

(1) Number of pages 12 Reels
(2) Date of repair 24.8.84
(3) Initials of the Referencer gof

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1752	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	1.11.1878	197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Archives 1-5,806-24-2-78.

gof

1752
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1st November 1878, No. 1,752.

Read the following paper :—

No. 7. From L. C. PROBYN, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort St. George, 15th October 1878, No. 340-N.

In reply to your Memorandum, No. 199, dated 2nd instant, I have the honor to invite attention to Resolution of the Government of India in the Financial Department, No. 3,061, dated the 27th ultimo, which doubtless either has already been, or shortly will be, communicated to this Government through the Revenue, Agriculture, and Commerce Department of Government of India; and to state that as that Resolution is "in supersession of all previous orders," the omission to deal specially with the case of Lieutenant-Colonel Scott should be brought to the notice of the Government of India.

2. Under the letter of the Resolution above referred to I do not consider Lieutenant-Colonel Scott is entitled to more than Rs. 1,500 from its date; but it is possible that the orders contained in Mr. N. S. Sinkinson's No. 640, dated 31st January last, to my address, may have been overlooked, as they are not quoted in the preamble to the Resolution.

3. Even, however, if the order of the 31st January is still to be in force, it does not, it will be observed, cover the case of Colonel Scott's permanent appointment to the Survey Department; and I do not consider that, in this respect, the matter is affected in any way by the date on which Colonel Hessey's furlough would have expired.

No. 8. ORDER THEREON, 1st November 1878, No. 1,752.

Miscellaneous.

ORDERED that the following letter be despatched :—

(Here enter 1st November 1878, No. 1,753.)

(True Extract.)

(Signed) L. A. CAMPBELL,
Under Secretary to Government.

Exd. A. F. Goodall.

No. 340A

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
15th October 1870.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To THE *Secretary to Government*
Revenue Department.

SIR

In reply to your memo No 199 dated 2^d Instant, I have the honor to invite attention to Resolution of the Government of India in the Financial Department No 3061 dated the 27th ultimo, which doubtless either has already been, or shortly will be, communicated to the Government through the Revenue Agriculture and Commerce Department of Government of India; and to state that as that Resolution is "in supersession of all previous orders," the Commission to deal specially with the case of Lt Colonel Scott should be brought to the notice of the Government of India.

2. Under the letter of the Resolution above referred to I do not consider Lieut Col^l Scott is entitled to more than Rs 1500 from its date, but it is possible that the orders

Continued

Constant

2-2

Contained in her n. S. Jenkins's no
640 dated 31st January last to my address
may have been overlooked as they are not
quoted in the preamble to the resolution.

3 Even however if the order of the 31st
January is still to be in force, it does not
it will be observed, cover the case of Col.
Scott's permanent appointments to the
Survey department: and I do not consider
that, in this respect, the matter is affected
in any way by the date on which Col.
Hessy's furlough would have expired.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your Obedient Servant

W. B. W. B.

Accountant General

1752
3

231-10-18
 231-10-18
 231-10-18
 231-10-18
 231-10-18

1880-1878

Order that the following
 be referred to the
 Survey Office

To
 The Secretary to Govt.
 New Delhi

From
 The Accountant General, Madras

Dated
 14th Feb 1878

Recd.
 16th Feb 1878

Subj.
 No. 1752

Order that the following
 be referred to the
 Survey Office

231-10-18
 231-10-18
 231-10-18
 231-10-18
 231-10-18

SURVEY OFFICE

Volacammund 18. Aug 1878

My dear Captain Hankin.

I should feel obliged by
 your kindly communicating
 the contents of the enclosed
 memorandum to His Grace
 the Governor. It contains
 information regarding the
 school & affecting the

No. 7-

interests of the Dept, which
it is probable has not been
placed before his Grace
before.

Yours sincerely

Aschott

1752
5

1752
6

Note of observations regarding the salary to be attached to the Appointment of the Superintendent, Revenue Survey, for communication to His Grace the Governor.

1. It is proposed to reduce the salary of the Appointment to Rs 1500 per mensem after the 15th January 1879.

In our letter of 1st
of March 1878 to the
Govt. of Madras for
forwarding original
Memorandum for
consideration.

