(1) Number of pages 6 Sheets.

(2) Date of repair 28.11.84

(3) Initials of the Referencer J. S.

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No: 1827	Press. / Mis.
Dated 15.11.1878	197 :

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Archives I—5,806—24-2-78.

6. Athiveeralakshmi's share is claimed by her daughter, another Thungam, on the ground that the pension descends in the female line. This however is objected to by Sappaiya Servai who claims it for himself.

7. Though stated in general terms to have been given for the support of the family, the view of the Board acted on from 1850 was as above shown that the pension was continuable during the lives of the persons in whose names it stood. This being so, and no reason being shown for challenging the view then taken, the share of Athiveeralakshmi should, in the opinion of the Board, be considered to have lapsed to the State and the claims put forward should be rejected.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. STURROCK,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

No. 161. ORDER THEREON, 15th November 1878, No. 1,827.

Miscellaneous.

THE Collector's tabular statement submitted with the foregoing Proceedings fails to give in Column 7 any information as to the important points specified in its heading of "circumstances" of the family and "means of subsistence possessed by them." This omission must be supplied to enable Government to pass orders on the case.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) L. A. CAMPBELL,
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. W. H. French.

Read the following paper :—

No. 160. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 24th August 1878, No. 2,377.

Read the following paper from H. J. STOKES, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Shembaganur, 3rd July 1878 :—

TABULAR Report on a Lapsed Pension in the District of Madura.

Case number.	Taluq and Village and value of the entire Inam.	When and by whom granted, names of the original grantees, sanads or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant, charity or service; if service, nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the last holder, date of his decease, and the relationship in which he stood to the original grantee.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by other members.	Family left by the deceased, name and age of the parties, their circumstances, and the means of subsistence possessed by them.	Recommendation of the Collector and the grounds on which it is made.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	Velangudi Village, Tiruchuliai Division, Ramnad Zemindari, Madura District. Ready-money allowance Rupees 1,260 per ... or R. 105 per mensem.	The allowance was granted by the British Government to Meenatchi Aathal, widow of late Peria Murdeo Servai, Karbar of Shivaganga Laide G.O. dated 7th June 1800, and Board's Proceedings, dated 6th January 1851).	Charity, i.e., for the maintenance of the family.	Athiveeralakshmi, one of the last incumbents, died on the 20th December 1877. She is the daughter of the original grantee Meenatchi Aathal.	Jointly enjoyed by the deceased and the surviving member Thungam.	AGE. Thungammal, daughter .. .. 45 Vijiasami Servai, husband of the above Thungammal .. .. 55 Muniandy, foster-son of do. .. .. 10 Rackammal, foster-daughter of do. .. .. .. 16 Athiveeralakshmi, grand-daughter (son's daughter) 35 [illegible] .. .. 25 Caruppiah, grand-son .. .. 22 Thannamal, daughter-in-law (son's widow) .. .. 40 Caruppayi, grand-daughter .. .. 17 Sundrapandian Servai, husband of do. .. .. .. 34 Ammaulu, widow of Marudai, a grandson .. .. 19 Angamootoo, another daughter-in-law .. .. .. 40 Sundrapandian, grand-son .. .. 20 Doraikannoo, do. .. .. 25 Sivakami, grand-daughter .. .. 19 Subbia Servai, son. Sivakunni, wife of do. .. .. 35 Puttakuttatoosami, son of do. .. .. 3 Moottoonachiar, daughter .. .. 12 Moottoomeenal, do. .. .. 9 Aunandu, do. .. .. 6 Caruppayi, do. .. .. 4 Velu Nachiar, foster-daughter of Meenatchi, sister. 40 Periasami, son of do. .. .. 25 Chella Thorai, do. .. .. 20 Ponnusami, do. .. .. 16 Rami Nachiar, daughter of do. 9	The pension being a life grant, the Acting Collector recommends that a moiety of the pension or Rupees 52½ be continued in the name of the survivor Thungam during her life. In their Proceedings, dated 6th January 1851, the Board of Revenue remarked that the pension was continuable apparently only during the lives of the present recipients. The pension was granted to the original grantee during her life.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT, 15TH NOVEMBER 1878, No. 1,827.

