

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3034

DATED 19th July 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Ordering the publication of an amended notification
describing the boundaries of the Thoppasuramalai block,
Dindigul Taluk, Madras Dist.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 166

Order mis No: 3034 d/19 July 1898

From the Secretary to the Commissioners
of the Revenue
Board of Revenue
Madras



The straight amended
boundary description forwarded
by the Board will be published
in the Fort St George and Madras
district gazettes.

Dated 2/4 -

Received 1/July

Registered 2 -

Enclosures 8

Spare copies

To the Sd: SR with 2 maps & encls;

SUBJECT.

... Suptd: Fort Press with notification submitting to Government for approval
the publication in the Fort St George
Gazette, draft amended boundary des-
cription in respect of the Thopaswami-
g. 6 442 & 4. 5. 92. The boundary block in the District taluk
description as described in the Madras District
notification under Section 4 being
defective, it is now proposed to
issue a more accurate description.

8/7-98

11/17/98


BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

1000
20-1-98.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue). L. No. 293 and of 5.5.98

Read Enclosurement by L. E. Brasier Esq. Conservator of Forests Southern Circle of 5.4.98 L. No. 2837 forwarding letter from J. G. D. Patridge Esq. Collector of Madras of 27.3.98 Ref. No. 1760 Forest.

Resolution

It is observed from the amended boundary description and the revised sketch now furnished by the collector that the proposed revised boundary apparently falls within the boundary as originally proposed. The Forest Settlement officer will accordingly be requested to show cause why an amended boundary description should not be dispensed with and why the notification under sec. 16 issued without further delay.
(True extract)

(Sd:) Lionel Davidson

Ag. Secretary

(True Copy)

20 Ld. F. S. O. Madras the Dist.
.. Collr. of Madras
.. Comm. S. C.

Lionel Davidson.
Ag. Secretary.

OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(LAND REVENUE),

Read again B.P. 225 293 of 5-5-98 ^{Madras, 24-6-1898.}

READ— Letter from the J. Comptroller, Forest Settlement Officer Trichinopoly etc, District of 31-5-98, Ref no 2435.

Reference No. 267 dated 24-6-1898.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. The reservation of the Thopaswami malai block in the Dindigul taluk, Madurai District, was approved in G.O. no 442 of 4th May 1892 under which the sec. 4 notification was ordered to be published. It was subsequently found by the J.S.O. that the description of the boundary in the notification as already published was vague in certain respects and, at his instance, the Collector submitted an amended description in respect of a portion of the northern boundary. As some other discrepancies also were pointed out by the Forest Settlement Officer, the Board called for an amended description of the entire boundary. In his letter no 1760 dated 27th March 1898, the Collector submitted a fresh description together with a ^{revised} sketch indicating therein the original

100

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

By order of

original and revised boundaries, but as the revised description and the sketch showed the revised boundary to fall within the boundary as originally proposed, the Board doubted the necessity for the publication of an amended description and informed the Forest Settlement Officer accordingly. In the letter last read above, however, the Forest Settlement Officer reports that the original boundary as shown on the sketch does not follow the description in the initial notification and adds that it is impossible definitely to affirm that the proposed final boundary line falls within the boundary originally intended to be notified. In these circumstances the Board agrees with the Forest Settlement Officer in considering that an amended notification is necessary and resolves to forward the amended statement of boundaries, submitted by the Collector to Government for approval and publication in the Fort Saint George Gazette.

(True Extract)

Donel Davidson

Ag. Secretary

To the Secy to Govt, D.C.

with an amended notification
sketch maps, 2 S.O's staff, and
Collector's letter in orig. to be returned
with a copy of B.O. 1375-5-94

Draft Notification

With reference to so much
notification No 197 of 4th May 1892
published under Sec. 4 of the Madras
Forest Act (No. 1882), at pages 632-633
of Part I of Fort Saint George
Gazette of 17th May 1892, as relates
to the proposed Constitution, as a
reserved forest of Thopaswami
Malai Block, Dindigul Taluk,
Madurai Dist., H.B. the Governor
in Council is pleased to
direct that the following
amended and more accurate
description of the boundary of
the said forest be substituted
for that contained in the said
notification.

To be read at the top of the
Collector's revised notice.

