(1) Number of pages 24

(2) Date of repair 11-3-85

(3) Initials of the Referencer [illegible]

# TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

## GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

| G.O. No. / B.P. No: 254 | Press. / Mis. |
|---|---|
| Dated 18-2-1878 | 197 . |

*Not to be folded or roughly handled*

Archives I—5,806—24-2-78.

254
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

---

18th February 1878, No. 254.

Read the following papers:—

No. 198. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th December 1877, No. 5,225.

Read the following letter from W. LOGAN, Esq., Collector of Malabar, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Calicut, 24th November 1877, No. 260.

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th August 1877, Miscellaneous No. 11,460, I have the honor to recommend that subordinate officials doing Jamabundy work may be exempted from the operation of Rule (c) contained in the Proceedings of the Government of Madras, dated 24th July 1877, No. 1,965, Financial Department, if a certificate from the Collector is produced.

---

Submitted for the favorable consideration of Government.

2. The rule that halting allowance is not to be drawn for halts of more than ten days at one place will operate hardly in the case of subordinates employed on Jamabundy; and the Board think that batta might be admitted for halts of longer duration whenever the Collector certifies to the necessity for detention.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Sub-Secretary.

---

No. 268.

Referred to the Accountant-General for remarks.

Fort St. George,
14th January 1878.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

---

No. 199. From E. GIBSON, Esq., Special Assistant Collector, Section No. II, to R. DAVIDSON, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, dated Kottapalle, 19th November 1877, No. 1,716.

The Assistant Accountant-General says (*vide* his Memorandum, No. 7,040, dated 3rd October, copy enclosed) that the rule laid down recently by the Government of India limiting to ten days the number of halts during which "Extra Tentage" can be drawn, applies to batta drawn by office establishments. My opinion differs from that of Mr. Larpent. Even since 1866, a similar rule of the Madras Government has been in force regarding "Extra Tentage," but it has never been held applicable to establishments. I think the matter should be referred for the orders of Government as the order of the Government of India certainly does not say which Mr. Larpent holds it *implies*.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From the Officiating Assistant Accountant-General, Madras, to the Collector of Kurnool, dated Fort St. George, 30th October 1877, No. 7,040.

*Vide* your Treasury Deputy's letter, No. 95, dated 28th September 1877.

THE undersigned has the honor to state that as Government of India has decided that the restriction of 10 days is applicable to extra tentage allowed to officers when out of head-quarters, the rule laid down in Government of India, No. 1,582, dated 30th June last, should govern the grant of batta also allowed to Office Establishments, unless specially exempted by the local Government or a General Order on the subject be obtained from the Government of India.

---

No. 1,111.

FORWARDED for the orders of Government.

2. I concur with Mr. Gibson, but should Government consider that the Accountant-General's ruling is correct, it would be, I think, advisable to pass a General Order (under Clause (c) of the Government of India's Resolution, embodied in G.O., dated 2nd August 1877, No. 4,277,) exempting establishments from the operation of the rule as otherwise considerable hardship would be caused to them by the loss of their allowances.

KURNOOL,
28th December 1877.

(Signed) C. J. KNOX,
Special Assistant Collector in Charge.

---

TRANSFERRED to the Revenue Department.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
5th January 1878.

(Signed) J. H. GARSTIN,
Additional Secretary to Government.

---

No. 200. From L. C. PROBYN, Esq., Accountant-General, Madras, to C. G. MASTER, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort St. George, 16th January 1878, No. 1,303.

WITH reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, No. 5,225, dated 13th September 1877, forwarded to this Office for remarks, I have the honor to state that it does not appear to me that the Board's proposal can be adopted without the sanction of the Government of India.

2. In any individual case the local Government is competent to allow haltage, &c., for more than ten days, but orders of Government of India are necessary to a sanction of a general nature as proposed by the Board.

---

No. 201. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th January 1878, No. 150.

Read the following letter from J. B. PENNINGTON, Esq., Collector of Tinnevelly, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 4th January 1878, No. 7.

