

(1) Number of pages 19
(2) Date of repair 4.3.85
(3) Initials of the Referencer low

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	<i>246</i>	Press.
B.P. No:		Mis.
Dated	<i>16.2.1878</i>	197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

286
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

16th February 1878, No. 246.

Read the following paper :—

No. 183. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th January 1878, No. 63.

Read the following letter from G. D. LEMAN, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 17th November 1877, No. 2,664 :—

I HAVE the honor to report on the petitions, Nos. 426 and 455 of 1876, submitted by Lakshmipati Sastri, Inamdar of Perur, Gudivada Taluq, complaining of certain inaccuracies in the calculation of the mesne profits of his inam, the refund of which was Rupees 3,570-5-4, sanctioned in G.O., No. 1,070, dated 16th June 1871.

2. As the origin of the inam and the circumstances under which the refund has been sanctioned have been reported at full length in the late Officiating Collector's letter, No. 981, under date 29th March 1871, recorded in the Government Order referred to above, I need not recapitulate them here.

3. The petitioner's present claim is (1) that the mesne profits of his inam for Fasli 1245, in which it was attached by Government, have not been refunded to him; (2) that although his inam is entirely wet, when the mesne profits for Faslis 1246, 1248, 1267, 1270, 1271, and 1272 were made out the calculation was based on the average of the village and included *dry* as well as wet lands, instead of wet lands only, to his loss.

4. In regard to his claim (1), the zemindari estate in which the inam is situate was attached by Government on 22nd April 1836 (Fasli 1245), and the revenue of that fasli was received by Government partly in a share of the crop, partly by rent. The Tahsildar's report shows that the village of Perur, in which the inam is situate, was rented out for Fasli 1245 by the Zemindar prior to attachment, and that the full amount of rent was collected by Government subsequently.

5. The amount claimed by the petitioner for the faslis is not included in the report referred to, apparently because there were no accounts then forthcoming. Subsequently the accounts showing the demand, collection, and balance of Perur village for Fasli 1245 were discovered in the taluq records. These accounts show the rent in a lump sum without particulars as to how much was dry and how much wet.

6. The petitioner, therefore, claims the rent collected for Fasli 1245 at the wet average rate paid for Fasli 1247. There is no doubt that the petitioner's inam has been from the beginning under wet cultivation, and has been accordingly enfranchised by the Inam Commissioner. The claim is well founded, and the choice of Fasli 1247 seems fair.

7. As regards claim (2), the refund was, it appears, calculated on the average assessment of the dry and wet lands taken together of the village, as the village dowie accounts then available showed only a lump sum for both dry and wet together without particulars.

8. The adangal and other accounts of the village for Faslis 1270, 1271, and 1272 have been latterly discovered in the taluq records. The petitioner's request is that the rent for these three faslis be calculated and paid to him at the wet rate given in the accounts discovered, and for Faslis 1246, 1248, and 1267, for which accounts are missing, at the wet average paid for the nearest faslis, in the same manner as the rent of Fasli 1255 was refunded for Fasli 1256. This claim is also a fair one.

9. The enclosed statement shows the amount to be refunded for Fasli 1245, that refunded for Faslis 1246, 1248, 1267, 1270, 1271, and 1272, and that now claimed by the petitioner. The figures for Faslis 1270, 1271, and 1272 shown in column 3 of the statement are the wet assessment of the inam actually cultivated in these faslis and realized by Government.

10. The amount already refunded for the several faslis entered in the statement is Rupees 510-14-10, the amount claimed is Rupees 1,269-2-7, difference Rs. 758-3-9, to which I think the petitioner is fairly entitled.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

STATEMENT showing the unpaid amount of Money claimed for certain Faslis by Penumarti Lakshmiipati Sastri, Inamdar of Perur, Gudivada Taluq, on his *set* Inam attached from Fasli 1245.

