(1) Number of pages 16

(2) Date of repair 14-11-1984

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 232
~~B.P. No.~~ Press:
~~Mis.~~

Dated 14-2-1878 ~~197~~ .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Archives I—5,806—24-2-78.

232

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

14th February 1878, No. 232.

Read the following paper :—

No. 156. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 12th February 1878, No. 372.

Read the following letter from W. E. GANAPATHY PILLAI, Deputy Collector, Salt Department, to J. B. PENNINGTON, Esq., Collector of Tinnevelly, dated Tuticorin, 1st February 1878, No. 32 :—

I BEG to report upon the petitions preferred by the salt ryots of Tuticorin and Kayalpatam and referred to me for report.

2. The ryots pray for advances in order to enable them to bring the salt-beds under cultivation this year, and base their request upon the severe distress they have had to experience by the recent unusual heavy rain and flood.

3. They say—

(1). The salt-forming ingredients of clay in the pans have been deteriorated by fresh water standing upon them for several months together, and it is at great cost that they have to restore the beds to their normal condition.

(2). The floods having passed through most of the salt-beds, have either silted them up with river sand or cut them deep by washing away the surface soil. Now it is required to remove the silts, to fill up pits, and to import new clay where necessary, as well as to form ridges, paths, sluices, &c., for laying out beds newly.

(3). Most of the irrigation wells have been either filled up by sand or rendered unfit for baling and should be repaired.

(4). Enormous quantities of salt scraped by ryots were washed away from the drying grounds by frequent long-continued falls of rains.

(5). They will have to be working for a long time before they can bring the salt-beds to bearing this year, as the wells cannot supply them with good salt water as early as usual.

4. I beg to say these circumstances are all true and deserve to be favorably considered.

5. The ryots of Kayalpatam have applied for an advance of Rupees 4-8-0 a bed, while those in Tuticorin have asked only for Rupees 3. Though the damage done to the salt-beds in the former division has been heavier than that caused to the latter, in submitting measures for making advances, I wish to propose one general rate of Rupees 3 a bed for all the divisions deserving of relief.

6. The ryots of Kuttanguli and Virraiapathy have not come forward with a similar application for advances ; but I beg to state that they are the poorest of all the salt ryots and have sustained losses as heavy as in other divisions.

7. Though the salt-beds and wells at Karapad were not subjected to any heavy floods, they have also suffered from excessive rains.

8. The salt stations of Vypar, Arasadi, and Sevandakulam having no dittam given them this year for the reasons adduced in my letter, No. 18, dated 13th January 1878, the ryots of these divisions need no advances.

9. Under all the circumstances above enumerated, and agreeably to the Board's Standing Circular No. 124, I respectfully beg to recommend that, as an exceptionally special case, the salt ryots' prayer should be granted, and request you will be good enough to submit this proposal for sanction should it find favor with you.

10. The subjoined statement shows the particulars of the amount required for advance:—

Divisions.	Number of Beds.	Amount required.
		Rs.
Levingepuram	4,000	12,000
Kayalpatam	3,528	10,584
Tuticorin	2,400	7,200
Kuttanguli	562	1,686
Vizaiapathy	340	1,020
Total ...	10,830	32,490

11. This amount of Rupees 32,490 can be, I beg to point out, easily recovered by deducting it proportionately from each month's kudivaram that may become due to the ryots for salt they will deliver. For further security I beg to suggest that the receivers of advances should be made to pledge their interests upon salt-beds and to execute bonds. This measure will moreover form a criterion of the ryots' necessity for the indulgence, as I cannot say all of them are equally needy and all deserve relief equally well.

12. The petitions (two in number) under report are herewith returned.

No. 92.

SUBMITTED for the favorable consideration of the Board.

2. The advance seems unavoidable, and there is probably no fear, if any, of the money being irrecoverable.

4th February 1878. (Signed) J. B. PENNINGTON,
Collector.

SUBMITTED for the favorable consideration of Government.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

No. 157.

ORDER THEREON, 14th February 1878, No. 232.

Separate Revenue, No. 22.

Salt.

THE Governor in Council sanctions the advance recommended by the Collector and Board of Revenue.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" the Accountant-General.

Exd. W. H. French.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 652

From Revd. Board of Revenue

Dated 12 February 187 8.

FOR ORDERS.

Sanctd. Mad. Govt.
Dated
14/2/78

Govt. of [1878] Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated February 14th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 14th February 1878, No. 232.

FEBRUARY.
Nos. 156-157.