IN their Order, dated 7th June 1806, Government, on the recommendation of the Magistrate of the Madura District, conferred a pension of Rupees 140 for life on "the widow" of Peria Murdoo Servagar, Karbar and afterwards Zemindar of the Shivaganga Zemindari who together with his sons was hanged for rebelling against the British Government in the beginning of the century. The name of the widow was subsequently stated to be Minakshi Ammal and the pension was paid to her till her death.

2. On the death of Minakshi Ammal, Thungam Ammal and Athiveeralakshmi, styling themselves to be her daughters (the latter being however really her grand-daughter by Caroothy Ammal, her daughter, who had predeceased her), petitioned Government for the continuance of the pension, representing that the family consisting of another widow of Peria Murdoo, four daughters, five grand-sons, one grand-daughter and servants and dependents had no other means of subsistence; and in their Order, dated 22nd May 1821, Government sanctioned the grant of a pension of Rupees 105 per mensem "to the family of the late Zemindar of Shivaganga, being three-fourths of the amount of pension enjoyed by their mother."

3. Disputes having arisen between the several members of the family in regard to the distribution of the pension, complaints were made to the Collector Mr. Parker in 1850 who then instituted enquiries. It appeared that in 1807, or soon after the grant of the original pension, a suit had been filed in the Civil Court of Ramnad against Minakshi Ammal, the original grantee by Rachathal, another widow of Peria Murdoo and other members of the family, representing that the former was not the principal widow of Peria Murdoo, that she had been merely deputed by the family to apply for the pension, that she had executed an agreement to share the pension with all the members in certain proportions, and that as she had appropriated the whole pension to herself notwithstanding the agreement, she should be compelled to give to the several members their respective shares; and that the Court had decreed accordingly. It further appeared that on the death of Minakshi Ammal, the petition of Thungam and Athiveeralakshmi praying for the continuance of the pension was forwarded by the then Collector Mr. Peter to Government without reference to the decree of the Ramnad Court, and that on sanction being received for a reduced pension he had paid it to these persons, taking from them an agreement to distribute it in the manner pursued by their mother. These persons contended however in 1850 that the pension was for the support of themselves and their families only, and that they were not bound to share it with the descendants of Rachathal. On these facts, Mr. Parker came to the conclusion that the intentions of Government had been defeated by insufficient representation in the first instance, and by subsequent fraud on the part of the recipients, and reported the matter to the Board, recommending that the pension be divided in accordance with the decree of the Ramnad Court, the shares of persons intermediately deceased being given to their heirs.

4. The Board however did not concur with the Collector. They remarked that the decree of the Ramnad Court could not bind the Collector in the matter of pensions, that it was based on an agreement never put into execution, and that, even considering it to have had any validity as regards the original pension, it could not in any way affect the reduced pension which was virtually a new grant made subsequent to the decree and "continuable apparently only during the lives of the present recipients," that the sub-division of pensions and subsistence grants had already been considered objectionable in several cases as tending to defeat the intentions of Government in providing for the respectable maintenance of the particular family receiving its bounty, and to foster domestic disputes and divisions, and that the junior members of the family should look to the head of the family for their maintenance. On these grounds, they directed the Collector to continue the payment of the pension to Thungam and Athiveeralakshmi "who had been for so many years recognized as heads of the family of the former incumbent Minakshi Ammal."

5. The Collector now reports the death of Athiveeralakshmi and recommends the continuance of a moiety of the pension in the name of the surviving incumbent Thungam, that being the share she has enjoyed hitherto. The sanction of Government will accordingly be requested.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 4012 (887 3

~~From~~ Proceedings Board of Revenue

Dated 24 August 1878 No 2377

FOR ORDERS.

This paper relates to a pension of Rs 105 per mensem enjoyed jointly by Thungam and Athiveeralakshmi of the Madura district, the latter of whom died in Dec^r 1877.

2. The history of the pension is fully given in the Board's Proceedings.

3. The Collector recommends the continuance of a moiety of the pension in the name of the surviving incumbent Thungam, that being the share she has enjoyed hitherto. For this the Board request the sanction of Govt

As

Circulate

Sd approve the recommendation of the Bd

25/10 78

Rd Govt Tabular Statt

Col J. Sails 2 Sirdars

Ayah 1 Govt servt

Assistant joint Specified

in Statt heading

"Circumstances of

necessitous distress"

Sd Expire Fasli for

Children the Stipend

to be

Rs —

4 As to the share of Athivaralakshmi, the Board are of opinion that it should be considered to have lapsed to the State, and that the claims put forward to it should be rejected.

