

(1) Number of pages 16
(2) Date of repair 11-3-85
(3) Initials of the Referencer leb

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	252	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	18.2.1878	197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Archives 1-5,806-24-2-78.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

18th February 1878, No. 252.

Read the following paper :—

No. 195. From L. C. PROBYN, Esq., Accountant-General, Madras, to
C. G. MASTER, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
dated Fort St. George, 18th December 1877, No. 1,194.

I HAVE the honor respectfully to point out that it appears that the original
Order, No. 1,837, dated 22nd December 1875, authorising the additional allowance
to Mr. Hayne was not sanctioned by Government of India as it should have been,
and that this omission should now be supplied.

2. In regard to the case of Mr. Douglas, the Government of India Orders
(No. 1,095, dated 24th August 1877,) are that the allowance should only be given
"while he is employed in demarcating a boundary which is *not* also the boundary of
his forest range;" but the effect of the Madras G.O., No. 3,365, of 6th instant, is to
give him that allowance while employed in demarcating a boundary which *is* the
boundary of his forest range. I therefore beg that this order may be modified in
accordance with the instructions of Government of India above referred to.

No. 196. ORDER THEREON, 18th February 1878, No. 252.

Miscellaneous.

INASMUCH as Messrs. Hayne and
Douglas are the Deputy Forest Conser-
vators in the Tinnevely and Coimbatore
Districts, the limits of their ranges nominally march with the boundary of Travan-
core and Cochin territory; but the settlement of those boundaries is not undertaken
for any purposes of forest administration, and these Forest Officers were employed
on it in addition to their own ordinary duties, simply because they were on the spot,
and are accustomed to the kind of country and the people. Moreover, having agency
suited for such jungle exposure, they could carry out the work of ascertaining
and demarcating these boundaries at less expense to Government than would be
incurred by the only alternative arrangement available, viz., that of employing the
Survey Agency.

2. Under these circumstances, the Government consider that the limitation
proposed is hardly applicable, and the Government of India will be requested to
permit these officers to draw for the past the special allowance which they were led
to expect, and to allow the continuance of the arrangement now obtaining.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General.
" the Conservator of Forests.
" the Board of Revenue.

E.s.d. F. R. Bantleman.

Audit DEPARTMENT

No 1194

Fort Saint George.
Accountant General's Office
18 December 1877

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL

To THE Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

Have the honor respectfully to point out that it appears that the original order No 1537 dated 22 December 1875 authorizing the additional allowance to Mr. Hayne was not sanctioned by Government of India as it should have been, and that this omission should now be supplied.

In regard to the Case of Mr Douglas the Government of India Order No 95 dated 21 August 1877 ~~is~~ that the allowance should only be given while "he is employed in demarcating a boundary which is not also the boundary of his forest range" but the effect of the Madras Government Order No 3365 of 6 Inst is to give him that allowance while employed in demarcating a boundary which is the boundary of his forest range. I therefore beg that this order may be modified in accordance with the instructions of Government of India above referred to.

Have the honor to be

Your most Obedt Servant

Le Roy
Accountant General

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No.

From

Act-sent.

Dated

18th Dec

187 P.

FOR ORDERS.

* 10 22 Dec 78 No.

Rs 5 per day

In 1875 the Govt.
granted an extra
allowance to Mr.
Hagne while

employed in
demarcating the
boundary. No
objection to this
grant seems to
have been taken
but this year

10 22 Dec 78 No. 236. 37
10 31 Aug 79. - 463. 64
10 6 Dec 79. - 62. 63.

Revenue Department,
ACCT. GENL. OFFICE MADRAS.

No.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS.

To

The Secretary
to Government
Revenue Department

Dated

Recd.

Ansd.

Subject.

Request that the order of
the Government regarding
additional allowance and
to Mr. Hagne while employed
in settling the boundary line
in the South Malabar
district may be continued and
continued with Government
order known reference to.

10 22 Dec 78 No. 236. 37

10 31 Aug 79. - 463. 64
10 6 Dec 79. - 62. 63.

the Act sent
back objection -
to the grant of
an equivalent
allowance to Mr.
Douglas when
employed on similar
work elsewhere

The matter was
referred to the
Gov: of India who
sanctioned payment
of the allowance
to Mr. Douglas

"while he is
employed in demarcating
a boundary which
is not also the
boundary of his forest range"

Mr. Douglas
are to be paid in the same way
as for the limits of his range nominally
mark with the boundary
of his range for his territory
but the definition of these
boundaries is in no way
understandable to the
Govt. & must be administered
by the Govt. officials for
employed in it by and their
duties. simply because
they are accustomed to the
kind of locality of people
& have a long limited for
such jungle & are not
imposed by the Govt. in the
limitation of his range
but that copy of the Govt.
will be forwarded for
their information

It was extended in
No. 13rd Sept 77
No. 2715

No. 10 Dec 77 No.
3365

See in No.
31st Aug 77
No. 2715

Now the Act
wishes the original
order as to Mr.
Douglas to be referred
for sanction
and hopes for
its modification
their order directly
the payment to
Mr. Douglas of the
allowance even
though the
boundary he is
demarcating is
also the boundary
of his range.

