

(1) Number of pages 16
(2) Date of repair 7-12-1984
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	220	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	13-2-1878	197:

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

13th February 1878, No. 220.

Read the following paper :—

No. 133. From C. J. LYALL, Esq., Under-Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Revenue, Agriculture, and Commerce, (Salt,) to C. G. MASTER, Esq., Secretary to the Government of Madras, Revenue Department, dated Calcutta, 4th February 1878, No. 33.

With reference to your telegram, dated the 31st December last, reporting that no telegram had been received on the subject of the passing of the Salt Act XVIII of 1877, I am directed to forward copy of a letter* from the Officiating Superintendent of Telegraphs in charge of the Check Office, Calcutta, stating that the message was duly delivered. I am to inquire whether there was any delay in putting the Act into force, as if so, an opening was apparently given for speculative purchases directly it became known that the Act had passed until it was brought into operation.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From J. C. DOUGLAS, Esq., Officiating Superintendent of Telegraphs in charge, Check Office, to C. J. LYALL, Esq., Under-Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Revenue, Agriculture, and Commerce, dated Calcutta, 22nd January 1878, No. C-4,264.

In reply to your letter, No. 2 (Salt), dated the 2nd instant, I have the honor to inform you that the Superintendent of Telegraphs, Madras Division, was directed to inquire personally into the matter of your complaint. The result of that inquiry is contained in the enclosed letter, No. 4,199, dated Madras, the 16th instant, from which it will be seen that the message was duly delivered.

From R. F. DALLAS, Esq., Superintendent of Telegraphs, Madras Division, to J. C. DOUGLAS, Esq., Officiating Superintendent of Telegraphs in charge Check Office, dated Madras, 10th January 1878, No. 4,199.

In returning enclosure to your No. C-3,999 of 8th instant, I have the honor to inform you that I saw the Secretary to Government, Madras, Revenue Department, from whom the telegram was intended, and he states it was duly received.

2. It appears that through a misapprehension a telegram was sent to Calcutta by the Chief Secretary's Office reporting its non-receipt in reply to an inquiry from the Government of India, Department of Agriculture and Commerce.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

220
2

REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
FORT ST. GEORGE,
13th February 1878.

No. 134.

No. 220.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
AGRICULTURE, AND COMMERCE.

Separate Revenue, No. 16.

Salt.

SIR,—In answer to Mr. Lyall's letter, dated the 14th instant, No. 33, (Salt), I am directed to inform you that the telegraphic message of the 27th December last therein referred to was

duly delivered; but your confidential communication of the 22nd idem, No. 15, having been opened in another department of the Government Office, the telegram of the 31st idem was, through a misapprehension, despatched to you.

2 I am to add that there was no delay whatever in putting the "Salt Act 1877" into force on the prescribed date.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Agriculture (1878) Department.

Government of Fort St. George.

Dated 13th Feb. 1878.

Received.

D. No.

L. No.

Progs.

No. 134.

Forwarding information relative to certain telegraphic messages relating to the Salt Act of 1877, and stating that there was no delay whatever in putting the Act into force on the prescribed date.

220
Government Telegraph Department.

Check Office

N^o. C. 14264

Dated Calcutta, the 22nd of Jan'y 1878

To

C. J. Lyall, Esq.

Under Secretary to the Govt of India.

Dept. of Revenue, Agri. & Commerce.

Sir,

In reply to your letter N^o. 2 (Salt) dated the 2nd instant, I have the honour to inform you that the Superintendent of Telegraphs, Madras Division, was directed to enquire personally into the matter of your complaint. The result of that enquiry is contained in the enclosed letter N^o. 1199 dated Madras the 16th instant, from which it will be seen that the message was duly delivered.

I have &c.

J. C. Douglas
Offg. Supd. of Telegraphs in Charge
Check Office.

N^o 4199

230
4

To

The Superintendent

Check Office

Dated Madras the 16th of Jan'y: 1878

Sir,

In returning enclosure to your N^o C 3999 of 8th instant, I have the honour to inform you that I saw the Secretary to Government Madras, Revenue Department, for whom the Telegram was intended and he states it was duly received.

2. It appears that through a misapprehension a telegram was sent to Calcutta by the Chief Secretary's Office reporting its non receipt in reply to an enquiry from the Government of India, Department of Agriculture and Commerce.

(Enc copies)

Shave & Co.
By R. S. Dallas
Supdt. of Telegraphs
Madras Division

A. H. Wilson
Registrar.

Dept. of Rev. Agr. & Commerce

W

No. 33.

220/6

FROM

C. J. LYALL, ESQUIRE,

Under-Secretary to the Government of India,
Department of Revenue, Agriculture
and Commerce,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras
Revenue Department

Calcutta dated 4th February 1878.

Salt

SIR

With reference to your telegram dated the 31st December last reporting that no telegram had been received on the subject of the passing of the Salt Act, XVIII of 1877. I am directed to forward

* N.C. 4264 dated the 22nd January 1878. and enclosure

copy of a letter from the Officiating Superintendent of telegraphs in charge of the check office, Calcutta, stating that the message was duly delivered.

None of the above is to be taken as an explanation of the delay in putting the Act into force, as if an opening was apparently given

I am to enquire whether there was any delay in putting the Act into force, as if an opening was apparently given

given for speculative purchases directly
it became known that the Act had
passed until it was brought into opera-
-tion. —

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Chas. M. Galt
Under Secretary to the Govt of India.

809.16
 Jan
 1000/1000 19 Feb

To ^{R.D.} ²²⁰
 No 220
 The Sec to the Govt of India
 Dept of Rev. Agr. & Commerce

Sir

In answer to Mr.
 Lyall's letter dated the 14
 instant No 33 (Tall). I am
 directed to inform you
 that the Telegraphic
 message of the 2). ^{the}
 December last. therein
 referred to was duly
 delivered but your confi:
 dential communication
 of the 22 idem No 15
 having been opened by
 in another Department
 the Chief Secretary
 to

Revenue 1878 Sep 14
 No 549
 From
 Govt of India
 Secy to Govt
 Sd/- 33
 Forwarding copy of
 letter from the Superintendent
 of Telegraphs in charge
 of Cable Office, Calcutta,
 stating that the telegram
 on the subject of passing
 of the Tall. No. 100 only
 delivered & requiring
 whether there was any
 delay in putting the
 same into force

Office
to Government, the
telegram of the 31st
idem was, through a
misapprehension, dis-
patched to you.

2. I am to add
that there was no delay
whatever in putting
the 'Salt Act' (1871) into
force on the prescribed
date.

C. C. L.

13-2-78

2208

To Gov^t of India

Relating to Salt
Act 1877

Done