Thungam
Athivaralakshmi

According to the report of the Magistrate of Madura of 11 May 1821 the two ladies + were then 35 and 21 years of age respectively -

The latter is dead

The former, if alive, must be 92.

Thungam is reported to be alive and it is proposed to leave her half of the pension

which seems reasonable enough but if her share also lapses on her death (which must occur soon in course of nature) as will be the case in accordance with the present recommendation the allowance will cease entirely.

Nothing is said by the Collector as to what other means of subsistence the family possess. It is impossible they can all live on this allowance.

The family have received about Rs 40 Rupees altogether since 1806.

FOR ORDERS.

No. 2,377.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 24th August 1878.

Read the following paper from H. J. STOKES, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Shembaganur, 3rd July 1878 :—

TABULAR Report on a Lapsed Pension in the District of Madura.

Case number.	Taluq and Village and value of the entire Inam.	When and by whom granted, names of the original grantees, sanads or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant, charity or service; if service, nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the last holder, date of his decease, and the relationship in which he stood to the original grantee.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by other members.	Family left by the deceased, name and age of the parties, their circumstances, and the means of subsistence possessed by them.	Recommendation of the Collector and the grounds on which it is made.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	Velangudi Village, Tiruchululi Division, Ramnad Zemindari, Madura District. Ready-money allowance Rupees 1,260 per annum, or Rs. 105 per mensem.	The allowance was granted by the British Government to Meenatchi Aathal, widow of late Peria Murdoo Servai, Karbar of Shivagungah (*vide* G.O., dated 7th June 1806, and Board's Proceedings, dated 6th January 1851).	Charity, *i.e.*, for the maintenance of the family.	Athiveeralakshmi, one of the last incumbents, died on the 20th December 1877. She is the daughter of the original grantee Meenatchi Aathal.	Jointly enjoyed by the deceased and the surviving member Thungam.	AGE. Thungammal, daughter .. .. 45 Vijiasami Servai, husband of the above Thungammal .. .. 55 Muniandy, foster-son of do. .. .. 10 Rackammal, foster-daughter of do. .. .. .. 16 Athiveeralakshmi, grand-daughter (son's daughter) 35 Sornam, do. .. .. 25 Caruppiah, grand-son .. .. 22 Thannamal, daughter-in-law (son's widow) .. .. 40 Caruppayi, grand-daughter .. .. 17 Sundrapandian Servai, husband of do. .. .. .. 34 Ammaulu, widow of Marudai, a grandson .. .. 19 Angamootoo, another daughter-in-law .. .. .. 40 Sundrapandian, grand-son .. .. 20 Doraikannoo, do. .. .. 25 Sivakami, grand-daughter .. .. 10 Subbia Servai, son. Sivakunni, wife of do. .. .. 35 Puttakuttatoosami, son of do. .. .. 8 Moottoonachiar, daughter .. .. 12 Moottoomeenal, do. .. .. 9 Aunandu, do. .. .. 6 Caruppayi, do. .. .. 4 Velu Nachiar, foster-daughter of Meenatchi, sister. 40 Periasami, son of do. .. .. 25 Chella Thorai, do. .. .. 20 Ponnusami, do. .. .. 16 Rami Nachiar, daughter of do. 9	The pension being a life grant, the Acting Collector recommends that a moiety of the pension or Rupees 52½ be continued in the name of the survivor Thungam during her life. In their Proceedings, dated 6th January 1851, the Board of Revenue remarked that the pension was continuable apparently only during the lives of the present recipients. The pension was granted to the original grantee during her life.

In their Order, dated 7th June 1806, Government, on the recommendation of the Magistrate of the Madura District, conferred a pension of Rupees 140 for life on "the widow" of Peria Murdoo Servagar, Karbar and afterwards Zemindar of the Shivaganga Zemindari who together with his sons was hanged for rebelling against the British Government in the beginning of the century. The name of the widow was subsequently stated to be Minakshi Ammal and the pension was paid to her till her death.