2. The rate of Rs 1500 a month is actually a special rate proposed by the Madras Government for a Civilian Superintendent because, the civilians in the Department being men without technical education, their services were not supposed to be worth more.

3. The rate of Rs 1500 was never intended by the Government to apply to military Officers generally, still less to Engineers. The Government of India have been so informed by His Grace in Council on

two occasions.

4. The rate of pay of Deputy Superintendents of Survey 1st Class (Engineers) under the Government of India, if such Officer is a Lieut Colonel, amounts to Rs. 1843-8 per mensem as shown below.

Consolidated pay as 1 st Class Deputy-Superintendent	Rs. 1600
Military pay proper as Royal Engineer	243-8
	<u>1843-8</u>

5. The Deputy Superintendent under the Govt. of India has charge of a Party of two Officers and about 340 men, and spends every year about Rs. 65000. The Superintendent of Madras Survey directs 14 Officers and 3100 men, & spends Rs. 725000 yearly.

6. The rate of Rs. 1500 proposed for the Superintendent of Survey is less than

the salary which the present Superintendent would receive if he reverted to his former Appointment in the Public Works Department. As a Lieut Colonel of Engineers & a 1st Class Executive Engineer, he is entitled to draw Rs. 1538-8 per mensem as shown below

Maximum Salary in the Dept.	Rs. 1250
Military pay proper as Royal Engineer	243-8
Presidency House rent	45
	<u>Rs. 1538-8</u>

7. As an Executive Engineer in the Public Works Department & Under Secretary to Government, the present Superintendent would not only draw higher pay than is proposed for the Survey, but he would have the prospect of promotion to the higher appointments of Superintending Engineer, and his chance, whatever

that might be, of promotion to Chief Engineer of which there are three.

8. The Superintendent of Survey must look to that appointment as his final change in India. He can hardly hope to be transferred out of that Department to any thing better.

9. The above is a simple statement of facts the accuracy of which can easily be verified & a series of observations the truth of which appears evident. - It follows that the rate of the proposed salary for the appointment of Survey Superintendent is, looking to the standard of pay in other Departments, insufficient to induce Officers of the Royal Engineers

1758
of sufficient standing in the Public Works Department, or in the Survey Department under the Government of India, to hold it. Either the salary will have to be raised or any idea of employing Officers who have had technical training will have to be given up.

Asaf. Scott
Lieut Colonel R.E.
Superintendent Revenue Survey

Note of Observations regarding
the Salary to be attached
to the Appointment of
Superintendent of the
Revenue Survey Madras.

18/8/40

Sanction. if on paper & the
papers quoted in the note
are supplied. It appears
to be necessary.

I believe it will be found on
enquiry that the Supt. in
charge of the Revenue Survey draws
considerably more (Rs. 800 I think)
than the Rs. 500. It will seem
less than attached for the position
to the Madras Presd. Asst.

25-9-40

Sanction to the Madras
Revenue Survey

I think Col. Scott shows
very good ground for making
that his pay should be maintained at
its present rate if he continues
in the post of Supt. Revenue
as I think it most desirable
on public grounds that he should
do. & I would strongly advocate
application to the G.O. for

Note

The papers regarding
orders are for India
letter in p. 4 of 70/10.1007
& Col. Scott's reply dated
d. 5/10/78 & 17/11/78.

Col. Scott's statement
as far as they relate
to the establishment
in this Presidency
are correct and it is
believed that his
estimate of the pay
of a Deputy Asst.
under the Govt. of India
if a Dist. Col. R.S.
is also accurate.

But it is not
understood why he
should say it is
proposed to reduce
the pay of the Madras

Revenue Survey

Supr. Res. Survey
to Rs 1500 after the
15th Jan^y next.

That date was
noticed in G.O. 4th
July 78 No 117 simply
because this Govt.
have not as yet
obtained Col. Scott's
services for a longer
period than the
term of 1862 which then
expires.