Fasli.	Under what authority Refund claimed.	Total Amount claimed.	Amount already refunded.	Remainder claimed.	Remarks.
1245	As per Statement for Fasli 1247.	Rs. A. P. 242 6 0	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P. 242 6 0	No refund granted under G.O. No. 1,070, June 16th, 1871. Petitioner claims the rent as the Government received it. The sum entered in column 3 or 6 is that for Fasli 1247, being the average of wet lands. This fasli is chosen on the same principle on which Fasli 1255 was taken by Govern- ment in calculating the rent for Fasli 1256.
1246	Do.	242 6 0	192 14 0	49 8 0	The rent already refunded was calculated on the average of both dry and wet lands taken together. The rent of Fasli 1247, the nearest year for which the wet average is known, is claimed.
1248	Do.	242 6 0	47 7 0	194 15 0	
1267	As per Adangal ac- counts of Fasli 1266.	168 13 0	18 2 0	151 11 0	<i>Fide</i> above remarks. The amount claimed for this fasli is that paid for Fasli 1266, which is the nearest year for which the rent is reckoned on the average of wet lands. <i>Fide</i> the Adangal account of that fasli.
1270	As per Adangal ac- counts, Nos. 4, 11, and 13 of Fasli 1266.	121 11 6	66 15 8	54 11 10	The actual collections as per Adangals since discovered are claimed. Mr. Howell in- cluded, in his calculation for these faslis, both the dry and wet of the village.
1271	As per Adangal ac- counts, Nos. 11 and 13 of Fasli 1266.	124 13 6	88 9 8	36 3 10	
1272	As per Adangal ac- counts, Nos. 4, 11, and 13 of Fasli 1266.	125 9 10	96 14 6	28 11 4	
Total ..		1,269 2 7	510 14 10	758 3 9	

(Signed) G. D. LEMAN,
Collector.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to endorsement on petitions Nos. 426
and 455 of 1876.

2. Petitioner's claim appears well founded, and the Board support the Collector's
recommendation.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Sub-Secretary.

No. 184.

ORDER THEREON, 16th February 1878, No. 246.

Land Revenue, No. 2.

THE payment to Lakshmiipati Sastri
of Rs. 758-3-9 is approved and sanc-
tioned.


2. It must be explained to him that this sum is in full of all demands.
3. The petitioner will be referred to the Collector.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue
" the Accountant-General.
" the Petition Department.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.



246
3

No. 63.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 9th January 1878.

Read the following letter from G. D. LEMAN, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 17th November 1877, No. 2,664:—

I HAVE the honor to report on the petitions, Nos. 426 and 455 of 1876, submitted by Lakshmipati Sastri, Inamdar of Perur, Gudivada Taluq, complaining of certain inaccuracies in the calculation of the mesne profits of his inam, the refund of which was Rupees 3,570-5-4, sanctioned in G.O., No. 1,070, dated 16th June 1871.

2. As the origin of the inam and the circumstances under which the refund has been sanctioned have been reported at full length in the late Officiating Collector's letter, No. 981, under date 29th March 1871, recorded in the Government Order referred to above, I need not recapitulate them here.

3. The petitioner's present claim is (1) that the mesne profits of his inam for Fasli 1245, in which it was attached by Government, have not been refunded to him; (2) that although his inam is entirely wet, when the mesne profits for Faslis 1246, 1248, 1267, 1270, 1271, and 1272 were made out the calculation was based on the average of the village and included *dry* as well as wet lands, instead of wet lands only, to his loss.

4. In regard to his claim (1), the zemindari estate in which the inam is situate was attached by Government on 22nd April 1836 (Fasli 1245), and the revenue of that fasli was received by Government partly in a share of the crop, partly by rent. The Tahsildar's report shows that the village of Perur, in which the inam is situate, was rented out for Fasli 1245 by the Zemindar prior to attachment, and that the full amount of rent was collected by Government subsequently.

5. The amount claimed by the petitioner for the faslis is not included in the report referred to, apparently because there were no accounts then forthcoming. Subsequently the accounts showing the demand, collection, and balance of Perur village for Fasli 1245 were discovered in the taluq records. These accounts show the rent in a lump sum without particulars as to how much was dry and how much wet.

6. The petitioner, therefore, claims the rent collected for Fasli 1245 at the wet average rate paid for Fasli 1247. There is no doubt that the petitioner's inam has been from the beginning under wet cultivation, and has been accordingly enfranchised by the Inam Commissioner. The claim is well founded, and the choice of Fasli 1247 seems fair.

7. As regards claim (2), the refund was, it appears, calculated on the average assessment of the dry and wet lands taken together of the village, as the village dowie accounts then available showed only a lump sum for both dry and wet together without particulars.