Advance to Salt Ryots in Tinnevelly District.—Sanctioning the grant of — as recommended by the Collector and Board of Revenue.

FOR ORDERS.

~~MISCELLANEOUS~~ No. 372. REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

232/5

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 12th February 1878.

Read the following

No. 32.

FROM W. B. Ganapathy Pillai
Deputy Collector
Salt Department.

TO J. B. Pennington Esquire
Collector of Tinnevelly

SIR,

I beg to report upon the petitions preferred by the Salt ryots of Tuticorin and Kayalpatam and referred to me for report.

2. The ryots pray for advances in order to enable them to bring the salt beds under cultivation this year, and base their request upon the severe distress they have had to experience by the recent unusual heavy rain and flood.

3. (1) They say the salt forming ingredients of clay in the pans have been

been deteriorated by fresh water — standing upon them for several months together and it is at great cost that they have to restore the beds to their normal condition.

(2) — The floods having passed through most of the salt beds, have either silted them up with river sand or cut them deep by washing away the surface soil. Now it is required to remove the silts to fill up pits and to import new clay where necessary as well as to form ridges, paths, sluices &c., for laying out beds newly.

(3) — Most of the irrigation wells have been either filled up by sand or rendered unfit for baling and should be repaired.

(4) — Enormous quantities of salt scraped by ryots were washed away from the drying grounds by frequent long

long continued falls of rain.

(5) — They will have to be working for a long time before they can bring the salt beds to bearing this year as the wells cannot supply them with good salt water as early as usual.

4. I beg to say these circumstances are all true and deserve to be favorably considered.

5. The ryots of Kayalpatam have applied for an advance of Rs. 4 a bed while those in Tuticorin have asked only for Rs. 3. Though the damage done to the salt beds in the former division, has been heavier than that caused to the latter, in submitting measures for making advances, I wish to propose one general rate of Rs. 3 a bed for all the divisions deserving of relief.

The

6. The ryots of Kullangute and Vizaiapatty have not come forward with a similar application for advances, but I beg to state that they are the poorest of all the salt ryots and have sustained losses as heavy as in other divisions.

7. Though the salt beds and wells at Karapad were not subjected to any heavy floods, they have also suffered from excessive rains.

8. The Salt stations of Vaipar Arasadi and Levandakulam having no Dittam given them this year for the reasons advanced in my letter No 18 dated 13th January 1878, the ryots of these divisions need no advances.

9. Under all the circumstances above enumerated and agreeably to the Board's Standing Circular No 124

77/1

I respectfully beg to recommend that as an exceptionally special case, the said ryots' prayer should be granted and request you will be good enough to submit this proposal for sanction should it find favour with you.

10. The subjoined Statement shows the particulars of the amount required for advance.

Divisions.	Number of beds.	Amount required	Remarks.
Levingepuram	4,000	12,000	
Kayalpatam	3528	10,584	
Tuticorin	2400	7,200	
Kullangute	562	1686	
Vizapatty	340	1020	
	10,830	32,490	

11 This amount of Rupees 32490 can be, I beg to point out, easily recovered by deducting it proportionately from each months' Kudivaram that may

may become due to the ryots for salt they will deliver. For further security I beg to suggest that the receivers of advances should be made to pledge their interests upon salt beds and to execute bonds. This measure will moreover form a criterion of the ryots' necessity for the indulgence as I cannot say all of them are equally needy and all deserve relief equally well

12. The petitions (2 in number) under report are herewith returned.

I have &c.
Signed W. L. Ganapathy
Dy Collector.

Tinnevelly
Salt Dy Collr's office
Tuticorin 1st February 1878

No 92

Submitted for the favourable consideration of the Board.

2. The advance seems unavoidable and there is probably no fear of any

of the money being irrecoverable.

2/2/78

Signed J. B. Pennington
Collector.

4-2-78.

Submitted for the favourable consideration of Government.

True Copy & Extract

Offg Secretary.

To
The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Revenue 1878 Dept
No. 652

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD
OF REVENUE.

Written

No 232. 14th February
1878

Dated 12th } February 1878
Despatched 19th
Recd. 13th 81

SUBJECT.

Submitting for the favorable consideration of Govt. letter from Collr. of Tinnevelly, forwarding Salt Dy Collr's letter reporting upon the petition preferred by the Salt ryots praying for advances for manufacture of Salt.

For order :
[illegible]

No 232

The G. in C. sanctions the advances recommended by the Collector & B. of Revenue

[illegible]

14th February 1878

[illegible]

C. 13. Feb. /78 R. 14/2/78

No. 158 -