

- (1) Number of pages 6 pages (3 sheets)
(2) Date of repair 17-1-1878
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1744, Revenue Press.
B.P. No. _____ Mis.

Dated 29 October 1878 197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1749
1

REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
OOTACAMUND,
29th October 1878.

No. 1,744.

No. 314.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, AGRICULTURE, AND COMMERCE.

Miscellaneous.

Financial Department, 24th September 1878,
No. 3,023.

SIR,—With reference to the letter noted in the margin, in which it is intimated that the Government of India are unable, without further explanation, to sanction double extra tentage to the Collector of Godavari when travelling in the Bhadrachalam, Rekapalli, and Rampah tracts, I am directed to state that the grounds on which double batta has been granted to the Collector's establishment are equally forcible in the case of that officer himself.

2. I am further directed to invite attention to the Resolution of the Government of India in the Financial Department, dated 23rd May 1876, No. 408, in which the necessity of treating exceptionally the case of officers who have to travel in tracts similar to those now attached to the Godavari District is freely admitted.

3. Special allowances are, with the sanction of the Government of India, drawn by the Agents and Assistant Agents in Ganjam and Vizagapatam when in the hill tracts of those districts in consequence of the extra expense entailed on them by the great cost of transport and the additional and highly paid private establishment it is necessary to maintain; and in view of the similarity of circumstances, this Government consider it only just that the Collector of Godavari (or other Revenue Officer) should be granted the same indulgence when travelling in the tracts now in question.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Chief Secretary.

Exd. A. F. Goodall.

16504

Original

Agriculture (1878) Department.

Government of Fort St. George.

Dated 29th October 1878. { No. 1,744.
Enclosure.
In Spare Copies.

D. No. Progs.
L. No. No. 311.

Ved. 10.9.63/79.

It seems that the grounds on which double
batta has been granted to the Collector's establish-
ment in the Coimbatore District are equally
reasonable in the case of that officer himself and for
reasons given, considering it only just that the
Collector of that district (or other Revenue
Officer) should be granted the same indulgence
when travelling in the tracts now in question as
that granted to the officers in Coimbatore and
Vidyanapuram & in the hill tracts of those
districts.

Rev. Dept.

No 1744

To the Secy. to the Govt. of India
Dept. of Agric. & Commerce.

Sir,
With reference to the letter
noted in the margin in which
it is intimated that the Govt.
of India are unable without
further explanation to sanction
double extra bountage to the Collec-
tor of Coimbatore when travelling
in the Bhadrachalam, Nellore
and Ponnampet tracts, I am direct-
ed to state that the grounds on
which double batta has been
granted to the Collector's estab-
lishment are equally forcible
in the case of that officer him-
self.

Godavari

2. I am further directed to
invite attention to the resolution
of the Govt. of India in the Agric.
Dept.

at Dept. 24. 10/10/78 No 3023.

Sept. dated 23rd May 1876 No 408
in which the necessity of treat-
ing exceptionally the case of
officers who have to travel in
tracts similar to those now
attached to the Godavery Dis-
trict is freely admitted.

Special allowances are
with the sanction of the Govt.
of India drawn by the Agents
and Assistant Agents in
Raichur and Vijayapatnam
when in the Hill tracts of
these Districts in consequence
of the Extra Expense incurred
on them by the great cost of
transport and the addition-
al and highly paid private
establishment it is necessary
to maintain and in view of
the similarity of circumstances
this

See in R.M.P. G.O.
June 76 No. 1508

See in para 2 of the
Resolution above quoted
"the G.O. in C. is pleased
to accept the decision of
the Govt. of Madras in
all past cases"

Godavery

1744/57
this Govt. consider it only just
that the Collector of Godavery
or other Revenue Officer should
be granted the same indulgence
when travelling in the tracts
now in question.

epcl

29 October 1878