2. On the death of Minakshi Ammal, Thungam Ammal and Athiveeralakshmi, styling themselves to be her daughters (the latter being however really her grand-daughter by Caroothy Ammal, her daughter, who had predeceased her), petitioned Government for the continuance of the pension, representing that the family consisting of another widow of Peria Murdoo, four daughters, five grand-sons, one grand-daughter and servants and dependents had no other means of subsistence; and in their Order, dated 22nd May 1821, Government sanctioned the grant of a pension of Rupees 105 per mensem "to the family of the late Zemindar of Shivaganga, being three-fourths of the amount of pension enjoyed by their mother."

3. Disputes having arisen between the several members of the family in regard to the distribution of the pension, complaints were made to the Collector Mr. Parker in 1850 who then instituted enquiries. It appeared that in 1807, or soon after the grant of the original pension, a suit had been filed in the Civil Court of Ramnad against Minakshi Ammal, the original grantee by Rachathal, another widow of Peria Murdoo and other members of the family, representing that the former was not the principal widow of Peria Murdoo, that she had been merely deputed by the family to apply for the pension, that she had executed an agreement to share the pension with all the members in certain proportions, and that as she had appropriated the whole pension to herself notwithstanding the agreement, she should be compelled to give to the several members their respective shares; and that the Court had decreed accordingly. It further appeared that on the death of Minakshi Ammal, the petition of Thungam and Athiveeralakshmi praying for the continuance of the pension was forwarded by the then Collector Mr. Peter to Government without reference to the decree of the Ramnad Court, and that on sanction being received for a reduced pension he had paid it to these persons, taking from them an agreement to distribute it in the manner pursued by their mother. These persons contended however in 1850 that the pension was for the support of themselves and their families only, and that they were not bound to share it with the descendants of Rachathal. On these facts, Mr. Parker came to the conclusion that the intentions of Government had been defeated by insufficient representation in the first instance, and by subsequent fraud on the part of the recipients, and reported the matter to the Board, recommending that the pension be divided in accordance with the decree of the Ramnad Court, the shares of persons intermediately deceased being given to their heirs.

4. The Board however did not concur with the Collector. They remarked that the decree of the Ramnad Court could not bind the Collector in the matter of pensions, that it was based on an agreement never put into execution, and that, even considering it to have had any validity as regards the original pension, it could not in any way affect the reduced pension which was virtually a new grant made subsequent to the decree and "continuable apparently only during the lives of the present recipients," that the sub-division of pensions and subsistence grants had already been considered objectionable in several cases as tending to defeat the intentions of Government in providing for the respectable maintenance of the particular family receiving its bounty, and to foster domestic disputes and divisions, and that the junior members of the family should look to the head of the family for their maintenance. On these grounds, they directed the Collector to continue the payment of the pension to Thungam and Athiveeralakshmi "who had been for so many years recognized as heads of the family of the former incumbent Minakshi Ammal."

5. The Collector now reports the death of Athiveeralakshmi and recommends the continuance of a moiety of the pension in the name of the surviving incumbent Thungam, that being the share she has enjoyed hitherto. The sanction of Government will accordingly be requested.

6. Athiveeralakshmi's share is claimed by her daughter, another Thungam, on the ground that the pension descends in the female line. This however is objected to by Sappaiya Servai who claims it for himself.

7. Though stated in general terms to have been given for the support of the family, the view of the Board acted on from 1850 was as above shown that the pension was continuable during the lives of the persons in whose names it stood. This being so, and no reason being shown for challenging the view then taken, the share of Athiveeralakshmi should, in the opinion of the Board, be considered to have lapsed to the State and the claims put forward should be rejected.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Collector of Madura.

Exd. A. Grubert.

Revenue Department

No 4012

1878.

Board of Revenue, MADRAS.

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVT.,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Dated August 24th.

Printed Sept. 4th.

Read

PROCEEDINGS, 24TH AUGUST 1878, No. 2,377.

AUGUST.

No. 2,377.

Pension — of Rupees 105 per mensem enjoyed by Thungam and Athiveeralakshmi of Madura District, the latter of whom is now reported to be dead.—Requesting sanction of Government for continuance of a moiety of the — to the surviving incumbent Thungam.

No 1827 - 15th November 1878

W Rhamadan

No 1827

The Collector's tabular statement submitted with the foregoing Proceedings fails to give in column 7, any information as to the important points specified in its heading, of "circumstances" of the [illegible] possessed by them. This omission must be supplied to enable Govt. to pass orders on the case.

15th November 78

To the Board of Rev
Dec. 19 Nov