8. The adangal and other accounts of the village for Faslis 1270, 1271, and 1272 have been latterly discovered in the taluq records. The petitioner's request is that the rent for these three faslis be calculated and paid to him at the wet rate given in the accounts discovered, and for Faslis 1246, 1248, and 1267, for which accounts are missing, at the wet average paid for the nearest faslis, in the same manner as the rent of Fasli 1255 was refunded for Fasli 1256. This claim is also a fair one.

9. The enclosed statement shows the amount to be refunded for Fasli 1245, that refunded for Faslis 1246, 1248, 1267, 1270, 1271, and 1272, and that now claimed by the petitioner. The figures for Faslis 1270, 1271, and 1272 shown in column 3 of the statement are the wet assessment of the inam actually cultivated in these faslis and realized by Government.

10. The amount already refunded for the several faslis entered in the statement is Rupees 510-14-10, the amount claimed is Rupees 1,269-2-7, difference Rs. 758-3-9, to which I think the petitioner is fairly entitled.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

STATEMENT showing the unpaid amount of Money claimed for certain Faslis by Penumarti Lakshmi Sastri, Inamdar of Perur, Gudivada Taluq, on his *wet* Inam attached from Fasli 1245.

Fasli.	Under what authority Refund claimed.	Total Amount claimed.	Amount already refunded.	Remainder claimed.	Remarks.
1245	As per Statement for Fasli 1247.	Rs. A. P. 242 6 0	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P. 242 6 0	No refund granted under G.O., No. 1,070, June 16th, 1871. Petitioner claims the rent as the Government received it. The sum entered in column 3 or 5 is that for Fasli 1247, being the average of wet lands. This fasli is chosen on the same principle on which Fasli 1255 was taken by Govern- ment in calculating the rent for Fasli 1255.
1246	Do.	242 6 0	122 14 0	49 8 0	The rent already refunded was calculated on the average of both dry and wet lands taken together. The rent of Fasli 1247, the nearest year for which the wet average is known, is claimed.
1248	Do.	242 6 0	47 7 0	194 15 0	
1267	As per Adangal ac- counts of Fasli 1266.	169 13 9	18 2 0	161 11 9	<i>Vide</i> above remark. The amount claimed for this fasli is that paid for Fasli 1266, which is the nearest year for which the rent is reckoned on the average of wet lands. <i>Vide</i> the Adangal account of that fasli.
1270	As per Adangal ac- counts, Nos. 4, 11, and 13 of Fasli 1266.	121 11 6	66 15 8	54 11 10	The actual collections as per Adangals since discovered are claimed. Mr. Boswell in- cluded, in his calculation for these faslis, both the dry and wet of the village.
1271	As per Adangal ac- counts, Nos. 11 and 13 of Fasli 1266.	124 13 6	88 9 8	36 3 10	
1272	As per Adangal ac- counts, Nos. 4, 11, and 13 of Fasli 1266.	125 9 10	96 14 6	28 11 4	
Total..		1,269 2 7	510 14 10	758 3 9	

(Signed) G. D. LEMAN,
Collector.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to endorsement on petitions Nos. 426 and 455 of 1876.

2. Petitioner's claim appears well founded, and the Board support the Collector's recommendation.

(True Copies and Extract.)

[Signature]
Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with two petitions.

Copy to the Collector of the Kistna District.

Exd. A. F. Goodall.

248
49

FOR ORDERS.

164. I enclose the
proposed request
"in full for Demand."

Robertson

1878.
Board of Examiners,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 9TH JANUARY 1878, No. 63.

Box 289 Quincy, Ill.

Do 246-16 Stobrunn d. L. S.

Received
15 February

JANUARY.
No. 63.

Periplus—Nos. 426 and 446 of 1876, presented by Lakshminath Sastri, Inamdar of Perur, Gudurva Taluk, Kistna District, complaining of certain inaccuracies in the calculation of the massé profits of his man, the refund of which was sanctioned in June 1871.—Submitting to Government Collector's report on —

84-2-7111b

246

[illegible]

Petterson (Petterson) Dept.

FOR ORDERS.

Rev ^a/₆₈₈ W

H.R. T.H. G.
C6-2-78-9-2-78-11-2-78-
128-11-12-