THE Government of India, in their Resolution, dated 30th June 1877, No. 1,582, embodied in G.O., No. 1,965, dated 24th July 1877, have ordered that "halting allowances may not be drawn for more than ten days at one place." This order impliedly cancels the Order of Government contained in their Proceedings, No. 2,180, dated 16th September 1867, restricting the allowances to *Covenanted* Revenue Officers to a fortnight's halt. The question now arises whether the present Resolution of the Government of India was intended to apply to the Uncovenanted ministerial officers and servants also. The Accountant-General seems to think that the Order is of general application, and has retrenched all allowances paid to the several establishments for periods in excess of ten days' halt at a place. The divisional officers are of a different opinion, and I myself feel doubtful



whether the Order is meant to apply to the ministerial servants who have been hitherto allowed batta for any length of halt, notwithstanding the Order (now cancelled) restricting the allowances of Covenanted Officers to a fortnight's halt. The Board also appear to have been of the same opinion judging from their Circular Order No. X of 1877.

2. The ministerial public servants do not of their own accord stop in a place for more than ten days, but they are compelled to do so when their superiors choose to make a long halt, and it will certainly be a great hardship to them if the Resolution is made applicable to them, especially in the case of ill-paid clerks and peons, &c.

3. In these circumstances I have the honor to request that the Board will be pleased to obtain the special sanction of Government for the relaxation of the Order in question in the case of uncovenanted ministerial servants with retrospective effect, so that the Accountant-General may be induced to remove his objections to the payments made from August last. But should the Government be pleased to rule otherwise, I request that the Order may at any rate not be made applicable to allowances paid prior to the receipt of the Order as it will be a great hardship to the recipients to have to refund what has already been drawn by them.

---

SUBMITTED to Government in continuation of Board's Proceedings, dated 13th December 1877, No. 5,225.

2. The Resolution of the Government of India is in general terms; but the Board think it should not be held applicable to uncovenanted ministerial servants. Allowances paid prior to the receipt of G.O., dated 24th July 1877, should certainly not be retrenched.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Sub-Secretary.

---

No. 202. ORDER THEREON, 18th February 1878, No. 254.

Miscellaneous.

30th June 1877, No. 1,582.

THE Government consider that the Resolution of the Government of India limiting the period for which halting allowance is admissible is applicable to all travelling allowances, including those of the subordinate establishments; but they see no reason to suppose that it was intended to place restriction on the power of a local Government to issue a general as well as special order of exemption within the conditions laid down.

2. They resolve, therefore, to authorise the admission of batta to subordinate officials for halts in excess of ten days in those cases in which the Collector of the district certifies that the longer duration of such halts was necessary for and regulated by the requirements of the public service.

3. Copy of these Proceedings will be forwarded to the Government of India for information.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" the Accountant-General.
" the Financial Department.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Vide Cir. 2329/40.
3332/79

Govt. of 1878 Proceedings
No.
REVENUE.
To BOARD OF REVENUE.
dated

Read
Forwarded
No.

Copy to the Collector of Malabar.

FEBRUARY
No. 198—250

By 1878 Feb. Proc. 252.

1

No. 198

254/3

No. 5,225.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 13th December 1877.

Read the following letter from W. LOGAN, Esq., Collector of Malabar, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Calicut, 24th November 1877, No. 260 :—

WITH reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th August 1877, Miscellaneous No. 11,460, I have the honor to recommend that subordinate officials doing Jamabundy work may be exempted from the operation of Rule (c) contained in the Proceedings of the Government of Madras, dated 24th July 1877, No. 1,965, Financial Department, if a certificate from the Collector is produced.

SUBMITTED for the favorable consideration of Government.

2. The rule that halting allowance is not to be drawn for halts of more than ten days at one place will operate hardly in the case of subordinates employed on Jamabundy, and the Board think that batta might be admitted for halts of longer duration whenever the Collector certifies to the necessity for detention.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Collector of Malabar.

Rd. W. H. French.

Board of Revenue
1877.
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 13TH DECEMBER 1877, No. 5,225.

DECEMBER.
No. 5,225.

*Subordinate Officials on Javadoobly grade.*—Submitting to Government recommendation of Collector of Malabar that—may be exempted from the operation of the rule that halting allowance should not be drawn for halts of more than ten days in one place, if a certificate from the Collector is produced.

No. 150.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 18th January 1878.

Read the following letter from J. B. PENNINGTON, Esq., Collector of Tinnevelly, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 4th January 1878, No. 7 :—

THE Government of India in their Resolution, dated 30th June 1877, No. 1,582, embodied in G. O., No. 1965, dated 24th July 1877, have ordered that "halting allowances may not be drawn for more than ten days at one place." This order impliedly cancels the Order of Government contained in their Proceedings, No. 2,180, dated 16th September 1867, restricting the allowances to *Covenanted* Revenue Officers to a fortnight's halt. The question now arises whether the present Resolution of the Government of India was intended to apply to the Uncovenanted ministerial officers and servants also. The Accountant-General seems to think that the Order is of general application, and has retrenched all allowances paid to the several establishments for periods in excess of ten days' halt at a place. The Divisional Officers are of a different opinion, and I myself feel doubtful whether the Order is meant to apply to the ministerial servants who have been hitherto allowed batta for any length of halt notwithstanding the Order (now cancelled) restricting the allowances of Covenanted Officers to a fortnight's halt. The Board also appear to have been of the same opinion judging from their Circular Order No. X of 1877.