Revised Boundary description of Hippasani block

See Map of Hippasani block

North :: Starting from a point in the Southern limits of field no 1996 at a distance of $2\frac{1}{2}$ chains from the North west corner of the same field, then its South side to its South-East corner, then North-East in a straight line to the Chandam stone on the Southern ^{side} boundary ^{field no} 1996, then the South side of that ^{side} to its South-East corner, then a straight line to the Trichinopoly boundary at the Eastern most point of ^{field no} 1954.

East :: Following the Trichinopoly boundary till it reaches a point 7 chains north west of north-western point of S. No. 492 of Sukkamapatti village, then a straight line to the north western point of S. No. 492, then a straight line to the north western corner of S. No. 500 of Sukkamapatti village.

South :: From the last named point a straight line due west to the Southern limit of

508
S. No. 508
S. No. 508
S. No. 508

of Kombaripattu village at a point $3\frac{3}{4}$ chains
north, north-west of $56\frac{1}{2}$ of Sullampatti
village, then north-west ^{side} straight line
to the north-East Corner of its $120\frac{1}{2}$ ^{of Kombaripattu}
skirting its northern ^{side} boundary to its north-
west Corner, then a straight line ^{side} ~~skirting~~
to the north-west Corner of $101\frac{1}{2}$, then to that
of 111 and ^{then its west side} skirting it to its South-west
Corner then to the North-west Corner
of 81 , then South-west to a point in the
northern ^{limit} boundary of $22\frac{1}{2}$ Khandam $18\frac{1}{2}$ chains
west of its north East Corner then following
the ^{said limit} limits of $22\frac{1}{2}$ Khandam ^{all of Kombaripattu} to the north
East Corner of S. No 23 of Paganatham
village, then north-west a straight line
to the north East Corner of its 2, then
a straight line to the north-west
Corner of its 4, ^{then a straight line to the north-west corner of no 5} then a straight
line to the north East Corner of its 55,
then its northern ^{side to its north west corner} boundary, then a straight
line to the north-west Corner of $14\frac{1}{2}$, then
then to the north-west Corner of $11\frac{1}{2}$
of $24\frac{1}{2}$ ^{all} Paganatham village, then
north-west a straight line to the north-west
Corner

Corner of $4\frac{1}{2}$ ^{of Nagayakottai village} then its northern ^{East side} ~~limits~~ to its
north-west Corner,
West then north-west to the South-East
Corner of 29 , ^{of Nagayakottai village} then its eastern ^{side to its north west corner} ~~limits~~ then
northwards to the South-east Corner of
 $191\frac{1}{2}$ of Kombai village, then a straight
line to the South-East Corner of 1750 ,
then its eastern ^{side} ~~limits~~ to its north-East
Corner, then to the South-East Corner of
 1775 , then to the South-East Corner of 1772 ,
then the East side of that to the
angle, then a straight line to the north
East Corner of 1754 , then a straight line
to the South-east Corner of 1755 , thence
a straight line to the South-East Corner
of 1641 , then a straight line to the South-
west Corner of 1640 , then along its eastern
^{side} ~~limits~~ to its north-East Corner, then a
straight line to the Easternmost point
of 1635 , then north north East a straight
line to the easternmost Corner of 1679

then a straight line to the South East
 corner of ^{no} 1352, then a straight line to the
 South East Corner of ^{no} 1273, then a straight
 line to the South East Corner of ^{no} 17,
 then a straight line to the South East
 Corner of ^{no} 399, then shifting its eastern
 side to its north eastern corner,
 then a straight line to the South East
 Corner of ^{no} 383, then the East side of
^{no} 383 & 382 to the first angle in the back,
 thence a straight line to a pillar 2 1/2 chains
 north-east of the north Eastern Corner
 of ^{no} 370, then a straight line to the
 north East Corner of ^{no} 372, then a straight
 line to the northern most point of ^{no} 236,
 then a straight line to the northernmost point of
^{no} 227, then a straight line to the north-
 eastern Corner of ^{no} 205, ^{then a straight line to the northernmost point of ^{no} 205}
 a straight line to the South East Corner
 of ^{no} 132, ^{then shifting its eastern side to its north east corner}
 a straight line to the South East Corner
 of ^{no} 211 of Palayam whoe then a straight
 line to the South East Corner of ^{no} 217.

then a straight line to the South East
 Corner of ^{no} 2097, ^{all of Palayam} then its Eastern side to its north east
 then a straight line to the starting point.

My Polli

St. George's College
 4/3.

Enc 123

In a letter

From

The Director of Customs

Refm No 1760

Dated 29.3.50

Revised boundary descrip-
-tion of Mophasami malai
block.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189 ,

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only