(1) Number of pages 7 Sheets

(2) Date of repair 13.2.85.

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No.	1901	Press. / Mis.
Dated	22.11.1878	197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Archives I—5,806—24-2-78.

1901
1.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

22nd November 1878, No. 1,901.

Read the following paper :—

No. 320. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 4th November 1878, No. 2,947.

Read the following letter from W. LOGAN, Esq., Collector of Malabar, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Palghat, 12th October 1878, No. 283 :—

I HAVE the honor to enclose copy of a letter from the Deputy Collector in charge of Escheats in this district from which it will be seen that the family of one Mallissari Mussad in Trikandyur Amshom of Ponani Taluk has become extinct.

2. It appears from local inquiry that the then members of the family fled to Travancore on the invasion of Malabar by Tippoo Sultan, and that none of them ever came back and claimed the property. The right of Government to the estate in question was asserted in 1859 when a couple of pieces of land belonging thereto were taken up for Railway purposes and the amount of compensation was credited to Government, but it does not appear that the estate has been formally declared an escheat.

3. The lands are all in the possession and enjoyment of tenants, most of whom being convinced of the right of Government have consented to purchase the same from us. A few are said to be more or less averse to recognize Government as jenmi, but their pretensions have no foundation.

4. The net value of the estate may be estimated at about 4,000 Rupees as shown in the margin. The present valuation is however approximate and liable to fluctuation at the time of settlement.

5. I beg to recommend that the property in question be declared an escheat under Regulation VII of 1817.

—	Extent.	Valuation.	Deduction for improvement &c.	Balance.
	ACRES.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Wet land ...	18	1,850	...	1,850
Dry do. ..	11	160	20	140
Garden do. ..	37	4,800	2,520	2,280
Total ...	66	6,810	2,540	4,270

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From O. CANNAN, Esq., Deputy Collector, to the Acting Collector of Malabar, dated Calicut, 25th October 1875, No. 6.

I HAVE the honor to inform you that in the course of the preparation of the register of escheat lands in Trikandiyur Amshom of Ponani Taluk it appeared that a certain Brahmin family denominated Mallisarri Mussad has become extinct.

2. Local inquiries and inspections were duly instituted in the matter and statements (three in number) of valuation of the property appertaining to this family situated both in Trikandyur and Ozhur Amshoms have been prepared which are herein enclosed for your perusal.

3. The family in question seems to have left Malabar for Travancore some 86 years ago, *i.e.*, about the Malabar era 964 (1788-89), and no member of that family appears to have come back and enjoyed any portion of that property; and it is observed that the whole family have ceased to be in existence. Assuming the Government right in this estate, the jenm value of two pieces of lands belonging to it and taken for the Railway seems to have been credited to Government in 1859, but there is no record to show that the estate in question has been legally declared as escheat.

4. All the lands under notice are in the possession and enjoyment of their holders, thirty-nine in number, of whom thirty-six persons being convinced of the right of Government to the estate have submitted to enter into jenm settlement with Gove[illegible], whilst the remaining three are more or less averse to recognize the Government claims, though, however, their pretensions are but slight and insignificant.

5. One Kodiri Ramen Menon (deceased) was the chief of the contending parties, and his allegation was that when he had proceeded to Guruvayur for the purpose of worship in 1021 (1845-46), he met with a female member of the family in question, whose name was unknown to him, of whom he purchased the jenm title of the five pieces of lands, the title-deeds whereof were executed on unstamped cadjans, but that he did not recollect the amounts thereof. In contradiction to this statement, his nephew, named Kelu Menon, on a subsequent occasion, after the death of the said Raman Menon, produced three documents on stamped cadjans, whose antiquity he has admitted in his deposition has reduced them to such a condition as to be utterly unintelligible and rotten to pieces. He is in possession of seventeen numbers of lands, of which, however, he has relinquished his pretensions in favor of seven and contends only for the remainder. The remaining two individuals are named Urothel Ayidroskutti and Nelluli Narayanan Menon respectively. The former has in his possession three lands, of which he contends only in reference to one, whilst the latter disputes the right of Government to all five parcels in his holding, alleging that he had purchased the jenm right thereof, although, however, he is unable to prove it by documentary evidence.