2. The ministerial public servants do not of their own accord stop in a place for more than ten days, but they are compelled to do so when their superiors choose to make a long halt, and it will certainly be a great hardship to them if the Resolution is made applicable to them, especially in the case of ill-paid clerks and peons, &c.

3. In these circumstances I have the honor to request that the Board will be pleased to obtain the special sanction of Government for the relaxation of the Order in question in the case of Uncovenanted ministerial servants with retrospective effect, so that the Accountant-General may be induced to remove his objections to the payments made from August last. But, should the Government be pleased to rule otherwise, I request that the Order may at any rate not be made applicable to allowances paid prior to the receipt of the Order as it will be a great hardship to the recipients to have to refund what has already been drawn by them.

---

SUBMITTED to Government in continuation of Board's Proceedings, dated 13th December 1877, No. 5,225.

2. The Resolution of the Government of India is in general terms, but the Board think it should not be held applicable to Uncovenanted ministerial servants. Allowances paid prior to the receipt of G. O., dated 24th July 1877, should certainly not be retrenched.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Collector of Tinnevelly, with copy of Board's Proceedings, dated 13th December 1877, No. 5,225.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

No. 1716

Inwards Special Asst Collr's Office

Camp Kottapalle 2

Dated 19th November 77

Despatched 20

Vol 1/7

From.

No. 199

E. Gibson Esquire

Special Assistant Collector

Section No. II

To

R Davidson Esquire

Ag Collector of

Kurnool

Sir.

The Assistant Accountant General says (vide his memo No 7040 dated 31st October. Copy enclosed) that the rule laid down recently by the Government of India limiting to 10 the number of halls during which "Extra Tentage" can be drawn, applies to halls drawn by officers established hereto. My opinion differs from that of Mr Carpenter. Even since 1866 a similar rule of the Madras Government has been in force regarding "Extra Tentage" but it has never been held applicable

Revenue Department.

No. 1716.

1878.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 15TH JANUARY 1878, No. 150.

JANUARY.

No. 150.

*Hailing Allowances.*—Submitting to Government letter from Collector of Tinnevelly, inquiring whether the recent Resolution of Government of India that — should not be drawn for more than ten days at one place is applicable to Uncovenanted ministerial officers and servants.

Received 24th January 1878

Read 25th January 1878

Ent.

No 150

Copy

to establishments. I think the matter should be referred for the orders of Government as the order of the Government of India certainly does not say which the Accountant holds it implies.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) C. Gibson
Spl. Asst. Collector
Sealdah

No. 1111.

Forwarded for the orders of Government.

2. I concur with Mr. Gibson but should Government consider that the Accountant General's ruling is correct, it would be I think advisable to pass a general order (under clause (c) of the Government of India's Resolution embodied in G.O. dated 2nd August 1877 No 4277) exempting Establishments from the operation



of the rule as otherwise considerable hardship would be caused to them by the loss of their allowances.

Kurnool 0
Collrs office 3
28th Deer/77 3
29° Wesp 3

Current 29/6

Spl Asst. Collector
in Charge.

J.R
Dc.

No. 7040

Department Fort Saint George

Accountant General's Office

30th October 77

From.

254/7

S/R. The Accountant General. Madras

To. The Collector of Kistna

Vide your Treasury Deputy letter
No 95 dated 28th September 77.

The undersigned has the honor
to state that as Government
of India have decided that the
restriction of to Depôts applicable
to extra tentage allowed to officers
when out of head quarters the rules
laid down in Government of India
No. 1582 dated 30th June last
should govern the grant of
tentage also allowed to officers
establishments, unless specially
exempted by the Local Govern-
ment or a General order on the
subject be obtained from
the Government of

Rec'd 44/58.

Enclosure

/Signed/
M. de S. Larpent
Offg Asst. Accountant
General

/True Copy/

/Signed/ E Gibson
Special Asst. Collector
Section II

/True Copy/

Clement

Spl Asst. Collector
in Charge.

