1875 on file of
Madras Small Cause Court.—Sanctioning the
payment of the wages claimed by the plaintiff in
—and costs if any. Requesting the Board to
expedite submission of the report called for in
G.O. 31st July 1876, No. 1,159.

the 3^d November 1874. upto which date from September 1873 he received no pay, in consequence of the refusal of the ryots which has been frequently before the Board, to pay up the voluntary contribution as they had engaged to pay. The Plaintiff has now sued for the wages due to him.

3. The Government Vakil whom I have consulted thinks that the suit is barred under article 7 of Schedule II of the Limitation Act. I also think that if the suit is to be defended at all it might be pleaded that the ryots and not the Deputy Collector and the Tahildar are the persons responsible. If the Board thinks that

that the suit should be defended I will raise these pleas. But in my opinion the suit should not be defended. The man worked properly, I have ascertained and I think he should be paid and as the ryots have broken their agreement and he was appointed by the responsible officers of Government and no doubt looked to Government and not to the ryots for his pay, I think Government should under the circumstances pay him. I request, therefore, that I may be authorized to do so.

4. No written statement being required in small cause suits none is submitted.

5. The value of the suit is Rs 146-7-2 and its hearing

is fixed for the 21st Instant, but

I have applied for an adjournment

Madrass office } I have
on circuit (Camp) } per H. H. Bliss
Chembazham Paria }
Colonel T. B. G. March } edg collector

Submitted for the orders
of Government.

2. The Plaintiff was appointed
by the responsible officers of Govt
and is reported to have performed
his work satisfactorily. The Board
are of opinion, therefore, that no
technical plea should be advanced
but that the suit should be compro-
mised by payment of the wages claimed.
The early sanction of Govt to this
course is requested.

Enclosed & attached

W. H. Allen
Acting Secretary.

To The Secretary to Government R.D.
with one enclosure the network

Brasilia 1876 Defries

Ms. 1166

Proceedings of the
Grand Jurors

Walters 18 March 1906

Repts 18-19-20

Decr. 20: —

ear. Deed

Oct 4. 27. 1876

The building for
 the order of good letter
 from the fall of Providence
 regarding a visit of the great
 early and late of York for
 the payment of the wages
 to the Master in the 1794
 of 1875 in the same year file
 the order of good letter

No 428

The Prof. Reaction in August of
his wife declined a recommendation
Theresa with Eckardt in
distress of his report about
for no. 26 212. July 1895. No 1155.

961
24/3

3
 Had Capt. M. A. Deane and Lieu. Dr. 28th Mar
 14 P. Cohen on 4 April
 5 M. A. Deane and Lieut. Pennell on 4

27 March 1871

6. 23/3/56, 27/3/56.

48. 23.3.26