——	Extent.	Valuation.	Deduction for improvements, &c.	Balance.
	ADAES.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Wet land ...	18	1,850	...	1,850
Dry do. ...	11	160	20	140
Garden do. ...	37	4,800	2,520	2,280
Total ...	66	6,810	2,540	4,270

6. The Government may fairly expect the net value of about 4,000 and odd Rupees from the estate in question as noted in the margin. The present valuation is however approximate, and is of course liable to fluctuation at the time of settlement.

7. Under the above circumstances, I beg to recommend that measures be adopted to deal with the case of the estate under notice as an escheat under the provisions of Act VII of 1817.

The records* connected with the case are herewith submitted.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) V. A. BRODIE,
for Collector.

* The report with enclosures from the Ponani Tahsildar, dated 6th October 1875, No. 122.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

No. 321. ORDER THEREON, 22nd November 1878, No. 1,901.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government resolve to declare the property in question an escheat, as recommended by the Collector of Malabar, and grant authority for its being dealt with under the Escheat Settlement.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) L. A. CAMPBELL,
Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. W. H. French.

1501/2

Govt. of {1878} Madras
REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated November 22nd.
Printed 26th.
Read.

G.O. [illegible] No. [illegible]

NOVEMBER.
Nos. 320-321.

Estate of the Family of one Mallisseri Mussad in Trikandiyur Amshom of Ponani Taluk.

Resolving to declare the — an escheat, as recommended by the Collector of Malabar, and granting him authority for its being dealt with under the Escheat Settlements.

1901/3

No. 2,947.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 4th November 1878.

Read the following letter from W. LOGAN, Esq., Collector of Malabar, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Palghat, 12th October 1878, No. 283 :—

I HAVE the honor to enclose copy of a letter from the Deputy Collector in charge of Escheats in this district from which it will be seen that the family of one Mallissari Mussad in Trikandyur Amshom of Ponani Taluk has become extinct.

2. It appears from local inquiry that the then members of the family fled to Travancore on the invasion of Malabar by Tippoo Sultan, and that none of them ever came back and claimed the property. The right of Government to the estate in question was asserted in 1859 when a couple of pieces of land belonging thereto were taken up for Railway purposes and the amount of compensation was credited to Government, but it does not appear that the estate has been formally declared an escheat.

3. The lands are all in the possession and enjoyment of tenants, most of whom being convinced of the right of Government have consented to purchase the same from us. A few are said to be more or less averse to recognize Government as jenmi, but their pretensions have no foundation.

4. The net value of the estate may be estimated at about 4,000 Rupees as shown in the margin. The present valuation is however approximate and liable to fluctuation at the time of settlement.

5. I beg to recommend that the property in question be declared an escheat under Regulation VII of 1817.

	Extent.	Valuation.	Deduction for improvement &c.	Balance.
	ACRES.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Wet land ...	18	1,850	...	1,850
Dry do. ..	11	160	20	140
Garden do. ..	37	4,800	2,520	2,280
Total ...	66	6,810	2,540	4,270

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From O. CANNAN, Esq., Deputy Collector, to the Acting Collector of Malabar, dated Calicut, 25th October 1875, No. 6.

I HAVE the honor to inform you that in the course of the preparation of the register of escheat lands in Trikandiyur Amshom of Ponani Taluk it appeared that a certain Brahmin family denominated Mallisarri Mussad has become extinct.

2. Local inquiries and inspections were duly instituted in the matter and statements (three in number) of valuation of the property appertaining to this family situated both in Trikandyur and Ozhur Amshoms have been prepared which are herein enclosed for your perusal.

3. The family in question seems to have left Malabar for Travancore some 86 years ago, *i.e.*, about the Malabar era 964 (1788-89), and no member of that family appears to have come back and enjoyed any portion of that property; and it is observed that the whole family have ceased to be in existence. Assuming the Government right in this estate, the jenm value of two pieces of lands belonging to it and taken for the Railway seems to have been credited to Government in 1859, but there is no record to show that the estate in question has been legally declared as escheat.