Rec'd 18th Jan 78

From The Asst. Collector
To The Secretary
Govt. of Bombay
Revenue Department

Sir

I have the honor to forward herewith a Bill for Rs 2 on account of Pilotage Fees for the month of December 1877 and to request that you will be pleased to cause the amount to be credited to this Collectorate.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Asst. Collector

Audit Department

No. 1303

Fort Saint George
Accountant General's Office
16th January 1878

From

The Accountant General
Madras

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Sir

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue No. 5225 dated 13th Dec. 1877 forwarded to this office for remarks, I have the honor to state that it does not appear to me that the Board's proposal can be adopted without the sanction of the Government of India

In any individual case the local Government is competent to allow ballage for more than 10 days but orders of Government of India are necessary to a sanction of a general nature as proposed by the Board

I have the honorable
Sir
Your most obedt. Servant

Accountant General

Comptroller

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. of Colr. of Kurnool d: 29 Dec. 77 No 1111
Bd. Procs. 13th Dec 77 No: 5225
Acct Genl. 16th Jany 78: 1303

From

Dated 187 .

FOR ORDERS.

~~As desired ... [illegible] ... strictly as agreed [illegible]~~

As desired Mr. ... [illegible] sons letter G.O. 4/12 (c) does not restrict

The Collector of Malabar suggests that subordinate officials doing Jamabandy work should be exempted from the rule limiting the number of days for which halting allowance can be

1878
Revenue Department
From No 209.
The Accountant General,
Madras
To
The Secretary to Govt.,
Revenue Department
Dated 16th January 1878
Received ... February 1878
No. 1303
States that in any ... case the ... Government ... allow ... 10 days ... necessary ... general ... by the Board of Revenue

No 207

drawn to the Special Appt-Coller in Madras? Says it has been customary when customary to apply the similar rule in force in this Presidency as regards extra batta to the case of establishments.

This is correct.

The cul. Govt. thinks the present orders should be replaced by the Govt. of India do etc.

The exemption in the preamble — viz that the Local Govt. in public ground may authorize batta allowed upon tours in the — as an individual case — as attempts to supersede the Govt. of India

Govt. therefore authorize Coll: to certify the ward to Collector in the ballance and relieve allowance upon tours to Assistants sought. Some doubt whether the condition of the exception 2 B. (a) and authorize the Govt. Govt. observe that

The Govt. of India cannot attend to such a limit — in the case of Officers in the Presidency otherwise than upon the occasion when the exception is made upon reference to Govt. under the orders of the Govt. of India

F.C. (Financial Dept.)
24th July 77 No 1965
& their letter in
Fo (Rev Dept)
4th Dec 77 No 3343.

Secretariat
Fin Dept
30th Dec 77

6 Establishments

1. With Govt. should this
2. Do they approve of the restriction
3. If not — will they issue a general order on the subject — stating the Acct. Genl. should have for order to pass orders in individual cases as they arise.

The Govt. of India orders & their explanations of them will be found in the papers noted in the margin.

Sec

FOR ORDERS.

Rev. 2/pt.

259/11

No. 254.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 19 February 1878.

Read the following papers.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th December 1877 No. 5225, from Collector of Kurnool, dated 28th December 1877 No. 1111, from Accountant General, dated 16th January 1878 No. 1303, Rev. Board of Revenue, dated 18th January 1878 No. 15 Regarding halting allowance to Subordinate Establishment.

The Government consider that the resolution of the Govt. of India limiting the period for which halting allowance is admissible

Rev 14/2

D/2

30th June 1877 No. 1582.

C. 28. Jany 1878 R 1st Feby 1878

admissible is applicable
to all travelling allowances
including those of the subor-
dinate Establishments, but
they see no reason to sup-
pose that it was intend-
ed to place any restriction
on the power of a local
Court to issue a general
as well as special order
of exemption. Letter from Govt dated

2. They resolve there-
fore to authorize the ad-
mission of batta to sub-
ordinate officials for halts
in excess of ten days when in those cases in which
the Collector of the District
certifies

longer 20½/17
certifies that the duration
of such halts was regulated
by the requirements of the
public service.

3. Copy of these
Proceedings will
be communicated
to the Govt. of India
for inf.

In accordance with
with the condition
specified in the

14 To the Board of Revenue Dep. Secy
15 the Accountant General
16 the Financial Dept

80
6

GG.

Kalting allowance
to subordinate Es-
tablishments.