All subsidiary duties
who entered the
Department after
172 are by a proviso
of the Govt. of India to
draw only Rs 1500

see telegram from Secy
State in No 17 Nov 77
49th Jan 78

London

This point has now
to be decided & the
Govt. of India vector
in G.O. 4th July 78 No
2760 is still
unreplied to.
Col. Scott says he
is willing to stay
on if paid as at
present but that
he objects to bind
himself to some
for any particular
term. He points out
that it is to pay
interest to day

not five & 1/2 years
as he could not until
then get furlough with
pay except on medical
certificate & then only
on condition of returning
to India
He writes that he will
not get an Indian
pension. See

but that Govt. have
sanctioned Col. Scott
being exceptionally
treated - When their
order had been received
the Act. Genl. said -
on being referred to -
that Col. Scott might
draw not merely an
acting allowance
calculated on the 1862
rate but full pay
if appointed substantive
pro. Genl. Having
thus paid him Rs
1800 when temporary,
it is unlikely he will
reduce Col. Scott's pay
should he be made
permanent & at all
events the Govt. of India
would not hesitate to

Sanction the full amount.

If it is desired to appoint at any time to the post of Sup^t a military man - R.E. or otherwise - it will again be necessary to obtain the sanction of the Gov^t of India if it is desired to pay him Rs 1200.

Leal

It is submitted that there will be no difficulty about Col Scott drawing the full pay if the Gov^t determine to confirm him.

Feb 27/78

Col. Scott's continued service -
= pay and salary
Note.

does the Gov^t intend to pay him the full salary of Rs 1200 per m^o as he is entitled to it under the provisions of the Act? If not, what is the reason for this? Is it because he is not a military man? If so, what is the reason for not paying him the full salary of Rs 1200 per m^o as he is entitled to it under the provisions of the Act?

maintain from the Gov^t of India

Sum
244

Under the provisions of the Act of 1877, the Gov^t of India is empowered to pay him the full salary of Rs 1200 per m^o as he is entitled to it under the provisions of the Act.

Orders

1258/11

From Col. Scott
15th Oct. 78. No. 3200.

Col Scott as Sub. J. W.
term: draws his salary at the Rs 1200 under the special exception - but the

7th part of the
vide in the enclosure
d: 5th Oct. 78. No. 408 (1878).

Question of increase of pay to the top

The Accountant Genl. refers to an order addressed to him regarding Col Scott's pay.

He mentions a recent order of the Gov^t of India, & considers that under its "letter" Col Scott should not draw more than Rs 1500 from its date.

This order has been received - It simply combines in one & explains the orders already issued on the re-organization of the Service Dep^t.

effect of these orders
Col. Scott was
specially exempted
it was unnecessary
to mention him
again

This objection the
author evidently
does not regard as
serious but he says
that though "the
matter" is not
he considers

"affected in any
way by the date
on which Col. Kepp
farther would
have expired"

Still Col. Scott's persona
= non-appointed
on 1500 is not
covered by an order

in justification
of the order to
recently submit
must go up to
I am sure need
strong reasons

than sanction in
the case of an agent
of the same date
See in 107 Nov 77
No: 3213

may be made in
the fact that the
in the dept - and
that the limitation
1100 pay to officers who
were present 8/18/72
in name the same
See 10 12th Sept 77
No 207 & letter 2708
of the same date
was to officers - the
same as in 107
11 ability in 107
See in 107 Nov 77
No: 3213

to be obtained -
I would draft in the
sense of L. 22/10

The result is that
Col. Scott's persona
remains in 107
then on his pay
but may not be
confirmed. This is
disputed but is
according to the
"letter" correct for
as Col. Scott was
only temporarily left
when application
was made to the
107 of 107 to exempt
him from the 1500
the limit so the
sanction had
reference only to
the acting appoint-
ment -
no doubt a formal
application is all
that is needed to set
the matter at 8/18/72

Order
Col Scott's Salary

Let the Disposal of all
S.A. & authorize the
Auth. Department?
Let Col Scott after
confirmation the same
into of pay as he has
been drawing in the
their sanction for the
last (?) 2 years ago
proven. LK

C 21-10-78 R 11-78
R 20-10-78
R 21-10-78
R 22-10-78
R 23-10-78