4. All the lands under notice are in the possession and enjoyment of their holders, thirty-nine in number, of whom thirty-six persons being convinced of the right of Government to the estate have submitted to enter into jenm settlement with Government, whilst the remaining three are more or less averse to recognize the Government claims, though, however, their pretensions are but slight and insignificant.

5. One Kodiri Ramen Menon (deceased) was the chief of the contending parties, and his allegation was that when he had proceeded to Guruvayur for the purpose of worship in 1021 (1845-46), he met with a female member of the family in question, whose name was unknown to him, of whom he purchased the jenm title of the five pieces of lands, the title-deeds whereof were executed on unstamped cadjans, but that he did not recollect the amounts thereof. In contradiction to this statement, his nephew, named Kelu Menon, on a subsequent occasion, after the death of the said Raman Menon, produced three documents on stamped cadjans, whose antiquity he has admitted in his deposition has reduced them to such a condition as to be utterly unintelligible and rotten to pieces. He is in possession of seventeen numbers of lands, of which, however, he has relinquished his pretensions in favor of seven and contends only for the remainder. The remaining two individuals are named Urothel Ayidroskutti and Nelluli Narayanan Menon respectively. The former has in his possession three lands, of which he contends only in reference to one, whilst the latter disputes the right of Government to all five parcels in his holding, alleging that he had purchased the jenm right thereof, although, however, he is unable to prove it by documentary evidence.

—	Extent.	Valuation.	Deduction for improvements, &c.	Balance.
	ACRES.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Wet land ...	18	1,850	...	1,850
Dry do. ...	11	160	20	140
Garden do. ...	37	4,800	2,520	2,280
Total ...	66	6,810	2,540	4,270

6. The Government may fairly expect the net value of about 4,000 and odd Rupees from the estate in question as noted in the margin. The present valuation is however approximate, and is of course liable to fluctuation at the time of settlement.

7. Under the above circumstances, I beg to recommend that measures be adopted to deal with the case of the estate under notice as an escheat under the provisions of Act VII of 1817.

The records* connected with the case are herewith submitted.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) V. A. BRODIE,
for Collector.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Collector of Malabar.
Exd. J. W. Lewis.

* The report with enclosures from the Ponani Tahsildar, dated 6th October 1875, No. 123.

Received 10/9 "November

Dated November 4th. 1878

Printed 13th.

PROCEEDINGS, 4TH NOV. 1878, No. 2,947.

NOVEMBER.
No. 2,947.

Estate—of the family of one Mallisseri Musad in Trikandyur Amshom of Ponani Taluk, Malabar District.—Submitting to Government letter from Collector recommending that the — be declared an escheat under Regulation VII of 1817.

Ponnani Reference No 5097/1878.

BOARD OF REVENUE,
MADRAS.

To

THE SECRETARY to GOVT.,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Dated 4th November 1878.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 5097. 1905/4

From Board of Revenue

Dated 4th November 1878.

FOR ORDERS.

Should be declared an escheat & dealt with under the Escheat Letters. 28/11/1911

Revenue Dept.

1901
1901/6

No 1901

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 20th November 1901

Read Pros: of the Board of Revenue, dated 4th November 1878 No. 2947, submitting letter from Collector of Malabar recommending that the Estate of the family of one Mallissari Mussad in Kandyur Amshom of Ponani Taluk, be declared an escheat.

The Govt. declare the property in question an escheat, as recommended by the Collector of Malabar, and grant authority for its being dealt with under the Escheat Settlement.

G.O.

14 Bds Proceedings Dec 2 — 27 Nov 01

No 5097

FOR ORDERS.

Disputing Estate of the family of one Mallissari Mussad in Kandyur Amshom of Ponani Taluk, Malabar Dt.

C. 19. Nov 58. R 20/11/58

94

Estate of [illegible]
Mallikarjuna Mudaliar
in Trikandapuram [illegible]
Manic Talukh Mala-
bar Dist declared
an escheat