

(1) Number of pages 4 Reels
(2) Date of repair 5.9.84
(3) Initials of the Referencer B/26

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1783	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated 4.11.1878		197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Archives 1-5,806-24-2-78.

1783
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

4th November 1878, No. 1,783.

Read the following paper:—

No. 69. Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 5th October 1878, No. 2,721.

Read the following letters:—

From H. J. STOKES, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura, to C. A. GALTON, Esq.,
Acting Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Periyakulam 7th August
1878, No. 120.

I HAVE the honor to bring to the notice of the Court the hard work which my
Sheristadar Rajaram Rao is doing in connection with the estates under wardship.
There are five estates including the large
1. Ramnad. 4. Sandayur. Zemindari of Ramnad now under the
2. Bodinayakanur. 5. Uthappanayakanur. management of the Court of Wards in
3. Gantamanayakanur. this district as shown in the margin.

The multiplicity of budgets, jamabundy, collection, cultivation, maramut and other
statements which are constantly under preparation, and the preparation of plaints,
written statements, motions, &c., in numerous and intricate suits, as well as other
multifarious correspondence connected with the estates, increase the Sheristadar's work,
which is already heavy in this district to such an extent that he is ordinarily at work
morning and evening and sometimes nights too, to accomplish the work of the day,
and I think that it is unfair to ask a man to do this on the ordinary pay of a
Sheristadar.

2. I know that the estates pay to Government a commission at $1\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. on
account of their management, and that scarcely any additional remuneration is paid to
a Huzur Sheristadar for such work in any district except in Tanjore where I under-
stand the Sheristadar gets 100 Rupees a month in addition to his salary from the
funds of the private estate of the Rajah's family. But considering the amount and
difficulty of work that Rajaram Rao is doing and has done for some two years, I think
that he is entitled to some remuneration, and I accordingly request that the Court of
Wards may be pleased to sanction, as a special case, an allowance of Rupees 50 a
month from the funds of the four out of the five estates, Uthappanayakanur not being
in a position to bear any extra cost.

3. I beg to add that the estates of Bodinayakanur and Gantamanayakanur are
under the immediate management of the Collector, and the Sheristadar's work in con-
nection with them is much heavier than
in the others. They should, therefore,
in my opinion, bear a greater proportion
of the proposed allowance than the other
estates as shown in the margin; the
finances of these two estates can well
afford the charge.

1. Bodinayakanur	...	...	...	18
2. Gantamanayakanur	...	...	...	18
3. Ramnad	...	...	...	10
4. Sandayur	...	...	...	4
Total				50

No. 70.

ORDER THEREON, 4th November 1878, No. 1,783.

Miscellaneous.

RECORDED.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) L. A. CAMPBELL,
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Court of Wards.

J. A. F. Goodall.

From W. LOGAN, Esq., Collector and Agent to the Court of Wards, Malabar, to
C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated
Calicut, 13th August 1878, No. 229.

The work connected with estates under ward in this district is attended to in the
Huzur by an Accountant of the regular establishment in addition to his other duties,
and the result is that a good many papers still remain undisposed of, while those
already disposed of have not been properly registered, classified, and indexed.

	Per Mensem.
* One Clerk and Accountant	20
One Attender	6
Total cost per mensem	26
Total cost per year	312

Estate.	Annual Revenue.	Cost of existing Establishments.
Kavalapara	41,667	3,015
Mangara	5,991	555

4. I consider that the maintenance of an establishment costing within 10 per
cent. of the collections of each estate is a fair charge. In the case of the Kavalapara
Estate, the establishment charges come at present to less than $7\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. while those
of the Mangara Estate are within $9\frac{1}{4}$ per cent.

	RS.
Kavalapara	273
Mangara	39
Total	312

2. Such a state of things cannot be
permitted to continue, but at the same time
there is no help for it under existing cir-
cumstances. The only plan that suggests
itself to me is the entertainment of a
small special establishment,* whose pay
should be contributed by the estates in
proportion to their annual revenue.

3. The marginal figures show the
annual revenue of the two estates in this
district now under the management of
the Court and the cost of existing estab-
lishments.

5. If my proposal meets with favor-
able consideration, these two estates will
have to contribute towards the cost of this
special establishment in the proportions
noted, and even then the total cost of
management will be found to fall below
10 per cent. of the annual receipts.

In the first of the letters above recorded the Collector of Madura asks for an
increase of Rupees 50 to his Sheristadar's pay on account of the heavy extra work
thrown on him in connection with estates under the management of the Court of Wards.

2. In the second letter the Collector of Malabar proposes to entertain a Clerk
on Rupees 20 and an Attender on Rupees 6 for conducting the work of the Wards'
estates in Malabar.

3. The Court have given the above proposals their careful consideration and
resolve to adhere to the principle hitherto acted upon, that no additional remuneration
can be sanctioned to any servant in Government employ for work done in connection
with estates under the Court of Wards. The proposal made by the Collector of
Madura must therefore be disallowed.

4. The same objections do not exist to the entertainment of extra hands to be
paid from estate funds in districts where it is clear that the ordinary establishment
of the Collector is unable without detriment to other duties to overtake the additional
work thrown on it by estates which have come under management, and the proposals
made by the Collector of Malabar are sanctioned accordingly.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) J. STURROCK,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

1783
13

No. 2,721.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS, dated 5th October 1878.

Read the following letters:—

From H. J. STOKES, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Periyakulam 7th August 1878, No. 120.

I HAVE the honor to bring to the notice of the Court the hard work which my Sheristadar Rajaram Rao is doing in connection with the estates under wardship.

