

(1) Number of pages 2 sheets (4 Pages)
(2) Date of repair 18.3.54
(3) Initials of the Referencer G.B.

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Riv

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2952	<i>Riv</i>	Press.
B.P. No.			Mis.
Dated	4-12-1878		197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Archives I-5,806-24-2-78.

2952
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

20th November 1878, No. 2062.

Accounts.

Read the following paper :—

No. 105. *From L. C. PROBYN, Esq., Accountant-General, Madras, to the Acting Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 13th November 1878, No. 6259.*

Audit Department.

With reference to Proceedings of Government in the Financial Department, No. 1953, dated the 29th ultimo, I have the honor to state that the proposition contained in my letter to the Government of India recorded in the Proceedings of this Government, dated 25th June 1878, No. 1108, Financial, in regard to the classification of contingent expenditure, which classification His Grace in Council directed should be adopted in the Budget for 1879-80, has been quite misunderstood.

2. It will be seen that the primary distinction which I drew was between (i) "contingent expenses in an office" or office contingencies, and (ii) other expenditure of a contingent character.

3. I then suggested that office contingencies should, with reference to the Resolution of the Government of India to which I was replying, be separated into two divisions, first, expenditure which is to be met from the lump sum which the Resolution of the Government of India, No. 3522, dated the 6th of October, proposed should be allowed to the head of each office, and which I proposed should embrace the items those enumerated in paragraph 4 of my letter above mentioned, and which would be admitted without challenge by the Audit Department subject only to the fixed limit; second, expenditure which would only be admitted under existing rules, and the items comprising which I detailed in paragraph 5 of the above-named letter, and among which the almanac mentioned in paragraph 2 of Government Order under reply would appear.

4. The other expenditure of a contingent nature was to consist of all charges not marked with an asterisk in paragraph 2; and of items of outlay under "Excise," "Salt," "Rations and other Jail Charges" "Police" which are not of the nature of office contingencies.

5. I take this opportunity of soliciting that special orders may be passed when the Magisterial Budget is reviewed regarding the manner in which the "Petty Magisterial Contingencies" are to be dealt with.

See paragraph 5 of my letter to Government of India.

No. 106. ORDER THEREON, 20th November 1878, No. 2062.

This letter will be communicated to the High Court and to all Departments and Heads of Offices to whom G.O., dated 25th June 1878, No. 1108, was sent.

(True Extract.)

G. M. A. S.
Acting Chief Secretary.

2/12

To the Honorable the Judges of the High Court
 " Revenue Department.
 " Board of Revenue.
 " Military Department.
 To all Collectors.
 " District Judges.
 " Judges of Courts of Small Causes.
 " Subordinate Judges.
 To the Magistrates of the Town Police Courts.
 " Inspector-General of Police.
 " Do. of Jails.
 " Do. of Registration.
 " Director of Public Instruction.
 " Other Heads of Departments.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

207

4117 Albany St. N.Y.

Revenue Dept

Oct 53/5
Bolt. of 1878 3000000.

FINANCIAL.

To
THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES
OF THE HIGH COURT.
K.C., &c., &c.

Dated November, 20th.

G.O., 20th November 1878, No. 2032.

NOVEMBER.
Nos. 105-106.

Accounts.—Further letter from the Accountant-
General regarding the classification of contingent
expenditures, communicated to certain officers.

With order

As 2992-4, December 1878

Communicated to the
Director of Rev. Settlement
by the Secretary of the
Department of the Interior
14. Dec 1878