The Govt deter-
mined (vide notes
attached to G.O. of Dec
1877 No 3365) not
to make a reference

to the S. of 2. Sub-
mitted that a ref-
erence can hardly
be avoided

FOR ORDERS.

Alfred James Douglas
Hayne & Douglas
White on Tannam
boundary work

Have almost
all the reference is
due. 11/11/11

I think the
writing is only
accountable to the
same note as the
Hayne & Douglas
the report is
the boundary work
of the Tannam

to the S. of 2. Sub-
mitted that a ref-
erence can hardly
be avoided

Revenue Department
Proceedings of the Madras Government.
Under date the January 1878

Read the following
letter from the Accountant
General dated 18th December
1877 to 11/94 regarding
allowances to Messrs Hayne
and Douglas while on
Tannam boundary work.

Inasmuch as Messrs
Hayne and Douglas are
the Deputy Forest Conser-
vators in the Tennevally
and Coimbatore Districts
the limits of their ranges
nominally march with
the boundary of Tannam
cor.

11/11/11
1871

Travancore and Cochin territory
but the definition of those
boundaries is in no way
undertaken with reference
to Forest administration
and that these Forest
Officers are employed on
it beyond their own duties
simply because they are
accustomed to the kind
of locality and people
and have agency suited
for such jungle exposure.

2. Under these circum-
stances the Government
consider that the limita-
tion imposed by the
Government of India ex-
-actly is not applicable
but copy of these Proceed-
ings as well as of those
relative

I confess I cannot
agree in the
reasoning - I hold
that these officers are
charged with a great
range of duties and
themselves agree
with my point in the
reasoning concerning duty
& must ascertain
the boundaries -

I would not object to
give in a reference
asking that as they
were led to expect it
& arranged accordingly
they may have it for

I confess that I think the
reasoning sound - These
inquiries are rather po-
litical than part of
Forest administration
& are tedious & irk-
some - is an exceptional
duty - However if those
State hold that they may
fairly be considered
a part of a Forest Officer's
ordinary admini-
strative duty I shall
not object to a change
of form of submission
to the F. D. No. 1 I
believe that it will

relating to Mr. Haynie
will be forwarded for
their information. 232/6

My view of the case is
that for Forest purposes
these Boundary matters
are interesting &
at any rate do not
come within a Forest
Officer's sphere of
duty. They involve
time & trouble travelling
more frequently
absence from actual
F. D. work - much more
expense. than actual
F. D. work & exceptional
require? I think
be exceptionally
provided for by
Govt. a law or in

Wesley the Clerk
26/1 1871

Almondest Road
Hayne & Douglas
while on Travancore
and Boundary
work -

deput to District Officer
simply because they are
English & comparatively
acquainted with the country.
but the English are high
of the kind very much
less & living officers.
I am only trying to
compare them with
the English people.

I think if we could get some
of the best of them we could
the better they will be able
than the English people.
Sunny officers were made.
RC 30-1-76
RC 25-1-76
RC 25-1-76

25/1
Revenue Department
No 252
Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 18 February 1871

Read the following
letter from the Accountant
General dated 18th Decem-
ber 1870 No 1194 regarding
allowances to Messrs Hayne
and Douglas while on Tra-
vancore boundary work.

Inasmuch as Messrs
Hayne and Douglas are
the Deputy Forest Conserva-
tors in the Tinnevely and
Coimbatore Districts the
limits of their ranges nomi-
nally march with the bound-
ary of Travancore and
Cochin

8/2

bochim territory, but the
~~settlement~~
~~definition~~ of those boundaries

is ~~not~~ ^{any} undertaken
for purposes of
with reference to Forest ad-

ministration and these

Forest Officers ^{are} employed
on it ^{or as such} beyond their own duties

simply because they are

accustomed to the kind of
country ^{the} locality and people and moreover

have agency suited for such

jungle exposure. ^{and} ^{the} ^{only} ^{agency} ^{which} ^{is} ^{available}

2. Under these cir-

cumstances the Government

consider that the limitation

proposed is hardly applicable.

^{and} The Government of India

will be requested ~~in any~~

~~to~~ ^{to} allow these officers

to

the special allowance
which they would be expected
And allow the continu-
ance of the arrangement now
obtaining

252/8
to draw the special allow-
ance for the past ~~of~~ ^{the}
~~arrangement~~ ^{arrangement} cannot be
continued ^{if} only alterna-
tive will be ^{of} employing the
~~more expensive~~ Survey
Agency which is the only
other available ^{to} ^{be} ^{for}
more expensive -

Q. U. L.

- 6 To the Accounts General D. 26 Feb
21 The Conservator of Forests
4 The Board of Revenue

Settled

J.C.

Allowance to Miss
Hayne & Douglas
while on Transient
Boundary work

CRC