1. Ramnad.
2. Bodinayakanur.
3. Gantamanayakanur.
4. Sandayur.
5. Uthappanayakanur.

There are five estates including the large Zemindari of Ramnad now under the management of the Court of Wards in this district as shown in the margin.

The multiplicity of budgets, jamabundy, collection, cultivation, maramut and other statements which are constantly under preparation, and the preparation of plaints, written statements, motions, &c., in numerous and intricate suits, as well as other multifarious correspondence connected with the estates, increase the Sheristadar's work, which is already heavy in this district to such an extent that he is ordinarily at work morning and evening and sometimes nights too, to accomplish the work of the day, and I think that it is unfair to ask a man to do this on the ordinary pay of a Sheristadar.

2. I know that the estates pay to Government a commission at $1\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. on account of their management, and that scarcely any additional remuneration is paid to a Huzur Sheristadar for such work in any district except in Tanjore where I understand the Sheristadar gets 100 Rupees a month in addition to his salary from the funds of the private estate of the Rajah's family. But considering the amount and difficulty of work that Rajaram Rao is doing and has done for some two years, I think that he is entitled to some remuneration, and I accordingly request that the Court of Wards may be pleased to sanction, as a special case, an allowance of Rupees 50 a month from the funds of the four out of the five estates, Uthappanayakanur not being in a position to bear any extra cost.

3. I beg to add that the estates of Bodinayakanur and Gantamanayakanur are under the immediate management of the Collector, and the Sheristadar's work in connection with them is much heavier than in the others. They should, therefore, in my opinion, bear a greater proportion of the proposed allowance than the other estates as shown in the margin; the finances of these two estates can well afford the charge.

From W. LOGAN, Esq., Collector and Agent to the Court of Wards, Malabar, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Calicut, 13th August 1878, No. 229.

THE work connected with estates under ward in this district is attended to in the Huzur by an Accountant of the regular establishment in addition to his other duties, and the result is that a good many papers still remain undisposed of, while those already disposed of have not been properly registered, classified, and indexed.

Minutes in the Madras and Malabar Districts.
According to the Proceedings of the Court of Wards in
certain proposals relating to the establishments
connected with the —

NOVEMBER.
Nos. 69-70.

20, 4th November 1878, No. 1,733.

Dated November 4th.
Printed 8th.
Recd. Encl.

THE COURT OF WARDS.

Out. of 18781
REVENUE.

	Per Mensem.
	Rs.
* One Clerk and Accountant	20
One Attender	6
Total cost per mensem	26
Total cost per year	312

Estates.	Annual Revenue.	Cost of existing Establishments.
	Rs.	Rs.
Kavalapara	41,667	3,015
Mangara	5,991	555

4. I consider that the maintenance of an establishment costing within 10 per cent. of the collections of each estate is a fair charge. In the case of the Kavalapara Estate, the establishment charges come at present to less than $7\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. while those of the Mangara Estate are within $9\frac{1}{4}$ per cent.

	Per Annum.
	Rs.
Kavalapara	273
Mangara	39
Total	312

2. Such a state of things cannot be permitted to continue, but at the same time there is no help for it under existing circumstances. The only plan that suggests itself to me is the entertainment of a small special establishment,* whose pay should be contributed by the estates in proportion to their annual revenue.

3. The marginal figures show the annual revenue of the two estates in this district now under the management of the Court and the cost of existing establishments.

5. If my proposal meets with favorable consideration, these two estates will have to contribute towards the cost of this special establishment in the proportions noted, and even then the total cost of management will be found to fall below 10 per cent. of the annual receipts.

In the first of the letters above recorded the Collector of Madura asks for an increase of Rupees 50 to his Sheristadar's pay on account of the heavy extra work thrown on him in connection with estates under the management of the Court of Wards.

2. In the second letter the Collector of Malabar proposes to entertain a Clerk on Rupees 20 and an Attender on Rupees 6 for conducting the work of the Wards' estates in Malabar.

3. The Court have given the above proposals their careful consideration and resolve to adhere to the principle hitherto acted upon, that no additional remuneration can be sanctioned to any servant in Government employ for work done in connection with estates under the Court of Wards. The proposal made by the Collector of Madura must therefore be disallowed.

4. The same objections do not exist to the entertainment of extra hands to be paid from estate funds in districts where it is clear that the ordinary establishment of the Collector is unable without detriment to other duties to overtake the additional work thrown on it by estates which have come under management, and the proposals made by the Collector of Malabar are sanctioned accordingly.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Sturrock
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Collector of Madura.
" Collector of Malabar.

Copy to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
" to all other Collectors.

1783
H

Revenue and Finance

44/13
1878.

Court of Wards,
MADRAS.

To
THE COLLECTOR OF MADURA,
Sd., Sd., Sd.

Dated October 5th.

Printed 14th.

Received *20*

PROCEEDINGS, 5TH OCTOBER 1878, No. 2,721.

24-10-78
OCTOBER.
No. 2,721.

Notes — under management of Court of Wards in Madura District. — Disallowing Collector's proposal to grant an increase of Rupees 50 to his Sheristadar's pay on account of the heavy extra work thrown on him in connection with —, and sanctioning proposal of Collector of Madurai to entertain a Clerk on Rupees 20 and an Attender on Rupees 6 for conducting the work of the Ward's estate in the district.

20th 1878
Received

Noted 4th December 1878

*Hand Count of 1000 Rs
2/12/78*

24-10-78
24-11-78

24/10/78

69-